## memorandum

Department of Energy

**Bonneville Power Administration** 

DATE: September 26, 2013

REPLY TO ATTN OF: KEPR-4

- SUBJECT: Environmental Clearance Memorandum
  - To: Stacie Hensley Project Manager – TEP-TPP-4

Proposed Action: Driscoll-Naselle No. 1 Tower Relocation Project

PP&A Project No.: 2218

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine Maintenance

**Location:** Towers 15/4 and 30/2 on the Driscoll-Naselle No. 1 transmission line located in Wahkiakum County, Washington.

Proposed by: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)

**Description of the Proposed Action:** BPA is proposing to replace two steel towers that are under stress from landslides and have the potential of failure if not moved or replaced. Tower 30/2 would be moved approximately 80 feet back-on-line requiring a new footing in an area where there is an existing access road. No new road work would be required. The original tower will be cut at ground level and subsurface footing abandoned in place. Tower 15/4 would involve replacing the tower body while reusing the existing footing.

**Findings:** BPA has determined that the proposed action complies with Section 1021.410 and Appendix B of Subpart D of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011). The proposed action does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal is not connected [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(1)] to other actions with potentially significant impacts, has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(2)], and is not precluded by 40 C.F.R. 1506.1 or 10 C.F.R. 1021.211. Moreover, the proposed action would not (i) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, (ii) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities, (iii) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases, (iv) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, or (v) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity

would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements.

Based on the provisions identified on the attachment, this proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion referenced above. We therefore determine that the proposed action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review and documentation.

<u>/s/ Aaron Shurtliff</u> Aaron Shurtliff Environmental Engineer

Concur: <u>/s/ Stacy Mason</u>

Stacy Mason NEPA Compliance Officer DATE: <u>September 26, 2013</u>

Attachments: ESA Effects Determination

## **Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions**

Name of Proposed Project: Driscoll-Naselle No. 1 Tower Move Project

Work Order #: 267993, 267996

This project does <u>not</u> have the potential to cause significant impacts on the following environmentally sensitive resources. See 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B for complete descriptions of the resources. This checklist is to be used as a summary – further discussion may be included in the Categorical Exclusion Memorandum.

| <b>Environmental Resources</b>  | No Potential for<br>Significance         | No Potential, with<br>Conditions (describe) |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Historic Properties and Cultural Resources<br>A cultural survey was conducted with a determination of No<br>received Sept 20, 2013. No comments received from the trib |  | I. WASHPO concurrence                       |
| 2. T & E Species, or their habitat(s)<br>No federally listed T&E species or designated critical habit is  | <b>X</b><br>s known to be within the pro | ject area.                                  |
| 3. Floodplains or wetlands<br>The project will not occur in wetlands, floodplains, or near of   | <b>X</b> ther water bodies               |   |
| 4. Areas of special designation n/a   | X  |   |
| 5. Health & safety<br>n/a   | X  |   |
| <ol> <li>6. Prime or unique farmlands</li> <li>n/a</li> </ol>   | X  |   |
| <ol> <li>Special sources of water<br/>n/a</li> </ol>  | X  |   |
| 8. Other (describe)   | X  |   |
| List supporting documentation attached (if needed):<br>Driscoll-Naselle No. 1 Effects Determination   |  |   |

Signed: /s/ Aaron Shurtliff

Date: September 25, 2013

Aaron Shurtliff / KEPR-4