RL-721		Document ID Nu	mber:	
REV 3	NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM	DOE/CX-		
I. Project Title:				
Manining Ev	orgings and Simulations on the Hanford Site			
Training Exe	ercises and Simulations on the Hanford Site			
	ription and Location (including Time Period over which proposed action will occur /disturbed, excavation length/depth, etc.):	and Project Dime	ensions	- e.g.,
simulations learn a ski organized a recipient's Activities and emergenforce-on-fo department and radiatimaintenance and outdoor Response (H. In the intetraining expreviously will be simbased subst Occupationa exercises i weapons, or will be use non-hazardo exercises o at the Patr This catego	ty-specific categorical exclusion covers limited scope train performed at designated training facilities on the Hanford lor job to allow a person(s) to achieve an agreed standard ctivity aimed at imparting information and/or instructions performance or to help them attain a required level of knowledge in the performance of the limited to, such actions as establicy control centers; dispatching field teams; conducting saffere exercises; learning emergency vehicle operations and conducted equipment; simulating fire and spill emergencies; testing and detectors; and qualifying personnel for routine and emergenciations. Training and simulations will be conducted at training facilities located at the Hazardous Materials Marammer activities. Training and simulations will be conducted at training facilities located at the Hazardous Materials Marammer. Training Complex, Patrol Training Academy, and Hanford rests of safety and in order to protect biological and cult ercises will use simulation devices, whenever practical, and disturbed areas designated for training purposes. For examulated using water; fire smoke will be simulated using a notance; radiation will be simulated using approved methods pellow and the simulated using light beam weapor blank rounds rather than real ammunition. Signs, chalk, and to outline emergency exercise perimeters. This does not us extinguishing agents and water during Hanford Fire Depart the use of live ammunition during Hanford Patrol training of Training Academy.  rical exclusion expires one-year from the date of approval deby the DOE NEPA Compliance Officer.	d Site to tead of of proficity to improve to improve to whedge or skew shing comman reguards and part of testing and state of the state	ch or ency; he ill. d post securing fir meta ions a indoor Emerger tment es, ed in pills table (DOE l rkers use ong	an s ty e e l, e e e e c e c o f
			· ·	
III. Reviews (if	••	markark land	_	
•	N Report#: Consult ECO and Ecological staff on an individual		5	
Cultural Review		oject basis	1,-Table -	
Additional Attach	iments:			
***************************************				
IV. Existing NE	PA Documentation (see Steps 3 and 4 of Contractor Screening Process)		YES	NO
Is the proposed	action evaluated in a previous EA, EIS, or under CERCLA?			$\boxtimes$
If "NO," proceed	to Section V. If "YES," List EA, EIS, or CERCLA Document(s) Title and Number:		<u> </u>	
Not Applica	ble			
				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
And then comple Contractor Scree	ete Section VII and provide electronic copy of Initiator/ECO signed NRSF to DOE NCO for ening Process).	r information (see	Step 6 of	f
V. Sitewide Cat	tegorical Exclusion (see Step 5 of Contractor Screening Process)		YES	NO
Does the porpos exclusion?	ed action fit within the scope of actions identified in a DOE Hanford NCO-approved sitew	ide categorical		$\boxtimes$
If "NO," proceed	to Section VI.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
If "YES," list Site	wide Categorical Exclusion to be applied and complete Sitewide Categorical Exclusion C	Criteria:		
Not Applica	ble			

			Document ID No	ument ID Number:				
NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM (continued)  DOE/CX								
Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria					NO			
Does the action fail to meet the eligibility requirements for Appendix B categorical exclusion ("integral elements") of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, B(1) through B(4)?								
Is the action connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (see 40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)) or result in cumulatively significant impacts (see 40 CFR 1508.25(a)(2))?								
Are there extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?								
Does the action involve or disturb the Hanford Reach National Monument, Rattlesnake Mountain, Gable Mountain, Gable Butte or other Traditional Cultural Properties or properties of historic, archaeological or architectural significance, or occur within one-fourth mile of the Columbia River?								
Does the proposed action impact sensitive species or their habitats?								
If "NO" to all Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, complete Section VII and provide electronic copy of Initiator/EC signed NRSF to DOE NCO for information (see Step 6 of Contractor Screening Process).								
If "YES" to any of the Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, attach appropriate explanatory information and provide NRSF to DOE NCO; DOE initiates DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1 by completing Section VI and VIII, as appropriate.								
VI. Categorical Exclusion								
Does the proposed action fall within a class of actions that is listed in Appendixes A or B to Subpart D of 10 CFR Part 1021?								
List CX to be applied and o	omplete Categorical Exclusion Criteria (based o	n Eligibility Criteria of the NEF	A Determination F	rocedure	·):			
B1.2, Training Exercises and Simulations								
Categorical Exclusion Criteria								
Does the proposed action threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environmental, safety, or health, including DOE and/or Executive Orders?					$\boxtimes$			
Does the proposed action require siting, construction, or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities?					$\boxtimes$			
Does the proposed action disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases?					$\boxtimes$			
Does the proposed action adversely affect environmentally sensitive resources?								
Are there extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?					$\boxtimes$			
Is the proposal connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or result in cumulatively significant impacts (not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211)?								
If "NO" to all Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, DOE NCO completes Section VIII, provides electronic copy of signed NRS to contractor, and otherwise complies with Step 4 of the DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1.								
If "YES" to any of the Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, DOE NCO complies with Step 5 of the DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1, and initiates DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 2.								
VII. Approvals/Determina			T	Prince				
	Name (Printed)	Signature		Date				
Initiator  Cognizant Environmental	Jerry W. Cammann (NEPA-SME)	J.W. Cammas	m	9-13-	//			
Compliance Officer								
VIII. Approval/Determina	tion			,				
DOE NEPA Compliance Officer: Woody Russell								
Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession (or attached) concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1B), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class of action:								
NCO Determination - CX EA EIS								
Signature: Nordy Nussell Date: 9/19/11								