XXXX # 250

	v	Project Information	9.5
Project Title:	Geothermal Techno	ologies Program – ORMAT Generator Date:	10-22-09
DOE Code: 6730.020.61041		Contractor Code:	8067-731
Project Lead:	Lyle Johnson		
	al location? ation of the project? hipment will be used	This NEPA is for the existing ORMAT geothermal processing unit that was used for tes No 2007-083. The Original project consisted of the installation and 1 year testing of the power unit at the Tensleep battery using the hot produced water source as the heat m connected to the field electrical system for dissipation of the produced energy that is metror reliability and quality. It is planned for the unit to remain in place so that an additional years of testing can be conducted to evaluate if fouling, erosion, corrosion and any of affect the operation of the unit. No new construction or disturbance will be required. The of 12/20/2006 for CRADA no. 2007-003 is number 32 and consists of CX B5.1 excluding on this 2.5 year test, further NEPA evaluation will be conducted.	binary geothermal edium. The unit is ered and monitored al approximately 2.5 her factor that may original NEPA dated

The table below is to be completed by the Project Lead and reviewed by the Environmental Specialist and the DOE NEPA Compliance Officer. NOTE: If Change of Scope occurs, Project Lead must submit a new NEPA Compliance Survey and contact the Technical Assurance Department.

		mpac ticipa		If YES, then complete below
Water Quality	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Does the proposed project present potential for impacts on water resources or water quality?				
Does the project affect surface water quantity or quality under both normal operations and accident conditions?				Discharge is to a tank and discharged to the Tensleep biotreatment ponds
Does the proposed project affect groundwater quantity or quality under both normal operations and accident conditions?				
Will the project area include "Waters of the State?"				
Will the project area require a Corps of Engineers permit?				

Revised on: 11/12/2008

	1	Impac	If YES, then complete below.	
Geology & Soils	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Does the proposed project present potential for impacts related to geology or soils?				
Does the proposed project alter, excavate or otherwise disturb land area consistent with other land use and habitat area?				
Is the proposed project likely to impact local seismicity?				
If the project involved disturbance of surface soils, are erosion and storm water control measures addressed?				Best Management Practices will be used to prevent soil and silt erosion.
Air Quality	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Does the proposed action present potential for impacts on ambient air quality under both normal and accident conditions?				
Are potential emissions (gases and/or airborne particulates including dust) outside of the normal scope for oil field operations?		×		
Does the project present risk to human health and the environment from exposure to radiation and hazardous chemicals in emissions?				
Is the project subject to New Source Performance Standards?		⊠		
Is the project subject to National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants?				
Is the project subject to emissions limitations in an Air Quality Control Region?				

	Impa	cts	d?	If YES, then complete below.
Wildlife and Habitat	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Does the proposed action present potential for impacts on wildlife or habitat?				
Does the project impact state or federally listed threatened and endangered species?				
Human Health Effects		No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Does the proposed project present potential for effects on human health? e.g.: Hanta virus, radiological exposure, or chemical exposure (must provide MSDS)				
Transportation	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Does the proposed project involve transportation of radiological sources or hazardous materials (including explosives)?				
Waste Management and Waste Minimization	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Are pollution prevention and waste minimization practices needed in the proposed project?	⊠			Best Management Practices will be followed to prevent erosion. The area leading to and from the ORMAT will be reconditioned and seeded as required during the testing periods. Hazardous Materials will be stored in a chemical storage containment area
Does project plan establish procedures in compliance with local, state and/or federal laws and guidelines affecting the generation, transportation, treatment, storage or disposal of hazardous and other wastes?				A JSA will be performed prior to beginning of the work each day. SOPs will be reviewed for compliance to state and local regulations. Hazardous Waste will be transferred to the Haz0waste yard for processing.
Cultural Impact	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Is there potential for impact on cultural (historic) resources?		⊠		This area was reviewed by an archeologist. No impact was determined.

				Impac Antici	ets pated?		If YE	S, then	complete below.	
	Com	munity Imp	pact	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:			
	posed projec sual, or other		e significantly adve	rse 🗆	⊠					
	posed projec s use of publ									
	posed projec s access to p									
NOTE: To	opography M	ap and We	tlands Map are requ Level 2 & 3 a					SOPs fo	or Risk Assessment	
Are permits	s required? If	YES, list b	pelow:				Yes		No 🖂	
		Section be	elow to be reviewe	d by Environ	mental Spe	ecialis	t and DOE N	ico.		
Adequate M			Ade	quate Mitiga	ation M	easures Provided?				
		Yes	No			Yes			No	
Water Quality I	mpacts			Transporta	tion Impacts					
Air Quality Imp	acts			Vaste Managem	nent Impacts	\boxtimes				
"Wildlife and H	abitat Impacts			Cult	Cultural Impacts					
Geology and S				Comm	unity Impact					
Human Health	Impacts			Categorical	Exclusion	⊠				
			Ap	provals						
and Conditions:	categorical explication of present a impacts. B3.6 Siting, conventional development actions. Considerations actions are real actions actions indoor concer as builders, or but are not liming water system energy-efficie and pilot proje	construction laboratory op projects; and truction (or m dily accessible to to conserve trations of po wners, consu- mited to: prog s, installation tructusects. The act	Kes) listed in Appendix requirements or adverse and impact upon the human continuous (or modification), operations (for example, small-scale pilot project nodification) will be within the energy, demonstrate potentially harmful substantial thans, designers), organ grammed lowering of the of efficient lighting, imparing or industrial practice.	A or B of Sub- ly affect environment and environment and deco- preparation of s (generally less nor contiguous potential energy noes. These achizations (such hermostat settin provements in the set, and small- ing renovations	mmissioning chemical st sthan two ye to an alread conservation as utilities), a gs, placeme generator et scale conservation or new stru	e DOE nsitive not conn of facilir andards ars) cor y develo n, and p yolve fin ind state nt of tim fficiency yvation a ctures in	NEPA Implemesources. Add nected to other titles for indoor and sample inducted to verificate and local governments on hot way and appliance and renewable in commercial,	enting P itionally, r actions bench-s analysis) y a conc ere active y-efficien nnical ass remmen ater heat a efficien energy	583 and one or more of the procedures land would not the proposed action would swith potentially significant cale research projects and symall-scale research and ept before demonstration equilities and currently used to the covered actions include, ers, installation of solar hot locy ratings, development of research and development ial, agricultural, or industrial	
Contractor ESS&H	S. Shire	711/-	5/ JED					Date 1	10-23-09	
Comments and Conditions:	Compliance (Officer (as au ns, the other	thorized under DOE Or regulatory requirements	der 451.1A), I I	nave determi	ned tha	it the proposed	action f	posed action, as NEPA its within the specified by categorically excluded	
DOE NEPA Compliance Officer	Michil			CXS	B 3.	6+1	85./	Date 10	/26/09	



"Attendees at the RMOTC/ORMAT ribbon cutting gather by the impressive geothermal generator at RMOTC"

NEPA Compliance Survey

	Project Information		
Title:	Ormat Low Temperature Geothermal Power Generation	Date:	12/18/200
Project Lead:	Lyle Johnson		
Project Overview	The project would consist of the installation and testing of a binary geothermal produced water source. The unit would be interconnected into the field electric monitored for reliability quality.		

The table below is to be completed by the Project Lead and reviewed with the DOE NEPA Compliance Officer.

	Impa	cts Antic	cipated?	
Water Quality	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Does the proposed project present potential for impacts on water resources or water quality?		XX		
Does the project affect surface water quantity or quality under both normal operations and accident conditions?		xx		
Does the proposed project effect groundwater quantity or quality under both normal operations and accident conditions?		xx		
Will the project area include "waters of the State?"		xx		
Will the project area require a Corps of Engineers permit?		xx		

	Impad	cts Antic	ipated?		
Geology & Soils	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigatio measures:	
Does the proposed project present potential for impacts related to geology or soils?		xx			
Does the proposed project alter, excavate or otherwise disturb land area consistent with other land use and habitat area?		xx		Siting location is within a present treatment facility where the surface is already disturbed	
s the proposed project likely to impact local seismicity?		xx			
If the project involved disturbance of surface soils, are erosion and storm water control measures addressed?		xx			
Air Quality	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:	
Does the proposed action present potential for impacts on ambient air quality under both normal and accident conditions?		xx			
Are potential emissions (gases and/or airborne particulates including dust) outside of the normal scope for oil field operations?		xx			
Does the project present risk to human health and the environment from exposure to radiation and hazardous chemicals in emissions?		xx			
Is the project subject to New Source Performance Standards?		xx			
ls the project subject to National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants?		xx			

			-	
Is the project subject to emissions limitations in an Air Quality Control Region?		xx	Y	
	Impac	ts Antic	ipated?	
Wildlife and Habitat	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Does the proposed action present potential for impacts on wildlife or habitat?		xx		
Does the project impact state or federally listed threatened and endangered species?		xx		
Human Health Effects	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Does the proposed project present potential for effects on human health? e.g.: Hanta virus, radiological exposure, or chemical exposure (must provide MSDS)		xx		
Transportation	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Does the proposed project involve transportation of radiological sources or hazardous materials (including explosives)?		xx		
Waste Management and Waste Minimization	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Are pollution prevention and waste minimization practices needed in the proposed project?		xx		
Does project plan establish procedures in compliance with local, state and/or federal laws and guidelines affecting the generation, transportation, treatment, atorage or disposal of hazardous and other wastes?		xx		

				Impa	acts	Anticl	pated?	
Cultural Impact						No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Is there potential for im resources?	pact o	n cultu	ral (historic)			xx		
Community Impact				Yes	1	No	NA	if the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Will the proposed project auditory, visual, or othe			ignificantly adverse		2	xx		
Will the proposed project community's use of pub		200			3	xx		
Will the proposed projectionmunity's access to						xx		
NEPA Compliance	Deten	minatio	on (to be completed by	DOE	NCO)		
	Yes	No			Yes	No		
Water Quality Impacts		V	Transportation Impacts			V		
Air Quality Impacts		V	Waste Management Imp	acts		1		
Wildlife and Habitat Impacts		V	Cultural Impacts					
Geology and Soils Impacts		~	Community Impact			~	4	
Human Health Impacts		/	Categorical Exclusi	on	/			

	Approvals
Comments and Conditions:	This is a prilot project, at the end of the project, approximately 1.5 years, the system will be evaluated for extended use. At this time the NEPA requirements will be re evaluated. Profeshingen the priject site is a level arently by 12/20/06. The priject site is a level arently by 12/20/06 less previously historied, morning expression in the pull project at antispeted, Jen B. State inputs from the pull project at antispeted, Jen B. State inputs from the pull project at antispeted.
DOE NEPA Compliance Officer	Mahl 9 Tay = 12/20/06 Date CX B 5.1