Bonneville Power Administration

memorandum

DATE: January 18, 2011

REPLY TO

ATTN OF: KEP-4

SUBJECT: Environmental Clearance Memorandum

то: Brett Sherer

Project Manager – KEP-4

Proposed Action: Upgrade of secondary containment facilities at Hatwai Substation

Budget Information: Work Order: 00004952

Categorical Exclusion Applied (Appendix B to Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021):

B4.6: Additions or modifications to electric power transmission facilities that would not affect the environment beyond the previously developed facility area including, but not limited to: switchyard rock grounding upgrades, secondary containment projects, paving projects, seismic upgrading, tower modifications, changing insulators, and replacement of poles, circuit breakers, conductors, transformers, and crossarms.

<u>Location</u>: Secondary containment systems will be upgraded at Hatwai Substation, Nez Perce County, Idaho. PLS T36N R5W S13, Lat-Long 46 28 12.91N 116 54 32.16W

Proposed by: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)

Description of the Proposed Action:

BPA proposes to upgrade the secondary containment system at Hatwai Substation for the protection of environmental resources from stormwater runoff and protection of environmental resources. All work will be conducted within the existing facilities, within the existing fill prism of the substation.

Two 8 foot x 16 foot (interior volume) vaults will be installed within the substation, along with associated piping to connect the area of protection to the vaults. This will ensure that any potential leaks would be captured for proper management.

<u>Findings</u>: BPA has determined that the proposed action complies with Section 1021.410 and Appendix B of Subpart D of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, April 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996). The proposed action does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal is not connected [40 C.F.R 1508.25(a)(1)] to other action with potentially significant impacts, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impact [40 C.F.R. 1508(a)(2)], and is not precluded by 40 C.F.R. 1506.1 or 10 C.F.R. 1021.211.

Moreover, the proposed action would not (i) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, (ii) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities, (iii)

disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act - excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted release, or (iv) adversely affect environmentally sensitive resources. The proposal will not affect any listed threatened or endangered species, or critical habitat under the Endangered Species Act, or Essential Fish Habitat under the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, or historic properties under the National Historic Preservation Act.

All proposed actions meet the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion referenced above. We therefore determine that the proposed actions at the nine reviewed Substations may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review and documentation.

/s/ Andrew B. Chang Andrew B. Chang Biological Scientist

Concur: <u>/s/ Katherine S. Pierce</u> Date: <u>January 18, 2011</u>

Katherine S. Pierce NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment:

Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

Name of Proposed Project: 2011 Stormwat	er Improvement Project at H	atwai Substation
Work Order #: 00004952	PP&A Project No.:	N/A
Prepared by: Andrew Chang	Routing: KEP-4	Date: 12/29/10
This project has been found to \underline{not} adversely affect the following environmentally sensitive resources, laws, and regulations:		
Environmental Resources	No Adverse Effect	No Adverse Effect with conditions
Cultural Resources Work is to be restricted to the existing prism of cultural resources encountered during any portion.	•	ill cease operations if potential
2. T & E Species, or their habitat(s) All work will be conducted within the substatio or their habitat will be affected by these stormw		atened and Endangered species
3. Floodplains or wetlands	X	
4. Areas of special designation	х	
5. Health & safety	X	
6. Prime agricultural lands	X	
7. Special sources of water	x	
8. Consistency with state and local laws and re	egulations x	
9. Pollution control at Federal facilities	X	
10. Other	X	
List supporting documentation attached (if need None	led):	