DOE/CX-O	00 Z	27							
RL-721 REV 0 NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM									
I. Project Title:									
Oil Cleanup in 100-NR-2									
II. Project Description and Location (including Time Period over which proposed action will occur and Project Dime acres displaced/disturbed, excavation length/depth, etc.): The proposed action is to remove petroleum hydrocarbons (bunker oil and diesel pluadditives) from Well 199-N-18 which are a result of spills in the 1960's and later proposed action is to use a passive absorbent method including bilge skimmer assemfeaturing the Smart Sponge technology. The absorbent assemblies would be placed in well for approximately two months to provide adequate time for removal of petroleum hydrocarbons. The absorbent assemblies would be changed out on a bimonthly basis. saturated absorbent assemblies would be transported in a plastic bag to the NR-2 we services waste container; waste container contents are disposed of at the Environment Restoration and Disposal Facility. Activities would be conducted beginning early in Calendar Year 2011.	s . The blies n the m All ell								
III. Reviews (if applicable):									
Biological Review Report #: Not Applicable									
Cultural Review Report #: Not Applicable									
NPCE Determination: YES NO									
IV. Existing NEPA Documentation (see Steps 3 and 4 of Contractor Screening Process)	YES	NO							
Is the proposed action evaluated in a previous EA, EIS, or under CERCLA?		\boxtimes							
If "NO," proceed to Section V. If "YES," List EA, EIS, or CERCLA Document(s) Title and Number:									
And then complete Section VII and provide electronic copy of Initiator/ECO signed NRSF to DOE NCO for information (see Contractor Screening Process).	Step 6 of	f							
V. Sitewide Categorical Exclusion (see Step 5 of Contractor Screening Process)	YES	NO							
Does the proposed action fall within the scope of a Hanford Sitewide Categorical Exclusion?		\boxtimes							
If "YES," list Sitewide Categorical Exclusion to be applied and complete Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria:									

Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria

Are there extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?

Is the action connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (see 40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)) or result in cumulatively significant impacts (see 40 CFR 1508.25(a)(2))?

Does the proposed action impact sensitive species or their habitats?

Does the action involve or disturb the Hanford Reach National Monument, Rattlesnake Mountain, Gable Mountain, Gable Butte or other Traditional Cultural Properties or properties of historic, archaeological or architectural significance, or occur within one-fourth mile of the Columbia River?

If "NO" to all Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, complete Section VII and provide electronic copy of Initiator/ECO signed NRSF to DOE NCO for information (see Step 6 of Contractor Screening Process).

If "YES" to any of the Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, attach appropriate explanatory information and provide NRSF to DOE NCO; DOE initiates DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1 by completing Section VI and VIII, as appropriate.

RL-721 REV 0 NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM (continued)										
VI. Categorical Exclusion			omessis evocis o esti oriente de la come de la comessión de la comessión de la comessión de la comessión de la			YES	NO			
Does the proposed action fall within a class of actions that is listed in Appendixes A or B to Subpart D of 10 CFR Part 1021?										
List CX to be applied and complete Categorical Exclusion Criteria (based on Eligibility Criteria of the NEPA Determination Procedure):										
B6.1, "Small-scale,	short-term clear	nup actions"					·····			
Categorical Exclusion Criteria							NO			
Does the proposed action threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environmental, safety, or health, including DOE and/or Executive Orders?							\boxtimes			
Does the proposed action require siting, construction, or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities?							\boxtimes			
Does the proposed action disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases?							\boxtimes			
Does the proposed action adversely affect environmentally sensitive resources?							\boxtimes			
Are there extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?										
Is the proposal connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or result in cumulatively significant impacts (not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211)?							\boxtimes			
If "NO" to all Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, DOE NCO completes Section VIII, provides electronic copy of signed NRSF to contractor, and otherwise complies with Step 4 of the DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1.										
If "YES" to any of the Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, DOE NCO complies with Step 5 of the DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1, and initiates DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 2.										
VII. Approvals/Determina										
	Name (F			Signature			Date			
Initiator	Michael	Jansky	I fell	The Kings			01/14/11			
Cognizant Environmental Compliance Officer							01/14/11			
VIII. Approval/Determinat	tion									
DOE NEPA Compliance Officer: Woody Russell										
Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession (or attached) concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1B), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class of action:										
NCO Determination - CX EA EIS										
Signature:										