PMC-EF2n

(2.04.02)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY EERE PROJECT MANAGEMENT CENTER NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT:Snohomish County

STATE: WA

PROJECT TITLE:

Transportation Demand Management on 164th S/128th SW/20th SE

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number DE-FOA-0000013 Procurement Instrument Number NEPA Control Number CID Number DE-EE0000850 EE0

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

- A11 Technical advice and planning assistance to international, national, state, and local organizations.
- A9 Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, audits), data analysis (including computer modeling), document preparation (such as conceptual design or feasibility studies, analytical energy supply and demand studies), and dissemination (including, but not limited to, document mailings, publication, and distribution; and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring.
- B5.1 Actions to conserve energy, demonstrate potential energy conservation, and promote energy-efficiency that do not increase the indoor concentrations of potentially harmful substances. These actions may involve financial and technical assistance to individuals (such as builders, owners, consultants, designers), organizations (such as utilities), and state and local governments. Covered actions include, but are not limited to: programmed lowering of thermostat settings, placement of timers on hot water heaters, installation of solar hot water systems, installation of efficient lighting, improvements in generator efficiency and appliance efficiency ratings, development of energy-efficient manufacturing or industrial practices, and small-scale conservation and renewable energy research and development and pilot projects. The actions could involve building renovations or new structures in commercial, residential, agricultural, or industrial sectors. These actions do not include rulemakings, standard-settings, or proposed DOE legislation.

Rational for determination:

Snohomish County, Washington proposes to use \$250,000 of EECBG funds for the development and implementation of a multi-year transportation demand management (TDM) education and outreach program. The purpose of this program is to promote the use of transportation alternatives to driving alone during the peak commute periods by targeting residents and employers that are open to using commute alternatives.

Primary goals of TDM program are to decrease traffic congestion, increase travel speed, and provide greater mode choice on three county arterial corridors. The program will encourage the use of vanpooling, carpooling, walking, and biking during peak use periods.

Implementation of the TDM program will be consistent with Snohomish County's adopted Transportation Element of the Snohomish County Growth Management Comprehensive Plan. The TDM program proposes no on-the-ground physical disturbance of the built and natural environment.

A pilot TDM program performed in 2008 in Snohomish County found an average of 50 daily peak hour automobile trips switched from single to double occupancy vehicles and approximately 90 percent of the participants stated they intend to continue using their new transportation mode after the end of the pilot program.

Based on this information, this action is categorically excluded under CX number B 5.1, Actions to Conserve Energy, A11, Technical Advice and Planning, and A9, Information gathering, data analysis, document preparation, and dissemation.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination for this award

Insert the following language in the award:

TURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTION Compliance Officer Signature: NI OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION Indeed Office Manager review required EQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE MANAGER Reposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but unager's attention. Opposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and the control of the	PA Compliance Officer EVIEW FOR THE FOLI involves a high profile or	Date: LOWING REASON: controversial issue that warn	rants Field Office
Compliance Officer Signature: OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION In the Compliance Officer Signature: Note: N	PA Compliance Officer EVIEW FOR THE FOLI involves a high profile or	Date: LOWING REASON: controversial issue that warn	rants Field Office
OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION In the control of the	PA Compliance Officer EVIEW FOR THE FOLI involves a high profile or	Date: LOWING REASON: controversial issue that warn	rants Field Office
OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION In the control of the	PA Compliance Officer EVIEW FOR THE FOLI involves a high profile or	Date: LOWING REASON: controversial issue that warn	rants Field Office
OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION And Office Manager review required EQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE MANAGER Reposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but unager's attention. Supposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and	EVIEW FOR THE FOI involves a high profile or	LOWING REASON: controversial issue that warr	rants Field Office
OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION And Office Manager review required EQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE MANAGER Reposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but unager's attention. Supposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and	EVIEW FOR THE FOI involves a high profile or	LOWING REASON: controversial issue that warr	rants Field Office
eld Office Manager review required EQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE MANAGER R oposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but unager's attention. oposed action falls within an EA or EIS category an	involves a high profile or	controversial issue that warn	
EQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE MANAGER Reposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but mager's attention. Sposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and	involves a high profile or	controversial issue that warn	
EQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE MANAGER Reposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but mager's attention. Sposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and	involves a high profile or	controversial issue that warn	
oposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but inager's attention. oposed action falls within an EA or EIS category an	involves a high profile or	controversial issue that warn	
mager's attention. posed action falls within an EA or EIS category an			
mager's attention. posed action falls within an EA or EIS category an			
reage, investories, austra), data analyses (inclusing a decign or feasibility studies, analytical energy a	I therefore requires Field	Office Manager's review and	d determination.
ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DE			
ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DE			
ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DE			
C Add Contook Akababa	TERMINATION OF TH	IE NCO:	
fice Manager's Signature:		Date:	
	ld Office Manager	To grantfullnessoon youard or	il emperi
	fini binen jenake mas		