Bonneville Power Administration

memorandum

DATE: March 15, 2010

REPLY TO

ATTN OF: KEP-4

SUBJECT: Environmental Clearance Memorandum

то: Rick Taylor

Project Manager – TELF-TPP-3

Proposed Action: Monroe-Custer No. 1 and 2 500-kV transmission line structure 16/2 access

road improvement and bridge replacement project

Budget Information: Work Order # 00219785

PP&A Project No.: 953

Proposed by: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B1.3, Routine maintenance activities...for structures, rights-of-way, infrastructures such as roads, equipment...routine maintenance activities, corrective....are required to maintain... infrastructures...in a condition suitable for a facility to be used for its designed purpose.

Location: BPA's proposed Monroe-Custer 500-kV transmission line No. 1 and No. 2 structure 16/2 access road improvement and bridge replacement project is located in Snohomish County, Washington, in BPA's North Region, Covington District. Township, Range, and Section crossed by the proposed project is listed below:

Township	Range	Section
30N	6E	33

<u>Description of the Proposed Action:</u> BPA proposes to replace an existing dilapidated bridge across Little Pilchuck Creek, install three culverts in drainage ditches near the bridge, and repair 2,000 feet of existing on and off right-of-way (ROW) access roads along mile 16 of the Monroe-Custer No. 1 and No. 2 transmission lines. Project work includes: excavation for bridge removal and installation, grading and shaping of roads, placing and compacting road surfacing, and culvert installation.

Construction of this project is planned for summer/early fall of 2010.

Findings: BPA has determined that the proposed action complies with Section 1021.410 and Appendix B of Subpart D of the Department of Energy (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 Fed. Reg. 1512.2, April 24, 1992). The proposed action does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal is not connected [40 C.F.R. 1508.25 (a)(1)] to other actions with potentially significant impacts, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts [40 C.F.R. 1508.25 (a)(2)], and is not precluded by 40 C.F.R. 1506.1 or 10 C.F.R. 1021.211. Moreover, the proposed action would not (i) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health; (ii) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities; (iii) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; or (iv) adversely affect environmentally sensitive resources.

With the actions identified on the attachment, this proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion referenced above. We therefore determine that the proposed action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review and documentation.

/s/ Laura Roberts
Laura Roberts
Biological Scientist

CONCUR: /s/ Katherine S. Pierce DATE: March 15, 2010

Katherine S. Pierce

NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachments:
Biological Assessment
Environmental Checklist

Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

Improvement and Bridge Replacement Project.				
Work Order #: 00219785	PP&A Project No.	PP&A-953		
Prepared by: Laura Roberts	Routing: KEP-4	Date: 03/04/10		
This project has been found to \underline{not} adversely affect the following environmentally sensitive resources, laws, and regulations:				
Environmental Resources	No Adverse Effect	No Adverse Effect with conditions		
1. Cultural Resources BPA determined no effect. No response was received from SHPO to concurrence letter during 30 day waiting period.				
2. T & E Species, or their habitat(s) Informal consultation with USFWS and NMFS. Follow all conditions within the Biological Assessment, concurrence letters and USFWS section 10 Permit.				
3. Floodplains or wetlands NWP 12, pre-notification not required, project disturbance <1/10 acre, all NWP and regional guidelines will be followed.				
4. Areas of special designation	X			
5. Health & safety	X			
6. Prime agricultural lands	X			
7. Special sources of water	X			
8. Consistency with state and local laws and re				
9. Pollution control at Federal facilities	x			
10. Other	x			