Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



<u>Proposed Action</u>: Wireless Communication Equipment Upgrades at Northside Landfill and SW

Kennewick Sites

Project Manager: Jonathan Toobian—TELP-TPP-3

Location: Benton and Spokane Counties, Washington

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.19 Microwave, meteorological

and radio towers

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA proposes to allow Sprint Corporation to upgrade their antennas and equipment at two existing wireless sites on BPA transmission towers in eastern Washington. The work would consist of removing antennas and replacing those with new antennas. Remote Radio Heads (RRH's) would be added to the antenna mounts and new coaxial cable would be installed, connecting the RRH's to existing equipment located on the ground at the tower base. To ensure safety, BPA workers and their subcontractors would complete the wireless antenna and coaxial cable installation work. The project does not involve any ground excavation.

The activities would occur at the following locations:

Northside Landfill: Spokane County, WA. Section 15, Township 26 North, Range 42 East. Grand Coulee-Bell-3 Transmission Line.

SW Kennewick: Benton County, WA. Section 5, Township 8 North, Range 29 East. Franklin-Badger Canyon-2 Transmission Line.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Beth Belanger

Beth Belanger Contract Environmental Protection Specialist Motus Staffing & Recruiting

Reviewed by:

/s/ Gene Lynard

Gene Lynard Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Stacy Mason Date: March 6, 2018

Stacy L. Mason

NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment: Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Wireless Communication Equipment Upgrades at Northside Landfill and SW Kennewick Sites

Project Site Description

Antenna equipment replacements would occur on existing transmission structures located within existing transmission line rights-of-way. The structures are located in urban and rural residential areas. The sites have existing access roads to the tower bases. Both have had prior ground disturbance.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		
	<u>Explanation</u> : BPA has reviewed the proposed activit the potential to cause effects to historic or cultural		ed that this type of activity does not have
2.	Geology and Soils	V	
	Explanation: The proposed project does not involve may occur due to bucket trucks driving around the	_	. Some insignificant compaction of soils
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)		
	Explanation: The project locations have been prev plant communities. The project would have no imposition of prevent the spread of noxious weeds, the const entering a new project location.	pacts to any special-s	tatus plants.
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)		
	Explanation: No special-status species or habitats no impacts to special-status wildlife. If any active nests are found on the structures prionest is unoccupied.		
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	V	
	Explanation: The project areas do not have any wa	ater bodies, floodplair	ns, or listed fish species; therefore, there

	would be no impacts to these resources.				
6.	Wetlands	V			
	Explanation: The projects are not in or near wetlands; therefore, there would be no impact to wetlands.				
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	<u></u>			
	<u>Explanation</u> : The project does not involve any ground disturbance; therefore, there would be no impagroundwater and aquifers.				
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	V			
	Explanation: There would be no change to land use at the project locations. There are no specially designated areas at any of the locations.				
9.	Visual Quality	V			
	Explanation: The wireless antennas and equipment are consistent with the existing use of the utility corridor.				
10.	Air Quality	V			
	Explanation: A small amount of dust and vehicle emissions would occur during construction; however, there would be no significant changes to air quality during or after construction.				
11.	Noise	V			
	Explanation: Construction noise would be temporary and would occur during daylight hours. Operational noise would not change.				
12.	Human Health and Safety	V			
	Explanation: There would be no impact to human health	and safety.			
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements					
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:					
~	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, of health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Order		ment, safety, and		
	Explanation, if necessary:				
~	Require siting and construction or major expansion of w facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise of	= -	treatment		
	Explanation, if necessary:				
~	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants	, or CERCLA excluded petroleum an	d natural gas		

products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

Explanation, if necessary:

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: The project proponent is responsible for acquiring and maintaining easements for their facilities from underlying landowners.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: /s/ Beth Belanger Date: March 6, 2018

Beth Belanger—ECT-4

Contract Environmental Protection Specialist

Motus Staffing & Recruiting