Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Sprint Bethel Wireless Communication Equipment Upgrade

Project Manager: Jonathan Toobian—TELP-TPP-3

Location: Lane County, Oregon

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.19 Microwave, meteorological

and radio towers

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA proposes to allow Sprint Corporation to upgrade their antennas and equipment at an existing radio tower site, on BPA's Eugene Substation property at DC (donation claim) 43, Township 17 South, Range 4 West. The work would consist of removing three Omni whip antennas and replacing them with six panel antennas. A small (15 inch) microwave dish would be installed on the tower. Nine remote radio heads (RRHs) would be added to the antenna mounts and new coaxial cable would be installed, connecting the RRHs to existing equipment located beneath the radio tower base. Two new equipment cabinets would also be installed within the equipment compound. To ensure safety, BPA workers and subcontractors would complete the wireless antenna and coaxial cable installation work. The project would not involve any excavation.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Beth Belanger

Beth Belanger Contract Environmental Protection Specialist Motus Staffing & Recruiting

R۵	vie	MP	Ь	hv.
ıνc	VIC.	wc	u	υv.

/s/ Gene Lynard

Gene Lynard Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

<u>/s/ Stacy L. Mason</u> Stacy L. Mason NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment: Environmental Checklist

Date: *February 2, 2018*

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Sprint Bethel Wireless Communication Equipment Upgrade

Project Site Description

The antenna and equipment upgrades would occur on an existing BPA radio tower, located within a fenced compound at the Eugene Substation. The surrounding area is a mix of commercial, industrial, and residential use with a large train yard to the east of the site. The project location is graveled.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		
	Explanation: BPA Cultural staff have reviewed the poles not have the potential to cause effects to histolocation was reviewed and is not located in, or near	oric properties, per 36 C	FR 800.3 (a). In addition, the project
2.	Geology and Soils		
	<u>Explanation</u> : The proposed project does not involve geology or soils.	ve ground disturbance.	The project would have no impacts to
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)	V	
	Explanation: The project would have no impacts to	o any special-status plai	nts.
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	V	
	<u>Explanation</u> : No special-status species or habitat i impacts to special-status wildlife.	s present at the project	location. The project would have no
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	V	
	Explanation: The project area does not have any would be no impacts to these resources.	vater bodies, floodplain	s, or listed fish species; therefore, there
6.	Wetlands		
	Explanation: The project is not in a wetland.		

7.	Groundwater and Aquifers					
	<u>Explanation</u> : The project does not involve any ground disturbance; therefore, there would be no impact to groundwater and aquifers.					
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas					
	<u>Explanation</u> : There would be no change to land use at t designated areas at the location.	he project location. Additionally, th	nere are no specially			
9.	Visual Quality					
	<u>Explanation</u> : The wireless antennas and equipment wor radio tower.	uld be consistent with the existing v	isual quality of the			
10.	Air Quality	V				
	Explanation: A small amount of dust and vehicle emissions would occur during construction; however, there would be no significant changes to air quality during or after construction.					
11.	Noise					
	Explanation: Construction noise would be temporary and would occur during daylight hours. Operation noise would not change.					
12.	Human Health and Safety					
	Explanation: There would be no impact to human healt	h and safety.				
	Evaluation of Other I	ntegral Elements				
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:						
Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.						
	Explanation, if necessary:					
~	Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.					
	Explanation, if necessary:					
~	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.					
	Explanation, if necessary:					
~	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.					

Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: The project is on BPA property and surrounding landowners would not be affected: the project is approximately 50 feet from residential housing; however, the project would be of short duration and construction activities would occur during normal business hours.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Beth Belanger</u> Date: <u>February 2, 2018</u>

Beth Belanger—ECT-4

Contract Environmental Protection Specialist

Motus Staffing & Recruiting