## **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



**Proposed Action:** Big Eddy-Knight Impairment Remedy Project

**PP&A No.:** 3747

**Project Manager:** Matt Hatley

**Location:** Klickitat County, WA.

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine Maintenance

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA is proposing to remedy two impairments along the 27 mile of the Big Eddy-Knight transmission line in The Dallas District. To remedy the impairments BPA would initially stock pile top soil at each location and then excavate 18 cubic yards (cu yd) of subsoil from one site and 19 cu yd from another site. The excavated soil would then be spread evenly along low lying portions of the ROW and seeded. Proposed impairment work would utilize this type of equipment: excavators, bull-dozers, and dump trucks.

**Table 1. Impairment Remedy Location** 

Structures	Township, Range, Section	County, State	Ownership	USGS Quad Name
Big Eddy-Knig	ght			
27/2-27/3	4N, 15E, Section 12	Klickitat, WA	Private	Centerville
27/3-27/4	4N, 15E, Section 12	Klickitat, WA	Private	Centerville

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations,	BPA finds that the proposed	l action is categorically e	xcluded from
further NEPA review.			

/s/ <u>Laura Roberts</u>

Laura Roberts Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ <u>Sarah T. Biegel</u> Sarah Biegel NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s):

**Environmental Checklist** 

Date: September 8, 2017

## **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

**Proposed Action:** Big Eddy-Knight Impairment Remedy Project

## **Project Site Description**

The proposed impairment remedy would be located on private agricultural land and private rangeland in central Washington

## **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources	<b>V</b>	
	<u>Explanation</u> : The proposed project recently follows rigorous survey was conducted and no cultural resolutermined the project would have no potential to	ources were identified	in the area of the impairments. BPA has
2.	Geology and Soils		
	Explanation: A relatively small amount of soil wou ROW. Top soil would be stock piled and all areas of	•	
3.	<b>Plants</b> (including federal/state special-status species)	<b>~</b>	
	Explanation: No federal/special status plant speci	es are present within t	he project area
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	<b>V</b>	
	Explanation: No federal/special status wildlife is p	present within the proj	ect area.
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	<b>V</b>	
	Explanation: There are no federal/state special staproject area.	atus species, water boo	lies or flood plains found within the
6.	Wetlands	<b> </b>	
	<u>Explanation</u> : There are no wetlands found within	the project area.	

7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	<b>~</b>	
<u>Explanation</u> : Spill prevention measures would be utilized during construction activities. Project win any ground water withdrawals nor provide a pathway for groundwater contamination.			<del>-</del>
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	<b>~</b>	
	<u>Explanation</u> : No specially designated areas would be land and rangeland would be temporarily disturbed. project.		
9.	Visual Quality	<b>~</b>	
	Explanation: There would be no change to the visua	l quality as a result	of the proposed road maintenance.
10.	Air Quality	<b>~</b>	
	Explanation: Temporary dust and vehicle emission of	due to construction.	
11.	Noise	<b>~</b>	
	Explanation: Temporary construction noise during on not change.	laylight hours. Oper	rational noise of transmission line would
12.	Human Health and Safety	<b>~</b>	
	<u>Explanation</u> : The proposed action would allow safe a would help reduce outage times and maintain reliab	•	
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements			
	e proposed project would also meet conditions that an ject would not:	re integral elements	of the categorical exclusion. The
		ory, or permit requi	
	ject would not:  Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulate	ory, or permit requi	
	ject would not:  Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulate health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive	ory, or permit requin Orders. of waste storage, di	rements for environment, safety, and
	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulate health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive  Explanation, if necessary:  Require siting and construction or major expansion	ory, or permit requin Orders. of waste storage, di	rements for environment, safety, and isposal, recovery, or treatment
	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulate health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive  Explanation, if necessary:  Require siting and construction or major expansion facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherw	ory, or permit required or or or permit required or or certain the control of waste storage, divided is a categorically excensive categorical excensiv	rements for environment, safety, and isposal, recovery, or treatment cluded.
	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulated health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive  Explanation, if necessary:  Require siting and construction or major expansion facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherw Explanation, if necessary:  Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contamin	ory, or permit required or or or permit required or or certain the control of waste storage, divided is a categorically excensive categorical excensiv	rements for environment, safety, and isposal, recovery, or treatment cluded.

invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable

requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.
Explanation, if necessary:
Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: BPA Realty would make the necessary landowner notifications.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: /s/ <u>Laura Roberts</u>
Laura Roberts

Date: <u>September 8, 2017</u>

**Environmental Protection Specialist**