Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Water Tank Removal and Filtration System Installation at Sno-King Substation's

Control House

Project No.: PID 4049

Project Manager: Staci Pfau, NWM-1

Location: Snohomish County, Washington

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B4.11 Electric power substations

and interconnection facilities

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) is proposing to remove the abandoned water pressure tank and install a new water filtration system at the Sno-King Substation's Control House, located near Canyon Park, Washington.

The water tank was abandoned in-place several years ago when the current water tank, located adjacent, was installed. The old water pressure tank needs to be removed to make room for the installation of a new water filtration system. The new water filtration system will be connected to the water tank that is currently in-use. No external modifications to the Control House building or ground disturbance activities are proposed with this project.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Becky Hill

Becky Hill

Contract Environmental Protection Specialist

Flux Resources, LLC

Reviewed by:

/s/ Gene Lynard

Gene Lynard

Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Date: July 14, 2017

Concur:

/s/ Sarah T. Biegel

Sarah T. Biegel NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Water Tank Removal and Filtration System Installation at Sno-King Substation's Control House

Project Site Description

BPA's Sno-King Substation is located near Canyon Park, Washington. Project activities would take place within the substation's control house. No building modifications or ground disturbance activities are proposed with this project.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		
	Explanation: The BPA historian reviewed the properties would be affected as a result of the p	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2.	Geology and Soils		
	Explanation: All project activities would occur we expected.	ithin the substation's con	trol house. Ground disturbance is not
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)	V	
	Explanation: All project activities would occur w	ithin the substation's con	trol house.
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	~	
	Explanation: All project activities would occur w	ithin the substation's con	trol house.
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	V	
	Explanation: All project activities would occur w	trol house.	
6.	Wetlands		
	Explanation: All project activities would occur wi	ithin the substation's con	trol house.

7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	▽				
	Explanation: All project activities would occur within the	substation's control house.				
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas					
	Explanation: No land use changes are proposed.					
9.	Visual Quality					
	<u>Explanation</u> : All project activities would occur within the visual quality of the area is expected by project activities		ore, no change to the			
10.	Air Quality					
Explanation: No impact to air quality is expected by project activities.						
11.	Noise					
	Explanation: No impact to noise quality is expected by project activities.					
12.	Human Health and Safety					
		expected by project activities.				

Evaluation of Other Integral Elements

The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion.	The
project would not:	

Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.

Explanation, if necessary:

Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

Explanation, if necessary:

Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

Explanation, if necessary:

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: The project area is on BPA fee-owned land. No adjacent landowner coordination is necessary.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Date: July 14, 2017

Signed:/s/ Becky Hill

Becky Hill
Contract Environmental Protection Specialist
Flux Resources, LLC