Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Temporary Propane Tank Installation at Easton Communication Site

Project No.: P00834

Project Manager: Ben Younce, TEP-CSB-2

Location: Kittitas County, WA

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.19 Microwave, meteorological, and radio towers

Description of the Proposed Action: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to install two temporary 100-gallon propane tanks and replace the existing underground liquid propane gas (LPG) line within the chain link fence at the Easton communication facility. The temporary tanks would be located immediately adjacent to the unrepairable 2,000-gallon tanks located on the southeast side of the communication building. They would be used during emergency power outages until the larger tanks could be replaced. The LPG line between the propane tanks and the building is approximately 20 feet long and approximately 2 feet below grade. The area surrounding the line would need to be excavated to replace the existing LPG line.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

<u>/s/ Becky Hill</u> Becky Hill Contract Environmental Protection Specialist Flux Resources, LLC Reviewed by:

<u>/s/ Gene Lynard</u> Gene Lynard Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

<u>/s/ Sarah T. Biegel</u> Sarah T. Biegel NEPA Compliance Officer Date: July 24, 2017

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Temporary Propane Tank Installation at Easton Communication Site

Project Site Description

The project site is at the BPA Easton communication facility, located in Kittitas County, Washington. The communication site is surrounded by a chain link fence that currently contains a two-story communication building, two 1,250-gallon propane tanks, a communications tower, and an outhouse, which are all situated among gravel substrate. Vegetation management prevents plant species from establishing within the communication facility's fence.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		
	Explanation: On July 11, 2017, the BPA archaeolog potential to effect historic properties.	ist determined that the	e proposed undertaking presented no
2.	Geology and Soils		
	Explanation: Soil disturbance would only occur wi practices to avoid potential erosion and to control	-	
	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)		
	Explanation: There is no vegetation within the co species present within the project area.	mmunication facility; t	herefore, there are no special-status
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)		
	Explanation: There are no special-status species of	or designated critical ha	abitat present within the project area.
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)		
	Explanation: There are no water bodies, floodplai	ins, or fish habitat in th	e project area.
6.	Wetlands		

Explanation: There are no wetlands in the project area.

7.	Groundwater and Aquifers		
	Explanation: All proposed ground disturbance activities a the region.	re not expected to impact ground	water resources in
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas		
	Explanation: There would be no permanent changes to la	and use at this location.	
9.	Visual Quality		
	Explanation: The two temporary propane tanks would be visually consistent with the existing structures and equilibrium of the existing structures and equilibrium of the structures and structure		
10.	Air Quality		
	Explanation: There would be temporary dust and vehicle	emissions during construction act	ivities.
11.	Explanation: There would be temporary dust and vehicle Noise	emissions during construction act	ivities.
11.			
	Noise		
	Noise Explanation: There would be temporary, intermittent no	ise from construction activities dur	ing daylight hours.

Evaluation of Other Integral Elements

The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:

Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.

Explanation, if necessary:

Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

Explanation, if necessary:

Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

Explanation, if necessary:

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: BPA coordinated with the LPG provider, AmeriGas.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed:/s/ Becky Hill

Date: July 24, 2017

Becky Hill Contract Environmental Protection Specialist Flux Resources, LLC