Department of Energy

memorandum Bonneville Power Administration

DATE: November 17, 2016

REPLY TO

ATTN OF: ECT-4

SUBJECT: Categorical Exclusion Determination – Idaho Power Cable Installation at Canal Substation - LURR

20160351

TO: Joseph Cottrell II – TERR-TRI CITIES RMHQ

Attached to this memorandum is BPA's CX Determination for the Idaho Power Cable Installation. Also included is the CX checklist that supports this determination.

Please be aware that if project changes are required that involve new locations to be disturbed not analyzed as part of the CX (such as relocations, new structures, etc.), you need to immediately contact me, the EC environmental lead, at 503-230-4145 to determine if additional environmental review is required.

/s/ Elizabeth Siping
Elizabeth Siping
Environmental Protection Specialist

Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Idaho Power Cable Installation at Canal Substation

LURR No.: 20160351

Project Manager: Joseph Cottrell II – TERR-TRI CITIES RMHQ

Location: Cassia County, ID

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B4.9 Multiple use of powerline

rights-of-way

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) is proposing to allow Idaho Power Company to install a portion of an underground cable route across BPA property at BPA's Canal Substation in Cassia County, Idaho. The cables and markers for line identification would be installed in a 30-inch deep trench for a distance of 170 feet across the BPA property. The trench would be backfilled and compacted. The work would occur outside of the substation yard.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

<u>/s/ Elizabeth Siping</u>

Elizabeth Siping Contract Environmental Protection Specialist Flux Resources, LLC Reviewed by:

/s/ Gene Lynard

Gene Lynard Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

<u>/s/ Stacy L. Mason</u> Stacy L. Mason NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment: Environmental Checklist

Date: November 17, 2016

becc:

G. Lynard – ECT-4

E. Siping – ECT-4

P. Smith – EPR-4

H. Adams – LN-7

J. Cottrell II – TERR-TRI CITIES RMHQ

D. Rodgers – TERR-TRI CITIES RMHQ

Official File – EC (EQ-13)

ESiping:eas:4145:11/17/2016

https://portal.bud.bpa.gov/orgs/efw/KEC/tsrvcs/Projects/CX%20LURR%2020160351.docx

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Idaho Power Cable Installation at Canal Substation - LURR 20160351

Project Site Description

The site is located at BPA's Canal Substation. The project area where cable installation would occur is used as access to existing transmission line towers and is near the north entrance into the substation yard. The project area has been heavily disturbed by ongoing vehicle use. The project vicinity is mostly in agricultural use with some undeveloped areas and scattered residences.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources	~	
	Explanation: The proposed activities fall under co Agreement Among the Bonneville Power Administ Historic Preservation, and The State Historic Preservation, and The State Historic Preservation of the Included in Appendix to historic properties and will be included in the Explanation.	tration (U.S. Department ervation Office of Idaho R ix A have been determine	of Energy), The Advisory Council on egarding BPA Transmission System
2.	Geology and Soils	~	
	Explanation: The trench for the cable installation ROW. The soils in this location have previously be	·	and 170 feet long within the fee-owned
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)		
	Explanation: Minimal disturbance to vegetation	proposed. No special-sta	tus species are present.
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)		
	Explanation: The project area is not suitable for occur.	wildlife habitat; therefore	e, no disturbance to wildlife would
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)		
	Explanation: No water bodies, floodplains, or fis	h are present.	
6.	Wetlands	~	
	Explanation: No wetlands are present.		

7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	V		
	Explanation: No new wells or use of groundwater are pro	pposed.		
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	V		
	Explanation: No change to land use is proposed.			
9.	Visual Quality	V		
	Explanation: No change to visual quality is proposed.			
10.	Air Quality			
	Explanation: Small amount of dust and vehicle emissions	would occur during trenching.		
11.	Noise	V		
12.	Human Health and Safety	V		
	Explanation: No impact to human health and safety are a	anticipated.		
	Evaluation of Other In	tegral Elements		
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:				
V	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, chealth, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Order		ment, safety, and	
	Explanation, if necessary:			
~	Require siting and construction or major expansion of w facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise of		treatment	
	Explanation, if necessary:			
~	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, products that preexist in the environment such that there	·	•	
	Explanation, if necessary:			
V	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biologinvasive species, unless the proposed activity would be coperated to prevent unauthorized release into the environments, such as those of the Department of Agricu	ontained or confined in a manner on mentander of the conducted in accordar	designed and nce with applicable	

Explanation, if necessary:		
Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination		
Description: Not applicable.		
Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.		
Signed: <u>/s/ Elizabeth Siping</u> Elizabeth Siping – ECT-4	Date: <i>November 17, 2016</i>	