Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Puget Sound Energy Fiber Connection

LURR No: LURR 20150303

Project Manager: Kara Campbell - TERR-3

Location: Whatcom County, WA

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B4.7 Fiber optic cable

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) is proposing to allow Puget Sound Energy (PSE) to connect fiber optic cable in a BPA vault located under tower 88/4 on BPA's Monroe-Custer No. 1 transmission line. PSE would install fiber optic cable from the vault in 4" PVC buried conduit approximately 230 feet within the BPA transmission line right-of-way easement to an existing PSE utility pole. PSE would be responsible for working with the underlying landowner and other entities for approvals to install the fiber optic cable.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Elizabeth Siping

Elizabeth Siping Contract Environmental Protection Specialist Adecco Engineering & Technical

Reviewed	b١	/ :
	\sim	

/s/ Gene Lynard

Gene Lynard Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Stacy L. Mason Date: June 25, 2015

Stacy L. Mason

NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment: Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: LURR 20150303 Puget Sound Energy Fiber Installation

Project Site Description

The project site is located on BPA ROW in Whatcom County, Washington on Faris Road near BPA's Custer Substation. The BPA vault is located under tower 88/4 on BPA's Monroe-Custer No. 1 transmission line. The site has been heavily impacted due to transmission lines, road construction, railroad and heavy use. The trenching will occur in a previously disturbed area along Faris Road.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources	~	
	Explanation: The proposed action is not on BPA f	ee-owned property and	therefore not subject to 106 review.
2.	Geology and Soils	~	
	Explanation: Minimal soil disturbance proposed.		
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)	~	
	Explanation: Minimal vegetation present in the	project area.	
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	~	
	Explanation: The project area Is not suitable for v	wildlife habitat.	
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	~	
	Explanation: No water bodies, floodplains, or fis	h are present.	
6.	Wetlands	~	
	Explanation: No wetlands are present.		

7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	~			
	Explanation: No new wells or use of groundwater propos	sed.			
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas				
	Explanation: No change to land use proposed.				
9.	Visual Quality				
	Explanation: No permanent change to the project site.				
10.	Air Quality				
	Explanation: Small amount of dust and vehicle emissions anticipated during construction activities.				
11.	Noise				
	Explanation: Temporary increase in noise would occur de	uring construction.			
12.	Human Health and Safety				
	Explanation: No impact.				
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements					
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:					
Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.					
Explanation, if necessary:					
Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.					
	Explanation, if necessary:				
~	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, products that preexist in the environment such that there				
	Explanation, if necessary:				

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: Puget Sound Energy is responsible for working with and notifing landowner for the fiber optic cable installation.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: <u>/s/ Elizabeth Siping</u> Date: June 25, 2015

Elizabeth Siping – KEC-4