Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Washougal Vegetative Maintenance

LURR No: 20150160

Project Manager: Andrew Whitehead-TERR-3

Location: Clark County, WA

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B4.9 Multiple use of powerline

rights-of-way

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) is proposing to allow Jess Cline to maintain approximately 0.6 acre on BPA fee-owned right-of-way (ROW). Mr. Cline is the adjacent landowner and access to his property is via a driveway that is partially located on BPA's North Bonneville-Ross No. 1 and North Bonneville-Troutdale No. 1 transmission line rights-of-way. Mr. Cline would like to maintain the 0.6 acre area, which is currently vegetated with grass species, including mowing and planting. No ground disturbance is proposed, other than for planting.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

_____/s/_ Elizabeth Siping
Elizabeth Siping
Contract Environmental Protection Specialist
Adecco Engineering & Technical

Reviewed by:	
/s/ Gene Lynard Gene Lynard Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist	
Concur:	
/s/ Katherine S. Pierce	Date: <u>July 8, 2015</u>

Attachments: Environmental Checklist

Katherine S. Pierce NEPA Compliance Officer

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Washougal Vegetative Maintenance – LURR 20150160

Project Site Description

The 0.6 acre site is located on 380th Avenue in Washougal, Washington. The site is on BPA's North Bonneville-Ross No. 1 and North Bonneville-Troutdale No. 1 transmission line ROW. It is currently vegetated with grass species and some fruit trees; the applicant, who owns the adjacent property to the north, has recently cleared it. The project vicinity is mostly undeveloped with sparse residences.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		
	<u>Explanation</u> : The proposed activities do not have properties are known to occur on the proposed si	•	storic or cultural resources. No historic
2.	Geology and Soils		
	Explanation: No soil disturbance proposed, other	r than a minimal amount i	for vegetative planting.
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)	~	
	Explanation: No significant impacts proposed to	existing vegetation.	
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	~	
	Explanation: The project area Is not suitable for	wildlife habitat.	
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	V	
	Explanation: No water bodies, floodplains, or fis	sh are present.	

6.	Wetlands	▽	
	Explanation: No wetlands are present.		
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	V	
	Explanation: No new wells or use of groundwater propo	sed.	
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	V	
	Explanation: No change to land use proposed.		
9.	Visual Quality	V	
	Explanation: No change to the project site proposed.		
10.	Air Quality	V	
	Explanation: No impact.		
11.	Noise	V	
	Explanation: No impact.		
12.	Human Health and Safety	V	
	Explanation: No impact.		
	Evaluation of Other In	tegral Elements	
	e proposed project would also meet conditions that are intigect would not:	tegral elements of the categorical e	xclusion. The
V	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, of health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Order		ment, safety, and
	Explanation, if necessary:		
~	Require siting and construction or major expansion of w facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise of		treatment
	Explanation, if necessary:		
~	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants	, or CERCLA excluded petroleum an	d natural gas

products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

	Explanation, if necessary:
V	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health. Explanation, if necessary:
	Explanation, if flecessary.
	Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination
De	Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination escription: Not applicable.
De	
Bas	