United States Government

Department of Energy

Bonneville Power Administration

memorandum

DATE: June 09, 2014

REPLY TO ATTN OF: KEC-4

SUBJECT: Environmental Clearance Memorandum

το: Joan Kendall Project Manager – TERR-3

Proposed Action: Shed Acquisition at Kalispell Substation

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.24 Property Transfers.

Location: Flathead County, Montana

Proposed by: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)

Description of the Proposed Action: BPA proposes to take ownership of a shed owned by Flathead Electric Cooperative, Inc. (FEC) within BPA's existing Kalispell Substation in Flathead County, Montana. FEC, a network integration customer of BPA, would transfer ownership of a 12-foot by 6-foot plywood shed to BPA, which FEC currently owns and maintains. Completion of the transfer would eliminate the need for FEC to operate and maintain facilities in BPA's Kalispell Substation, and would support BPA's policy of removing customer assets from BPA substations. The transfer in ownership to BPA would not change the use of the shed. It would also not have the potential to release substances at a level or in a form that could pose a threat to public health or the environment. The proposed action would have no effect on cultural resources, historic properties, or federally-listed wildlife species, or their critical habitat located in Flathead County.

Findings: BPA has determined that the proposed action complies with Section 1021.410 and Appendix B of Subpart D of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011). The proposed action does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal is not connected [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(1)] to other actions with potentially significant impacts, has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(2)], and is not precluded by 40 C.F.R. 1506.1 or 10 C.F.R. 1021.211. Moreover, the proposed action would <u>not</u> (i) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, (ii) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities, (iii) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled

or unpermitted releases, (iv) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, or (v) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements.

This proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion referenced above. We therefore determine that the proposed action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review and documentation.

/s/ Zachary R. Gustafson Zachary R. Gustafson Environmental Project Manager

Concur:

<u>/s/ Stacy Mason</u> Stacy Mason NEPA Compliance Officer Date: June 9, 2014

Attachment: Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

Name of Proposed Project: Shed Acquisition at Kalispell Substation

Work Order #: 351915

This project does <u>not</u> have the potential to cause significant impacts on the following environmentally sensitive resources. See 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B for complete descriptions of the resources. This checklist is to be used as a summary – further discussion may be included in the Categorical Exclusion Memorandum.

Environmental Resources	No Potential for Significance	No Potential, with Conditions (describe)
1. Historic Properties and Cultural Resources	X	
2. T & E Species, or their habitat(s)	X	
3. Floodplains or wetlands	X	
4. Areas of special designation	X	
5. Health & safety	X	
6. Prime or unique farmlands	X	
7. Special sources of water	X	
8. Other (describe)		

Signed: /s/ Zachary R. Gustafson

Date: June 9, 2014