Bonneville Power Administration

memorandum

DATE: January 29, 2014

REPLY TO

ATTN OF: KEP-CELILO

SUBJECT: Environmental Clearance Memorandum

то: Mark Hadley

Lineman Foreman III – TFIF-Idaho Falls

<u>Proposed Action</u>: Wood pole replacements along the Targhee Tap and Targhee-Drummond 115-kilovolt (kV) transmission line rights-of-way

PP&A Project Number: 2873

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B1.3 Routine maintenance activities

<u>Location</u>: The project area is located in Bonneville Power Administration's (BPA) Idaho Falls District. Project locations are listed below:

| Township | Rang | e Section(s) | County | Line Name | Structures | Use | Ownership |
|----------|------|--------------|--------|----------------------|------------|-------------|-----------|
| 4N | 45E | 02 | Teton | Targhee Tap | 10/4 | Agriculture | Private |
| 6N | 44E | 16 | Teton | Targhee- Drummond | 15/10 | Agriculture | Private |

Proposed by: BPA

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA proposes to replace two deteriorating wood poles and associated structural/electrical components (e.g. cross arms, insulators, guy anchors, etc.) along the subject transmission lines. Replacement will be in-kind and will utilize the existing holes to minimize ground disturbance. If necessary, an auger will be used to remove any loose soil from the existing hole prior to new wood pole placement. Landing construction is not planned or anticipated at these locations

The proposed action will help reduce outage times and maintain reliable power in the region. All work will be in accordance with the National Electrical Safety Code and BPA standards.

Findings: BPA has determined that the proposed action complies with Section 1021.410 and Appendix B of Subpart D of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996) as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996;

61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011). The proposed action does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal is not connected [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(1)] to other actions with potentially significant impacts, has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(2)], and is not precluded by 40 C.F.R. 1506.1 or 10 C.F.R. 1021.211.

Moreover, the proposed action would <u>not</u> (i) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, (ii) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities, (iii) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases, (iv) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, or (v) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements.

With the actions identified on the attachment, this proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion referenced above. We, therefore, determine that the proposed action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review and documentation.

| /s/ Stuart Hugill, for: | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Frederick J. Walasavage | |
| Environmental Protection Specialist | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Concur /s/ Stacy Mason | Date: Ianuary 29 2014 |

Stacy Mason NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachments:

Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions Effects Determination for T&E Species

Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

| Name of Proposed Project: | Wood pole replac Drummond | ements along the Targhee | Tap and Targhee- | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Work Order #: 342968 | 3, 342967 | | | | | | | |
| This project does <u>not</u> have the potential to cause significant impacts on the following environmentally sensitive resources. See 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B for complete descriptions of the resources. This checklist is to be used as a summary – further discussion may be included in the Categorical Exclusion Memorandum. | | | | | | | | |
| Environmental Re | sources | No Potential for Significance | No Potential, with Conditions (describe) | | | | | |
| 1. Historic Properties and Cultural Resources New landing construction is not planned or anticipated at any of the locations listed in the project location attachment. Crews and equipment are to use existing access roads to and from each work site. In the event that archaeological or historic materials are discovered during project activities, work in the immediate vicinity must stop, the area will be secured and the SHPO and the environmental project lead must be notified. | | | | | | | | |
| 2. T & E Species, or their hab | pitat(s) | X | | | | | | |
| 3. Floodplains or wetlands | | X | | | | | | |
| 4. Areas of special designatio | n | X | | | | | | |
| 5. Health & safety | | X | | | | | | |
| 6. Prime or unique farmlands | | X | | | | | | |
| 7. Special sources of water | | X | | | | | | |
| 8. Other (describe) | | X | | | | | | |
| List supporting documentation | attached (if needed): | | | | | | | |
| Signed: /s/ Frederick J. Walasay | vage | Date: <i>January</i> 21, 2014 | | | | | | |

Frederick J. Walasavage, KEP/Celilo