Department of Energy

Bonneville Power Administration

memorandum

DATE: March 26, 2013

REPLY TO ATTN OF: KEC-4

SUBJECT: Environmental Clearance Memorandum

то: Joan Kendall

Project Manager – TERR-3

Proposed Action: Fairmount Substation Equipment Acquisition

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.24 Property Transfers.

Location: Jefferson County, Washington

Proposed by: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: In response to a request by Jefferson County Public Utility District No.1 (Jefferson PUD), BPA is proposing to take ownership of equipment owned by Jefferson PUD within BPA's existing Fairmount Substation in Jefferson County, WA. Jefferson PUD, a Network Integration Customer of BPA, would sell its 115-kilovolt (kV) line terminal in Bay 1, which BPA currently operates and maintains, to BPA. Completion of the sale would eliminate the need for Jefferson PUD to operate and maintain equipment in BPA's Fairmount Substation, and would support BPA's policy of removing customer assets from BPA substations.

In order to complete the sale, Jefferson PUD would sell its 115-kV line terminal to BPA, including a gas powered circuit breaker, three 1600 ampere disconnect switch sets, three 115-kV current transformers and associated digital relaying. The transfer in ownership to BPA would not change the use of the equipment and would not have the potential to release substances at a level or in a form that could pose a threat to public health or the environment. The proposed project would have no effect on cultural resources, historic properties, or Federally listed wildlife species or their critical habitat located in Jefferson County.

Findings: BPA has determined that the proposed action complies with Section 1021.410 and Appendix B of Subpart D of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011). The proposed action does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal is not connected [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(1)] to other actions with potentially significant impacts, has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(2)], and is not precluded by 40 C.F.R. 1506.1 or 10 C.F.R. 1021.211. Moreover, the proposed action would not (i) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, (ii) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or

treatment facilities, (iii) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases, (iv) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, or (v) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements.

This proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion referenced above. We therefore determine that the proposed action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review and documentation.

/s/ Zachary R. Gustafson
Zachary R. Gustafson
Environmental Project Manager

Concur:

/s/ Katherine S. Pierce

Katherine S. Pierce

NEPA Compliance Officer

Date: March 27, 2013

Attachment:

Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

Work Order #: 316817 This project does <u>not</u> have the potential to cause significant impacts on the following environmentally sensitive resources. See 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B for complete descriptions of the resources. This checklist is to be used as a summary – further discussion may be included in the Categorical Exclusion Memorandum.		
Environmental Resources	No Potential for Significance	No Potential, with Conditions (describe)
Historic Properties and Cultural Resources	X	
2. T & E Species, or their habitat(s)	X	
3. Floodplains or wetlands	X	
4. Areas of special designation	X	
5. Health & safety	X	
6. Prime or unique farmlands	X	
7. Special sources of water	X	
8. Other (describe)		