PMC-EF2a

(2.04/02)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY EERE PROJECT MANAGEMENT CENTER NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT: PPG Industries

STATE: PA

PROJECT

HIGH PERFORMANCE REFLECTOR PANELS FOR CONCENTRATING SOLAR POWER

TITLE:

ASSEMBLIES-Thermata

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number

Procurement Instrument Number NEPA Control Number CID Number

DE-PS36-07GO97028

DE-FC36-08G018033

GFO-GO18033-003 GO18033

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

B3.6 Small-scale research and development, laboratory projects

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification operations, and pilot would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

Rational for determination:

DOE is proposing to provide federal funding to PPG Industries Inc. (PPG) to conduct laboratory research and development activities on high performance reflector panels for concentrating solar power operations.

DOE made a previous NEPA determination (GFO-08-049; B3.6; 3-19-2008), which restricted PPG from conducting performance and durability field-test validation with unidentified end-users in Phase II. This determination identifies one of the selected end-users, Thermata.

This NEPA determination applies to Phase II - performance and durability field-testing. Testing would be completed by Thermata at existing facilities located at 130 West Union Street, Pasadena, California 91103. The testing would be performed on the rooftop test range.

PPG Industries would send mirrors to Thermata to test for reflector flatness and manufacturing quality. There would be 10 non-tempered and 10 tempered samples sent to Thermata, with an approximate size of 29-3/16 inches x 37-3/8 inches x 3.2 mm thick. Thermata would provide the results to PPG when testing is complete.

Thermata completed an R&D questionnaire addressing the protocols for laboratory safety, risk management, chemical handling and waste disposal. Thermata complies with standard laboratory safety procedures, including personal protective equipment. Labs are inspected by safety personnel. Thermata has all applicable permits in place to conduct research on site. No additional permits are needed for project activities. Glass cleaner and silicone based adhesives would be used and stored appropriately.

Based on review of project information and the above analysis, DOE has determined the research would not have a significant individual or cumulative impact to human health and/or environment. DOE has determined the proposed project is consistent with the actions contained in DOE categorical exclusion B3.6 "small-scale research and development," and is categorically excluded from further NEPA review under CX B3.6.

Any further projects will require a separate NEPA determination review.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination for this award

Insert the following language in the award:

Insert the following language in the award:	
You are required to: Identify any additional end-users for Phase II testing.	
Note to Specialist :	
Diana Scott 5.07.2012	
Proteinment Lectropaset Studies - NEPA Control Number - CID Number	Funding Opportunity Sunsucessamp Vendor
SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF T	HIS DECISION.
NEPA Compliance Officer Signature: NEPA Compliance Officer	Date: 517/201
FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION	
☐ Field Office Manager review required	
NCO REQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE MANAGER REVIEW FOR THE FO	LLOWING REASON:
☐ Proposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but involves a high profile of Manager's attention.	r controversial issue that warrants Field Office
Proposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and therefore requires Field	Office Manager's review and determination.
BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF T	HE NCO:
Field Office Manager's Signature:	Date:
Field Office Manager	