RL-721	Document ID Number:			
NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM	DOE/CX-00041			
I. Project Title:  Removal of the 204-AR Facility Diesel Generator and Associated Undergrank	ound 550 gallon Fuel			
II. Project Description and Location (including Time Period over which proposed action will occur acres displaced/disturbed, excavation length/depth, etc.):	and Project Dimensions - e.g.,			
Excavation, removal, and disposal of the 204-AR diesel generator, associated underground 550 gallon fuel tank, and associated, abandoned pipelines, heat tracing, and fill-line. The generator and associated fuel tank are located approximately 30 feet off the northwest corner of the 204-AR facility.				
Activities will include, but are not limited to:				
1) Potholing with the Guzzler truck to determine unknown buried lines identified on ground scan but not verified on drawings, 2) Removal of 16 bollards surrounding the generator and fuel tank area, 3) Removal of concrete pads (on top of fuel tank and for the diesel generator), 4) Removal of associated, abandoned pipelines, heat tracing, and fill-lines, 5) Excavating around the fuel tank to a depth of approximately 6 feet, and 6) Soil sampling that will be performed along the sides and underneath the fuel tank.				
If diesel fuel is found within the soil, then additional soil will be soil samples come back clean. Excavation hole with be filled with cle covered with gravel. Pipes will be removed and capped as needed [cappi such a way that it will not be considered an irreversible action]. The staging area for this work will be located next to the 204-AR facility parking lot/gravel area found on the south side of the facility. Work will be posted as needed in accordance with applicable WRPS procedures	ean soil and then ing will be done in e associated laydown/ in the adjacent and staging areas			
All removed equipment and soil will be disposed of as required by appl WRPS procedures, and both State and Federal regulations and permits. Toultural or ecological impacts (see Battelle letter 9405630 and PNL-72 ecological impacts as all work will take place in a highly disturbed in already being used to support routine operations. If project personnel potential cultural artifacts, or any biological hazards [plant or animal migratory birds], they will stop work and appropriate measures would implemented in accordance with any applicable regulations and Hanford	There will be no 264). There will be no ndustrial area, encounter any nal (animal includes be developed and			
III. Reviews (if applicable):				
Biological Review Report #: NA				
Cultural Review Report #: Battelle 9405630 and PNL-7264				
Additional Attachments:				
IV. Existing NEPA Documentation (see Steps 3 and 4 of Contractor Screening Process)	YES NO			
Is the proposed action evaluated in a previous EA, EIS, or under CERCLA?				
If "NO," proceed to Section V. If "YES," List EA, EIS, or CERCLA Document(s) Title and Number:				
And then complete Section VII and provide electronic copy of Initiator/ECO signed NRSF to DOE NCO for information (see Step 6 of Contractor Screening Process).				
V. Sitewide Categorical Exclusion (see Step 5 of Contractor Screening Process)	YES NO			

If "YES," list Sitewide Categorical Exclusion to be applied and complete Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria:

If "NO," proceed to Section VI.

Does the porposed action fit within the scope of actions identified in a DOE Hanford NCO-approved sitewide categorical exclusion?

 $\boxtimes$ 

RL-721 REV 3  NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM (continued)  DOE/CX		Document ID No	ocument ID Number:			
		-00041				
Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria		YES	NO			
Does the action fail to meet the eligibility requirements for Appendix B categorical exclusion ("integral elements") of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, B(1) through B(4)?						
Is the action connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (see 40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)) or result in cumulatively significant impacts (see 40 CFR 1508.25(a)(2))?						
Are there extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?						
Does the action involve or disturb the Hanford Reach National Monument, Rattlesnake Mountain, Gable Mountain, Gable Butte or other Traditional Cultural Properties or properties of historic, archaeological or architectural significance, or occur within one-fourth mile of the Columbia River?						
Does the proposed action impact sensitive species or their habitats?						
If "NO" to all Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, complete Section VII and provide electronic copy of Initiator/ECO signed NRSF to DOE NCO for information (see Step 6 of Contractor Screening Process).					CO	
If "YES" to any of the Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, attach appropriate explanatory information and provide NRSF to DOE NCO; DOE initiates DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1 by completing Section VI and VIII, as appropriate.						
VI. Categorical Exclusion			YES	NO		
Does the proposed action fall within a class of actions that is listed in Appendixes A or B to Subpart D of 10 CFR Part 1021?			$\boxtimes$			
List CX to be applied and co	omplete Categorical Exclusion Criteria (based o	on Eligibility Criteria of the NEP	A Determination P	rocedure	):	
B6.1 Small-scale, short-term cleanup actions under RCRA, AEA, or other authorities						
Categorical Exclusion Criteria		YES	NO			
Does the proposed action threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environmental, safety, or health, including DOE and/or Executive Orders?			$\boxtimes$			
Does the proposed action require siting, construction, or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities?			$\boxtimes$			
Does the proposed action disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases?						
Does the proposed action adversely affect environmentally sensitive resources?				$\boxtimes$		
Are there extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?			$\boxtimes$			
Is the proposal connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or result in cumulatively significant impacts (not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211)?						
If "NO" to all Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, DOE NCO completes Section VIII, provides electronic copy of signed NRSF to contractor, and otherwise complies with Step 4 of the DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1.						
If "YES" to any of the Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, DOE NCO complies with Step 5 of the DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1, and initiates DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 2.						
VII. Approvals/Determina		<u> </u>				
Initiator	Name (Printed)	Signature		Date		
Initiator	Holly Bowers	fullyBowell		<u>912[1</u>	<u>//</u>	
Cognizant Environmental Compliance Officer	Jeffry Voogd	Jaloozel		9/21/11		
VIII. Approval/Determination						
DOE NEPA Compliance Officer: Woody Russell						
Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession (or attached) concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1B), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class of action:						
NCO Determination - CX EA EIS						
Signature:						