R	L-7	21
R	ΕV	2

NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM

I. Project Title:

LIMITED FIREBREAK MAINTENANCE ON THE HANFORD SITE DURING CALENDAR YEAR 2011

II. Project Description and Location (including Time Period over which proposed action will occur and Project Dimensions - e.g., acres displaced/disturbed, excavation length/depth, etc.):

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) proposes to perform limited firebreak maintenance in selected areas of the Hanford Site during calendar year 2011 using prescribed burning, disking, and/or blading. Prescribed burning will be conducted by the Hanford Fire Department and only in previously disturbed areas. Similarly, disking and blading will be conducted by the Hanford Mission Support Contractor Road Maintenance organization and only in previously disturbed areas.

The objective of limited firebreak maintenance is to maintain safety at Hanford facilities by improving the reliability of pre-identified firebreaks and reducing the accumulation of potentially hazardous ignitable vegetative fuels in proximity to buildings, structures, right-of-ways, and infrastructures. Firebreak maintenance activities will be strictly limited to previously disturbed areas such as power line right-of-ways, railroad right-ofways, Hanford Site roadways, facility boundaries, fence lines, and highways that pass through the Hanford Site.

The use of prescribed burning, disking, and blading should not adversely impact cultural or biological resources and should only be performed in previously disturbed areas or in areas reviewed and pre-cleared by cultural and biological specialists and concurred by the DOE Native American Cultural representative. Workers will be directed to contact a cultural resource specialist if any cultural materials (bones, artifacts, etc.) are encountered to determine the significance of the discovery and appropriate mitigation actions. Similarly, a biological resource specialist will be contacted if any nesting birds are encountered (if not a nest, a pair of birds of the same species or a single bird that will not leave the area when disturbed), or bird defensive behaviors are observed (flying at workers, refusal to leave area, strident vocalizations).

III. Reviews (if applicable):	New										
Biological Review Report #:	ACTION	TO OCCUR	IN PRE	VIOUSLY	DISTURBED	& BF	R REVIE	WED AREA	S ONI	LY	
Cultural Review Report #:	ACTION	TO OCCUR	IN PRE	VIOUSLY	DISTURBED	& CF	R REVIE	WED AREA	S ON	LY	
NPCE Determination: X YES NO											
IV. Existing NEPA Documentation (see Steps 3 and 4 of Contractor Screening Process)						YES	NO				
Is the proposed action evaluated in a previous EA, EIS, or under CERCLA?					\boxtimes						
If "NO," proceed to Section V. If "YES," List EA, EIS, or CERCLA Document(s) Title and Number:											
And then complete Section VII and provide electronic copy of Initiator/ECO signed NRSF to DOE NCO for information (see Step 6 of Contractor Screening Process).											
V. Sitewide Categorical Exclusion (see Step 5 of Contractor Screening Process)				YES	NO						
Does the porposed action fit within the scope of actions identified in a DOE Hanford NCO-approved sitewide categorical exclusion?			al		\boxtimes						
Does the proposed action fall within the scope of a Hanford Sitewide Categorical Exclusion?					\boxtimes						
If "NO," proceed to Section VI.											
If "YES," list Sitewide Categorical Exclusion to be applied and complete Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria:											

RL-721		Document ID Number:					
REV 2 NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM (continued) DOE/C2							
Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria							
Does the action fail to meet the eligibility requirements for Appendix B categorical exclusion ("integral elements") of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, B(1) through B(4)?							
Is the action connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (see 40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)) or result in cumulatively significant impacts (see 40 CFR 1508.25(a)(2))?							
Are there extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?							
Does the action involve or disturb the Hanford Reach National Monument, Rattlesnake Mountain, Gable Mountain, Gable Butte or other Traditional Cultural Properties or properties of historic, archaeological or architectural significance, or occur within one-fourth mile of the Columbia River?							
Does the proposed action i	mpact sensitive species or their habitats?						
If "NO" to all Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, complete Section VII and provide electronic copy of In signed NRSF to DOE NCO for information (see Step 6 of Contractor Screening Process).							
If "YES" to any of the Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, attach appropriate explanatory information ar NRSF to DOE NCO; DOE initiates DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1 by completing Section VI and VIII, as app					e		
VI. Categorical Exclusior	l			YES	NO		
Does the proposed action fall within a class of actions that is listed in Appendixes A or B to Subpart D of 10 CFR Part 1021?							
List CX to be applied and c	omplete Categorical Exclusion Criteria (based c	on Eligibility Criteria of the NEP	A Determination F	rocedure):		
B1.3-Routine Maint	enance-preventive vegetation con	ntrol to preserve/pro	otect infrast	ructur	res		
Categorical Exclusion Criteria					NO		
Does the proposed action threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environmental, safety, or health, including DOE and/or Executive Orders?					\boxtimes		
Does the proposed action require siting, construction, or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities?					\boxtimes		
Does the proposed action disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases?					\square		
Does the proposed action adversely affect environmentally sensitive resources?					\boxtimes		
Are there extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?					\boxtimes		
Is the proposal connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or result in cumulatively significant impacts (not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211)?				\square			
If "NO" to all Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, DOE NCO completes Section VIII, provides electronic copy of signato contractor, and otherwise complies with Step 4 of the DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1.							
If "YES" to any of the Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, DOE NCO complies with Step 5 of the DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1, and initiates DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 2.							
VII. Approvals/Determina		······					
	Name (Printed)	Signature		Date) 		
	Tannu M. Commons	0					
Cognizant Environmental Compliance Officer	Jerry W. Cammann	Jerry W. Cam	mann	6127	/ 1)		
VIII. Approval/Determination							
DOE NEPA Compliance O	fficer: _ Woody Russell						
Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession (or attached) concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1B), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class of action:							
NCO Determination - CX EA EIS Signature:							
Signature: Date: Date:							