PMC-EF2a

(2.04.02)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY EERE PROJECT MANAGEMENT CENTER NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT:NREL

STATE: SD

PROJECT

TITLE:

Gilt Edge Mine Wind Resource Assessment; NREL Tracking No. 10-009

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number

Procurement Instrument Number NEPA Control Number CID Number

NREL-10-009

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

B3.1 Onsite and offsite site characterization and environmental monitoring, including siting, construction (or modification), operation, and dismantlement or closing (abandonment) of characterization and monitoring devices and siting, construction, and associated operation of a small-scale laboratory building or renovation of a room in an existing building for sample analysis. Activities covered include, but are not limited to, site characterization and environmental monitoring under CERCLA and RCRA. Specific activities include, but are not limited to:

Rational for determination:

This proposed project is a contract with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to install a meteorological (met) tower (60 meters high) at the Gilt Edge Superfund Site near Deadwood, South Dakota for 18 months. Data collected from the met tower anemometers, wind vanes and temperature and barometric sensors would be used for data collection, analyzing, and maintaining data.

This met site proposal falls within the Gilt Edge Superfund Site. The proposed site is undisturbed without contaminated soils. A subcontractor would install the met tower at 44 degrees, 20 minutes, 14.09 seconds North; 103 degrees, 40 minutes, 24.57 seconds West. The met tower system would be assembled onsite and mounted using an electric winch. No cranes are required for installation. Data from anemometers, vanes, and temperature sensors would be collected with a data collector. No excavation is required for the met tower base, the anchor systems, or the electrical utilities. The met tower would be positioned on a 4'x 4' steel base place secured by four anchor points using auger type anchors or rebar. Access to the site would be by existing roads and no ground disturbance would be required unless concrete anchor blocks are needed and they need to be set in the ground. (Then disturbance would be four 3-ft X 3-ft holes (36 sq ft) filled in with concrete.) No grading or clearing would be required. No utilities are needed to power the met tower so there will be no disturbance from excavating utility lines to the site.

Based on EPA's Cultural Resource Inventory and Assessment of the Gilt Edge Mine Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Site, the Second Programmatic Agreement Among the EPA, The Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, The South Dakota State Historic Preservation Office, South Dakota Department of Health and Environmental Sciences, Local Governments of Lawrence County, Lead, and Deadwood, and the Brohm Mining Company Regarding Implementation of the CERCLA Related Elements of the Gilt Edge Mine NPL Ecological Risk Assessment, and Final Remedial Investigation Report Gilt Edge Mine Volumes I and II dated Feb 1, 2008, no significant issues have been identified at site for this proposal. EPA indicates that no new permits are needed for the proposal; no generation of air emissions or hazardous waste. The EPA indicates that birds are not an issue associated with the proposal. However, bird diverters will be used on the guy wires as a precaution as recommended by EPA.

Based on the information above and EPA's recommendation to DOE to approve this proposal (2/5/2010), this project's impacts to the human and natural environment can be deemed less than significant and this project will qualify for Categorical Exclusion B3.1.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination for this award

Insert the following language in the award:	
Note to Specialist:	
None Given.	
SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DE	CISION.
NEPA Compliance Officer Signature: NEPA Compliance Officer	Date: 2/10/10
FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION	
☐ Field Office Manager review required	
NCO REQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE MANAGER REVIEW FOR THE FOLLOWI	NG REASON:
 □ Proposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but involves a high profile or controv Manager's attention. □ Proposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and therefore requires Field Office No. 	
BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO) :
Field Office Manager's Signature:	Date:
Field Office Manager	