USED FUEL DISPOSITION CAMPAIGN

Review of Used Nuclear Fuel Storage and Transportation **Technical Gap Analyses**

Fuel Cycle Research & Development

Prepared for

U.S. Department of Energy

Used Fuel Disposition Campaign

July 31, 2012

PNNL-21596

FCRD-USED-2012-000215



Disclaimer

This information was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the U.S. Government. Neither the U.S. Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness, of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. References herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trade mark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the U.S. Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of the authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the U.S. Government or any agency thereof.

Peer Review:

Steven C. Marschman (Idaho National Laboratory)

Ken B. Sorenson (Sandia National Laboratories) Storage and Transportation Control Account Manager

Submitted by:

Brady Hanson (Pacific Northwest National Laboratory) R&D Investigations Team Lead

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report fulfills the M2 milestone M2FT12PN0803042, "Review of Technical Data Gaps Relative to Similar External Studies," under Work Package Number FT-12PN080304.

The U.S. Department of Energy Office of Nuclear Energy (DOE-NE), Office of Fuel Cycle Technology, has established the Used Fuel Disposition Campaign (UFDC) to conduct the research and development activities related to storage, transportation, and disposal of used nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste. The mission of the UFDC is to identify alternatives and conduct scientific research and technology development to enable storage, transportation, and disposal of used nuclear fuel (UNF) and wastes generated by existing and future nuclear fuel cycles. The Storage and Transportation activities within the UFDC are being developed to address issues regarding the extended storage of UNF and its subsequent transportation. The near-term objectives of the storage and transportation task are to use a science-based, engineering-driven approach to develop the technical bases to support the continued safe and secure storage of UNF for extended periods, subsequent retrieval, and transportation.

While both wet and dry storage have been shown to be safe options for storing UNF, the focus of the program is on dry storage of commercial UNF at reactor or centralized locations. Because limited information is available on the properties of high burnup fuel (exceeding 45 gigawatt-days per metric ton of uranium [GWd/MTU]), and because much of the fuel currently discharged from today's reactors exceeds this burnup threshold, a particular emphasis of this program is on high burnup fuels.

The first step in establishing the technical bases for storage and transportation was to determine the technical data gaps that need to be addressed. The *Gap Analysis to Support Extended Storage of Used Nuclear Fuel* (UFDC 2012a, referred to as the UFDC Gap Analysis) was prepared to document the methodology for determining the data gaps and to assign an initial priority (Low, Medium, High) of importance for additional research and development to close the data gaps. The analysis considered only normal conditions of extended dry storage of commercial light water reactor (LWR) uranium dioxide fuel. An update to the UFDC Gap Analysis report is planned to include data gaps associated with transportation as well as some design-basis phenomena (e.g., design-basis seismic events) and accident conditions (e.g., cask tipover). UFDC also performed a more quantitative prioritization of the research to close the high and medium priority gaps in the *Used Nuclear Fuel Storage and Transportation Data Gap Prioritization* report (UFDC 2012b, referred to as the UFDC Gap Prioritization).

In order to verify that the UFDC identified all of the technical gaps and properly prioritized them, this report was commissioned to compare the UFDC Gap Analysis and UFDC Gap Prioritization reports to those recently published by others, including the U.S. Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB), the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI), and the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA). The documents reviewed are:

- Evaluation of the Technical Basis for Extended Dry Storage and Transportation of Used Nuclear Fuel (cited as NWTRB 2010)
- Identification and Prioritization of the Technical Information Needs Affecting Potential Regulation of Extended Storage and Transportation of Spent Nuclear Fuel, Draft for comment (cited as NRC 2012a)
- International Perspectives on Technical Data Gaps Associated with Extended Storage and Transportation of Used Nuclear Fuel, Draft (cited as EPRI 2012)
- Extended Storage Collaboration Program (ESCP) Progress Report and Review of Gap Analyses (cited as EPRI 2011)
- Long Term Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel Survey and Recommendations (cited as IAEA 2002).

The EPRI 2012 report provides the priorities of additional research of Extended Storage Collaboration Program (ESCP) committee members from six countries in addition to the United States: Germany, Hungary, Japan, South Korea, Spain, and the United Kingdom. Priorities given for the six countries are opinions of the EPRI/ESCP International Subcommittee participants and may not represent the official position of the organization or country. Each organization and country has a different focus when evaluating the research needed for closing technical gaps. These differences stem mostly from differences in the storage systems used (e.g., casks, vaults), future waste management needs and strategies, and organizational perspectives (e.g., industry, regulator). Both the NRC report (NRC 2012a) and the international report from EPRI/ESCP (EPRI 2012) are draft reports subject to change.

There are a collective total of 94 technical data gaps identified by the various reports to support extended storage and transportation of UNF. This report focuses on the gaps identified as Medium or High in any of the gap analyses and provides the UFDC's gap description, any alternate gap descriptions or different emphasis by another organization, the rankings by the various organizations, evaluation of the consistency of priority assignment and the bases for any inconsistencies, and UFDC-recommended action based on the comparison. Gaps that are ranked Low by all organizations and countries are not evaluated in this report.

Of the 94 gaps identified in the various gap analyses, there are 14 cross-cutting gaps and 80 structure, system, and component- (SSC-) specific gaps. For the cross-cutting gaps, the UFDC identifies eight and others identify six. Thirteen of the 14 cross-cutting gaps were identified as Medium or High by at least one of the gap analyses. The UFDC assigns a high priority to all the cross-cutting gaps it identified. For most of these, there is general agreement of their high priority. The six gaps identified by others are either covered by other UFDC gaps or are not applicable to UNF storage and transportation in the United States. Therefore, it is concluded that no changes to the UFDC cross-cutting gap analysis are necessary.

For the 80 SSC-specific gaps, the UFDC identifies 52 and others identify 28. The gaps identified by others either do not meet the UFDC's definition of a gap for extended storage and subsequent transportation, are grouped differently by the UFDC, or are given less than low priority by the

UFDC. For example: "Cladding - Oxide Thickness" is a property of UNF, not a degradation mechanism, "Cladding - Propagation of Existing Flaws" is covered by the UFDC under the individual degradation mechanisms, and "Canister - Irradiation Damage" is considered by the UFDC to be insignificant.

Of the 80 SSC-specific gaps, 48 were identified as Medium or High by at least one of the gap analyses. For 25 of these 48 Medium and High priority gaps, there is either consistency in evaluation and priority assignment across the gap analyses or the UFDC assigns a higher priority. Gaps with consistent high priority evaluation receiving five or more high ratings include:

Cross-cutting gaps

- Thermal Profiles
- Examine Fuel After Storage
- Monitoring

SSC-specific gaps

- Cladding Delayed Hydride Cracking
- Cladding Hydride Reorientation and Embrittlement
- Casks/Canisters Atmospheric Corrosion (especially SCC at the welds)

In some instances, the UFDC gives a higher priority for additional research and development to gaps where experts disagree on the mechanisms (e.g., delayed hydride cracking and clad oxidation). Other differences in priorities are mostly because of differences in the various countries' or organizations' storage and transportation programs and ultimate waste disposal strategies. For example, the UFDC places a higher priority on many of the cladding gaps in an effort to maintain retrievability at the fuel assembly level.

For four gaps, the evaluation in the UFDC Gap Analysis (UFDC 2012a) is significantly different from that in other gap analyses. UFDC will address these gaps as follows:

- "Basket Weld Embrittlement" will be evaluated once detailed and realistic thermal profiles have been developed.
- "Bolted Cask MIC [microbiologically influenced corrosion]" and "Welded Canister MIC" will be addressed as part of the various container aqueous and atmospheric corrosion gaps.
- "Fuel Helium and Fission Gas Release" will be considered as part of fuel and cladding gaps.
- "Concrete Thermal Degradation of Mechanical Properties, Dry-out" will be analyzed as part of existing concrete gaps.

As stated in the UFDC Gap Analysis (UFDC 2012a) and UFDC Gap Prioritization (UFDC 2012b) reports, as more data are obtained, all gaps are subject to reevaluation of priority. Continued collaboration with other organizations and countries will ensure that the UFDC is pursuing the proper course to obtain the data and analyses necessary to develop the technical bases for continued safe and secure storage.

viii

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors, Christine Stockman (Sandia National Laboratories), Halim Alsaed (Idaho National Laboratory), and Brady Hanson (Pacific Northwest National Laboratory) acknowledge and thank the many people who contributed to this report.

First, we thank our U.S. Department of Energy Office of Nuclear Energy (DOE-NE) sponsors: Siegfried Stockinger, DOE-NE-53 Technical Lead, Engineered Systems Team; Timothy Gunter, DOE-NE-53 Lead, Engineered Systems Team; Ned Larson, DOE-NE-53 Lead, Natural Systems Team; John Orchard, DOE-NE-53 Lead, Transportation; Jeff Williams, DOE-NE-53 Deputy Director, Office of Used Nuclear Fuel Disposition R&D; and William Boyle, DOE-NE-53 Director, Office of Used Nuclear Fuel Disposition R&D.

We also thank the Used Fuel Disposition Campaign management: Peter Swift (Sandia National Laboratories), National Technical Director; Mark Nutt (Argonne National Laboratory), Deputy National Technical Director; and Ken Sorenson (Sandia National Laboratories), Manager, Storage and Transportation.

We thank Steve Marschman (Idaho National Laboratory) who performed the technical peer review.

We thank Cornelia Brim, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory scientific and technical communications specialist, for editing assistance.

CONTENTS

EXI	ECUTI	VE SUN	MMARY	V
AC	KNOW	LEDGN	MENTS	ix
AC	RONY	MS		XV
1.	INT	RODUC	TION	
	1.1		se and Scope	
	1.1	•	1 I	
	1.2	Dackgi	round	
2.	GAF	P COMP	ARISON EVALUATION	9
3.	DIS	CUSSIO	N OF GAPS AND PRIORITIES	17
	3.1	Cross-	Cutting Gaps	17
		3.1.1	Ability of Assembly and Canister to Transport after Storage	
		3.1.2	Activity Transport in Canister	
		3.1.3	Burnup Credit	
		3.1.4	Dry Transfer Development	20
		3.1.5	Drying Issues	21
		3.1.6	Examine Fuel after Storage	
		3.1.7	Fuel Classification	22
		3.1.8	Fuel Modeling	22
		3.1.9	Fuel Transfer Options	23
		3.1.10	Moderator Exclusion	24
		3.1.11	Monitoring	25
		3.1.12	Stress Profiles	26
		3.1.13	Thermal Profiles	27
	3.2	Fuel		
		3.2.1	Helium and Fission Gas Release	
		3.2.2	Fission Product Attack on Cladding	
		3.2.3	Fragmentation	
		3.2.4	Oxidation	
		3.2.5	Restructuring/Swelling	
	3.3	Claddi	ng	32
	0.0	3.3.1	Annealing of Radiation Damage	
		3.3.2	Corrosion – Wet (Galvanic/Pitting)	
		3.3.3	Corrosion – Stress Corrosion Cracking	
		3.3.4	Creep – High Temperature/Low Temperature	
		3.3.5	Crud or Oxide Spallation	
		3.3.6	Delayed Hydride Cracking	
		3.3.7	Helium Pressurization	
		3.3.8	Hydride Reorientation/Embrittlement	
			٠	

	3.3.9 3 3 10	Oxidation Pellet-Cladding Interaction	
		Propagation of Existing Flaws	
3.4		bly Hardware	
	3.4.1	Bowing or Twisting	
	3.4.2	Corrosion Including Stress Corrosion Cracking	
	3.4.3	Metal Fatigue Caused by Temperature Fluctuations	
3.5		askets	
	3.5.1		
	3.5.2	Metal Fatigue Caused by Temperature Fluctuations	
0.6	3.5.3	Weld Embrittlement	
3.6		The average of the disting Demonstration of the disting Demonstration of the disting Demonstration of the distinguishing the dinted the distinguishing the distinguishing the distinguis	
07	3.6.1	Thermal and Radiation Damage	
3.7	Neutro 3.7.1	n Poisons	
	3.7.1 3.7.2	Corrosion and Blistering Creep	
	3.7.2	Embrittlement and Cracking	
	3.7.4	Metal Fatigue Caused by Temperature Fluctuations	
	3.7.5	Thermal Aging Effects	
3.8	Neutro	on Shields	53
	3.8.1	Radiation Embrittlement	
	3.8.2	Thermal Embrittlement, Cracking, Shrinkage, and Decomposition	54
3.9	Contai	ners	
	3.9.1	Bolted Cask – Corrosion of Bolts	56
	3.9.2	Bolted Cask – Corrosion of Metal Seals	
	3.9.3	Bolted Cask – Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion	
	3.9.4	Bolted Cask – Thermomechanical Degradation of Bolts	
	3.9.5 3.9.6	Bolted Cask - Thermomechanical Degradation of Seals	
	3.9.0 3.9.7	Welded Canister – Aqueous Corrosion Welded Canister – Atmospheric Corrosion	
	3.9.8	Welded Canister – Integrity under Accident Conditions	
		Welded Canister – Stress Corrosion Cracking – Code, Prevention, and	
		Mitigation	62
	3.9.10	Welded Canister – Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion	63
	3.9.11	Fuel Storage Tube – Corrosion	63
3.10	Concre	ete Structures	64
		Carbonation	
		Corrosion of Embedded Steel	
		Coupled Mechanisms	
		Freeze-Thaw	
		Marine Degradation Thermal Degradation of Mechanical Properties, Dry-out	
		Unspecified Concrete Degradation	
	5.10.7	Onspective Concrete Degradation	09

	USED FUEL DISPOSITION CAMPAIGN Review Of Used Nuclear Fuel Storage and Transportation Technical Gap Analyses								
July	y 31, 2012	xiii							
4.	CONCLUSIONS								
5	DEEEDENCES	72							

э.	KEFEKE	NCES	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••		
APPE	ENDIX A	UFDC Top F	Priority Storag	ge and Tr	ansportatior	n Gaps		77	

TABLES

Table 2.1.	Comparison of Gaps and Priorities	10
Table A-1.	UFDC Top Priority Gaps Sorted on Rank	78

ACRONYMS

AMP	aging management program
ANL	Argonne National Laboratory
BRC BWR	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future boiling water reactor
CASTOR CFR CoC CRIEPI crud	a trade name that stands for cask for storage and transport of radioactive material Code of Federal Regulations Certificate of Compliance Central Research Institute of Electric Power Industry, a research institute of the Japanese nuclear industry a colloquial term for corrosion and wear products (rust particles, etc.) that become radioactive (i.e., activated) when exposed to radiation.
DBTT	ductile-to-brittle transition temperature
DCSCP	Dry Cask Storage Characterization Project
DCSS	dry cask storage system
DHC	delayed hydride cracking
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
DOE-NE	U.S. Department of Energy Office of Nuclear Energy
EPRI	Electric Power Research Institute
ESCP	Extended Storage Collaboration Program
GWd	gigawatt-day
HBS	high burnup structure
HLW	high-level (radioactive) waste
IAEA	International Atomic Energy Agency
INL	Idaho National Laboratory
ISFSI	independent spent fuel storage installation
ISG	interim staff guidance
LWR	light water reactor
MIC	microbiologically influenced corrosion
mm	millimeter(s)
MMC	metal matrix composite
MOX	mixed oxide
MTU	metric tons (Tonnes) of uranium
MVDS	modular vault dry storage
N/A	not applicable
NRC	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

NUREG	publication prepared by staff of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NWTRB	Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board
PCI	pellet–clad interaction
PNNL	Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
PWR	pressurized water reactor
R&D	research and development
ROK	Republic of Korea (South Korea)
SCC	stress corrosion cracking
SFST	Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation (a division of the NRC)
SSC	structure, system, and component
UFDC	Used Fuel Disposition Campaign
UK	United Kingdom
UNF	used nuclear fuel
U.S.	United States (adjective)

xvi

USED FUEL DISPOSITION CAMPAIGN Review of Used Nuclear Fuel Storage and Transportation Technical Gap Analyses

1. INTRODUCTION

The U.S. Department of Energy Office of Nuclear Energy (DOE-NE), Office of Fuel Cycle Technology has established the Used Fuel Disposition Campaign (UFDC) to conduct the research and development (R&D) activities related to storage, transportation, and disposal of used nuclear fuel (UNF) and high-level radioactive waste (HLW). Within the UFDC, the storage and transportation task has been created to address issues of extended or long-term storage and transportation. The near-term objectives of the storage and transportation task are to use a science-based, engineering-driven approach to

- develop the technical bases to support the continued safe and secure storage of UNF for extended periods
- develop the technical bases for retrieval of UNF after extended storage
- develop the technical bases for transport of high burnup fuel, as well as low and high burnup fuel after dry storage.

These objectives will help formulate the technical bases to support licensing for extended storage of UNF that will facilitate a wide range of disposition options. Under current regulations, it is not sufficient for UNF to simply maintain its integrity during the storage period, it must maintain its integrity in such a way that it can withstand the physical forces of handling and transportation associated with restaging the fuel and moving it to a treatment/recycling facility or a geologic repository. While both wet and dry storage have been shown to be safe options for storing UNF, the program will focus on dry storage at the reactor site or centralized locations with storage times exceeding the current longest licensed dry storage period. Although the initial emphasis of the program will be on commercial light water reactor (LWR) uranium-oxide fuel, DOE-owned research and defense UNF and alternative and advanced fuel concepts being investigated by DOE will be addressed later in this program. Because limited information is available on the properties of high burnup fuel (exceeding 45 gigawatt-days per metric ton of uranium [GWd/MTU]), and because much of the fuel currently discharged from today's reactors exceeds this burnup threshold, a particular emphasis of this program will be focused on high burnup fuels.

The first step in establishing the technical bases for continued safe storage and transportation was to determine the technical data gaps that need to be addressed. The *Gap Analysis to Support Extended Storage of Used Nuclear Fuel* (UFDC 2012a, also known as the UFDC Gap Analysis) was prepared to document the methodology for determining the data gaps and to assign an initial priority (Low, Medium, High) of importance for additional R&D to close the data gaps. The analysis was based on normal conditions of extended storage and informed by subsequent transportation needs. An update of the UFDC Gap Analysis report is planned for fiscal year

(FY) 2012 to fully evaluate data gaps associated with transportation as well as design basis phenomena (e.g., design-basis seismic events) and accident conditions (e.g., cask tipover). UFDC performed a second, more quantitative prioritization of the research to address the High and Medium priority gaps in the draft report *Used Nuclear Fuel Storage and Transportation Data Gap Prioritization* (UFDC 2012b). This prioritization report also considered anticipated high and medium priority gaps associated with transportation and the design-basis phenomena and accident conditions during extended storage.

Other organizations including the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), the Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB), and the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) performed independent gap analyses to support extended storage and transportation and, in some instances, prioritized these gaps. Several international organizations including those in Germany, Hungary, Japan, South Korea (Republic of Korea [ROK]), Spain, and the United Kingdom (UK), also performed similar independent gap analyses to support extended storage and transportation, and prioritized these gaps as part of the EPRI Extended Storage Collaboration Project (ESCP). The International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) also published a survey and recommendations of member countries' long-term storage needs as part of one of its coordinated research projects.

Among the various analyses performed, there are differences, in some instances significant, in both the gaps identified and in their assigned priorities. These differences stem mostly from differences in the storage systems used (e.g., casks, vaults), future waste management needs and strategies, and organizational perspectives (e.g., industry, regulator). This report compares the various gap analyses to determine if changes to the UFDC Gap Analysis and prioritizations are necessary or recommended. This comparison report, the issued UFDC Gap Analysis (UFDC 2012a) and draft UFDC Gap Prioritization report (UFDC 2012b) present a comprehensive picture of UFDC's current position on the gaps in the technical basis for safe storage and transport of used nuclear fuel. It is important to emphasize that as additional data are gathered and predictive models are developed, it is possible that the priority of identified gaps will change, or new gaps may be identified.

1.1 Purpose and Scope

The purpose of this report is to compare the UFDC gap analyses and priorities to those recently published by other organizations and countries including:

- Evaluation of the Technical Basis for Extended Dry Storage and Transportation of Used Nuclear Fuel (cited as NWTRB 2010)
- Identification and Prioritization of the Technical Information Needs Affecting Potential Regulation of Extended Storage and Transportation of Spent Nuclear Fuel. Draft for comment (cited as NRC 2012a)
- Extended Storage Collaboration Program (ESCP) Progress Report and Review of Gap Analyses (cited as EPRI 2011)

2

- International Perspectives on Technical Data Gaps Associated with Extended Storage and Transportation of Used Nuclear Fuel (cited as EPRI 2012). This draft report provides the priorities of additional research of EPRI/ESCP committee members from six countries in addition to the United States: Germany, Hungary, Japan, ROK, Spain, and the United Kingdom. The priorities of committee members from these countries are considered separately in this report. It is important to note however, that these priorities represent the opinions of the EPRI/ESCP International Subcommittee participants and do not represent any official position of the participant's country.
- Long Term Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel Survey and Recommendations (cited as IAEA 2002). This report surveyed long-term storage in over 20 countries that had, or planned to have, wet and/or dry storage. These included: Belgium, Bulgaria, Canada, Czech Republic, France, Germany, Hungary, India, Italy, Japan, Lithuania, Mexico, Netherlands, People's Republic of China, ROK, Romania, Russian Federation, Slovak Republic, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom, and the United States. Discussions from these countries did not lend themselves to separate representation within this report, so they are discussed collectively.

Section 2 of this report summarizes the combined gaps and assigned priorities from the gap analyses developed by the various U.S. organizations (UFDC, NWTRB, NRC, EPRI), participants of the EPRI ESCP International subcommittee (Germany, Hungary, Japan, ROK, Spain, and the United Kingdom), and the IAEA.

If a gap is ranked Medium or higher by any organization or country, the following is presented in Section 3 for that gap:

- UFDC's gap description
- alternate gap description or different emphasis by another organization
- the rankings by the various organizations that discussed this gap
- an evaluation of the consistency of priority assignment in the various gap analyses and the bases for any inconsistencies. Because this report is intended to evaluate UFDC's gaps and their priorities against others, particular emphasis is placed on the reason for the inconsistency from UFDC's perspective. More significant elaboration is presented for those gaps where UFDC's priority is lower than others.
- UFDC-recommended action based on the comparison.

For gaps that are ranked Low by all organizations and countries that addressed the gap, no additional discussion is provided.

1.2 Background

Dry storage of commercial LWR fuel in the United States is accomplished at independent spent fuel storage installations (ISFSIs), where two types of storage systems are used: direct-loaded

bolted casks and welded canisters housed in overpacks or storage modules. Both systems are deployed outside on concrete storage pads. Both systems have baskets to hold multiple assemblies and neutron absorbers, and use helium to promote heat removal and to provide an inert environment for the fuel. Shielding is provided by the metal shell and borated polymer/resin of the bolted casks and by a reinforced concrete overpack or storage module for the welded canisters. Most welded canisters are designed for both storage and transport. Most direct-loaded bolted casks are for storage only.

In 1986, the first U.S. ISFSI was licensed at the Surry power plant site in Virginia for a period of 20 years, and the license was subsequently renewed for an additional 40 years through an exemption process. Effective May 17, 2011, the storage regulation (10 CFR 72.42(a)) was officially changed to allow an initial license period of up to 40 years and license extensions of up to 40 years. In addition to the safety functions of confinement, shielding, and subcriticality, the U.S. regulations currently include retrievability at the assembly level as important to safety, in order to support all UNF disposition options (reprocessing/recycling and/or geologic disposal).

DOE-NE has established the UFDC to conduct the R&D activities related to storage, transportation, and disposal of UNF and HLW. The near-term objectives of the storage and transportation task within the UFDC are to use a science-based, engineering-driven approach to develop the technical bases to support the continued safe and secure storage of UNF for extended periods, subsequent retrieval, and transportation. Retrievability of UNF at the assembly level is important to DOE in providing safety and flexibility in potential interim storage and final disposition scenarios, whether they be reprocessing or disposal in a geologic repository.

UFDC's current priorities for research are provided in the UFDC Gap Analysis and the Gap Prioritization Reports (UFDC 2012a and 2012b). For the UFDC Gap Analysis (UFDC 2012a), the criteria used to determine the priority for gaps specific to a structure, system, or component (SSC) are:

- Data Needs
- Regulatory Considerations
- Likelihood of Occurrence
- Consequences
- Potential for Remediation
- Cost and Operations
- Future Waste Management Strategies.

The Gap Prioritization report (UFDC 2012b) narrowed this list to

- Likelihood of Occurrence
- Consequences

• Remediation

and added Timing of Data Needs.

Table A-1 shows the results of the Gap Prioritization report (UFDC 2012b, Table 5.5) with the column "Priority" added for this report. Since the score and rank are difficult to use when comparing priorities, they have been converted to priorities of Very High, High, Medium High, and Medium. These gap priorities are combined with the Low priority gaps of the UFDC Gap Analysis report (UFDC 2012a) to obtain the UFDC priority. For the gaps that are prioritized differently in the two reports, the Gap Prioritization report was given precedence.

The NWTRB is tasked to independently evaluate DOE technical activities for managing and disposing of UNF and HLW. The NWTRB report (NWTRB 2010) provides a comprehensive discussion of the U.S. technical issues and research needs for extended dry storage and transportation. In Table 9 of NWTRB 2010, the nine highest-priority research needs are listed. In a few cases, the NWTRB indicated that filling a data gap had low priority, but in most cases, priority is not assigned to the research needs discussed.

The NRC is the U.S. regulatory agency and determines whether an applicant's license meets the regulatory requirements. In this role, the NRC also pursues technical information to inform licensing decisions. Their purpose is not to address the technical issues themselves, but to identify and understand the technical issues that may arise during the review of license applications. Engineering solutions or additional research are both viable means to ensure safety.

NRC staff used two main priority criteria in developing their draft report (NRC 2012a): level of knowledge and regulatory significance. For level of knowledge, the NRC considers the level of knowledge for the time it takes for a degradation mechanism to initiate, the propagation rate of the degradation, and the time when the degradation will result in the component losing its ability to perform its safety functions. NRC staff also considers the capability for monitoring and inspection. For regulatory significance, the NRC considers the potential impact of the degradation phenomena on six safety areas: criticality, thermal, confinement, structural, shielding and retrievability. The overall rankings are provided in Table 5-1 of NRC 2012a. Those degradation phenomena that are rated high in Table 5-1 are further prioritized in Table 6-1 into those that should be addressed first (H1) and those that should be addressed next (H2).

EPRI pursues data needed by the utilities to present their safety cases in their license applications for UNF dry storage and transportation. Since DOE, not the utilities, is responsible for final dispositioning of the UNF, data gaps associated with long-term waste management strategies (e.g., retrievability of the fuel assembly) are ranked less important for EPRI than for UFDC.

In EPRI's report (EPRI 2011), the priority criteria are:

- 1. the importance to maintaining the safety functions with a particular emphasis on confinement
- 2. the amount of existing data
- 3. the amount of ongoing research
- 4. the ability to fairly easily detect, inspect, or mitigate degradation of the safety functions.

The safety functions listed by EPRI in Table 4-1 of EPRI 2011 are confinement, subcriticality, thermal performance, radiological protection, and retrievability. Table 4-2 of EPRI 2011 provides EPRI's priorities for research to close the gaps in knowledge on the SSC-specific degradation mechanisms. EPRI did not directly discuss any cross-cutting issues.

Within the IAEA, the program on Radioactive Waste and Spent Fuel Management provides support to the Member States by establishing safety standards for the management of spent fuel and providing assistance to the Member States on the use and application of these standards. In the technical document *Long Term Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel – Survey and Recommendations* (IAEA 2002), the IAEA provides an overview of the used fuel storage programs in over 20 of its Member States. "Member States have similar regulatory objectives regarding the management of spent nuclear fuel. Those objectives are to protect public health and safety, by implementing regulations to:

- maintain subcriticality of spent fuel
- prevent the release of radioactive material
- ensure that radiation rates and doses do not exceed acceptable limits
- maintain retrievability of the spent fuel throughout the lifetime of the storage facility." (IAEA 2002, p. 11).

Retrievability is listed as a safety objective even though many of the countries reprocess their used nuclear fuel. "The key conceptual aspect of the long term storage is that it must not be regarded as a final disposal option or solution. This entails the capability to safely re-handle the spent fuel at any point in time after initial storage." (IAEA 2002, p.3) "Retrievability is strongly dependent on the conditioning route for the fuel after storage, individual licensing situation, and licensing practices in Member States, and characteristics of the fuel (e.g., type of defects). Therefore, requirements may depend on the ultimate back end solution for the fuel. Nevertheless, an aspect of retrievability is the integrity of the spent fuel including its structural components." (IAEA 2002, p.1).

In Germany, dry storage of used nuclear fuel employs bolted casks, which are stored in buildings, tunnels, or concrete canopies (IAEA 2007). Dry storage started in 1993 and current storage licenses are for 40 years, but the possibility of longer storage is being investigated.

The United States allows for storage-only licenses (up to 40 years) and requires a separate license for transportation with a 5-year renewal (recertification) requirement. Unlike the United States, some European member states including Germany, require that a transportation

license, renewed every 3 to 5 years, must remain valid throughout the storage period, even if the cask is in storage with no transport planned.

The present solution for dry storage in Hungary is based on modular vault dry storage (MVDS) facilities. The MVDS consists of robust concrete rooms with vertical tubes that hold single fuel assemblies. Each fuel assembly is stored in a steel fuel tube that is sealed and rendered inert with nitrogen gas. The first dry storage was licensed in 1997 for a 50-year period.

Japan has been storing used boiling water reactor (BWR) fuel in dual purpose (storage and transport) bolted metal casks within storage buildings since 1995. In addition, Japan has been actively pursuing the use of multipurpose welded canisters. The dry storage period in Japan is 50 years.

While the ROK started dry storage of pressurized heavy water reactor spent fuel in 1992, dry storage of LWR fuel has not yet started. The type of dry storage system for pressurized water reactor (PWR) fuel is not yet decided. The planned storage period in the ROK is 50 years.

Spain's first dry storage Certificate of Compliance (CoC) was issued in July of 1995 with a license period of 20 years and a possibility of 20-year renewal. Spain stores its used fuel in both welded canisters (HI-STORM 100) and dual-purpose bolted metal casks, but plans for a centralized repository vault system are under way.

Currently, there is no dry storage of used fuel in the United Kingdom. However, for PWR fuel, the intent is to store the fuel in a canister and cask system designed by Holtec International for up to 100 years.

2. GAP COMPARISON EVALUATION

Table 2.1 presents the priorities for R&D to close the gaps as necessary to form the technical bases for safe storage and transport of UNF for each of the organizations or countries. Included in the table are both cross-cutting gaps and SSC-specific gaps. The cross-cutting gaps are those that influence the degradation of more than one SSC or are other gaps in knowledge affecting more than one SSC. For example, thermal profiles affect the degradation rates of all the SSCs, while additional research in monitoring could provide further information on many degradation mechanisms.

In some cases, the different organizations or countries grouped the gaps differently. Thus there are general gaps as well as specific gaps. In addition, some of the gap titles were modified to be more general or more specific to facilitate comparison. For example, the UFDC gap "Examination of the fuel at the Idaho National Laboratory (INL)" has been generalized to "Examine fuel after storage". The list of SSCs is somewhat country-dependent and has been expanded to accommodate all the countries included in the EPRI ESCP report (EPRI 2012).

In Table 2.1, when a cell is blank, the organization or country did not specifically identify that gap for prioritization. This may be because the organization rated this gap very low, or because they organized their gaps differently. For example, in general the German authors did not identify specific concrete degradation mechanisms, but did identify concrete degradation as a medium priority. They also stated, "Fields are left blank in cases where a substantial expectation on necessary investigation programs and their importance is not possible by the involved parties at present." (EPRI 2012, p. 59).

In general, the priorities are indicated by an "H" for high, "M" for medium and "L" for low; but there are some exceptions. The UFDC provides greater specificity so priorities of very high and medium high are indicated by "VH", and "MH." Similarly, the NRC highest and second-highest gap priorities are indicated by "H1" and "H2." The NWTRB and IAEA gaps, which are discussed but not prioritized, are indicated by an "X." Finally, Japan presented a number of gaps that it has addressed and now considers closed. These are indicated with a "C" in Table 2.1.

The priorities presented in Table 2.1 are those reported in, or inferred from, the reviewed documents and may not represent the official position of the organization or country. In particular, priorities given for the six countries are opinions of the EPRI/ESCP International Subcommittee participants. For the sake of brevity, the analyses and priorities presented by the authors of these reports will be referred to by the author's organization or country. Finally, both the NRC report (NRC 2012a) and the international report from EPRI/ESCP (EPRI 2012) are draft reports subject to change.

		UFDC ^a	NWTRB ^b	NRC ^c	EPRI ^d	IAEA ^e	$\operatorname{Germany}^{\mathrm{f}}$	Hungary ^f	Japan ^{fg}	$\operatorname{ROK}^{\mathrm{f}}$	Spain ^f	UK^f
Cross- Cutting	Ability of Assembly and Canister to Transport after Storage											М
	Activity Transport in Canister											Н
	Burnup Credit	Η						Н				
	Dry Transfer Development		Н			Х					М	
	Drying Issues	VH	Н	H1		Х		Н	С			Μ
	Examine Fuel after Storage	Η	Н			Н		Н	Η		М	М
	Fuel Classification									Н	Н	Н
	Fuel Modeling											Н
	Fuel Transfer Options	VH						Н		М		
	Moderator Exclusion	Η										
	Monitoring	VH	Н	H2		Н		Н	Н			
	Stress Profiles	VH	Н			Х			С			
	Tests of Extreme Transportation Accidents		Х									
	Thermal Profiles	VH	Н	H1		Х		Н	С	Н	М	Н

Table 2.1. Comparison of Gaps and Priorities

Tabl	e 2.1. (contd.)											
		UFDC ^a	NWTRB ^b	NRC ^c	EPRI ^d	IAEA ^e	Germany ^f	Hungary ^f	Japan ^{f,g}	$\mathbf{ROK}^{\mathrm{f}}$	Spain ^f	$\mathbf{U}\mathbf{K}^{\mathrm{f}}$
Fuel	Helium and Fission Gas Release		Х	H1		Х						L
	Fission Product Attack on Cladding	L	Х		L		М					
	Fragmentation	L	Х	H1	L				Μ	L	М	L
	Oxidation	L	Х	L	L	Х				L	L	М
	Restructuring/Swelling	L	Х	H1		Х						

Table 2.1. (con	td.)
-----------------	------

		UFDC ^a	NWTRB ^b	NRC ^c	$\mathbf{EPRI}^{\mathrm{d}}$	IAEA ^e	$Germany^{\rm f}$	Hungary ^f	Japan ^{f,g}	$\operatorname{ROK}^{\mathrm{f}}$	$\operatorname{Spain}^{\mathrm{f}}$	UK^f
Cladding	Annealing of Radiation Damage	MH	L	М	М	Х	-	М	С	М	М	
	Corrosion - Galvanic	L		H2	L		М					
	Corrosion - Pitting	L	Х	L	L		М					
	Corrosion - SCC	L		H2	L	Х	М					
	Coupled Mechanisms		Х									
	Creep - High Temperature		Х	L	L	Х			С	М		
	Creep - Low Temperature	MH	L	H2	L	Х	М	М		М	М	L
	Crud or Oxide Spallation				L						Η	Μ
	Delayed Hydride Cracking	Н	Н	H2	М	Х		М		Н	М	Н
	Diffusion-controlled Cavity Growth				L							
	Emissivity Changes	L										
	Grid-to-rod Fretting		Х		L							
	Helium Pressurization		Х	H1	L	Х						
	Hydride Reorientation	Н	Н	L	М	Х		Н	С	Н		Η
	Hydride Embrittlement	Н	Η	L	М			Н	С	Н	Η	Η
	Metal Fatigue Caused by Temperature Fluctuations	L		L	L							
	Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion (MIC)		Х	L								
	Oxidation	М	Х	L	L	Х	М	М		М		
	Oxide Thickness								С		L	
	Pellet-Cladding Interaction		Х		L	Х			Μ	L	М	
	Phase Change	L										
	Propagation of Existing Flaws			H2		Х					L	

Table 2.1. (contd.)
--------------	---------

		UFDC ^a	NWTRB ^b	NRC ^c	EPRI ^d	IAEA ^e	Germany ^f	Hungary ^f	Japan ^{f,g}	ROK^{f}	Spain ^f	UK ^f
Assembly Hardware	Bowing or twisting									М		
	Corrosion Including SCC	MH		H2	L	Х		Μ		Н		
	Creep	L		L	L							
	Hydriding Effects	L		L	L							
	Metal Fatigue Caused by Temperature Fluctuations	L		H2	L							
Baskets	Corrosion	L		М	L		М					
	Creep	L		L	L							
	Metal Fatigue Caused by Temperature Fluctuations	L		H2	L							
	Weld Embrittlement			H2								
Moisture absorbers	Thermal and Radiation Damage						М					

 Table 2.1. (contd.)

		UFDC ^a	NWTRB ^b	NRC ^c	\mathbf{EPRI}^{d}	IAEA ^e	Germany ^f	Hungary ^f	Japan ^{f,g}	$\mathbf{ROK}^{\mathrm{f}}$	$\operatorname{Spain}^{\mathrm{f}}$	UK ^f
Neutron Poisons	Corrosion and Blistering	М	Х	М	L			М			М	
	Creep	М	Х	Н				М				
	Embrittlement and Cracking	MH		L				М				
	Metal Fatigue Caused by Temperature Fluctuations	L		М								
	Poison Burnup	L	L	L								
	Thermal Aging Effects	Н		H2				М		М	М	
Neutron Shields	Corrosion	L		L								
	Poison Burnup	L		L								
	Radiation Embrittlement	L		L	L		М					
	Thermal Embrittlement, Cracking, Shrinkage, and Decomposition	L		L	L		М					

Table 2.1.	(contd.)
-------------------	----------

		UFDC ^a	NWTRB ^b	NRC ^c	EPRI ^d	IAEA ^e	Germany ^f	Hungary ^f	Japan ^{f,g}	$\mathbf{ROK}^{\mathrm{f}}$	Spain ^f	UK ^f
Bolted Cask	Coatings Degradation					Х	L					
	Corrosion of Body and Lid			L		Х						
	Corrosion of Bolts	VH	Х	H1	Μ		М					
	Corrosion of Metal Seals	VH	Х	L	Μ	Х				Η		
	Embrittlement of Elastomer seals	L	Х	L	L		L					
	Irradiation Damage			L								
	Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion (MIC)			H2	М							
	Thermomechanical Degradation of Bolts	VH	Х	H1	М		Н			Н		
	Thermomechanical Degradation of Seals	VH	Х	L	L/M	Х	Н		Н	Н		
Welded Canister	Aqueous Corrosion	VH	Х									
	Atmospheric Corrosion	VH	Х	H1	Η				Η	Η	L	VH
	Integrity under Accident Conditions											Н
	Irradiation Damage			L								
	SCC Code, Prevention, and Mitigation								Н			
	Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion (MIC)			H2	М							
Fuel Storage Tube	Corrosion							Н				

Table 2.1. (contd.)

		UFDC ^a	NWTRB ^b	NRC ^c	EPRI ^d	IAEA ^e	Germany ^f	Hungary ^f	Japan ^{f,g}	ROK ^f	Spain ^f	$\overline{UK^{f}}$
Concrete Structures	Aggregate Growth	L	Х									
	Aggregate Reaction	L	Х	L								
	Calcium Leaching	L	Х	L								
	Carbonation		Х	L						М		
	Chemical Attack	L	Х	L	L							
	Corrosion of Embedded Steel	М	Х	M/H2	L			М		М		
	Coupled Mechanisms			M/H2								
	Creep	N/A	Х	L								
	Decomposition of Water	L	Х									
	Fatigue	L		L								
	Freeze–Thaw	М	Х	L	L	Х	L			М	L	
	Marine Degradation											М
	Radiation Damage	L	Х	L								
	Shrinkage	N/A	Х	L	L							
	Spallation				L							
	Thermal Degradation of Mechanical Properties, Dry-out	L	Х	M/H2	L	Х						
	Unspecified Concrete Degradation						М	М				

^a DOE 2012a and 2012b, ^b NWTRB 2010, ^c NRC 2012, ^d EPRI 2011, ^e IAEA 2002, ^f EPRI 2012, ^g Email message from K Shirai (CRIEPI) to Christine Stockman (Sandia National Laboratories), "Storage Gap Priorities," June 18, 2012, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

VH = Very High, H = High, H1 = NRC highest, H2 = NRC second highest, MH = - Medium High, M = Medium, L = Low, N/A = Not applicable, C = Gap addressed and closed, X = Gap discussed but not prioritized

3. DISCUSSION OF GAPS AND PRIORITIES

The priorities presented in Table 2.1 and discussed here are those reported in, or inferred from, the reviewed documents and may not represent the official position of the organization or country. In particular, priorities given for the six countries are opinions of the EPRI/ESCP International Subcommittee participants. For the sake of brevity, the analyses and priorities presented by the authors of these reports will be referred to by the author's organization or country. Finally, both the NRC report (NRC 2012a) and the international report from EPRI/ESCP (EPRI 2012) are draft reports subject to change.

3.1 Cross-Cutting Gaps

The cross-cutting gaps represent a more diverse set of issues than the gaps in knowledge about the degradation mechanisms for the SSCs. A little more than half of the cross-cutting gaps are identified by UFDC, with the remaining are added in response to highly rated issues identified by others. There has been some debate whether particular issues should be considered gaps. For example "examine fuel after storage" is not a gap in knowledge, but a means of addressing gaps. However, it is a significant task that is highly rated by many, so it is retained in the list. Because there is some subjectivity in determining what constitutes a cross-cutting gap, and because the participants were not asked to rate a full list of gaps, there are many blanks in the individual comparisons. For EPRI and Germany, they did not discuss any cross-cutting gaps, and thus blanks do not necessarily indicate a low priority.

3.1.1 Ability of Assembly and Canister to Transport after Storage

UFDC's Gap Description	assemb of the	oly and car	nister (ogram	to be tr (see Se	ansport ection 1	ifically as ed after sto .0). UFDO his gap.	orage is or	ne of th	e state	d objec	tives
Alternate Description	transpo and ca This g require	ortation af nister to v ap is simi	ter app withsta lar to into o	oroximation and nor the str	ately 10 rmal an ress pro	leed to det 0 years of d accident files gap lividual S	f storage a transport (see Secti	and the condition on 3.1.	ability tions (12) ar	y of the EPRI 20 nd closi	fuel 012). ng it
Priority	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK
Гногиу											М
Consistency of Priority	The Ur	nited King	dom is	the or	nly coun	try to give	e priority t	o this g	ap.		
UFDC Action	claddir	ng, assemb lo addition	ly har	dware,	and the	ldressing t cask/cani l and the p	ster, as we	ell as th	e "Stro	ess Prof	files"

3.1.2 Activity Transport in Canister

	The UF	DC did n	ot iden	tify th	is as a g	ap.					
UFDC's Gap Description	equivale area fro radioact (10 CFI rev 0), fuel that with 10 event that a calcu NUREC	ent or com om accide tive mate R 72.24(m provides to t "should OCFR Pan to CFR Pan to CF	mmitte ents of rial to n)). Ta fractio be us t 72" breach use co or not	ed dos r nature o the e able 5- ns of r ed in t (NRC confin conserv n-mecl	e equiv ral pherenviron 2 of NU cadioact the conf 2010). nement, vative r nanistic	equires ar alent to an nomena ev nent or d UREG-153 ive materi inement a However license ap elease fra hypothet the 10 CF	n individu vents tha irect radi 36 rev 1 (als availa nalyses to because oplicants e ictions su ical even	ual outs t result ation f (NRC 2 ble for to demote of the either do the as to to	side the in the rom the 010) (' release nstrate lack o o not p those	e contro e releas le ISFS Table 7 e from s compli f a crea erform provide	olled a of I" .1 of spent ance dible such ad in
Alternate Description	utilities required the tech them." such as determi For this of activ includes gap rele	other tha d to demo nical bas (EPRI 20 s those re ne which reason, t ity transp s "fission ease be e	n a list onstrate ses of (12). equirec calcula he Un ort/bel gas tr nhance	t of 36 e owne the sat As a 1 in 1 ations ited Kit navior anspor ed by	general ership o fety cas consequ 0 CFR 7 are nece ingdom in canis t in the other n	does not p License C f all aspec e as well hence, req 72.24(m) o essary to d is interest ter follow fuel matr hechanism lowing a fa	Conditions ets of the as demon uirements do not ex emonstrat ed in the ing fuel fa ix during us," and t	s. "In the safety of nstrating for sp xist, an te safety "need the ailure" of a fault he need	he UK case, an g comp pecific d the y. to deve (EPRI situati l to de	the util and to ju- pliance calcula utility lop a m 2012). on (i.e.	ity is stify with tions must nodel This , can
Priority	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK
											Н
Consistency of Priority	The Un	ited King	dom is	the or	nly coun	try or orga	anization	to ident	ify this	as a ga	
UFDC Action	thus Ra would l increase	The release fractions assumed in NUREG-1536 (NRC 2010) are conservative and hus R&D to provide more realistic release fractions under various conditions would be of benefit, but is considered to be of low priority. This priority could ncrease if further analyses show that such an approach is necessary to counter potential increased failure rates because of materials degradation over extended periods.									

3.1.3 Burnup Credit

UFDC's Gap Description	reactive isotope refers to Full bu evaluat minor a Althou regulat attain to concen	ity resulting s modelect to calculate urnup cred actinides. gh some ory appro "full burn tration pr	ng from l in the tions e it refer inide-out data a val for up cree ediction	m irrad e critic employ rs to a only bu are ava r a bu edit;" r ons, re	diation. ality ar ing onl combi urnup c ailable rnup cr educe activity	ticality saf The leve nalysis. Ac y actinides nation of the redit, plus and have redit argun the bias and y worth, and purnup assi	el of burn ctinide-onl s with the he uranium a number been use nent, addit nd bias ur nd cross s	up cred y burnu highest n and p r of fiss d to va tional d ncertain	it depe up cred t reacti lutoniu sion pr alidate ata are ty in t	ends on it gener vity we im isote oducts and at e neede he isote	ttain d to opic		
Alternate Description	Descrij	ption of bu	irnup c	credit i	s consis	stent in all	the gap rep	ports the	at discu	ıss it.			
Duiquita	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK		
Priority	Н						Н						
Consistency of Priority		U 1				-	-	ortant t	o dry :	storage	and		
UFDC Action	Revision need for lessene	I the gap analyses that identified burnup credit as important to dry storage and nsportation are consistent in priority assignment. O change in the UFDC priority is needed, based on this comparison. However, if vision 3 of ISG-8 (NRC 2012b) is implemented as in its current draft form, the ed for additional data to support storage and transportation licenses will be sened and the priority will be lowered. Additional R&D for burnup credit could necessary to support geologic disposal efforts.											

3.1.4 Dry Transfer Development

UFDC's Gap Description	assemb United retrieva larger transfe sugges recover facility (i.e., re	blies to or States. al of limit amounts ortation, or r options ted for: r ry of dama that coul	from There ed am of fue dispo gap (s epacka aged fi d have g or s	dry s are two ounts of a as no sal. The see Sec aging f uel (Nu e "flexi orting	torage wo cat of fuel eeded he need ction 3 uel fro UREG- ble, sa of fue	a north fac casks in a egories of to support to repacka for the fir 3.1.9). Th m "ISFSI-(1536, NRC fe, and cos fe, and cos for final C 2012).	a dry envi needs for research, ge stored st of these e second only" sites C 2010), a st-effective	ronmen or dry t and the fuel fo is cove is less is less if need nd a con e waste	t was ransfe abilit r furth red un immec ded, po nsolida handli	lost in r facili y to ha her stor der the liate bu ost-acci- nted sto- ng serv	the ties: ndle age, fuel at is dent rage vices
Alternate Description	system storage Spain 1 to ope	s for rem " (NWTR notes the r	noving B 201 need fo k and	fuel 0, p. 14 or deve transf	from of and p lopmer for the	nt of "Inspe fuel from	canisters ections, m	followi ethods a	ing ex	tended ols requ	dry uired
Duiquita	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK
Priority		Н			Х					М	
Consistency of Priority	There is no consensus on the priority of this gap among those who rate it.										
UFDC Action	"Fuel	This gap will not be added explicitly as it is already one of the options under the "Fuel Transfer Options" gap and is being considered as one of the means to address closure of gaps through an engineering-scale demonstration program.									
3.1.5 Drying Issues

UFDC's Gap Description	depend is load drying remain	ent on or ed in a po process.	acceler ol, it is While after	rated b s impore there a norm	y the part rtant to is no o al dryin	ne SSCs waresence of remove as direct evideng process ains.	water. Be much wa ence that	cause th ter as p the amo	ne cask ossible ount of	or can during water	ister g the that	
Alternate Description	All ana	All analyses discussing drying issues are consistent in their description of the gap.										
Duiquita	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK	
Priority	VH	Н	H1		Х		Н	С			М	
Consistency of Priority	priority	Except for Japan and the United Kingdom, this gap has been assigned a high priority by those that rate it. The Japanese have a different drying method than the United States, and consider this issue closed.										
UFDC Action	No cha	United States, and consider this issue closed. No change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison.										

3.1.6 Examine Fuel after Storage

UFDC's Gap Description	"examinand couburnup after it and cla system baskets	ne fuel aft intries. The fuel that has been in idding, clo (DCSS) a , neutron	er stor he pur has be in stor osing t after s poisor	rage," pose of en in c age for this ga torage, ns, can	which y f this g dry stor some p inclu includ ister/ca	mine the f was identif ap was to age, but ap period. W ides exami ling the fu sk, overpa nating perfo	fied by a obtain a sopplies as while there in the field of the field o	number econd well to is emp entire ng, ass plicable	r of or data po high l phasis dry ca sembly , and	ganizat oint on ournup on the ask sto hardv pad.	tions low fuel fuel orage vare, This		
Alternate Description		There is a universal need to examine fuel and the DCSS after a period of storage to validate models.											
Duiquita	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK		
Priority	Н	Н			Н		Н	Н		М	М		
Consistency of Priority	There is	There is relative consensus that this is a high-priority activity.											
UFDC Action	No cha	o change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison.											

3.1.7 Fuel Classification

	cannot		o dry s	storage	if it is	nitions are "damaged	-		• 1	•	
UFDC's Gap Description	"undan undama functio the app	naged," or aged base ns. These plicant to 1	r "inta ed on e funct neet a	ict" (N its ab tions an regula	RC 20 fility t re those tory re	d in the NR 007). UNI o meet al e imposed quirement ot breached	F is detern l fuel-spe on the fue for storage	nined to cific ar al rods a	o be d nd sys ind ass	lamage tem-rel emblie	d or lated s by
Alternate Description	means	The ROK, Spain, and the United Kingdom all express the need to develop the neans to better inspect fuel assemblies for classification purposes. In the United Kingdom, this is necessary because only intact fuel is to be placed in dry storage.									
	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK
Priority									Н	Н	Н
Consistency of Priority	In those countries that rate fuel classification and damage definition, it is assigned a high priority.										
UFDC Action	charact	At present, there is no evidence that the U.S. industry is not able to properly characterize and classify fuel per the definitions of ISG-1, Revision 2 (NRC 2007). Thus, this gap will not be added to the UFDC Gap Analysis.									

3.1.8 Fuel Modeling

UFDC's Gap Description	pursue conditi	d in order on of fue	to lice l as a	ense dr functio	y cask on of d	a gap, but storage. U Iry storage C 2012b, A	JNF cladd is clearly	ling mo videntif	deling	to eval	uate		
Alternate Description	technic under	The United Kingdom identified the "Need to develop fuel characterization technique i.e., determine fuel is intact," and the "need to develop fuel modeling under dry store conditions accounting for periods spent in reactor and the fuel pond" (EPRI 2012).											
Priority	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK		
гнопцу											Н		
Consistency of Priority	The United Kingdom is the only country to identify this issue as a specific gap.												
UFDC Action	The UFDC agrees that fuel modeling is an important option to closing gaps. However, this gap will not be added to the UFDC Gap Analysis.												

3.1.9 Fuel Transfer Options

UFDC's Gap Description	wetting close th to a res transfer the dry transpo propert researc preserv from th the diff	g and dryi ne claddin search lab r system (r storage c ort. Both ies, thus c h will deto re the state nose samp ferent tran	ng on g gaps oratory see Se cask an these obfusca ermine e of th les. T sfer op	claddi , would y. If c ction 3 nd load e proc ating a e if rew e cladd This an otions (ng proj d most oming 3.1.4), t ling int cesses ny data vetting ling fro alysis v (wet or	l transfer of perties. Fu likely need from dry s he fuel wo to a transpe have the a obtained and re-dryi om storage will then h dry) and a pr transfer of	lel sample to be tran torage, an uld be rev ortation ca potential from those enough to elp detern illow rese	s, need sported d in the vetted for sk, and to chan e sampl done ir obtain nine the	ed for from a absen or unlo then in nge th es. The such interpre- pros a	researc a utility ce of a bading f re-dried e clade e prope a way a retable and con	h to site dry rom l for ding osed as to data as of		
Alternate Description	about	•	ts of	transfe	1	s UFDC, w fuel betwe							
Duiquity	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK		
Priority	VH						Н		М				
Consistency of Priority	This ga	This gap is rated medium or high priority by those who rate it.											
UFDC Action	No cha	o change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison.											

3.1.10 Moderator Exclusion

UFDC's Gap Description	demonic conditi There of subcritic conditi modera poisons which i	strated for ons, mode loes not so icality du ons after ator exclus s, combin- requires fu	or nor erator e eem to ring n a perio sion alo ed wit urther t	mal c exclusion be a g ormal od of s ong with a vai technic	onditio on may eneral t condit torage. th struc lidated al R&I	baskets, ind ns of tra be a viabl technical o ions of tr The basi ctural integr full burnu D as well as ge systems.	nsport an e way to d r a regulat ansport an s will like rity of the p credit n s regulator	d hypo lemonst ory path nd hypo ely be a fuel, ba nethodo	othetica rate su n to der othetic demor skets, a logy.	al acci bcritica nonstra al acci nstratio and neu This is	dent dity. dting dent n of tron ssue,	
Alternate Description	UFDC	is the only	y orgai	nizatio	n that d	iscussed m	oderator e	exclusio	n.			
Duiquita	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK	
Priority	Н											
Consistency of Priority	UFDC	UFDC is the only organization that discussed moderator exclusion.										
UFDC Action	No cha	o change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison.										

3.1.11 Monitoring

UFDC's Gap Description	project monito usually routine inlets a the ext can pro The ga adequa compation	s, or more ring of the done by monitoriand outlets erior of the ovide data ups in more the with tibility, a posing the technolog	e gene ne con moni ng/ins for bl e cask to pro nitoring respec nd lo ne conf	rally a fineme toring pectior ockage or ove vide in g capal et to ngevity	t the u ent bou the pr active e, perio erpack. put to a pility in sensitivy. M nt barri	ied for re tilities. A ndary for essure bet ities includ dic radiation For resear- and evaluat nclude the wity, envin conitoring er, is partic power th	t the utili bolted ca ween the de daily s on surveys rch purpos ion of SSC lack of fic conmental inside the cularly cha	ties in t sks is t redund surveilla a, and vi es, mor degrad cdegrad compa ie cask allengin	the Un require ant sea ance o sual in itoring lation f y sense atibility /canist g, requ	ited Sta d. Thi als. O f overp spectio t/inspec models. ors that v, phys er with iring fi	ates, is is wher back n of ction t are sical hout	
Alternate Description		ny recomi storage op			igation	into press	sure monit	toring d	levices	that fa	uiled	
	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK	
Priority	VH	Н	H2		Н		Н	Н				
Consistency of Priority	This ac	This activity has a high priority to all those that rate it.										
UFDC Action	No cha	No change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison.										

3.1.12 Stress Profiles

UFDC's Gap Description	needed imparte normal cask tij primary residua handlin the ma transpo The st boundi safety Howev to dete safety f and thu	to deterr ed to vari cask han pover, and y stresses al stresses al, and vi terial and ortation co ructural a ng approx functions er, these a ermine ho functions. us provide	nine the ous S adling, I norm (from bratory struct ndition analyse cimatic throug analyse w muce R&D es inpu	he type SCs un cask of al trans pressu fabrica y loads ural res ns. es perf ons in gh des es do n ch degu to clos uts to,	formed order ign bas aton bas aton bas sponse	he experim tresses (ma arious con- eismic eve ion. Accur I thermal I and extern g transport of an SSC for the to demons sis storage degraded in n can occu tress profil- tputs from	agnitude, f ditions. T nts (inclue rate inputs oadings), al loading ation) are subjected license ap trate that events ar material pur and stil es gap wil , the resea	Frequence These c ding up and qu seconda gs (from importa- l to external pplication the SS and normal roperties l have l providurch to an and the second the se	cy, dur ondition to der antifica ary stron n vacu ant for ended st ons typ Cs ma hal trans s, so it the SS te this is close g	ration, ons inc sign ba ation of esses (f um dry evalua storage pically intain to nsportation is diffi- SC mee informa gaps on	etc.) lude sis), f the f the from ving, and use their tion. icult t its ttion		
Alternate Description						s on the stru s are consis	1	1			gap.		
Duiquitu	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK		
Priority	VH	Н			Х			С					
Consistency of Priority	There is inconsistency between the UFDC and Japan. Japan considers this gap closed as a result of the testing performed by the Central Research Institute of Electric Power Industry (CRIEPI) between fiscal year (FY) 2001 to FY 2008. Demonstration tests included thermal, drop impact, missile impact, and seismic tests with full-scale concrete cask and metal cask systems. ^a												
UFDC Action	No cha												

^a Shirai K. 2012. Email message from K Shirai (CRIEPI) to Christine Stockman (Sandia National Laboratories), "Storage Gap Priorities," June 18, 2012, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

3.1.13 Thermal Profiles

UFDC's Gap Description	profile data ar through guidan claddir most n those l cask st therma	histories e needed in the stora ce on tem ng (NRC in nodelers h imits. Ho orage sys	are ne for all ge per peratu 2010b) nave us wever tem (I ions a	eded to SSCs iod, an re limi). The sed co becau DCSS) ire nee	o predi from the d durin ts base prefore, nservat se som cools to cools to cools to	chanisms a ct SSC per ne time the g subseque d on the ne when mal ive ones to be degradat below a th Similarly, on rates.	rformance fuel is lo ent transpo eed to ma king appro o ensure c ion proces reshold te	. There aded in ortation. intain the oximation cladding sees only mperature	to the The I The I ne inte ons for does y occur ure, mo	empera cask, du NRC iss grity of model not exo r as the ore real	ture ried, sued the ling, ceed dry istic		
Alternate Description	All ana	All analyses discussing thermal profiles are consistent in their definition.											
	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK		
Priority	VH	Н	H1		Х		Н	С	Н	М	Н		
Consistency of Priority	there is limit p	Except for Japan, which considers the thermal profiles it currently has as adequate, there is consensus that more thermal modeling is needed. Regulations in Japan limit peak cladding temperature to only 275°C, much lower than the 400 °C peak cladding temperature limit in the United States.											
UFDC Action	No change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison.												

3.2 Fuel

Typical UO_2 fuels undergo significant changes during reactor operations. The fission process generates a myriad of fission products, many of which are soluble in the UO_2 matrix. Those elements that are not soluble in the matrix tend to either diffuse out of the grains to the grain boundaries and eventually out of the fuel pellet to the fuel–clad gap or they form separate metallic or oxide phases within the fuel. As a general rule, the quantity of fission gases, such as xenon and krypton, released from the fuel pellet increases with increasing burnup. In reality, the duty cycle, which is a combination of parameters such as the operating power level, temperature, and other factors, has a larger direct effect than burnup. Actinides such as plutonium, americium, and curium are also generated in the fuel by neutron capture reactions. The quantity of both fission products and higher actinides increases roughly linearly with burnup.

Other changes that occur with irradiation are an initial densification of the fuel pellet, followed by swelling that is primarily a result of buildup of fission products and radiation damage. The thermal conductivity, which is relatively poor for UO_2 and results in very large temperature gradients across the pellet diameter, decreases with increasing burnup, again as fission products and radiation damage increase and disrupt the UO_2 lattice. The nonuniform heating rates and large temperature differentials leads to uneven thermal expansion that first results in cracking of the fuel pellets, followed by possible deformation. The thermal expansion and swelling of the fuel pellet combined with cladding creepdown closes the fuel–clad gap so that the fuel and cladding are in contact with each other. Local stresses on the cladding, combined with chemical reactions between the fuel pellet and cladding can result in pellet–clad interaction (PCI) failures.

Another major change occurs when the local pellet burnup reaches about 40 GWd/MTU. At this burnup, the fuel undergoes a microstructure change with the formation of the high burnup structure (HBS) or pellet rim (Lassman et al. 1995). Typical LWR fuel pellets have grain sizes between 7 μ m and 14 μ m, whereas the HBS forms subgrains on the order of 0.1 μ m to 0.2 μ m and a fine network of small (~1 μ m) fission gas bubbles. The HBS is highly porous, yet it still does not release a significant portion of the fission gases, which remain trapped in the high-pressure bubbles within the fuel matrix.

Because the fuel pellet serves only an indirect role in providing or maintaining safety functions, unless the cladding is breached, its importance to licensing is low, and thus all of the UFDC gaps directly associated with fuel were given a low priority.

3.2.1 Helium and Fission Gas Release

UFDC's Gap Description		Helium and fission gas release, either during normal extended storage or during accidents, is not identified as a gap by the UFDC. NRC identifies helium release resulting from alpha decay over extended periods as												
Alternate Description	a poter given a release high be	ntial mean high pric of fissior ecause the	s of in ority bo n gas a amou	ncreasin ecause and hel ant of r	ng the knowle lium du elease	ing from al internal pro- edge of ath uring accid resulting fr vel of know	essure of ermal rele ent conditions from mechanics	the fuel ase is litions wa	rod. imited. as prio	This ga Simila ritized	ip is arly, as a			
Priority	UFDC													
1 1101119		X H1 X L L												
Consistency of Priority	degrada pressur to prof assume should	NRC considers rod pressurization as a means of promoting further clad degradation. The UFDC is examining low-temperature, low-stress (i.e., low pressure) mechanisms that suggest that additional rod pressurization is not required to promote mechanisms such as delayed hydride cracking. The release rates assumed in NUREG-1567 (NRC 2000, Section 9) are considered sufficient. It should be noted that the United Kingdom ranks this gap a low priority stating it can be modeled, but verification would be useful.												
UFDC Action	indicate then Ro gap w	e that the &D to bet	assum ter qua	ed rele antify r	ease rat elease	FDC Gap A tes result in will be wan through	n exposure rranted. It	e above should	regula be not	tory lir ed that	nits,			

3.2.2 Fission Product Attack on Cladding

UFDC's Gap Description	the cla extende	sion products are known to promote PCI and stress corrosion cracking (SCC) of cladding. Because additional fission product release is not expected under ended storage conditions and because newer cladding designs tend to reduce I failures, this is considered a low priority.									
Alternate Description	U	is gap is considered by Germany as a potential means of corrosion of the fuel dding, which is the same as the PCI and SCC mechanisms.									
Duiquity	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK
Priority	L										
Consistency of Priority	Germa	This gap is given a low priority by UFDC and EPRI, but a medium priority by Germany without additional information as to why they considered it more mportant.									
UFDC Action	No cha	to change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison.									

3.2.3 Fragmentation

UFDC's Gap Description	gradier result pressur fission	nts across of mechai rization su gases, vo	the penical f the fical function of the field of the fiel	llet dia orce, s by gen s, and	ameter. uch as leration fuel fi	operation Addition under acc of helium nes under 1567 (NRC	al fracture cident con by alpha normal a	s could ditions, decay.	occur or fro Relea	either om inte ase rate	as a ernal es of
Alternate Description	The foo	e focus of the fragmentation gap by other organizations is on impact accidents.									
Duiquita	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK
Priority	L X H1 L M L M L										L
Consistency of Priority	priority	The prioritizations assigned to the fragmentation gap vary from Low to the highest priority. But those with higher priorities are focused on the results of an impact accident.									
UFDC Action	While license claddir										

3.2.4 Oxidation

UFDC's Gap Description	to an o The ox contain	oxidizing idizing en her, excess	enviro viron s wate	nment nent is er prese	at high only p ent aft	e cladding n enough t present in t er drying, lation are w	emperatur he case of or breach	e for lo f mistak n of the	ng end ten bac e conta	ough tin kfill of ainer.	mes. the The	
Alternate Description	All ana	l analyses use the same description of the fuel oxidation gap.										
Duiquity	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK	
Priority	L	Х	L	L	Х				L	L	М	
Consistency of Priority	knowle	All organizations with the exception of the United Kingdom agree that the level of anowledge is sufficient to support a low priority. The United Kingdom is focused on post-accident oxidation when breaches are possible.										
UFDC Action	No cha	No change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison.										

3.2.5 Restructuring/Swelling

UFDC's Gap Description	PCI.	orming that are submicron in size.											
Alternate Description	cause t	he focus of the NRC gap is on helium production from alpha decay that may ause the fuel to swell and become a source for stress to cause delayed hydride racking (DHC). FDC NWTRB NRC EPRI IAEA Germany Hungary Japan ROK Spain UK											
Duiquity	UFDC	C NWTRB NRC EPRI IAEA Germany Hungary Japan ROK Spain UK											
Priority	L	X H1 X Serial RACK Serial RACK Serial RACK											
Consistency of Priority	UFDC produc	There is a significant disparity in the priorities assigned by UFDC and NRC. UFDC has examined analyses (e.g., Ferry et al. 2005) that have shown that helium production in UO_2 fuels is not an issue, even at extended times. It is, however, a potential concern for mixed oxide (MOX) fuels.											
UFDC Action	No cha	No change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison.											

3.3 Cladding

Although the NRC does not explicitly consider cladding as a confinement barrier, as evidenced by failed fuel assemblies being allowed in DCSSs as long as they are in a damaged fuel can, the state and material properties of the cladding are still important to licensing. In fact, the NRC regulations require (10 CFR 72.122(h)) that "spent fuel cladding must be protected during storage against degradation that leads to gross ruptures or the fuel must be otherwise confined such that degradation of the fuel during storage will not pose operational safety problems with respect to its removal from storage." Gross ruptures or breaches are defined in NUREG-1536 (NRC 2010) as any cladding breach greater than 1 mm.

For the purposes of the UFDC program, retrievability and operational safety concerns also apply to the fuel after transportation so that the fuel can be transloaded into waste packages for disposal or handled in a reprocessing facility. While the industry is interested in redefining retrievability at the canister (and not fuel assembly) level, the NRC regulations and the uncertainty in the final disposition of UNF dictates that protecting cladding against degradation is of high importance. The UFDC continues to pursue alternatives to individual fuel assembly retrievability (e.g., canning individual or small numbers of assemblies). Such alternatives may facilitate the demonstration of subcriticality in the case of cladding damage and fuel relocation. However, until regulations change and it can be demonstrated that for future waste management needs it is no longer necessary, fuel assembly retrievability remains a key feature for the UFDC.

The mechanical properties of cladding are very interrelated with numerous factors (e.g., radiation damage and annealing, hydride content and orientation, amount of creep and ductility, and oxide layer thickness) affecting cladding performance. There are limited publicly available data on properties of high burnup cladding and the associated newer cladding alloys. Until such data are obtained, it will not be clear whether the listed factors are a concern.

3.3.1 Annealing of Radiation Damage

UFDC's Gap Description	strengt the har mechar indicat temper	h and duct dness and nical shocl ed that at atures exp ally affec	ility o l incre k, but j nnealin	f the cl ease du potenting of ced du	adding ctility, ally fac much ring d	ng reactor c. Annealin thus lesse cilitating ad of the rac ry storage. s and be	ng of radia ning the o lditional cr diation da . The ex	tion dan chance ceep. Ro mage is stent of	nage ca of brea ecent s s poss annea	an decre akage f tudies f ible at aling ce	ease from nave the ould		
Alternate Description	All ana	Il analyses use the same description for annealing of radiation damage.											
Duiquita	UFDC	FDC NWTRB NRC EPRI IAEA Germany Hungary Japan ROK Spain UK											
Priority	MH												
Consistency of Priority	this va anneali states t suppor (DCSC 15 yea Ito et a storage	MHLMMXMCMMThe priorities assigned range from closed to medium high.The biggest factor in this variation is the organization's understanding of the temperature at which annealing can occur. For example, the NWTRB (NWTRB 2010) cites a report that states that annealing is not expected at temperatures below 400 °C. This seems supported by the results of the Dry Cask Storage Characterization Project (DCSCP) (EPRI 2002) where little, if any, annealing occurred during testing and 15 years of storage. The UFDC higher priority is assigned because the results of Ito et al. (2004) showed nearly 50 percent recovery over almost one year in dry storage conditions at 360 °C.MM											
UFDC Action	No cha	nge in the	UFDO	C prior	ity is re	ecommende	ed, based o	on this c	ompari	ison.			

3.3.2 Corrosion – Wet (Galvanic/Pitting)

UFDC's Gap Description	always sufficie corrosi	be residuent water i on could ng specie	al wat s prese occur.	er rem ent to p Radi	aining romote iolysis	n water is even after e a galvanic of water note pittin	a success coupling can result	ful dryi between in proo	ng ope n differ ductior	eration. rent me n of hig	If tals, ghly		
Alternate Description	All ana	analyses use the same description for wet corrosion of cladding.											
Duiquity	UFDC	FDC NWTRB NRC EPRI IAEA Germany Hungary Japan ROK Spain UK											
Priority	L												
Consistency of Priority	high if caniste the UF water t based	The NRC assigns an H2 priority for galvanic corrosion, stating that this is only high if the drying task (Section 3.1.5) indicates that sufficient water remains in the canister and that it may revert to low if sufficient water is not present. Conversely, the UFDC assigns a low priority unless the drying task shows there to be sufficient water to promote wet corrosion. Both organizations agree to change the priority based on the results of the drying gap. NRC rates pitting as a low priority.											
UFDC Action	No cha	Sermany lists wet corrosion as a medium. No change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison.											

3.3.3 Corrosion – Stress Corrosion Cracking

UFDC's Gap Description	include "Corro	the UFDC does not explicitly cite SCC of cladding as a gap, but rather it is cluded as part of "Fission Product Attack on Cladding" in Section 3.2.2 and corrosion – Wet" in Section 3.3.2. In order for SCC to occur, there must be a less (residual or applied), a promoting environment, and a susceptible material.									
Alternate Description		e NRC does not believe that there is sufficient stress in the absence of pellet elling, as discussed in Section 3.2.5, for SCC.									
Duiquita	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK
Priority	L										
Consistency of Priority	source	he NRC rates the priority for SCC as an H2, but states that this depends on a purce of stress that comes from pellet swelling. The UFDC does not believe that ellet swelling is an issue, based on results in the literature.									
UFDC Action	it in th Wet" (CC will not be added explicitly as a gap for cladding, but will continue to include in the "Fission Product Attack on Cladding" (Section 3.2.2) and "Corrosion – fet" (Sections 3.3.2) gaps. No change in the UFDC priority is recommended, used on this comparison.									

3.3.4 Creep – High Temperature/Low Temperature

UFDC's Gap Description	caused decreas claddir therma observe 0.1 per well un periods Low-te	by intern ses, unless og creeps, l creep is ed at temp rcent creep nderstood, s and of ra	al rod s helius the int conside peratur o was howe diatior e creep	pressu m or fi ernal v dered s es belo observ ver, qu damag	re. The ssion g olume self-lim ow 300 ed over estions ge anne anisms	(high tem is will dec gas release increases a iting. Typ °C. In the r about 15 remain ab ealing (see have been behavior.	from the from the hoo bically, the e DCSCP years. The bout the ef Section 3.	r time a pellets op stress ermal cr (EPRI hermal fects of 3.1).	is the t increases s will c reep ha 2002), creep is creep is	empera lecrease as not t only al s gener ded stor	ture the the s the e, so been bout rally rage		
Alternate Description		The NRC states that even low-temperature creep will depend on a source of stress that would come from pellet swelling.											
Priority	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK		
Friority	/MH	X/L	L/H2	L	X	/M	/M	C/	М	/M	/L		
Consistency of Priority	Because of differing views on the sources of stress and on the applicability of the various low-temperature creep mechanisms, the priorities of the organizations and countries are quite varied. Japan considers the creep issue closed, mostly because their drying and storage temperatures are so much lower than in the United States. However, it is not clear whether Japan has considered the low-temperature creep mechanisms in this assessment.												
UFDC Action	No cha	nge in the	UFDC	C priori	ity is re	commende	ed, based o	on this c	ompari	ison.			

3.3.5 Crud or Oxide Spallation

UFDC's Gap Description	The UI	The UFDC does not explicitly account for crud or oxide spallation as a gap. During reactor operations, if crud or the oxide layer spalls, it will affect the local											
Alternate Description	temper of the layers effects The co charact	ature and initial cha spall duri such as o ncerns of	may p ractering dry emissiv Spain of the	romote zation storag vity ch and th claddi	hydro of fuel ge, that anges e Unite	the oxide gen blisters going into will agair and could ed Kingdon ng into stor	s. The UF o dry stora n affect lo result in 1 m seem to	FDC cor age. If ocal tem localize be focu	nsiders the cru peratur d hydr used or	this as ad or o res thro ide effo n the im	part xide ough ects.		
Duiquity	UFDC												
Priority				L						Н	М		
Consistency of Priority	EPRI states that additional spallation during storage is not likely, but any spallation could increase the source term in the event of a container breach. Spain is concerned with localized hydride blisters formed during reactor operations because of crud or oxide spallation that may result in additional cladding failures during storage.												
UFDC Action	U	· •				e UFDC ed to hydri	-	•			ered		

3.3.6 Delayed Hydride Cracking

UFDC's Gap Description	hydrog the hyd exists t where and a h not hav	en to an in dride at th to promote creep defo nydride ph	ncipier e crac e hydro ormatio ase tra sus am	nt cracl k tip. ogen di on, pri- nsition	tip, fo The pr ffusion or cree all pla	ism tradition for the second second constrain (200 p strain, hi y roles in l predicts that	nucleation inues as l (19) proposi gher burn DHC. Thi	n, grow long as sed a ne up, the is new r	th, and a suffi w mod solvus nodel,	fractur cient st el for E hyster which o	re of tress DHC esis, does		
Alternate Description	The NRC states that DHC is possible, but depends on a source of stress that would come from pellet swelling.												
Duiquita	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK		
Priority	Н	Н	H2	М	Х		М		Н	М	Н		
Consistency of Priority	The differences in prioritization stem mainly from differing opinions as to whether Kim's model is valid and whether fuel swelling is necessary to provide additional stress.												
UFDC Action	No cha	No change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison.											

3.3.7 Helium Pressurization

See Sections 3.2.1 and 3.2.5.

3.3.8 Hydride Reorientation/Embrittlement

UFDC's Gap Description	As the highly a thick toward these h factors. Claddin reorien temper	concentra temperatu hydride la s the inne nydrides c , including ng hydride t to the rae	ation of re-dep ayer at er surfa an em g the cl es are dial dif h as o	of hydr endent the ou ace. I brittle adding typical rection ccurs i	rogen i , zircon uter sur Depend the cla g alloy o lly alig under n the d	ide of the n zirconium face of the ing on the dding and composition ned in the a stress, es lrying proc	m exceeds des are for cladding size, dist reduce du n, that infl circumfer pecially w	the so rmed. The and low ribution actility. uence h rential d then coo	lubility rypica /er con , and There ydride lirectio led fro	y, whic lly, then acentrat orientat e are m behavi n, but n pm a hig	h is re is ions ion, nany or. may gher	
Alternate Description		ne description of hydride reorientation and embrittlement is consistent among the prious organizations that analyzed it.										
Priority	UFDC											
1 ///////	Н	Н	L	М	Χ/		Н	С	Н	/H	Н	
Consistency of Priority	warran low pri staff's reorien formati under prioriti transiti storage	There is fairly good agreement that hydride embrittlement and reorientation warrant a high priority for additional R&D. The NRC gives these mechanisms a ow priority on the basis that the level of knowledge is high, yet states "In the NRC staff's opinion, the wide number of variables that affect the degree of hydride reorientation make it difficult to produce a detailed parametric description of the formation of radial hydrides, and efforts should be made to determine conditions under which the mechanism is benign" (NRC 2012a). They also give the low prioritization based on temperatures remaining above the ductile-to-brittle ransition temperature (DBTT); however, that may not be feasible for extended storage. Japan considers this issue closed because their regulations limit the emperatures during drying sufficiently low to supposedly prevent radial hydride										
UFDC Action	No cha	nge in the	UFDC	C priori	ity is re	commende	ed, based o	on this c	ompari	son.		

3.3.9 Oxidation

UFDC's Gap Description	form a metal, thicknes stored remain rapid	n oxide la and thus ess of the o in an ine s. The U	affec oxide. ort env FDC r oxidat	the c ts the Under vironme ates cla ion of	ladding overal norma ent, so adding	nium clade g. The oxi Il mechani al condition oxidation oxidation ing observ	de layer is ical prope ns in dry s can only as a mediu	s brittle erties, d torage, t occur im until	, comp ependi the ass if resi the ca	bared to ing on emblies idual w ause for	the the s are vater the					
Alternate Description	All ana	All analyses use the same description for oxidation of cladding.														
Duiquity	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK					
Priority	М	Х	L	L	Х	М	М		М							
Consistency of Priority	oxidati agrees oxidati	Prioritization of this gap is fairly consistent. However, the NRC gives cladding oxidation a low priority based on a high level of knowledge. Overall, the UFDC agrees with the NRC. However, it is necessary to determine the cause of the rapid oxidation observed in the ANL tests to be assured that this will not happen under prototypic dry storage conditions.														
UFDC Action	No cha	nge in the	UFD	C prior	prototypic dry storage conditions. No change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison.											

3.3.10 Pellet-Cladding Interaction

UFDC's Gap Description	contact claddin interac UFDC mechan	t of the f og through tion of th does exp	fuel w SCC e pello plicitly	ith the (see Se et with ident	cladd ection the c ify a	s of fissior ling) that 3.2.2). Ho ladding, re gap in kn t and clad	then pron owever, it sulting in owledge	notes de can also localiz about F	egradat b be a ed stre CI, bi	tion of mechar esses. ut inclu	the nical The udes		
Alternate Description	-	Spain sees this issue in terms of the overall mechanical response of the cladding- pellet system under pinch loads.											
Duiquity	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK		
Priority		Х		L	Х			М	L	М			
Consistency of Priority	The UFDC agrees with the assessment by Spain, and testing of cladding (including ring compression tests) with the fuel still in the cladding are planned.												
UFDC Action	PCI will not be added explicitly as a separate gap to the UFD Gap Analysis based on this comparison, but remains a key part of the cladding creep gap.												

3.3.11 Propagation of Existing Flaws

UFDC's Gap Description		The UFDC does not include propagation of existing flaws as an explicit gap, but rather as part of the "Stress Profiles" and "DHC" gaps. The NRC (NRC 2012a) states that "There is little current knowledge of the initial											
Alternate Description	flaw si	ze distribu	ution i	n high	burnup	There is lip cladding, will fail in	and as a	result, i	-				
Priority	UFDC	DC NWTRB NRC EPRI IAEA Germany Hungary Japan ROK Spain UK											
Гногиу		H2 X L											
Consistency of Priority		RC and Spain both identify this as a gap, but Spain is focused on identification of cipient cracks.											
UFDC Action	lead to distribu model under r	incipient cracks. While the UFDC agrees that it is important to determine how incipient cracks may lead to failure, it will be extremely difficult to determine the existing crack size distribution in cladding. The UFDC approach in the "Stress Profiles" gap is to model the maximum crack size for the cladding to maintain its safety functions under normal and design basis conditions of handling, storage, and transportation. This gap will not be added explicitly to the UFDC Gap Analysis.											

3.4 Assembly Hardware

The fuel assembly hardware is defined as the balance of fuel assembly materials other than fuel pellets and fuel cladding. The primary components of fuel assembly hardware that serve a safety function for dry storage of UNF are grid spacers, guide and instrumentation tubes, and assembly channels (BWR assemblies only). Other hardware connected to these components lends structural support, such as tie plates, spacer springs, tie rods, and nozzles. Assembly hardware includes a variety of designs, materials of construction, and types of connections that continue to evolve.

Grid spacers are composed of a zirconium alloy (similar to fuel cladding), Inconel[®], or both. The construction of grid spacers includes straps and springs to maintain the spacing between fuel rods, control rod vibration, and provide lateral support. Springs made of Inconel[®] have low stress relaxation rates; whereas springs made of zirconium alloys have higher stress relaxation rates with irradiation. Generally, zirconium alloys are used in the intermediate grid spacers whereas Inconel[®] is used for the top and bottom grid spacers. However, some assembly designs use Inconel[®] in the intermediate grid spacers, and others use a zirconium alloy for all the grid spacers including the top and bottom ones.

It is important to note that in-reactor service substantially alters the condition and material properties of assembly hardware. These altered material properties establish the initial conditions for dry storage. The most significant changes to assembly hardware condition and material properties resulting from reactor service are structural growth, creep, stress relaxation, corrosion, and hydriding.

3.4.1 Bowing or Twisting

UFDC's Gap Description	UFDC	JFDC does not evaluate this degradation mechanism.											
Alternate Description	deform	ome fuel assemblies after long exposure in a reactor (three cycles) may undergo eformation that could cause handling issues. For fuel assemblies that experienced ard operational history, pool side examination is essential (EPRI 2012).FDCNWTRBNRCEPRIIAEAGermanyHungaryJapanROKSpainUK											
Priority	UFDC												
Тпотпу		DC NWTRB NRC EPRI IAEA Germany Hungary Japan ROK Spain UK Image:											
Consistency of Priority	importa is influ dry sto	The gap analysis conducted by the ROK is the only one that identifies this as an mportant degradation mechanism. Based on their gap description, this mechanism s influenced by reactor operations and is considered an initial condition prior to lry storage. Therefore, it is not clear that any additional R&D is needed beyond											
UFDC Action	This ga	This gap will not be added to the UFDC Gap Analysis.											

3.4.2 Corrosion Including Stress Corrosion Cracking

UFDC's Gap Description	moistu rods. 7 period stop. 7 extend hardwa period corrosi not be Degrac during intact t	re presence The rate and of storage Therefore, ed storage are degrad of dry sto on crackin detected, n lation of normal ar o hold the	e insid nd exto e. On because, wet ation f rage n ng that nay be a few nd off-t fuel p	le the c ent of c ace the se of th corros for ext eeds to t initiat e exace grid s normal ins and	anister corrosio moistu ne lowe ion is o ended s o be be ted and rbated o pacers condit l maint	orrosion d s because c on are expe- ure has bea r temperatu expected b storage; ho tter evaluat l occurred during exter or guide t ions if eno ain axial su esign basis	of inadeque ected to be en expend ures and al e a minor wever, its ted. Simil during rea ended stora tubes may ugh space	ate dryin highest led, wet bsence of contrib impact larly, co actor op age. 7 not co rs and g owever,	ng or v t durin corro of mois putor t during rrosion eration	vaterlog g the in sion we sture du o assen g the in n and st ns, but te a fai ibes ren	gged iitial ould ring nbly iitial tress may	
Alternate Description	-	nay no longer be acceptable under design basis accidents. Description of assembly hardware corrosion and stress corrosion cracking is consistent in all the gap reports that discuss it.										
Priority	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK	
Тнопцу	MH		H2	L	Х		М		Н			
Consistency of Priority	All the gap analyses that identified assembly hardware corrosion and stress corrosion cracking as important to dry storage and transportation are consistent in priority assignment, with the exception of EPRI. The basis EPRI provides for the low priority is that the industry is already dealing with how to handle PWR fuel subject to top nozzle separation because of SCC. EPRI does not address grid spacers.											
UFDC Action	No cha	inge in the	UFDO	C prior	ity is re	commende	ed, based o	on this c	ompari	ison.		

3.4.3 Metal Fatigue Caused by Temperature Fluctuations

UFDC's Gap Description	and inc of the fluctua that as Althou assemb change	With longer storage times, there are more summer–winter temperature fluctuations and increased likelihood of extreme weather conditions. However, the temperature of the assembly hardware is not expected to be significantly affected by those fluctuations, given the relatively large heat capacity of storage systems and the fact that assembly hardware is an integral component of the heat-generating fuel. Although temperature fluctuations may result in changes in material properties of assembly hardware, they are not likely to result in a failure. Material property changes are important in evaluating assembly hardware performance during design basis accidents and transportation hypothetical accident conditions.											
Alternate Description	change Metal f likely l an inc	hange in material properties, metal fatigue, and failure below yield strength. Metal fatigue because of temperature fluctuations of fuel assembly hardware would ikely be more operative during extended storage beyond 40 years, resulting from in increasingly accumulated number of stress/temperature cycles over time NRC 2012a).											
Priority	UFDC L	NWTRB	NRC H2	EPRI L	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK		
Consistency of Priority	temper consist its hig temper	All the gap analyses that identified assembly hardware metal fatigue caused by temperature fluctuations as important to dry storage and transportation are consistent in priority assignment, with the exception of the NRC. The NRC bases its higher priority in part on the fact that additional information on temperature profiles during storage is necessary to improve estimates of											
UFDC Action	No cha howeve	he magnitude of temperature changes and fatigue on fuel assembly hardware. The UFDC agrees with the need for more detailed and realistic thermal profiles. No change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison, nowever, if further analysis shows the temperature cycling to be significant, then he priority could change.											

3.5 Fuel Baskets

The safety function of fuel baskets is to hold the fuel assemblies and neutron poisons in a set geometry to meet the subcriticality requirement and thermal performance functions and to allow for fuel loading and retrieval. Baskets are made from a variety of metals such as stainless steel, carbon steel, and aluminum alloys, and have both base metal and welds. Some basket materials, such as MetamicTM, an aluminum-boron-carbide metal matrix composite, also serve as the neutron poison material.

3.5.1 Corrosion

UFDC's Gap Description	sufficie inadequ expecte period stop. T extende	ent oxyge uate dryin ed to be hi of storage Therefore,	n and g or ghest f e. On becaus , wet o	for mo waterlo for carb ce the se of the corrosi	oisture ogged oon stee moistu e lowe	corrosion are preser rods. The el and alum ure has bed r temperatu xpected be	nt inside rate and ninum com en expend ures and al	the can extent ponents led, wet osence c	isters of co s durin corros of mois	because rrosion g the in sion we sture du	e of are iitial ould ring	
Alternate Description		The description of neutron poisons wet corrosion and blistering is consistent in all he gap reports that discuss it.										
Priority	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK	
Гпотиу	L		М	L		М						
Consistency of Priority	baskets judgme confine presence	There is inconsistency between the gap analyses for the priority assignment of fuel baskets corrosion. The basis for the inconsistency is that UFDC is reserving judgment on the significance of this issue until the higher-priority drying gap and confinement gaps are addressed, which will determine the extent of moisture presence after drying and during storage. The NRC's priority assignment links these gaps.										
UFDC Action	priority											

3.5.2 Metal Fatigue Caused by Temperature Fluctuations

UFDC's Gap Description	and inc influence safety f Charact intende investig storage	nger stora reased lik ced by the functions. erization d only to gation con and prese a their safe	elihoo temp For e Projec provi cludec	d of exerature erature xample t (EP) de ado l that o adve	treme v e fluctua e, obser RI 200 ditional the cra- rse safe	weather co ations ma ved crach 2) appear stability cks were ty implica	onditions y not nec ced welds red to be during le not relev	Fuel b cessarily in the onnstr oading a vant to	asket affect Dry C uctura and te norma	degrac t any c ask St l and sting. l long	lation of the orage were The g-term		
Alternate description	-	escription of fuel baskets metal fatigue caused by temperature fluctuations is onsistent in all the gap reports that discuss it.											
Priority	UFDC												
	L		H2	L									
Consistency of priority	tempera above, structur thermal that ad- extended	The NRC assigns a higher priority for fuel baskets metal fatigue caused by temperature fluctuations. This priority is based on the same observation discussed above, indicating that there is potential for degradation by metal fatigue in structural components, which is strongly dependent on material properties of thermal expansion coefficients and fatigue resistance. The NRC also identifies that additional data are needed on temperature fluctuations during drying and extended storage, which would enhance the ability to model the magnitude of temperature changes and assess fatigue											
UFDC Action	No cha howeve												

3.5.3 Weld Embrittlement

UFDC's Gap Description	UFDC	UFDC does not identify this gap. This gap is only identified by the NRC. Long-term exposure of austenitic stainless											
Alternate Description	steel w results interme these	elds conta in spinoc etallic G-p mechanisi al for emb	ining lal dec hase (ns—th	ferrite compos Alexan ne spin	to elev sition c der and nodal	C. Long-tated tempe of the α -feed Nanstad 1 decomposient decomposient of stail	ratures (3) rrite phase 1995; Char tion and	00–400 e and p ndra et a precipi	°C [57 recipita al. 201 itation-	2–752 ation of 1). Bot —have	°F]) f an th of the		
Priority	UFDC	FDC NWTRB NRC EPRI IAEA Germany Hungary Japan ROK Spain UK											
гнопцу		HDC NWTRB NRC EPRI IAEA Germany Hungary Japan ROK Spain UK H2 </td											
Consistency of Priority	low-ter to-britt	The NRC assigns this gap a high priority because of the limited available data on ow-temperature weld embrittlement. Although, it is unclear whether the ductile- to-brittle behavior of welds would affect the transportation safety basis, additional data are needed to evaluate its effect.											
UFDC Action	profiles 400 °C	s have bee b, it is unli evated te	en deve kely tl	eloped. hat any	Becau baske	evaluated use the pea t welds wil ncern pres	k cladding Il experien	temper ce long	ature i -term e	s limite exposur	ed to re to		

3.6 Moisture Absorbers

In Germany, the absence of free water in the storage cask is ensured by one of two methods. In the usual case, assemblies are confirmed to be intact by sipping tests prior to loading, so there are no waterlogged rods, and drying is straightforward. "In cases where fuel rod defects are identified or no sipping test results are available, encapsulation or the use of additional moisture absorber represent suitable solutions" (Völzke and Wolff 2011).

3.6.1 Thermal and Radiation Damage

UFDC's Gap Description	The UF	FDC does 1	not ide	ntify tł	nis as a	gap.						
Alternate Description		evated temperatures and radiation may cause degradation of the moisture sorbers.										
Duiquita	UFDC	FDC NWTRB NRC EPRI IAEA Germany Hungary Japan ROK Spain UK										
Priority		M M										
Consistency of Priority	German	Germany was the only country/organization to identify this issue.										
UFDC Action	analyse	s indicate	that r	esidual	water	UFDC Ga is a signif gap will ne	ficant issu	e and i	if the o			

3.7 Neutron Poisons

The safety function of neutron poisons, in conjunction with the geometry control provided by the fuel structure and baskets, is to maintain subcriticality for flooded configurations. Flooded configurations are credible only during loading and potentially, retrieval operations. Consideration of flooded configurations is presently required for normal conditions of transport and transportation hypothetical accident conditions (unless a moderator exclusion argument is pursued).

Neutron poisons used in dry storage casks are made primarily from borated aluminum alloys, metal matrix composites, aluminum boride carbon cermets, and borated stainless steel materials (limited domestic use). Historically, neutron poisons materials in dry storage casks served only a neutron absorption subcriticality function. However, more recently, with advancements in borated aluminum alloys and borated metal matrix composites, these neutron poison materials serve a load-bearing structural function, maintain the required separation between the fuel assemblies, and provide for heat transfer.

Degradation of neutron poisons during extended storage could affect the storage and transportation safety functional areas by reducing neutron absorption characteristics, reducing heat transfer properties, or changes in material properties resulting in failure to provide the necessary structural support, specifically for accident conditions. For load-bearing alloy and metal matrix composite (MMC) neutron poison materials, no degradation mechanism can change the poison isotope areal density. However, thermal aging effects and creep can reduce the spacing. For non-load bearing cermet neutron poison materials, thermal embrittlement and cracking can reduce poison isotope density, whereas blistering can reduce the spacing.

3.7.1 Corrosion and Blistering

UFDC's Gap Description	materia relative elevate of Al ₂ casing poison free cl reducir less lik the we	Is. The selve porous d tempera O_3 and hy or claddin isotope an earances in neutron ely to expetting cycl	mecha poiso tures, ydroge g arou real de in the mode erienc e can	nism f n mate water i on caus and the ensity, f fuel b ration. e bliste exit tl	or blis rial dur in pore ing int poison hey ca baskets Cerm r form he core	ant only fo ter formati ing loading s causes in ternal press material. n cause the thus pote ets with gre ation becau through i rnal pressu	on is base g operation ternal corre- sure build Although e clad plate entially af- eater as-fa- use water t interconne	ed on v ns. Dur cosion a up and blisters e to def fecting bricated hat ente cted po	vater e ing dry nd the blister do not orm, re retriev l core p ers the prosity	ntering y storag produc ring of change educing ability porosity core du during	the ge at etion the ethe and vare uring the		
Alternate Description	-	Description of neutron poisons wet corrosion and blistering is consistent in all the gap reports that discuss it.											
Duiquita	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK		
Priority	М	Х	М	L			М			М			
Consistency of Priority	storage of EPR	All the gap analyses that identified wet corrosion and blistering as important to dry storage and transportation are consistent in priority assignment, with the exception of EPRI. The basis EPRI provides for the low priority assignment is that once dry, neutron absorber degradation ceases to be a significant mechanism.											
UFDC Action	No cha	nge in the	UFD	C prior	ity is re	commende	ed, based o	on this c	ompari	son.			

3.7.2 Creep

UFDC's Gap Description	matrix must b creep p compo- results absorpt betwee	composite e consider properties. nents were for exten tion chara n the fu	e mate red bec Avai e limit ded st cterist iel as	rials. (cause o lable te ed to s orage ics of ssembli	Creep of their ests evants hort dutis not the ne es the	ring structu of borated a inherent lo aluating creation. Co known. Co utron poise rough defo ael assembl	aluminum ow ductilit cep for stru- onsequentl creep wou ons, but cormation,	neutron y and g actural l y, the a ld not a could re which	n poiso enerall borated pplicat affect t educe t	y unkny y unkny l alumin pility of the neu the spa	rials own num f the tron cing	
Alternate Description	it. Th irradiat	radiation and is influenced by temperature, therefore, the NRC limits the likely eriod of interest to 40 years.										
Priority	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK	
1 1101119	Μ	Х	Н				М					
Consistency of Priority	evaluat time, p seemed with a	MXHMCreep of load-bearing neutron poisons is assigned a higher priority in the NRC's evaluation, even though the NRC assigns a high level of knowledge for initiation time, propagation rate, and degradation or failure complete. The NRC (2012a) seemed to have applied their criteria inconsistently for this gap, mainly "Areas with a high (H) level of knowledge, irrespective of the safety implications, are given an overall rating of low (L) for regulatory need for further research."										
UFDC Action	No cha	nge in the	UFD	C prior	ity is re	ecommende	ed, based o	on this c	ompari	ison.		

3.7.3 Embrittlement and Cracking

UFDC's Gap Description	encased subsequence neutror to wors source	Thermal and radiation embrittlement is important only for non-load-bearing encased cermet neutron poison materials. Thermal and radiation stresses and subsequent cracking could reduce the efficacy of neutron poisons by allowing for neutron streaming. Although thermal and radiation embrittlement is not expected to worsen for longer storage times because of decreasing temperature and neutron source term, the long-term effects and broader ranges associated with extended storage have not been evaluated. Description of neutron poisons embrittlement is consistent in all the gap reports											
Alternate Description	-	nat discuss it.											
Duiquity	UFDC	JFDC NWTRB NRC EPRI IAEA Germany Hungary Japan ROK Spain UK											
Priority	MH												
Consistency of Priority	high le testing cermet because extent present of emb	MHLMThe NRC assigns a lower priority for neutron poison embrittlement because of the high level of knowledge for initiation and propagation rate based on sufficient testing that has been conducted on neutron poison materials, with the exception of cermet absorber materials. UFDC's position is that the MH priority is warranted because, as acknowledged by the NRC, there are insufficient data to evaluate the extent of embrittlement and cracking for cermet materials. Cermet materials are present in a significant fraction of currently loaded casks. Quantifying the extent of embrittlement and cracking is important for demonstrating subcriticality for both normal conditions of transport and hypothetical accident conditions.											
UFDC Action	No cha	nge in the	UFDC	C priori	ity is re	ecommende	ed, based o	on this c	ompari	ison.			

3.7.4 Metal Fatigue Caused by Temperature Fluctuations

UFDC's Gap Description	and inc of the fluctua that ne Addition their s	reased lik neutron tions, give utron pois onal data a tructural j	elihoo poison on the sons a are des proper	d of ex as is n relative re inte sired fo ties an	treme tot exp ely larg grated or load d resp	more sum weather con- bected to l e heat capa between th -bearing no onse for s conditions.	nditions. I be signific acity of sto ne heat-ge eutron poi torage des	Howeve cantly a prage system nerating son mat	r, the t iffected stems a g fuel terials	tempera d by th and the assemb to eval	ture nose fact lies. uate	
Alternate Description	-	Description of neutron poisons metal fatigue caused by temperature fluctuations is onsistent in all the gap reports that discuss it.										
Priority	UFDC NWTRB NRC EPRI IAEA Germany Hungary Japan ROK Spain UK											
гнопцу	L											
Consistency of Priority	temper time an The N fluctua	The NRC's medium priority for neutron poisons metal fatigue caused by temperature fluctuations is based on the medium level of knowledge of initiation time and propagation rate, which are heavily influenced by the thermal profiles. The NRC (NRC 2012a) states "This [metal fatigue caused by temperature fluctuations] should be easily calculated once the variation of the temperature distributions is determined from the thermal modeling crosscutting effort."										
UFDC Action	No cha howeve	ange in tl	ne UF ler ana	DC pr llysis s	iority	r more det is recomm he tempera	ended, ba	sed on	this c	compari	son,	

3.7.5 Thermal Aging Effects

UFDC's Gap Description	elevate propert general excursi results strengt	d tempera ies at ter lly revers ions; how in perma	ntures. mperat sible a vever, nent d reated a	Alum ures a after e long-c ecrease alloys a	hinum-bove a bove a exposur luration of me	eir mechan based mate about 93 ^o re to sho n elevated echanical p re susceptil	erials typic °C. Thes rt-duration tempera properties	cally ex se prop n mode ture ex such as	hibit a erty c erate t posure yield	declin hanges empera gener and ter	e in are iture rally nsile	
Alternate Description		The description of neutron poison materials thermal aging effects is consistent in all the gap reports that discuss it.										
Duiquita	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK	
Priority	Н		H2				М		М	М		
Consistency of Priority	The gap analyses for UFDC and NRC are consistent in priority assignment; however Germany, the ROK, and Spain assign only a medium priority to this gap. The basis for the medium priority is not provided.											
UFDC Action	No cha	nge in the	UFDC	C prior	ity is re	ecommende	ed, based c	on this c	ompari	ison.		

3.8 Neutron Shields

The function of neutron shields is to provide radiation protection by slowing down and absorbing neutrons. Neutron shielding for most storage systems is provided by the concrete overpack. For some dual-purpose (storage and transportation) systems, which make up approximately 15 percent of the currently loaded casks, neutron shields are made from a variety of polymer-based materials composed of an effective neutron moderator, such as hydrogen and carbon, and a neutron poison, such as boron. There are variations within each material based on specific polymer-resin type and fabrication technique, which could have significant impact on material performance.

3.8.1 Radiation Embrittlement

UFDC's Gap Description	Radiation (primarily neutron) stressors could cause embrittlement of neutron shielding polymer and resin materials. Radiation embrittlement leading to cracking could reduce the efficacy of neutron shielding and the radiological protection function it provides. Radiation embrittlement of neutron shielding could occur throughout the period of spent fuel storage. The threshold for radiation embrittlement is about 10^6 rad for polyethylene and potentially lower for other borated polymers or resins. Depending on the fuel, neutron shields could reach this dose by 100 years. Therefore, embrittlement of polymeric neutron shields during extended storage is expected. The rate of damage will be greatest in the short term, when radiation levels are highest, and decrease during extended storage as radiation levels decrease.										
Alternate Description	The description of neutron shields corrosion is consistent in all the gap reports that discuss it. The NRC notes that there is potential for higher poison burnup levels with MOX fuel because of the higher neutron source term.										
D	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK
Priority	L		L	L		М					
Consistency of Priority	With the exception of the German gap analysis, where CASTOR ^a systems are predominantly used, all the gap analyses agree that although there is potential for radiation embrittlement of neutron shields because of the ability to inspect/monitor its performance and remediate it if necessary, radiation embrittlement of neutron shields is assigned a low priority. For the CASTOR systems, neutron shielding is not as easily accessible for remediation, hence the Medium priority.										
UFDC Action	No cha	nge in the	UFDO	C prior	ity is re	commende	ed, based c	on this c	ompar	ison.	

^aCASTOR is a trade name that stands for cask for storage and transport of radioactive material.

3.8.2 Thermal Embrittlement, Cracking, Shrinkage, and Decomposition

UFDC's Gap Description	The nature of the degradation of neutron shielding materials at higher temperatures depends on the specific material. For example, polyethylene rods may experience some shrinkage, which could lead to gaps and local loss of neutron shielding. Other neutron-shielding materials can experience loss of hydrogen at higher temperatures. The lower temperatures associated with extended storage will likely lead to a lower rate of degradation.										
Alternate Description	Description of neutron shields thermal embrittlement, cracking, shrinkage and decomposition is consistent in all the gap reports that discuss it. The NRC notes that there might be higher potential for embrittlement, cracking, shrinkage, and decomposition of neutron shields with higher burnup and MOX UNF.										
Priority	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC		IAEA		Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK
	L		L	L		М					
Consistency of Priority	With the exception of the German gap analysis, where CASTOR ^a systems are predominantly used, all the gap analyses agree that although there is potential for thermal embrittlement, cracking, shrinkage, and decomposition of neutron shields, because of the inspection/monitoring of its performance and ability to remediate it, it is assigned a low priority. For the CASTOR systems, neutron shielding is not as easily accessible for remediation, hence the medium priority.										
UFDC Action	No change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison.										

^aCASTOR is a trade name that stands for cask for storage and transport of radioactive material.

3.9 Containers

The container provides the primary confinement boundary for DCSSs. It provides a physical barrier to prevent release of radionuclides, maintains an inert atmosphere of helium (or nitrogen in Hungary) for the container internals to prevent chemical degradation and enhance heat transfer, and prevents ingress of moderator (water) to provide additional criticality protection. There are two generic types of storage confinement containers currently used in the United States: bolted metal casks and welded metal canisters. In addition, fuel storage tubes are used in vault system of Hungary.

There are a number of key differences between the varieties of storage systems. Welded canisters are stored or transported within a separate overpack that provides both neutron and gamma shielding and physical protection. In contrast, bolted direct-load casks have integral gamma and neutron shielding with a thick metal body and polymer–resin neutron shields. The bolted direct-load casks are mechanically sealed via a combination of lids, bolts, and physical seals (e.g., gaskets to maintain the pressure boundaries). In addition, a weather cover is positioned over the bolts and seals to protect them from rainwater. The bolted casts were thick-walled vessels (10 to 12 inches thick) made of a variety of ferrous alloys including nodular cast iron, carbon steel, and low-alloy steel, while the more recent welded canisters have been constructed with stainless steels. Both the welded canisters and bolted casks contain multiple assemblies, while the steel fuel storage tube contains only one.

The priority given to specific container types varies by country. For example, Germany uses only bolted casks, Hungary uses only fuel storage tubes, the United Kingdom uses only welded canisters, and Spain is converting to a vault system. The countries only give priority to the degradation mechanisms of the container types they use for long-term storage.

3.9.1 Bolted Cask – Corrosion of Bolts

UFDC's Gap Description	Because bolts provide the pressure on the seals necessary for sealing, they are a crucial part of the confinement sealing system of bolted casks. Bolts used to secure the lid/cover on bolted casks are primarily constructed of stainless and low-alloy steels. They are protected from the environment by a weather cover. If failure of the weather cover allows water and/or deliquescing atmospheric contaminants to contact the bolts, then corrosion can occur. The active corrosion mechanisms include SCC and general, galvanic, pitting, and crevice corrosion, depending on the material and the environment. Failure of the bolts has been detected by inter-seal pressure drops (EPRI 2002, p. 4-3), but more direct monitoring of bolts is not routinely conducted.											
Alternate Description	The NRC also notes the possibility of embrittlement of the bolts because of the uptake of H_2 generated by corrosion.											
Priority	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK	
	VH	Х	H1	М		М						
Consistency of Priority	There is some inconsistency in rating corrosion of bolts. The UFDC and the NRC assign a high priority, while EPRI and Germany assign a medium priority. Germany and Japan house their casks in buildings, thus dramatically reducing the likelihood of wet conditions on the bolts, and thus reducing the priority for new research. EPRI assigns medium priority to this gap, noting that periodic inspection and replacement of bolts can be performed if necessary.											
UFDC Action	No char	No change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison.										
3.9.2 Bolted Cask – Corrosion of Metal Seals

UFDC's Gap Description	initiatin the we general the env	ng events ather cov l, galvanic vironment s quickly o	of ins er. T c, pittin . Bec	ufficie he acti ng, and cause f	nt dryin ive cor l crevic the inte	ed to moi ng, failure rosion me ee corrosic er-lid pres degradatio	e of secor schanisms on, depend sure is m	dary s may f ding or nonitore	eals, o include the med, fai	r failur e SCC naterial lure of	re of and and f the		
Alternate Description	Descrip discuss	-	orrosio	on of r	netal se	eals is cor	isistent in	all the	e gap 1	reports	that		
Priority	UFDC												
	VH	Х	L	М	Х				Η				
Consistency of Priority	UFDC the sea "high" atmosp "metal corrosi time." propag resultin UFDC so diff opinion mediun already	considers ls to be " resulting pheric corr gasket de on should In con ation and ng in a "lo why the l erently fron n, the un n priority	the line in a provision egrada d be a trast, l expe w" rate NRC r om that certain r to in ed inte	ikeliho wn," a rating of bol ation d analyze the N ected of ing for rates th at of c nties a investig rnatior	od of the of "vented case ue to case ue to case and the data and the data and the data and the level orrosio restimations hally, and the data and th	r priority the initiati consequency high" f ks. The b cask lid lo domestic 012a) rate tion from egradation of knowle n of bolts lar and h of corrosi and the abili- cted.	ng event nces of b For the ga ROK stat bad and b environm es the k n corrosid mechani edge for of s (low) (s nigh for ion of se	s leadin reach co aps on es (EP) polting nent co nowled on of sm. It corrosid see abo both. cals, cit	ng to n of conf the ac RI 201 and a ondition lge of seals is not con of s ve). 1 EPRI ting th	moistur inemer queous 2) that tmosph n for initiat as "hi clear to seals (h In UFI assign ne rese	re at ht as and t the heric long tion, gh," o the high) DC's ns a arch		
UFDC Action	No cha	inge in the	UFD	C prior	rity is r	ecommend	ded, based	d on thi	s com	parison	1.		

3.9.3 Bolted Cask – Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion

See Section 3.9.10, Welded Canister – Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion.

3.9.4 Bolted Cask – Thermomechanical Degradation of Bolts

UFDC's Gap Description	therma sealing depend	l fatigue. pressure lent, decre during du	Becau and t asing	se they hus co as the	are ur nfinem cask co	bolts cor ader stress, aent. The bols. Fatig ammer and	bolts may creep rat gue of the	creep r e is hig bolts b	esultin ghly te ecause	g in los emperat of the	ss of ture- rmal	
Alternate Description		Description of thermomechanical degradation of bolts is consistent in all the gap analyses that discuss it.										
Duiquita	UFDC											
Priority	VH	Х	H1	М		Н			Н			
Consistency of Priority	that rat	Except by EPRI, this mechanism is consistently assigned a high priority by those that rated it. EPRI assigns medium priority to this gap, noting that periodic inspection and replacement of bolts can be performed if necessary.										
UFDC Action	No cha	No change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison.										

3.9.5 Bolted Cask - Thermomechanical Degradation of Seals

UFDC's Gap Description	fatigue, modes a the seal studied. the Frer that as 1 seals an ensured and betw	mechanica and loss are depende ing pressu Creep at nch, Germa ong as the nd below 1 for 60 yea ween summ in metals	of duc ent on re occ lower uns, an initial 25 °C urs. Fa ner an	tility of the ten turs ma temper d Japa temper for si atigue d winte	of seals nperatu ost rap ratures nese. 7 ratures lver-co of the s er durir	at lower re history. idly at his for long p The Japan remain be vered seal seals becau ng storage	temperat Creep of gh temper eriods of ese (Shira low 134 ° is, sealing use therm , accumul	ure. T f the se ratures time is i et al. C for a g perfo al cycl ates w	These of eals in the where being 2011) luminu rmance ing duti ith tim	legrada respons studiec conclu um-cove would ring dr	ttion se to well d by ided ered d be ying			
Alternate Description	-	escription of thermomechanical degradation of seals is consistent in all the gap eports that discuss it. JFDC NWTRB NRC EPRI IAEA Germany Hungary Japan ROK Spain UK												
Priority	UFDC	IFDC NWTRB NRC EPRI IAEA Germany Hungary Japan ROK Spain UK												
Тпотпу	VH													
Consistency of Priority	VHXLL/MXHHHThis mechanism is assigned a high priority from UFDC, Germany, Japan, and the ROK, however the NRC and EPRI rate it lower. The NRC assigns creep a low priority, because it considers the knowledge level to be high (NRC 2012a, p. A6-13): "Sufficient data exist to make initial long-term predictionsAdditional long-term creep testing data, which are expected to be available as ongoing tests are completed, may be used to refine these predictions." In contrast, the UFDC identifies the need for realistic thermal calculations to determine the likelihood of thermomechanical degradation of seals. EPRI assigns a low priority to investigating metal fatigue, citing the research already done and the ability to detect and remediate degradation if it occurs. It assigns a medium priority to investigating the loss of ductility at low temperatures, because of the lower temperatures that may occur in countries other than Germany and Japan. While there are differences in priorities between the UFDC and the other organizations, there are no significant technical differences.													
UFDC Action	No char	nge in the U	JFDC	priority	is reco	ommended	l, based or	n this c	ompari	son.				

3.9.6 Welded Canister – Aqueous Corrosion

UFDC's Gap Description	corrosid conden canister water s location localize are we materia	eous corro on. This y sation and r from rain ource as in n. Depend ed. On s ed includin ll studied lls are kno ons, is not.	vater r drippin, and floodi ing on tainles g: pitt and c own, b	nay co ng fror floodin ing, or the ma s steel ing, cr lepend	ntact the n the over ng. Co be atmost aterial a l canist evice, g on the	e surface verpack, fa ntaminants osphericall nd enviror ers, the c galvanic, a e material	by any of ilure of the s in the w y delivere ment, cor orrosion nd SCC. and env	severa e overp ater ma d such rosion of con Aqueo ironme	I ways back to ay com as salt may be cern is us corn nt. T	incluc protec ne from in a co generation s generation cosion he can	ling: t the n the astal al or rally rates ister		
Alternate Description		he NRC also points out microbiologically influenced corrosion (MIC) may also ccur if there are sufficient nutrients at the surface.											
Duiquita	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK		
Priority	VH	Х											
Consistency of Priority	organiz	The UFDC is the only one to prioritize this degradation mechanism. Other organizations and countries do not specifically call out aqueous corrosion as distinct from atmospheric corrosion, which is discussed in Section 3.9.7.											
UFDC Action	No cha	No change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison.											

3.9.7 Welded Canister – Atmospheric Corrosion

UFDC's Gap Description	the su atmosp electro corrosi conditi as chlo organic shown suppor untreat types o atmosp	urface for oherically lyte solut on of com- ons are su- orides from es can be so that with t deliquess ed welds, of corrosido oheric corri-	r corr deposition the cern is fficient marrisignific deposition cence residution are costion	rosion sited of at pro- locali it, MIC ne loca cant, pro- ted sea and co- and co- lal stre highly is mat	to oc contami motes zed inc 2. Cont ations of rovidin a salt, re orrosion sses are dependent	water vapo ccur. The inants del corrosion. luding: pit aminants no or oxidized g the nutrice elative hum n of the c e high eno indent on the conditions	nis proces iquesce, On stain ting, crevi nay includ sulfur spe ents neede nidities of anister ste ugh to sup ne tempera entify the	ss is forming nless st ce, galv e aggre ecies fro d for M 15 perc eels. In poort So ature.	signific a con- ceel ca- vanic, S ssive s om pol- IC. R ent and the pol- IC. I The re ons ne	cant wo oncentrinisters, SCC, ar pecies se luted ar esearch above presence Rates o search cessary	when ated the ad if such reas; has can e of f all into		
Alternate Description	The de discuss	-	of atm	osphei	ric corr	osion is co	onsistent i	n all th	e gap :	reports	that		
Deviewite	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK		
Priority	VH X H1 H H L VH												
Consistency of Priority	-	All organizations that prioritize, and all countries that use welded canisters for long-term storage, assign a high priority to additional research.											
UFDC Action	No cha	No change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison.											

3.9.8 Welded Canister – Integrity under Accident Conditions

UFDC's Gap Description	UFDC	JFDC does not identify this as a gap. It is a condition for U.S. licensing.											
Alternate Description	accider	he United Kingdom indicates the need to determine canister integrity under ccident conditions (dropped load, aircraft crash). They propose a dropped cask est and modeling of accident conditions and heat transfer.											
Priority	UFDC												
Тнопиу											Н		
Consistency of Priority	The Ur	The United Kingdom is the only country identifying this as a gap.											
UFDC Action	This ga	ıp will not	be add	ded to	the UF	DC Gap A	nalysis.						

3.9.9 Welded Canister – Stress Corrosion Cracking – Code, Prevention, and Mitigation

UFDC's Gap Description				•	U .	ps, howeve ric Corrosi			U 1	these n	eeds		
Alternate Description	initiation caniste in a rea measur 4. Mor near th surface measur Techno	apan identified the following (EPRI 2012): "1. Code or guideline to evaluate nitiation and propagation of Stress Corrosion Cracking (SCC) of stainless steel anister in a marine environment is needed. 2. SCC data of normal stainless steel in a realistic marine environment are needed. 3. Demonstrative tests of preventive neasures for SCC of normal stainless steel with reduced residual stress are needed Monitoring of salt deposition on canister surface storing spent fuel on real sites ear the sea is needed. 5. Formula to estimate the salt deposition on canister urface using salt concentration in the air at the site is needed. 6. Non destructive neasurement method of the salt deposition on canister surface is needed. 7. Cechnology to mitigate salt concentration in the air of canister environment is eeded."											
Priority	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK		
		H H											
Consistency of Priority	Japan i	apan is the only country to identify these gaps.											
UFDC Action	U	This gap will not be added to the UFDC Gap Analysis as it is considered covered by the more general "Atmospheric Corrosion" gaps.											

3.9.10 Welded Canister – Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion

UFDC's Gap Description		UFDC does not mention this mechanism but concurs it may occur if conditions are sufficient.										
Alternate Description	microbi	AIC may occur on steels when there are sufficient water and nutrients to support nicrobial growth. The microbes modify the local chemistry, rendering it more orrosive.										
Duiquity	UFDC											
Priority		H2 M H2 H										
Consistency of Priority	or atmo	The NRC and EPRI identify this mechanism, which may be active during aqueous or atmospheric corrosion. UFDC concurs that if the conditions include sufficient water and nutrients then MIC may occur and result in accelerated corrosion.										
UFDC Action	MIC will be added as a possible mechanism during aqueous and atmospheric corrosion and will need to be addressed through testing and analyses.											

3.9.11 Fuel Storage Tube – Corrosion

UFDC's Gap Description						canisters, a bes if cond	1		1	s corro	sion		
Alternate Description	Only H	nly Hungary discusses this gap.											
	UFDC	DC NWTRB NRC EPRI IAEA Germany Hungary Japan ROK Spain UK											
Priority		H H											
Consistency of Priority	priority	ungary identifies investigation of corrosion of their fuel storage tubes as a high riority. This is consistent with the priority given to investigating degradation of leir container by all countries.											
UFDC Action	This ga	his gap will not be added to the UFDC Gap Analysis.											

3.10 Concrete Structures

Reinforced concrete structures include: overpacks, storage modules, vaults, and pads. In most cases concrete structures are outdoors and are exposed to the environment. The concrete overpacks, storage modules, and vaults provide radiation shielding and protection of the casks or canisters from the environment. The temperatures and radiation levels are high for overpacks, storage modules, and vaults, but lower for the pad. In most cases a medium to low priority is assigned to investigating the degradation mechanisms of concrete, because these mechanisms are well understood and can be relatively easily detected and remediated. However in cases where the concrete is inaccessible to monitoring, the NRC ranked investigations into four degradation mechanisms as high priority. These are corrosion of embedded steel, coupled mechanisms, dryout and thermal degradation of mechanical properties, which are discussed below.

UFDC's Gap Description	calcium deleterio passivat rate of o porosity "Carbon	ation occur hydroxide ous effect of tion of the carbonation y, permeat nation is e cement stee	e in t of carb reinfor depen oility, xpecte	he cor conation cing st nds on and r and r	ncrete, n is the ceel, esp several noisture occur in	producing reduction becially if factors in content.	calcium of pH th the steel i cluding th Sinde and per	carbo at can 1 s not eg he conc lar et netrate	nate. lead to poxy-c crete co al. (2	The r the los oated. omposi 2011)	nain ss of The tion, state		
Alternate Description	Descrip	Description of carbonation is consistent in all the gap reports that discuss it. UFDC NWTRB NRC EPRI IAEA Germany Hungary Japan ROK Spain UK											
Priority	UFDC												
Тпотпу		X L M											
Consistency of Priority	Table 5- priority expected mechan monitor specific steel. I (AMPs) NRC gi carbona carbona factoried research	1.	RC inc Iowevents "low red to t relia ize can pommer g conce monit the re e acce organ	licates er in S w." T in T ibly d bonati- ds add rete de oring d esulting lerated ization	the letection his sug able 6- etect e on, but ditional gradation of conce g correct becaus s and	vel of kn A8.5 it ra ggests that 1, which arly degr discussed work on on, which rete. The osion of the of highe countries	owledge ted the le carbonat are assig adation. its role in the aging is consiste ROK giv embedded r levels of gave no	is high vel of ion ma gned a The n corro g mana ent with ves a m d steel f CO ₂ p priorit	a and knowle ay be high UFDC sion of gemen the en hedium , indi- produce y to c	edge of one of priorit does f embed t progr mphasis priorit cating ed by n carbona	erall f the y if not ided cams s the cy to that hany ition		
UFDC Action	factories. Other organizations and countries gave no priority to carbonation research.UFDC will not add carbonation as a separate gap, but will continue to include it as one of the degradation mechanisms that may lead to corrosion of embedded steel.												

3.10.1 Carbonation

3.10.2 Corrosion of Embedded Steel

UFDC's Gap Description	which is alkaline carbona reinforc chloride highly a importa permeal (2011) likely. in the aggressi further	nforcement s passive as environm tion, or aci ement may reaches the alkaline. In nt that the co oility to ag indicate the If corrosion concrete, co ive species degradation l, damage n	s long a ent is id attac result. ne rein n order concret gressiv at with a takes ausing to the n of the	as the o altered k, this Simil forcem to de e overl re spect in 300 place, it to steel a	concrete ed beca s passive arly, if nent, co- lay corre- ying the cies, CO years the larg crack. ccelerat rete. E	e remains ause of 1 vity may b a solution prosion unt cosion unt e reinforce D_2 , and of carbonati ger-volume Once cr tes, causin	highly all eaching be lost an rich in ag hay initiat il after th ement is r xygen. H ion of the e corrosio racked, tr ng increas	kaline. of cal d corro ggressi e desp le licer naintai Howeve e over n prod anspor ing cor	Howe cium osion ove anio ite the using p ned to er Sing lying o ucts in t of o rosion	ever, if hydrox of the sons succes on succes on pH boseriod, ensure delar e concrete duce st xygen leadin	this kide, steel h as eing it is low t al. e is tress and g to	
Alternate Description		The description of corrosion of embedded steel is consistent in all the gap reports that discuss it.										
Duiquita	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK	
Priority	М	Х	M/H2	L			М		М			
Consistency of Priority	M X M/H2 L M M UFDC's medium priority is consistent with that of all countries and organizations that prioritized corrosion of embedded steel except the NRC. The UFDC assignment is to the enhancing of the AMPs to inspect and remediate the concrete overlying the embedded steel. The NRC assigns a priority for research as medium or high, depending on the reliability of monitoring for early detection of degradation. Thus, while the UFDC and NRC priorities are somewhat different, monitoring is key to both organizations.											
UFDC Action	No char	nge in the U	FDC p	oriority	is reco	mmended,	, based on	this co	ompari	son.		

3.10.3 Coupled Mechanisms

UFDC's Gap Description	howev	UFDC does not specifically discuss coupled processes as an individual gap, however some interactions are noted. For instance calcium hydroxide leaching, carbonation, acid attack, and cracking can lead to corrosion of embedded steel.											
Alternate Description	process	The NRC notes that thermal, hydrodynamic, mechanical, chemical, and radiation processes may all act on the concrete at the same time. They give the example of cracking from other degradation modes influencing the progression of carbonation.UFDCNWTRBNRCEPRIIAEAGermanyHungaryJapanROKSpainUK											
Duiquity	UFDC												
Priority		M/H2 M/H2 <th< td=""></th<>											
Consistency of Priority	accessi empha AMPs thaw o	The NRC considers the priority for research to be medium if the component is accessible to monitoring, but high if the component is not easily monitored. This emphasis on monitoring is consistent with the medium priority UFDC gives to the AMPs to inspect and remediate concrete surface damage before significant freeze-thaw or corrosion of embedded steel can occur, and with the high priority given for monitoring development to detect damage well before it is visible.											
UFDC Action	This ga												

3.10.4 Freeze-Thaw

UFDC's Gap Description	Damage from freeze-thaw occurs when water within the pores of the concrete freezes, creating expansive stresses. It occurs mainly where water may pond, such as on horizontal surfaces. Damage typically initiates at the surface where cracking and scaling are easily discovered and remediated. Freeze-thaw damage may also occur at structural features, such as the roof bolt holes at the ISFSI containing Three Mile Island fuel at INL, where freezing of the water in the holes caused extensive cracking. Initiation of freeze-thaw damage can be minimized through proper design and construction, and propagation can be halted with an adequate AMP.										
Alternate Description	Description of freeze-thaw is consistent in all the gap reports that discuss it.										
Duiquita	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK
Priority	М	Х	L	L	Х	L			М	L	
Consistency of Priority	remedi consist	The UFDC assigns a low priority for new research, but a medium priority to proper remediation of bolt holes and AMPs to detect and remediate damage. This is consistent with the medium to low priority assigned to this mechanism by all other organizations and countries that prioritized it.									
UFDC Action	No cha	nge in the	UFD	C prior	ity is re	ecommende	ed, based o	on this c	ompari	ison.	

3.10.5 Marine Degradation

UFDC's Gap Description	UFDC did not identify this as a gap.										
Alternate Description	"Concrete exposed to a marine environment may deteriorate as a result of combined effects of chemical action of sea water constituents on cement hydration products, alkali-aggregate expansion if reactive aggregates are present, crystallization pressure of salts within concrete if one face of the structure is subject to wetting and others to drying conditions, frost action in cold climates, corrosion of embedded steel reinforcement, and physical erosion due to wave action or floating objects." (Naus 2007, p. 39).										
Priority	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK
Тпопиу											М
Consistency of Priority	The United Kingdom is the only country to identify this as a gap. UFDC did not specifically identify this gap, but covers all the degradation mechanisms except crystallization pressure of salts and physical erosion, which are not likely at ISFSIs in the United States.										
UFDC Action	This ga	p will not	be add	led to t	he UFE	OC Gap An	alysis.				

3.10.6 Thermal Degradation of Mechanical Properties, Dry-out

UFDC's Gap Description	At least since 1997, when NUREG 1536 (NRC 2010) was published, the industry has used ACI-349 (ACI 2007) for design and construction of dry storage concrete structures. ACI-349 provides limits to concrete temperatures: \leq 150 °F for general locations under normal conditions, \leq 200 °F for local areas under normal conditions, and \leq 350 °F for surface locations under accident conditions. ASTM C1562-10 indicates that long-term exposure to temperatures above these limits under normal conditions may cause changes in concrete material properties such as the compressive strength, tensile strength, and modulus of elasticity. Long-term exposure above 149 °C (300 °F) may cause concrete surface scaling and cracking. (ASTM, 2010 A5.4.7) However, Bertero and Polivka (1972) and others report that if the free moisture is able to escape at temperatures below 149 °C, the mechanical characteristics of the concrete are not significantly degraded. Under normal conditions, peak temperatures of concrete in DCSSs are not expected to go above 93 °C (EPRI 2002) and dry-out is the only significant thermal degradation mechanism. Concrete dry-out is a well-studied phenomenon. Exposure to elevated temperatures (100 °C) results in a loss of pore water from within the concrete, followed by dehydration of chemically bound water (EPRI 2002; Naus 2005 and 2007). This dehydration causes weakening of the bond between the gel and cement phases within the concrete, resulting in lower strength. However, if the concrete is rehydrad dfter the temperature has decreased (e.g., from rainwater), research has demonstrated that the changes in the chemical and physical properties of the concrete will be reversed (Farage et al. 2003; Alonso and Fernandez 2004). If the temperatures remain below 93 °C, the consequences of dry-out at ISFSIs are expected to be at most a temporary and slight reduction in concrete strength and shielding. NUREG 1536 (NRC 2010) indicates that the accident condition of blockage or air inlets and outlets should be evaluated in safe
Alternate Description	The NRC discusses higher-temperature degradation mechanisms, including changes in aggregate and cement paste physical (e.g., thermal conductivity and thermal expansion) and chemical (e.g., chemical stability at temperature) properties between room temperature and 1000 °C. Although they note that "Any degradation due to temperature effects, if possible, would be operative only in the short term." (NRC 2012a).

Duiquita	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK
Priority	L	Х	M/H2	L	X						
Consistency of Priority	degrad temper known result, depend conside normal acceler assigns signific or acc	ation inc rature on to be dep the NRC ling on v ers the like l operatio rated another a medium cant dama ident cond	luding the pr penden gives r whether elihood ns to b her deg m prior ge to co ditions	dry-o opertie t on co researc the co and co be low radatio ity to oncrete that re	ut. es of concrete h on the concret onseque y. Min on mod enhance overly esult ir	on to giv The NRC concrete hat chemistry hermal deg e is acces ence of the nor crackir e such as c ement of the ving embed h higher te of the highe	(2012a) ave signifi- and const radation a sible to r rmal degra ng would orrosion o he AMPs ded steel. mperature	states icant va truction medium nonitori adation only be f embed to ident In the o s, howe	"The ariabili practi n or h ng. " of cond e signi lded st ify and case of	effects ty and ces." A igh prio The UI crete du ificant eel. UI d remeo	of are As a prity FDC ring if it FDC liate rmal
UFDC Action	No change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison. Inspection and remediation of damage from this and other mechanisms are covered under the AMPs for corrosion of embedded steel.										

3.10.7 Unspecified Concrete Degradation

UFDC's Gap Description	UFDC does not identify this as a separate gap.										
Alternate Description	This gap includes all concrete degradation mechanisms operative in the identifying country.										
Deriter	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK
Priority						М	М				
Consistency of Priority	Germany and Hungary indicate medium priority for research into concrete degradation without specifying the individual mechanisms. This is in the middle of the range of priorities for the specific mechanisms.										
UFDC Action		No action necessary as UFDC has considered multiple concrete degradation mechanisms.									

4. CONCLUSIONS

This report compares the UFDC Gap Analysis (UFDC 2012a) and UFDC Gap Prioritization (UFDC 2012b) reports to those recently published by others, including the NWTRB (2010), the NRC (2012a), the IAEA (2002), and EPRI (2012). The EPRI report (2011) provides the priorities of additional research of ESCP committee members from six countries (Germany, Hungary, Japan, ROK, Spain, and the United Kingdom). It is important to note that these priorities represent the opinions of the EPRI/ESCP International Subcommittee participants and do not represent any official position of the participant's country. Both the NRC and EPRI reports are still in draft form as of this review and are subject to change.

There are a collective total of 94 technical data gaps identified by the various reports to support extended storage and transportation of UNF. This report focuses on the gaps identified as Medium or High in any of the gap analyses and provides the UFDC's gap description, any alternate gap descriptions or different emphasis by another organization, the rankings by the various organizations, evaluation of the consistency of priority assignment and the bases for any inconsistencies, and UFDC-recommended action based on the comparison. Gaps that are ranked Low by all organizations and countries are not evaluated in this report.

Of the 94 gaps identified in the various gap analyses, there are 14 cross-cutting gaps and 80 SSC-specific gaps. For the cross-cutting gaps, the UFDC identifies eight and others identify six. Thirteen of the 14 cross-cutting gaps were identified as Medium or High by at least one of the gap analyses. The UFDC assigns a high priority to all the cross-cutting gaps it identified. For most of these, there is general agreement of their high priority. The six gaps identified by others are either covered by other UFDC gaps or are not applicable to UNF storage and transportation in the United States. Therefore, it is concluded that no changes to the UFDC cross-cutting gap analysis are necessary.

For the 80 SSC-specific gaps, the UFDC identifies 52 and others identify 28. The gaps identified by others either do not meet the UFDC's definition of a gap for extended storage and subsequent transportation, are grouped differently by the UFDC, or are given less than low priority by the UFDC. For example: "Cladding – Oxide Thickness" is a property of UNF, not a degradation mechanism; "Cladding – Propagation of Existing Flaws" is covered by the UFDC under the individual degradation mechanisms; and "Canister - Irradiation Damage" is considered by the UFDC to be insignificant.

Of the 80 SSC-specific gaps, 48 were identified as Medium or High by at least one of the gap analyses. For 25 of these 48 Medium and High priority gaps, there is either consistency in evaluation and priority assignment across the gap analyses or the UFDC assigns a higher priority. Gaps with consistent high priority evaluation receiving five or more high ratings include:

Cross-cutting gaps

- Thermal Profiles
- Examine Fuel After Storage
- Monitoring

SSC-specific gaps

- Cladding Delayed Hydride Cracking
- Cladding Hydride Reorientation and Embrittlement
- Casks/Canisters Atmospheric Corrosion (especially SCC at the welds)

In some instances, the UFDC gives a higher priority for additional R&D to gaps where experts disagree on the mechanisms (e.g., DHC and clad oxidation). Other differences in priorities are mostly because of differences in the various countries' or organizations' storage and transportation programs and ultimate waste disposal strategies. For example, the UFDC places a higher priority on many of the cladding gaps in an effort to maintain retrievability at the fuel assembly level.

For four gaps, the evaluation in the UFDC Gap Analysis (UFDC 2012a) is significantly different from that in other gap analyses. UFDC will address these gaps as follows:

- "Basket Weld Embrittlement" will be evaluated once detailed and realistic thermal profiles have been developed.
- "Bolted Cask MIC" and "Welded Canister MIC" will be addressed as part of the various container aqueous and atmospheric corrosion gaps.
- "Fuel Helium and Fission Gas Release" will be considered as part of fuel and cladding gaps.
- "Concrete Thermal Degradation of Mechanical Properties, Dry-out" will be analyzed as part of existing concrete gaps.

As stated in the UFDC Gap Analysis (UFDC 2012a) and UFDC Gap Prioritization (UFDC 2012b) reports, as more data are obtained, all gaps are subject to reevaluation of priority. Continued collaboration with other organizations and countries will ensure that the UFDC is pursuing the proper course to obtain the data and analyses necessary to develop the technical bases for continued safe and secure storage.

72

5. **REFERENCES**

10 CFR Part 72. Licensing Requirements for the Independent Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel and High-Level Radioactive Waste. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C.

ACI. 2007. *Code Requirements for Nuclear Safety Related Concrete Structures*. ACI349-06, American Concrete Institute, Farmington, Michigan.

Alexander DJ and RK Nanstand. 1995. "The Effects of Aging for 50,000 Hours at 343 °C on the Mechanical Properties of Type 308 Stainless Steel Weldments." In *The Seventh International Symposium on Environmental Degradation of Materials in Nuclear Power Systems—Water Reactors, Houston, Texas, August 7–10. Houston, Texas: NACE.* pp. 747–758.

Alonso C and L Fernandez. 2004. "Dehydration and Rehydration Processes of Cement Paste Exposed to High Temperature Environments." *Journal of Materials Science* 39:3015-3024.

ASTM. 2010. Standard Guide for the Evaluation of Materials Used in Extended Service of Interim Spent Nuclear Fuel Dry Storage Systems. ASTM C1562-10, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania.

Bertero VV and M Polivka. 1972. *Influence of Thermal Exposures on Mechanical Characteristics of Concrete*. ACI Special Publication SP34, American Concrete Institute, Detroit, Michigan.

BRC. 2012. *Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future, Report to the Secretary of Energy.* Prepared by the Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future for the U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.

Chandra K, K Vivekanand, VS Raja, R Tewari, and GK Dey. 2011. "Low Temperature Thermal Ageing Embrittlement of Austenitic Stainless Steel Welds and Its Electrochemical Assessment." *Corrosion Science* 54:278–290.

EPRI. 2012. International Perspectives on Technical Data Gaps Associated with Extended Storage and Transportation of Used Nuclear Fuel. Draft, Electric Power Research Institute, Palo Alto, California.

EPRI. 2011. Extended Storage Collaboration Program (ESCP) Progress Report and Review of Gap Analyses. TR1022914, Electric Power Research Institute, Palo Alto, California.

EPRI. 2002. *Technical Bases for Extended Dry Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel*. TR-1003416, Electric Power Research Institute, Palo Alto, California.

Farage MCR, J Secombe, and C Galle. 2003. "Rehydration and Microstructure of Cement Paste After Heating at Temperatures up to 300 °C." *Cement and Concrete Research* 33:1047-1056.

Ferry C, C Poinssot, V Broudic, C Cappelaere, L Desgranges, P Garcia, C Jegou, P Lovera, P Marimbeau, J-P Piron, A Poulesquen, D Roudil, J-M Gras, and P Bouffioux. 2005. *Synthesis on the Spent Fuel Long Term Evolution*. CEA-R-6084, Commissariat À L'Énergie Atomique, Paris, France.

IAEA. 2007. Operation and Maintenance of Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation Casks/Containers. IAEA-TECDOC-1532, International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, Austria.

IAEA. 2002. Long Term Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel - Survey and Recommendations. IAEA-TECDOC-1293, International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, Austria.

Ito K, K Kamimura, and Y Tsukuda. 2004. "Evaluation of Irradiation Effect on Spent Fuel Cladding Creep Properties." In *Proceedings of the 2004 International Meeting on LWR Fuel Performance*, p. 440. American Nuclear Society, La Grange Park, Illinois.

Kim YS. 2009. "Hydride Reorientation and Delayed Hydride Cracking of Spent Fuel Rods in Dry Storage." *Metallurgical and Materials Transactions A* 40A:2867-2875.

Lassmann K, CT Walker, J van de Laar, and F Lindström. 1985. "Modelling the High Burnup UO₂ Structure in LWR Fuel." *Journal of Nuclear Materials* 226:1-8.

Naus DJ. 2007. *Primer on Durability of Nuclear Power Plant Reinforced Concrete Structures – A Review of Pertinent Factors*. NUREG/CR-6927, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C.

Naus DJ. 2005. *The Effect of Elevated Temperature on Concrete Materials and Structures – A Literature Review, Oak Ridge National Laboratory.* NUREG/CR-6900, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C.

NRC. 2012a. *Identification and Prioritization of the Technical Information Needs Affecting Potential Regulation of Extended Storage and Transportation of Spent Nuclear Fuel.* Draft for comment. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C.

NRC 2012b. Burnup Credit in the Criticality Safety Analyses of PWR Spent Fuel in Transport and Storage Casks. SFST-ISG-8, Rev 3 Draft, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C.

NRC. 2010. *Standard Review Plan for Spent Fuel Dry Storage Systems at a General License Facility*. NUREG-1536, Rev 1, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C.

NRC. 2007. *Classifying the Condition of Spent Nuclear Fuel for Interim Storage and Transportation based on Function (formerly entitled "Damaged Fuel").* SFST-ISG-1, Rev. 2, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C.

NRC. 2000. *Standard Review Plan for Spent Fuel Dry Storage Facilities*. NUREG-1567, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C.

NWTRB. 2010. Evaluation of the Technical Basis for Extended Dry Storage and Transportation of Used Nuclear Fuel. U.S. Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board, Arlington, Virginia.

Shirai K, JTani, M Wataru, T Saegusa, and C Ito. 2011. "Long-Term Containment Performance of Test Metal Cask." In *Proceedings of the 13th International High-Level Radioactive Waste Management Conference (IHLRWMC) April 10-14, 2011, Albuquerque, New Mexico,* pp. 816-823. American Nuclear Society, La Grange Park, Illinois.

Sindelar RL, AJ Duncan, ME Dupont, PS Lam, MR Louthan Jr., and TE Skidmore. 2011. *Materials Aging Issues and Ageing Management for Extended Storage and Transportation of Spent Nuclear Fuel – Draft Report.* NUREG/CR-7116, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C.

UFDC. 2012a. *Gap Analysis to Support Extended Storage of Used Nuclear Fuel*. FCRD-USED-2011-000136 Rev. 0, PNNL-20509, Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy Used Fuel Disposition Campaign, Washington, D.C.

UFDC. 2012b. Used Nuclear Fuel Storage and Transportation Data Gap Prioritization. FCRD-USED-2012-000109 Draft, PNNL-21360, Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy Used Fuel Disposition Campaign, Washington, D.C.

Völzke H and D Wolff. 2011. Safety Aspects of Long Dry Interim Cask Storage of Spent Fuel in Germany. In *Proceedings of the 13th International High-Level Radioactive Waste Management Conference (IHLRWMC) April 10-14, 2011, Albuquerque, New Mexico*, pp. 712-719. American Nuclear Society, La Grange Park, Illinois.

APPENDIX A

UFDC Top Priority Storage and Transportation Gaps

Table A-1. UFDC Top Priority Gaps Sorted on Rank

Gap	Rank	Priority		
Thermal profiles	1	Very High		
Stress profiles	1	Very High		
Monitoring – External	2	Very High		
Welded canister - Atmospheric corrosion	2	Very High		
Fuel Transfer Options	3	Very High		
Monitoring – Internal	4	Very High		
Welded canister – Aqueous corrosion	5	Very High		
Bolted casks - Fatigue of seals & bolts	5	Very High		
Bolted casks - Atmospheric corrosion	5	Very High		
Bolted casks - Aqueous corrosion	5	Very High		
Drying issues	6	Very High		
Burnup credit	7	High		
Cladding $-H_2$ Effects: Hydride reorientation & embrittlement	7	High		
Neutron poisons – Thermal aging	7	High		
Moderator exclusion	8	High		
Cladding – H ₂ Effects: DHC	9	High		
Examination of the fuel at the INL	10	High		
Cladding – Creep	11	Medium High		
Fuel Assembly hardware – SCC for lifting hardware and spacer grids	11	Medium High		
Neutron poisons – Embrittlement	11	Medium High		
Cladding – Annealing of radiation damage	12	Medium High		
Cladding – Oxidation	13	Medium		
Neutron poisons – Creep	13	Medium		
Neutron poisons – Corrosion (blistering)	13	Medium		
Overpack - Freeze-thaw	14	Medium		
Overpack - Corrosion of embedded steel	14	Medium		