SRR-CWDA-2011-00119 Revision 0

COMMENT RESPONSE MATRIX FOR SOUTH CAROLINA GOVERNOR'S NUCLEAR ADVISORY COUNCIL COMMENTS ON:

DRAFT BASIS FOR SECTION 3116 DETERMINATION FOR CLOSURE OF F-TANK FARM AT THE SAVANNAH RIVER SITE DOE/SRS-WD-2010-001, REVISION 0 SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

SAVANNAH RIVER SITE AIKEN, SOUTH CAROLINA

DOE-SR COMMENT RESOLUTION FORM

March 2012

UNITED	ATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY Document Review Record				
SAVAN	NAH RIVER SITE			SRR-CWDA-2011-00119, Revision 0	
Docume	ent No./Title: DOE/SRS-WD-2010-001, Draft Basis for Section 3	Rev.:	Doc. Date:		
Closure of F-Tank Farm at the Savannah River Site			Revision 0	9/30/2010	
Comme	nter(s): Ben C. Rusche			Contact: Sherri Ross	
No.	Comments	Comment Resolution			

1	The document is an excellent history of all aspects of the tank farm, and should be archived for its historic value.	The Department of Energy (DOE) agrees and the document has been archived.
2	We suggest adding an explanation as to why Tank 18 is the primary contributor to dose and why DOE can make this assertion given that most of the tanks in F Tank Farm have not been emptied, cleaned and evaluated for a final inventory of remaining wastes.	The statement in Section 6.4.1 (page 6-11) of the <i>Draft Basis for Section 3116</i> <i>Determination for Closure of F-Tank Farm at the Savannah River Site</i> (DOE/SRS-WD-2010-001) concerning Tank 18 was referring to Tank 18 as being the primary contributor to the all-pathways peak dose in the performance period based on the F-Tank Farm (FTF) Performance Assessment. DOE has added wording to Section 6.4.1 to clarify this in the final FTF 3116 Basis Document. Additional text regarding Tank 18 contribution to the all-pathways peak dose has also been added to Section 7.1.3 of the final FTF 3116 Basis Document. The final FTF 3116 Basis Document (DOE/SRS-WD-2012-001) is available for public review at the following websites: http://sro.srs.gov/f_htankfarmsdocuments.htm and
3	We understand that cumulative impacts from this waste removal process and other waste removal/closure activities at SRS are not part of these waste determination documents, however, the lack of any discussion or even acknowledgement of cumulative impacts here provides the public an incomplete picture of the impacts of total waste inventories expected to remain on the SRS, particularly in the General Separations area that includes both tank farms, the Saltstone Facility, E-Area, and ultimately several decommissioned processing facilities. We suggest that some frame of reference is necessary for the general public to conclude not only that the tank closure process is adequate independent of any other activities on SRS, but that the sum of all waste disposal processes will also be adequate.	www.em.doe.gov DOE has evaluated the potential cumulative impacts of all sources of radioactive materials expected to remain on the Savannah River Site at the site end state in the <i>Savannah River Site DOE 435.1 Composite Analysis</i> . [SRNL-STI-2009-00512] DOE has inserted information describing the Composite Analysis into Section 7.0, of the final FTF 3116 Basis Document to address this comment. A footnote clarifying that the information is being provided for additional information outside the scope of the final FTF 3116 Basis Document has also been included. The Composite Analysis Executive Summary is provided as an attachment (Attachment 1) to this matrix for information. The final FTF 3116 Basis Document (DOE/SRS-WD-2012-001) is available for public review at the following websites: http://sro.srs.gov/f_htankfarmsdocuments.htm <u>and</u> www.em.doe.gov

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	Document Review Record				
SAVANNAH RIVER SITE			SRR-CWDA-2011-00119, Revision 0		
Document No./Title: DOE/SRS-WD-2010-001, Draft Basis for Section 3	Rev.:	Doc. Date:			
Closure of F-Tank Farm at the Savannah River Site	Revision 0	9/30/2010			
Commenter(s): Ben C. Rusche		Contact: Sherri Ross			
No. Comments	Comment Resolution				

REFERENCES:

DOE/SRS-WD-2010-001, Draft Basis for Section 3116 Determination for Closure of F-Tank Farm at the Savannah River Site, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC, Rev. 0, September 30, 2010.

DOE/SRS-WD-2012-001, Basis for Section 3116 Determination for Closure of F-Tank Farm at the Savannah River Site, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC, Rev. 0, March 2012.

SRNL-STI-2009-00512, Savannah River Site DOE 435.1 Composite Analysis, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC, Rev. 0, June 10, 2010.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	Document Review Record		
SAVANNAH RIVER SITE		SRR-CWDA-2	011-00119, Revision 0
Document No./Title: DOE/SRS-WD-2010-001, Draf	t Basis for Section 3116	Rev.:	Doc. Date:
Determination for Closure of F-Tank Farm at the Sa	Revision 0	9/30/2010	
Commenter(s): Ben C. Rusche			Contact: Sherri Ross

ATTACHMENT 1: Composite Analysis Executive Summary [SRNL-STI-2009-00512]

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY Document Review Record SAVANNAH RIVER SITE SRR-CWDA-2011-00119, R				9, Revision 0				
Document No	o./Title: DOE/SRS-WD-2010-001, Draf		Rev.:	Doc. Date:				
	n for Closure of F-Tank Farm at the Sa	vannah River Site	Revision 0	9/30/20				
Commenter(s	s): Ben C. Rusche				Sherri Ross			
		SRNL-STI-2	2009-00512, REV	ISION 0				
	1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY							
	A Composite Analysis (CA) is required Order 435.1 (DOE 1999a) to provide and disposal, high-level waste tank closure, protection of the public. This Order req- radionuclides and DOE enhanced natura- site after site operations have ceased. A Order 5400.5 (DOE 1990), has been est to prevent the potential dose from exceed dose constraint (i.e., administrative dose DOE. The results of a CA are an estima- points of assessment, which are selected minimum 1,000 year period after dispos- operations have ceased. This CA report documents the projected from the disposal of low-level radioacti- storage tanks, and potential disposal of ta and all other sources of residual radioac- interact with the disposal facilities and of member of the public. The impacts wer constraint. This CA satisfies the CA requirements if Sections IV.P. (3) and IV.P. (4)(a). An SRS site description was compiled to of the conceptual modeling carried out if support of conceptual model developmed Assessments (PAs) have, or are, being d and to provide an overview of related de identification of relevant points of asses period (AP) of interest, screening analys significant radionuclides and the signific An intensive effort was undertaken to co- waste tanks and waste sites, including th Agreement (FFA), to identify those hav order to establish the radionuclide inver- expected to remain on the SRS at the sit material projected to remain at SRS are	reasonable expectation that and transuranic waste dispo- quires an accounting of all se al radionuclides that are pro- alloomrem/yr primary dose ablished as the CA perform eding a significant fraction of e limit) of 30 mrem/yr has a ated dose to a hypothetical r based upon the site's land sal facility and tank closure al cumulative impacts to futu- ve waste (LLW), closure of transuranic waste at the Sav- tive material projected to be closure sites to affect the fut- re compared with the applic identified in DOE Manual 4 hat is sufficiently detailed to in the CA, to summarize exi- ent on SRS facilities for whi- leveloped in the General Se boumentation that influence ses were conducted to focus cant pathways of transport a onsult with custodial organi- ne 515 waste sites listed in to ing a process history associ- ntory of all sources of residu- te end state. All identified s	DOE low-level was osal ensure radiolo ources of DOE main jected to remain o e limit, based upon ance measure. Ho of the primary dose ilso been establishes member of the pub use plans, over a and/or all DOE sit are members of the radioactive liquid vannah River Site (e left at SRS that of ture radiological d able DOE dose lim ison performance parations Area (G es the CA. Follow ification of the assis and exposure. izations for SRS fat ated with radionuc ual radioactive mat	aste gical m-made n the DOE wever e limit, a ed by dic at te public waste SRS) would ose of a nit and Db), lopment in SA), ing the sessment on the cilities, acilities cilities in terial				

UNITED STATES SAVANNAH RIVI	DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY ER SITE	Document Review Rec	ord SRR-CWDA-2	2011-00119	, Revision			
Document No./Title: DOE/SRS-WD-2010-001, Draft Basis for Section 3116 Rev.: Doc. Date								
Determination for Closure of F-Tank Farm at the Savannah River SiteRevision 09/3Commenter(s): Ben C. RuscheConta								
(0)/		SRNL-STL2	009-00512, REV					
		5111-511-2	009-00312, REV.					
Proj	ected doses to the receptor, a hypoth	etical future member of the	e public, from all s	sources				
were	e calculated for a 10,100-year period	from 1950 to 12050. The	doses from 41 of	the				
	sources for which doses had not clearly peaked within the 10,100-yr period were further run to year 102,050 to determine whether maximum doses had been calculated. These analyses							
	to year 102,050 to determine whether maximum doses had been calculated. These analyses included the following three periods:							
	1. The 1,000-year CA period of	assessment required by DO	DE 435.1-1 (DOE	1999b),				
	beginning at the projected site							
	2. The 10,025-year period follow							
	not mandated by DOE 435.1-							
	information that is potentially 3116 of the 2005 National De		s regulated by Sec	cuon				
3	3. The post-compliance period (bevond 1.000 years). Anal	vses were also car	ried out				
	to year 102,050 to provide pe	rspective on the magnitude	of doses beyond	the				
	compliance timeframe, as rec Guide (DOE 1999c).	commended by the DOE PA	/CA Format and	Content				
	ause the SRS land use planning fores							
	esidential use, the receptor is assume annah River Site, during all of these							
	er for residential and agricultural use							
to re	to recreate (e.g., boating, fishing) at the mouths of the onsite streams.							
This	s CA focuses on groundwater and sur	rface water transport pathw	ays in calculating					
pote	ential doses to the receptor. The grou	indwater transport pathway	describes the mo	vement				
	adionuclides that leach from the sour	•	~					
	e to the aquifer and transport through am or the river. The model uses strea							
radio	onuclides in the streams or river from	n the flux of radionuclides						
aqui	ifer and arising from former streambo	ed contamination.						
The	CA model uses the GoldSim [™] code	e to calculate the transport f	from each source t	to its				
resp	ective POA and the resulting doses a	at each POA. Table 1-1 and	d Figure 1-1 and H	Figure				
	summarize the CA results. As shown							
	occurs at the Lower Three Runs (LT) pliance with the 100-mrem primary							
	timum dose occurs at the projected si							
	37 contained within the sediment of t		~					
	taminant and it has a relatively short ines from its 3 mrem/yr high in 2025							
	at LTR is no longer the controlling P							
	ease the maximum dose.	~	-					

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY Document Review Record								
SAVANNAH RIVER SITE SRR-CWDA-2011-00119						,		
Document No./Title: DOE/SRS-WD-2010-001, Draft Basis for Sect						Rev.:	Doc. Da	
	Determination for Closure of F-Tank Farm at the Savannah River Site Revision 0 9/30/20							Sherri Ross
Commenter	Commenter(s): Ben C. Rusche Contact:						Shem Ross	
				SI	RNL-STI-2	009-00512, REV	ISION 0	
	Table 1.1 M	Curimum Cur	uulativa Da	as at each POA	during C	A Daviad of Asso		
	Table 1-1. M	laximum Cui	nulative Do	se at each POA	auring C	A Period of Asse	ssment	
	-	- 50	S 7 8	aa	6 00	ure		
	of	um m/sm/sm/sm/sm/sm/sm/sm/sm/sm/sm/sm/sm/sm	we we	e ²	r utin clid	io/ ay		
	Point of ssessmer	ulat mre to	to 1	Major ntributi Source ²	Major ntributi dionucl	jor Expos Scenario/ Pathway		
	Point of Assessment	Maximum Cumulative ¹ Dose mrem/yr 2025 to 3025	Maximum Cumulative ^{1,5} Dose mrem/yr 3025 to 12050	Major Contributing Source ²	Major Contributing Radionuclide	Major Exposure Scenario/ Pathway		
	4	0 Å d	0 Q W	0	C R	Ma		
	Upper Three					Recreational/		
	Runs	1.06	0.40	H-Canyon	Np237	Fish		
			0.000			Ingestion		
	Fourmile					Recreational/		
	Branch	2.16	0.14	FMB IOU ³	Cs137	Fish		
						Ingestion		
	Steel	0.10	0.05	COLOTI	0.107	Recreational/		
	Creek/Pen Branch	0.42	0.05	SC IOU	Cs137	Fish Ingestion		
	Lower Three					Recreational/		
	Runs	2.97	0.05	LTR IOU	Cs137	Fish		
						Ingestion		
	Savannah					Residential/		
	River	0.17 4	0.05 4	LTR IOU	Cs137	Vegetable		
	¹ Sum of doese from the recidential and recreational exposure scenarios, using the respective stream flow rate							
	Sum of doses from the residential and recreational exposure scenarios, using the respective stream flow rate for recreational dose and the Augusta, GA, river flow rate, unless otherwise noted, for residential dose.							
	² See Table C-1 for Source Identification corresponding to abbreviations given below.							
	³ IOU stands for Integrator Operable Unit, which are the stream and river beds.							

³ IOU stands for Integrator Operable Unit, which are the stream and river beds.

⁴ Both residential and recreational doses are cumulative from all sources; the highway 301 bridge flow was used.

⁵ In all cases, the maximum dose in the 9,000 years beyond the 1,000 year assessment period occurred in year 3025.

Numerous sensitivity analyses were carried out to consider the effects of such factors as release rates, radionuclide inventories, alternative points of assessment, groundwater divides, stream flow variation, and alternative disposal actions on CA model results. A quantitative uncertainty analysis was also performed to assess the uncertainty in dose calculated from direct discharge of radionuclides to each POA. The results of both types of analyses provide great confidence that the dose to a member of the public will not exceed the performance measures (i.e., 100 mrem/year primary dose limit and the 30 mrem/year dose constraint).

In summary, there is a reasonable expectation that the performance measures identified for the CA will not be exceeded. An options analysis was deemed not necessary because the CA dose constraint of 30 mrem/year was not exceeded.

