

Award Fee Determination Scorecard

Contractor: Centerra-Savannah River Site
Contract: Protective Force Security Services
Contract Number: DE-AC30-10CC60025
Award Period: October 1, 2015 – March 31, 2016
Basis of Evaluation: Award Fee Plan

Award Fee Available: \$2,962,624.50

Award Fee Earned: \$2,814,493.20

Award Fee Area Adjectival Ratings:

Protective Force Operations and Training (45% of total available)

The Contractor shall provide a well-trained, highly motivated Protective Force (PF) capable of reliably executing routine and emergency duties in accordance with DOE directives and site specific requirements to ensure the overall security and safety of the SRS.

Period	Percentage earned this period
October 2015 - March 2016	43% / \$1,273,928.53

Protective Force Management and Support (15% of total available)

The Contractor shall provide management and support functions to include: recruiting and retention of personnel, protection program planning, development of routine and emergency orders, plans and procedures, effective utilization of PF personnel, logistical and administrative functions to ensure PF mission accomplishment and compliance with applicable directives in all programmatic functions.

Period	Percentage earned this period
October 2015 - March 2016	14% / \$414,767.43

Environment, Safety, Health and Quality Assurance (25% of total available)

The Contractor shall implement and maintain, in accordance with DOE requirements, comprehensive ESH&QA programs that ensure work is accomplished in accordance with applicable standards, as well as protect workers and the environment. In addition, the Contractor shall coordinate with the site M&O contractor, as appropriate, on site-wide ESH&QA topics.

Period	Percentage earned this period
October 2015 - March 2016	23% / \$681,403.63

Cost Control (15% of total available)

Minimize risk of cost overruns, reduce overall number of changes (e.g., Baseline change proposals, contract modifications, etc.) for scope, cost and schedule. The contractor will provide timely, accurate, reliable and actionable project and contractor cost, schedule, performance, risk, and forecast data, reports and information. This incentive is not intended to motivate the contractor to excel in cost control to the detriment of the other important performance objectives.

Period	Percentage earned this period
October 2015 - March 2016	15% / \$444,393.60

During this performance period the contractor met the majority of their performance goals and objectives for this period.

Significant Achievements: There were several security incidents requiring an armed, Protective Force (PF) Response for interdiction and resolution. The Centerra PF in each incident responded extremely well, initiated appropriate response plan activities and demonstrated exceptional command and control throughout the duration of each incident. The Annual ISO 14001 Audit was conducted in January 2016. NSF-International Strategic Registrations' auditor conducted a surveillance audit on the Centerra-SRS Environmental Management System. No major or minor non-conformances or opportunities for improvement were identified. The Environmental Management System was found effective and fully compliant. Also of note since April 13, 2015, Centerra –SRS employees have worked more than 1.4 million hours without a Lost-Time injury. During this period Centerra-SRS qualified for the South Carolina Chamber of Commerce Commendation of Excellence Award for the sixth year in a row which is awarded to "Companies who had a successful safety record and to recognize companies who have had a commendable Lost Workday Case Rate during the calendar year". Centerra completed the first six months of FY 2016 under budget by \$515K and is on track to underrun for the fiscal year. During this period the company continued to manage both labor and non-labor expenditures to ensure FY 2016 operating expenses do not exceed the FY 2016 Annual Operating Plan or the Contract Value.

Notable Deficiencies: During this rating period, Centerra experienced some performance failures by individual PF personnel who failed to follow company procedures. In each instance management assessed the matter and administered discipline as warranted. DOE-SR OSSES personnel observed the training as well as the tactical exercises to validate and confirm the PF met DOE expectations and contractual requirements. These performance issues were a key consideration in the determination of the overall performance grade.