

United States Government

Department of Energy

memorandum

DATE: February 12, 2003

REPLY TO
ATTN OF: EM-22.2 (Steve Golian, 301-903-7791)


SUBJECT: Definition of Environmental Management Completion

TO: Distribution

The purpose of this memorandum is to provide you with additional clarification on: 1) the specific activities that must be accomplished before an environmental cleanup project is complete; and 2) the specific activities that need to be accomplished before the Office of Environmental Management's (EM) responsibility for a site, or portions of a site, is complete. The attached fact sheet, which defines various terms relating to environmental cleanup, has been prepared to facilitate consistency across the complex for demonstrating/documenting when EM's responsibilities at a site have ended, and any remaining long-term management responsibilities should be administratively transferred to another Lead Program Secretarial Office (whenever DOE has a continuing mission at the site) or other entity. *DOE site closure is not required for EM completion.*

Site managers should ensure their respective site baselines, especially any assumptions regarding the operational and monitoring costs of long-term remedial actions, are reflected in accordance with these definitions.

If you have any questions regarding these definitions, please contact me at (202) 586-7709 or Ms. Cynthia Anderson, Corporate Project Manager for the National FOCUS Project at (803) 725-3966 or cynthia.anderson@srs.gov.


Jessie Hill Roberson
Assistant Secretary for
Environmental Management

Attachment

¹Criteria to attain "project closeout" (CD-4) for environmental projects will vary depending on the specific type of remedial activities being performed. Project-completion criteria/guidelines for specific remedial technologies will be issued shortly.

Warren E. Bergholz, Jr., Acting Manager, Idaho Operations Office (ID)
Jack R. Craig, Deputy Manager, Ohio Field Office (OH)
Keith A. Klein, Manager, Richland Operations Office (RL)
Roy J. Schepens, Manager, Office of River Protection (ORP)
Eugene C. Schmitt, Manager, Rocky Flats Field Office (RF)
Jeffrey M. Allison, Acting Manager, Savannah River Operations Office (SR)
Dr. Inés Triay, Manager, Carlsbad Field Office (CBFO)
William E. Murphie, Manager, Portsmouth/Paducah Field Office (PPFO)

cc:

Marvin E. Gunn, Jr., Manager, Chicago Operations Office (CH)
Kathleen Carlson, Manager, Nevada Operations Office (NV)
Camille Yuan-Soo Hoo, Manager, Oakland Operations Office (OAK)
Gerald Boyd, Acting Manager, Oak Ridge Operations Office (OR)
Jack Tillman, Director, Office of Environment, Science and Technology,
Albuquerque Operations Office (AL)
Anibal Taboas, Assistant Manager, Office of Program and Project Management,
Chicago Operations Office (CH)
Carl Gertz, Assistant Manager for Environmental Management,
Nevada Operations Office (NV)
Roger H. Liddle, Acting Assistant Manager for Environment and Nuclear Energy,
Oakland Operations Office (OAK)
Gerald Boyd, Assistant Manager for Environmental Management,
Oak Ridge Operations Office (OR)
Linton F. Brooks, Acting Administrator for National Nuclear Security Administration, NA-1
Raymond L. Orbach, Director, Office of Science, SC-1
Dr. James F. Decker, Principle Deputy Director, Office of Science, SC-1



Definition of EM Completion and DOE Site Closure

This fact sheet defines critical points in the cleanup process, specifies where the Office of Environmental Management (EM) programmatic responsibility ends, and clarifies the responsibilities of other Program Secretarial Offices managing a site after EM's mission is complete. Accordingly, these definitions serve as the framework for developing or revising strategic plans, site baselines, and implementation plans.

Environmental Management (Cleanup) includes those activities necessary to evaluate and mitigate a release or threat of release of a hazardous substance that may pose a risk to human health or the environment. Cleanup activities may include source term remediation, facility disposition, ground water response measures, surface water response measures, and legacy waste management (e.g., transuranic and orphan waste disposition). The term *cleanup* is used interchangeably with the terms *remedial action*, *removal action*, *response action*, and *corrective action*.

Response Action Completion occurs when a specific response attains its response action objective(s)/cleanup criteria such that no land use restrictions remain (e.g., contaminant concentrations reduced to acceptable, health-based levels in ground water).

EM Completion occurs when: 1) all required short-term response activities at a specific site are complete (e.g., soil excavation, cap construction, building decommissioning); 2) all required long-term response measures (e.g., ground water treatment systems) are constructed and determined to be operational and functional; 3) all necessary documentation is in place (e.g., engineering certifications/and verifications, post-closure or operating

permits, final site condition/configuration records); and 4) the site is administratively transferred from EM responsibility to another DOE, Federal, State or private entity.^{1,2,3}

Long-Term Response Action (LTRA) comprises the set of activities at a site, following EM completion, that are required as a result of ongoing operations, maintenance, or monitoring that is necessary to manage residual contamination above levels allowing unrestricted uses. For some response actions (e.g., capped burial grounds or containment cells for long-lived radionuclide metals), LTRA activities will be required indefinitely.

¹ After response action objectives or cleanup criteria have been achieved, any necessary dismantling/decommissioning of remediation facilities will be conducted as part of the LTRA scope.

² Should DOE determine that it is appropriate to initiate additional response measures following EM Completion, either to enhance remedy performance or reduce lifecycle project costs, these efforts will be conducted as part of the LTRA scope.

³ DOE will maintain liability for any residual wastes left onsite unless, as part of a transfer agreement, the receiver has agreed to assume future liability.

DOE Site Closure occurs (for non-DOE owned sites) with the cessation of any DOE mission at the site, or (for DOE-owned sites) when ownership of all real property is transferred to a non-DOE entity. *DOE site closure is not required for EM completion.*

In most situations, there will be multiple response actions initiated over a period of months or years at a site and therefore, individual projects will reach “Project Closeout” (CD-4) prior to EM completion.⁴ In these cases, EM will be responsible for operation and maintenance (O&M) activities until the project or site is administratively transferred, at which time the O&M activities become LTRA activities. The critical points defined above are illustrated in Figures 1 and 2.

Administrative Transfer of programmatic responsibility or ownership can be accomplished in one of three ways:

1. *Programmatic responsibility is transferred (or returned) to another DOE office* (responsibilities include administration, asset management, legal, regulatory and financial responsibilities);

2. *Ownership is transferred to another federal governmental agency.* If a response action is ongoing, transfer entails demonstration that the remedy is operational and functional—that is, the response system is in place and operating as designed (e.g., system pumping and treating x gallons of ground water per minute). Transfer of responsibility for an ongoing ground water remediation should include formal documentation of an exit strategy (See Highlight 1).
3. *Ownership is transferred to a state governmental agency or a private entity.* For National Priorities List sites, transfer of property to a state agency or a private entity entails meeting the requirements of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act section 120(h). This includes demonstrating that the remedy is operating properly and successfully (i.e.,) performance data demonstrate the system is performing as expected and will likely achieve the cleanup criteria or response action objectives as intended.)


Highlight 1 – Exit Strategies

An exit strategy comprises the set of information that will be used to demonstrate the desired performance has been achieved, the cleanup criteria have been met and the associated activities (e.g., treatment systems, monitoring) can be terminated. Exit strategies contain four essential elements:

1. A description of the objective of the activity,
2. A performance “model” that describes the expected course of the remediation process,
3. A listing of the performance metrics, decision criteria, and endpoints that will be used to assess how the response is progressing and demonstrate when the objective has been reached; and
4. Contingency plan that will be implemented if data indicate that objectives will not be met.

For more information on exit strategies, see the related fact sheet, *Developing Exit Strategies for Environmental Restoration Projects*, March 2000.

Figure 1. Conceptual Depiction of EM Completion



RESPONSE ACTION DECISION: Required remedial/response measures are adequately defined, agreed to with regulatory agencies, and documented in a CERCLA Record of Decision, RCRA Permit Modification, or equivalent decision document.

EM COMPLETION: All required short-term response activities (e.g., soil excavation, cap construction, building decommissioning) at a specific site are complete; all required long-term response measures (e.g., groundwater treatment systems) are constructed and are operational and functional; all required documentation (e.g., certifications/verifications, post-closure or operating permits, final site conditions/configuration records) is in place; and the site is administratively transferred from EM responsibility to another DOE, Federal, State, or private entity.

LONG-TERM RESPONSE ACTION (LTRA): Set of activities at a site, following EM completion, that are required as a result of ongoing operations, maintenance, or monitoring that is necessary to manage residual contamination above levels allowing unrestricted uses.

RESPONSE ACTION COMPLETION: Response action attains its specific response action objectives/cleanup criteria (e.g., contaminant concentrations reduced to acceptable, health-based levels in ground water), such that no land use restrictions remain. *[Note: For some response actions (e.g., capped burial grounds or containment cells for long-lived radionuclides), LTRA activities will be required indefinitely.]*

Figure 2. Conceptual Depiction of EM Completion at a Site where Multiple Response Actions are Initiated Over an Extended Period of Time

