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INL Site HLW is in Dry Storage in the Form of Calcine

- **8-9M gallons of liquid HLW** were converted to **4400 cubic meters of granular solid (calcine)** through a fluidized bed calcination process
  - 7 to 1 volume reduction achieved
    - Average particle size is 0.4 cm
    - Bulk density is about 1.5 to 1.8 g/cc
  - Contains roughly 44 metric tons heavy metal

- **Calcine is stored in 43 bins in 6 concrete-shielded binsets with one spare**
  - 7th set of bins – intended for calcined SBW
  - Designed for 500 year service life

- **Calcine is classified as hazardous waste under RCRA**
  - Exhibits hazardous waste characteristics for toxicity for metals
  - Contains listed wastes

- **Currently stored under 10-year RCRA Part B permit issued November 2006**
  - 2007 visual inspection of bins – no adverse findings

- **The Calcine Disposition Project (CDP) is established to meet:**
  - Settlement Agreement and Site Treatment Plan requirements
Calcine Bin Set #6

Model of Bin Set #6

Top view of CSSF #6 bins
Technical Challenge: Layering of Calcine (Bin Set #3 shown)
Current Disposal Options for Calcine Remain as Follows:

- **Retrieve, package and dispose of as is (direct disposal option)**
  - Idaho baseline approach – highest regulatory risk, lowest cost
  - Requires conditional exemption from RCRA
  - Granular waste form

- **Treatment by hot isostatic pressing**
  - Volume reduction – being evaluated by BEA and ANSTO, Inc.
  - Monolythic waste form – requires delisting
  - Could compact (~50% volume reduction) either of above

- **Treatment by steam reforming**
  - Maximizes re-use of IWTU
  - Requires re-dissolution of calcine in nitric acid
  - Granular waste form – requires delisting

- **Treatment by direct vitrification**
  - Lowest regulatory risk – highest cost and volume
  - Monolythic waste form – requires delisting
**Basis for Pursuing the Direct Disposal Option**

- **INL conducted preliminary long-term performance sensitivity analysis using Yucca Mountain Total System Performance Assessment (TSPA) model used in the Final EIS**
  - Hazardous constituents do not migrate beyond the repository boundary in concentrations above health-based levels at the radionuclide compliance point established in 40 CFR 197 during the proposed 1,000,000-year regulatory period.

- **INL also ran EPA’s Industrial Waste Evaluation model using conservative site-specific data/assumptions for Yucca Mountain**
  - Results show that health-based limits are not exceeded at the hypothetical well (1 mile away) for any hazardous constituent.

- **Modeling suggests no significant environmental benefit associated with further treatment**

- **DOE is thus planning to petition EPA for a conditional exemption of calcined HLW from the regulatory definition of hazardous waste based on disposal at an NRC-licensed geologic repository and an exemption from land disposal prohibitions based on a no-migration demonstration**
  - Regulatory precedent is “Conditional Exemption for Low-Level Mixed Waste Storage and Disposal” found at 40 CFR 266, Subpart N.
  - Human health and environmental protection requirements for geologic repository more stringent than requirements for low-level waste disposal.
Basis for Pursuing the Direct Disposal Option (cont.)

- **An INL petition would seek to demonstrate that an NRC-licensed repository will be as protective or more protective than a disposal unit permitted under RCRA**
  - DOE-ID **needs access** to the revision of the TSPA model supporting the NRC Yucca Mountain License Application to update the 2004 draft petition
  - NRC’s confirmatory model will also be run

- **DOE-ID has recently opened discussions with EPA (Region 10) on the regulatory feasibility of the direct disposal approach and well as the treatment alternatives**
  - Obtain feedback as to what EPA’s expectations are regarding the proposed petition for direct disposal without further treatment and the three treatment alternatives
  - The impact of the state of Nevada’s RCRA authority was also discussed
Further Evaluation of HIP Option Appears Warranted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consolidation:</th>
<th>HIP</th>
<th>Vitrification (JHM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matrix:</td>
<td>glass-ceramic</td>
<td>borosilicate glass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste loading:</td>
<td>60-90%</td>
<td>20-35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durability (PCT-B):</td>
<td>10-100 x EA glass</td>
<td>10 x EA glass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final volume:</td>
<td>15-45% reduction</td>
<td>100+% increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(relative to untreated calcine)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Temp: 2200°F
- Pressure: 4500 psi
- Off-gas: minimal
- Off-gas: minimal

Facility

- Future Mission Flexibility: diverse/flexible
- Future Mission Flexibility: extremely limited/inflexible

- Cold calcine in glass-ceramic matrix
- Direct SBW compaction (no additives)
- Metal encapsulation of uneconomic feeds (Swedish SNF in copper shown)
Technology Initiative: HIP Evaluation Funded by EM-20

- **Contract between Battelle Energy Alliance and the Australian National Science and Technology Organization, Inc. was signed 2/28/2008**
  - Currently funded at $2.5M (FY-07 funds)
  - Will provide data to be used in downselection of treatment alternatives

- **Presents opportunity to maximize return on investment by:**
  - Diversifying DOE’s technology platform and reducing technical risk by producing and evaluating wasteforms with surrogate (non-radioactive) materials
    - Glass-ceramic waste forms for INL calcines
    - Direct HIPing of INL calcines and SBW (50% volume reduction plus monolith)
    - Encapsulation of corroded fuel cladding, hulls, pins etc.
    - Immobilization of other waste difficult to vitrify in conjunction with ceramic or glass-ceramic matrices such as:
      - Technetium, U-233, impure Plutonium, Cesium, Iodine, ...

- **DOE-ID currently has a HIP unit installed in the HFEF hot-cell at INL**
  - Will use to evaluate remote operations (filling, crimping, etc.)

- **DOE-ID needs an additional $2.5M to complete scope**
  - FY-09 funding may not be timely if in a continuing resolution
DOE is in the Process of Meeting with EPA

- **EPA regulatory action will/may be needed to allow disposal of certain Department of Energy (DOE) wastes at a Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Licensed Geologic Repository**
  - Meeting with Dr. Bartus (EPA R-10) held February 5, 2008

- **Wastes that may require EPA regulatory action include:**
  - Hanford Richland Office cesium/strontium capsules
  - Idaho National Laboratory (INL) Site sodium-bonded fuel

- **Wastes that will require EPA regulatory action include:**
  - Hanford Office of River Protection Immobilized HLW
  - INL HLW calcine
FERMI & EBR-II Sodium-Bonded Spent Nuclear Fuel (SNF)

• Though not HLW, the presence of metallic sodium (Na) may exhibit RCRA reactivity characteristics requiring treatment or EPA regulatory action
  – Na is integral to the SNF (inside cladding), provides heat transfer bonding agent
  – Reaction rate of Na exposed to air or water is energetic

• Fermi blanket assemblies have low burn-up and cladding is intact
  – A process (MEDEC) is being evaluated that evaporates Na off into a deactivation trap
    • The assembly is then canned (if necessary) for disposal in DOE standardized canister
  – Fermi driver assemblies are not Na-bonded

• EBR-II Driver assemblies are fissured and require treatment
  – High radiation levels & burn-up caused sodium to permeate fuel meat
    • Cladding unravels in CPP-666 when storage cans (10% of which) leak
  – Pyrochemical processing is used to separate assemblies into uranium and two disposable wasteforms
    • A ceramic that stabilizes fission products that form chlorides
    • A stainless/zirconium wasteform stabilizes cladding hulls and noble fission products
  – EBR-II blanket assemblies are intact and may lend themselves to treatment similar to FERMI

• Treatment of FFTF SNF is similar to EBR-II
Tank Farm Closure is Progressing at the INL Site

INTEC TANK FARM CLOSURE

- Octagon Vaults: WM-180, WM-181
- Pillar and Panel Vaults: WM-182, WM-183, WM-184, WM-185, WM-186
- Square Vaults: WM-187, WM-188, WM-189, WM-190
Tank Closure Sequence

- Empty to heel with existing jets
- Flush piping into tanks
- Install new steam jet and wash equipment
- Wash tank and empty with new jet
- Video and sample tank residuals
- Tank evaluation
- Obtain authorization to grout
- Displace heel with grout
- Fill tank, piping and vault with grout
Cleaning of HLW Tank VES-WM-182
Grouting of HLW Tank VES-WM-182
Integrated Waste Treatment Unit Project

- **Project mission is to provide treatment of approximately 900,000 gallons of liquid tank waste stored at the Idaho Tank Farm Facility to a stable waste form for disposition at the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant**
  - Became necessary due to decision to cease New Waste Calciner operations
  - Integrated with Calcine Disposition Project to support follow-on calcine mission

- **Project is a Line Item Capital Project within the Idaho Cleanup Project (ICP) contract awarded to CH2M*WG, LLC on March 23, 2005**

- **Steam Reforming is the treatment technology (December 2005 Record of Decision)**
  - Current flowsheet produces a carbonate waste form for disposal at WIPP
    - *Mineralized flowsheet is also developed*
  - Technology also under consideration for treatment of calcine (if necessary)

- **Project Performance Baseline:**
  - Critical Decision (CD) -2 approved in December 2006
  - CD-3 approved in August 2007
  - Total Project Cost is estimated at $461M (includes $80M of management reserve/contingency)
Work Continues Through Idaho Winter

Weather Enclosure In Place
November 5, 2007

Shield Wall Formwork in Progress
March 10, 2008
Regulatory Challenges: Calcine Disposition & SBW Project Drivers

• **Meet Idaho Settlement Agreement (ISA) milestones**
  – Issue a NEPA Record of Decision (ROD) by 12/31/2009 to identify method to treat calcine (if necessary)
    • Dual path ROD may carry forward both a treatment and the direct disposal option
  – Submit a RCRA Part B Permit application by 12/1/2012 to the state of Idaho for retrieval and treatment (includes packaging)
  – Complete “calcination” of all SBW liquid high-level waste by 12/31/2012
  – Have all calcine ready for transport out of the state of Idaho by a target date of 12/31/2035

• **Meet RCRA 1992 Non-Compliance Consent Order for Tank Closure**
  – Closure of all liquid HLW tanks by 12/31/2012

• **Meet Idaho Site Treatment Plan (STP) milestones**
  – Approval of CD-0 by June 30, 2007
    • Approved June 29, 2007 by Deputy Secretary Clay Sell
  – Approval of CD-1 by September 30, 2009
  – Submit an enforceable schedule for disposition of calcine (including design, construction, and start of operations) by June 30, 2010

• **Both required to fulfill commitments in 2005 ROD from the Idaho HLW and Facilities Disposition EIS - DOE/EIS-0287**
INL Site HLW Program in a Nutshell

• The INL Site HLW program currently consists of 4400 cubic meters of calcine located in 6 bin sets and will require EPA regulatory action
  – Classification of SBW waste is subject to a future Section 3116 waste determination
  – Sodium-bonded SNF may likewise require EPA regulatory action prior to disposal

• The INL Site HLW program is making progress in meeting the EM mission
  – Idaho Nuclear Technology and Engineering Complex Tank Farm closure
    • Seven large and 4 small tanks are grouted, with four large SBW tanks remaining
    • Upon closure, DOE-ID EM will be out of HLW, SBW, and SNF wet storage
  – Calcine Disposition Project is working to meet regulatory/legal commitments
    • Conceptual design of retrieval system is complete, technology downselection is on track
    • DOE-EM, ID, RW, and GC are engaged in the need to approach EPA on calcine disposition
      alternatives
    • Meanwhile, calcine storage is considered environmentally safe for the foreseeable future
  – The IWTU facility is under construction

• DOE-ID needs the following assistance from EM-HQ
  – Additional funding for the HIP technology evaluation initiative (2009 NEPA ROD)
  – Assistance in obtaining access to the RW TSPA model (2011 Amended ROD)