Background

The claim in question arose when the Department’s Assistant Secretary for Elementary and Secondary Education (Assistant Secretary) issued a program determination letter (PDL) on March 26, 1997. The PDL demanded a refund of $1,846,718 of funds provided to the PRDE for school years 1991–92 and 1992–93 under Chapter 1 of Title 1 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 2701 et seq. (1988)). Specifically, the Assistant Secretary found that the PRDE had used Chapter 1 funds to assess the special educational needs of low achievers in violation of the Department’s Title I guidelines.

The Assistant Secretary disallowed the costs for 1991–92 and 1992–93 attributable to non-Chapter 1 students.

The PRDE filed a timely appeal with the OALJ. In response to a motion for partial summary judgment filed by the PRDE, the OALJ held that $1,017,440 of the Assistant Secretary’s claim was barred from recovery by the statute of limitations in 20 U.S.C. 1234a(k). As a result, $829,278, representing costs incurred in school year 1992–93, remain at issue. The Administrative Law Judge assigned to the appeal granted the parties’ joint motion to stay proceedings pending settlement negotiations.

During settlement discussions, the PRDE submitted substantial documentation to demonstrate that additional assessment costs were allowable Chapter 1 costs. For example, the PRDE demonstrated that certain fixed costs for in-service workshops and the preparation of required reports were necessary to meet Chapter 1 requirements, irrespective of the number of students assessed. Moreover, the PRDE demonstrated that it had properly assessed additional students no longer receiving Chapter 1 services in order to meet certain Chapter 1 requirements. After conducting a thorough review of this documentation, the Assistant Secretary has determined that it would not be practical or in the public interest to continue this proceeding. In addition, in light of subsequent changes in the Chapter 1/Title I assessment requirements that permit testing all students, there is little or no likelihood of a recurrence of this problem. As a result, under the authority in 20 U.S.C. 1234a(j), the Department has determined that compromise of this claim for $214,545 is appropriate.

The public is invited to comment on the Department’s intent to compromise this claim. Additional information may be obtained by calling or writing to Kay Rigling, Esq. at the telephone number and address listed at the beginning of this notice.

Electronic Access to This Document

You may view this document, as well as all other Department of Education documents published in the Federal Register, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) on the Internet at the following site:

http://www.ed.gov/legislation/FedRegister

To use PDF, you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at this site. If you have questions about using PDF, call the U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO), toll free, at 1–888–293–6498; or in the Washington, DC, area at 202–512–1530.

You may also view this document in text or PDF at the following site: http://www.ed.gov.


Program Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1234a(j).

Dated: July 2, 2002.

Jack Martin,
Chief Financial Officer.

[FR Doc. 02–16958 Filed 7–5–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement for Disposal of Immobilized Low-Activity Wastes From Hanford Tank Waste Processing

AGENCY: Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) announces its intent to prepare a supplemental environmental impact statement (Supplemental EIS) to the Tank Waste Remediation System, Hanford Site, Richland, Washington, Final Environmental Impact Statement (TWRS EIS, DOE/EIS–0189, August 1996). The TWRS EIS evaluated alternatives for the disposal of mixed, radioactive, and hazardous waste stored or projected to be stored in 177 underground storage tanks and approximately 60 active and inactive miscellaneous underground storage tanks associated with the Hanford Site’s tank farm operations. The TWRS EIS also evaluated alternatives for the management and disposal of approximately 1,930 cesium and strontium capsules stored at the Hanford Site. This EIS included analyses of on-site disposal of immobilized (vitrified) low-activity waste resulting from chemical separation of the Hanford tanks. In its Record of Decision (62 FR 8693, February 1997), DOE decided on the Phased Implementation Alternative, to chemically separate and vitrify high-level and low-activity wastes retrieved from the tanks. In Phase 1, the immobilized low-activity waste would be placed in near-surface, retrievable disposal vaults on-site. DOE is now reconsidering the type of disposal facility for the immobilized low-activity waste, the location of this disposal facility on the Site, and the physical form of the vitrified low-activity waste product. Accordingly, DOE invites public comment on the scope of the Supplemental EIS that would evaluate potential changes in the Department’s plans.

DATES: The public scoping period begins with the publication of this Notice and extends through August 26, 2002. DOE invites all interested parties to submit written comments or suggestions during the scoping period. Written comments must be postmarked by August 26, 2002 and submitted to the DOE document manager (see ADDRESSES below). Comments postmarked after that date will be considered to the extent practicable.

Oral and written comments will be received at a public scoping meeting to be held on the date and at the location given below: Richland, Washington, August 20, 2002, 6:00 pm to 8:00 pm Red Lion Hanford House, Benton- Franklin Room, 802 George Washington Way, Richland, WA 99352.

For further information, see Public Scoping Meetings under SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION below.

ADDRESSES: Address comments on the scoping of the Supplemental EIS to the DOE Document Manager: Ms. Gae M. Neath, U.S. Department of Energy, Post...
Tank Wastes at the Hanford Site

Processing reactor fuel and related activities at the Hanford Site created a wide variety of radioactive wastes that have been stored in 177 underground tanks. Typically, the tank wastes are highly radioactive and mixed with hazardous waste.

There are 149 single-shell tanks storing about 125.7 million liters (ML) (33.2 million gallons (Mgal)) of waste at the Hanford Site. Single shell tanks have one steel wall, surrounded by reinforced concrete; they were constructed between 1944 and 1964 with a design life of 20 to 30 years. The single-shell tanks received waste from chemical processing until 1980. The capacity of most single-shell tanks is 1.9 ML to 3.8 ML (0.5 Mgal to 1.0 Mgal). The tanks are located under ground and are covered with 1.8 to 3 meters (6 to 10 feet) of earth. These tanks contain radioactive liquids, saltcake, and sludge. About half of the single-shell tanks have leaked or are assumed to have leaked.

Approximately 3.9 ML (1.0 Mgal) of waste has leaked or spilled into the nearby soil. Over the years, much of the liquid stored in single-shell tanks has been evaporated or pumped to double-shell tanks as part of DOE’s Interim Tank Stabilization Program to prevent further leakage.

There are twenty-eight 3.9 ML (1.0 Mgal) double-shell tanks at Hanford. The double-shell tanks were constructed between 1970 and 1986. Most of these tanks are designed for up to 50 years of storage. They are similar to the single-shell tanks, but double-shell tanks have a second steel containment wall. The space between the two walls is monitored for leaks, and none of the double-shell tanks has been known to leak. The double-shell tanks are used to treat and store a variety of liquid radioactive wastes from the single-shell tanks and from various Hanford Site processes. The double-shell tanks now contain about 79.5 ML (21.0 Mgal) of waste.

Tank Waste Remediation System Environmental Impact Statement

The TWRS EIS addressed the management, treatment, storage, and disposal of the waste currently stored in the existing tanks and other wastes that may be generated during future decontamination and decommissioning activities at Hanford. The scope of the EIS included safe operations, waste retrieval, and treatment and disposal of tank waste. The EIS also addressed the management of approximately 1930 radioactive cesium and strontium capsules. The EIS evaluated 10 tank waste alternatives and 4 alternatives for managing the cesium and strontium capsules. The tank waste alternatives included a No Action Alternative and a range of action alternatives that involved varying degrees of tank waste retrieval and chemical separation of high-level and low-activity wastes. In all of the alternatives involving chemical separation of tank wastes, the high-level waste would be vitrified and stored until it could be shipped to a potential geologic repository. The low-activity waste would be immobilized and placed into near-surface concrete (grout) vaults on site.

The TWRS EIS Record of Decision (TWRS ROD) selected the Department’s Preferred Alternative, the Phased Implementation Alternative, and deferred a decision on the cesium and strontium capsules. During Phase I (demonstration phase) of the Phased Implementation Alternative, DOE would retrieve a portion of the waste from the tanks and chemically separate the low-activity and high-level wastes. Demonstration-scale waste treatment facilities would be designed, constructed, and operated to immobilize tank waste. DOE also decided that immobilized low-activity waste would be prepared for future on site disposal in existing grout vaults. The phased approach would allow DOE to use the lessons learned from the demonstration phase to improve the design, construction, and operations of full-scale facilities constructed during Phase II.

In accordance with the TWRS ROD, DOE has continued to evaluate new information pertinent to Hanford tank waste remediation and is now reconsidering aspects of Phase I implementation for low-activity waste. Specifically, DOE is now considering a different type of disposal facility, a different on-site disposal location, and a different physical form of the vitrified low-activity waste product than were originally analyzed in the TWRS EIS. Accordingly, DOE has decided to prepare a Supplemental EIS.

Proposed Action

DOE proposes to dispose of immobilized low-activity waste generated from the retrieval and treatment of tank wastes at the Hanford Site in near-surface trenches located in the 200 East Area of the Hanford Site. This proposal represents a change in DOE’s decision in the TWRS ROD to retrievably dispose of low-activity wastes in concrete vaults.

The proposed low-activity waste form also is different from the Phased Implementation Alternative, under which tank waste would be immobilized in vitrified cullet, produced by quenching the molten glass in water following vitrification, resulting in gravel-sized pieces of glass. DOE proposes instead to immobilize low-activity waste in monoliths, produced by casting the molten glass into a canister, resulting in a single encased piece of glass.

In accordance with the TWRS ROD, DOE will continue to evaluate new information relative to the tank waste remediation program. As this information becomes available, DOE may consider new treatment technologies and would conduct further NEPA review as appropriate.

Preliminary Alternatives

Disposal of Immobilized Low-Activity Waste in Near-Surface Engineered Systems (i.e., Trenches) in the 200 East Area of the Hanford Site

This alternative reflects current DOE planning for disposal of immobilized low-activity waste generated from tank waste retrieval and chemical separation. The immobilized low-activity waste would be placed in sealed containers, and disposed of in lined trenches with leachate collection systems in the 200 East Area of the Hanford Site. DOE will evaluate the impacts associated with the disposal of immobilized low-activity waste in trenches and closing and capping the trenches with a range of barriers.
Disposal of Immobilized Low-Activity Waste in Near-Surface Engineered Systems (i.e., Trenches) in the 200 West Area of the Hanford Site

Under this alternative, the immobilized low-activity waste would be placed in sealed containers and disposed of in lined trenches with leachate collection systems at a representative site in the 200 West Area of the Hanford Site. DOE will evaluate the impacts associated with the disposal of the low-activity waste in trenches and closing and capping the trenches with a range of barriers.

No Action Alternative

In the Supplemental EIS, the No Action Alternative will be the Phased Implementation Alternative selected in the TWRS EIS ROD. Under this alternative, DOE would implement its previous decision concerning immobilized low-activity waste: retrievable disposal of the low-activity waste in concrete vaults located at the Hanford Site. The analysis of this alternative would be updated with information that has become available since the TWRS EIS was published to ensure an appropriate comparison among alternatives.

Preliminary Issues Identified for Analysis

The following issues have been preliminarily identified for analysis in the Supplemental EIS. This list is presented to facilitate public comment on the scope of the Supplemental EIS and is not intended to be all-inclusive or to predetermine the potential impacts of any of the alternatives.

1. Potential effects on the public and onsite workers from releases of radiological and nonradiological materials during normal operations and from reasonably foreseeable accidents;
2. Pollution prevention and waste minimization;
3. Potential effects on air and water quality and other environmental consequences of normal operations and potential accidents;
4. Potential cumulative effects of operations at the Hanford Site, including relevant impacts from past, present, and reasonably foreseeable activities at the Site;
5. Potential effects on endangered species, floodplain/wetlands, archaeological/historical sites;
6. Potential long-term effects on groundwater, surface water, and human health;
7. Effects from normal transportation and postulated transportation accidents;
8. Potential socioeconomic impacts on surrounding communities;
9. Unavoidable adverse environmental effects;
10. Short-term uses of the environment versus long-term productivity;
11. Potential irretrievable and irreversible commitment of resources.

Cooperating Agency

The Hanford Communities, a Washington State intergovernmental group representing the local communities of Richland, West Richland, Kennewick, and Pasco, Benton County, and the Port of Benton, is a cooperating agency in the preparation of this Supplemental EIS.

Public Scoping Meeting

DOE invites the public to attend a scoping meeting at which comments may be presented on the scope of the Supplemental EIS. Oral and written comments will be considered equally in preparation of the Supplemental EIS. Oral and written comments will be received at the public scoping meeting as stated under DATES above.

DOE will begin the scoping meeting with a short presentation on the Supplemental EIS process, the proposed action, preliminary alternatives, and other related information. Individuals and organizations will then be invited to present comments. Requests to speak at the public meetings may be made by calling or writing to the DOE document manager (see ADDRESSES above).

Registered speakers will be heard on a first-come, first-served basis. Requests to speak must be received at the meeting, and a question and answer period will be held after speakers have had an opportunity to speak.

Related NEPA Documentation

Other NEPA documents that may be relevant to the Supplemental EIS include:
10. Environmental Assessments:
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Notice of Availability of Solicitation

AGENCY: Albuquerque Operations Office, Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of availability of solicitation-research and development of the Nevada Solar Dish Power Project.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Albuquerque Operations Office (AL), is seeking applications for research and development for a new project to deploy solar dish-engine systems at a site in southern Nevada. The Project, entitled The Nevada Solar Dish Power Project, is sponsored by the DOE's Concentrating Solar Power (CSP) Program to provide a “bridge” from R&D to commercialization of solar dish technology. Therefore, it is aimed at deploying systems that have established operational credentials not at performing R&D on system designs. The two project objectives are (1) to fabricate and field 1 megawatt or more of solar dish-engine systems in a power plant environment, and (2) to develop a project development, installation, and O&M database for dish-engine systems. We expect the installation and testing of the systems to start in late 2002 or early 2003 and to continue through 2004–2005. Since this is a pre-commercial deployment, we plan for dish-engine power plant to continue to operate in a sustainable manner following the completion of the project. We anticipate the authorization project funding in FY2002 and subsequent years, subject to Congressional appropriations. The financial assistance award(s) will be made on a competitive basis, utilizing an objective merit review process, and may consist of multiple cooperative agreements. A written proposal that includes technical and cost volumes will be solicited. A DOE technical panel will perform a scientific and engineering evaluation of each responsive application to determine the merit of the approach. DOE anticipates issuing one or more financial assistance instruments from this solicitation. Funding in the amount of $500,000 is anticipated to be available. Cost sharing by the applicant is desired.

DATES: Applications are to be received no later than 3 p.m. local prevailing time on August 1, 2002. Any application received after the due date will not be evaluated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Martha L. Youngblood, Contracting Officer, DOE/AL, at (505) 845–4268 or by e-mail at MYOUNGBLOOD@DOEAL.GOV

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The solicitation will be available on the Internet on or about July 1, 2002 at the following web site: http://e-center.doe.gov/. Applications must be prepared and submitted in accordance with the instructions and forms contained in the solicitation. For profit and not-for-profit organizations, state and local governments, Indian tribes, and institutions of higher learning are eligible for awards under this solicitation. Collaboration between industry, industry organizations, and universities are encouraged.

Issued in Albuquerque, New Mexico June 21, 2002.

Martha L. Youngblood,
Contracting Officer, Complex Support Branch, Contracts and Procurement Division.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP02–392–000]

Ozark Gas Transmission, L.L.C.; Notice of Application

July 1, 2002.

Take notice that on June 21, 2002, Ozark Gas Transmission, L.L.C. (Ozark), 515 Central Park Drive, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105, filed in Docket No. CP02–392–000 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction of an upgrade to an existing delivery point in Arkansas, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.gov using the “RIMS” link, select “Docket #” and follow the instructions (call 202–208–2222 for assistance). Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission’s web site under the “e-Filing” link.

Comment Date: July 8, 2002.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,
Deputy Secretary.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Consumers Energy Company; Notice of Application

July 1, 2002.

Take notice that on June 28, 2002, Consumers Energy Company submitted an amendment to its original application in this proceeding, under section 204 of the Federal Power Act. The amendment seeks a waiver of the competitive bidding and negotiated placement requirements at 18 CFR 34.2 related to issuances to refinance and replace its revolving credit facility. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest such filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions and protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission to determine the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.gov using the “RIMS” link, select “Docket #” and follow the instructions (call 202–208–2222 for assistance). Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission’s web site under the “e-Filing” link.

Comment Date: July 8, 2002.