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Economic Benefits of Aviation (pre-pandemic)

- 5.1% of U.S. GDP
- 10.6 Million U.S. jobs
- $1.6 Trillion in U.S. economic activity annually
- $59.9 Billion of U.S. Trade Balance (exports-imports)

SOURCE: FAA Air Traffic Organization

Aviation equipment (aircraft, spacecraft, and related equipment) is largest export sector in U.S. economy accounting for over 8% of total exports.

SOURCE: U.S. International Trade Commission
Environmental Impacts of Aviation

Combustion Emissions

- CO\(_2\): 71%
- Water: 28%
- CO, HC, NO\(_x\), SO\(_x\), Primary PM\(_{2.5}\): < 1%

Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics

- Primary PM\(_{2.5}\)
- Secondary PM\(_{2.5}\)
- SO\(_x\)
- NO\(_x\)
- UHC
- CO
- Ozone

Population Exposure and Health Impacts

Global Climate Change

- Cooling Effects
- Warming Effects

Emissions from Fuel Production

- CH\(_4\), N\(_2\)O, CO\(_2\)

Contrails & Cirrus Clouds

Land and Water Usage
Airport CO₂ Emissions

- Airports have a variety of non-aircraft energy users that produce emissions.
- Hydrogen could be used to replace / augment these energy sources (e.g., aircraft ground support equipment, ground fleet, electricity generation, backup power).
- However, there are significant challenges (below points are from M. Pagliarello of ACI-NA).
  - Beyond safety, which is always a concern, other issues include funding, storage, and delivery.
  - To date, a few U.S. airports have done cursory analysis on potential for hydrogen, and they found hurdles too large to pursue.
  - The most extensive study is currently being conducted at London Heathrow, which is expected to provide information that will be informative for other airports around the world.
Aviation Noise

• Many communities are concerned about noise from aircraft and helicopters operations

• Public could have similar concerns with noise from unmanned aerial systems (i.e. drones) and advanced air mobility vehicles

• Electrification could enable dramatic changes in vertical lift vehicles
  – Depending on design choices, could get a step change reduction in vehicle noise
  – Changes in number of lifting fans, fan geometry, and the use of ducts for the fans
  – Batteries or hydrogen fuel cells could be a key enabler to this change in vehicle architecture
  – Choice of primary energy source will depend on specific needs of the air vehicle, (e.g., range, payload, refueling time, total energy needs)
Aircraft Energy

• To enable long distance transport, aircraft need considerable energy storage while also producing considerable power

• To produce this power, an aviation fuel needs large energy per unit mass and volume

• For use in long distance aircraft, hydrogen would either need to be cryogenic or used in a power-to-liquid fuel, (a.k.a., electrofuels that use renewable H₂ and CO₂)

• Aviation also has stringent safety requirements – see ASTM D1655 & D7566 for jet fuel

Airports as Energy Hubs (1 of 2)

• Commercial service airports handle large quantities of jet fuel (Los Angeles International uploads ~100,000 barrels of jet fuel per day)
• Transportation and handling of fuel needs to be a key consideration

Graphic courtesy of F. Allroggen of MIT from ASCENT Project 52
See https://ascent.aero/project/comparative-assessment-of-electrification-strategies-for-aviation/
Airports as Energy Hubs (2 of 2)

- Replacing jet fuel with cryogenic hydrogen would require considerable electricity to electrolyze water and compress it to a cryogenic state.
- Power-to-liquids would require comparable energy as cryogenic hydrogen, but without requiring infrastructure changes.

**Electric power consumption of fuel production**

*broken down by process step, in GW*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Power Consumption (GW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LH₂ Combustion</td>
<td>221 GW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrolysis</td>
<td>186 GW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquefaction</td>
<td>36 GW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ Capture</td>
<td>75 GW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>264 GW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Electric energy consumption of fuel production**

*in GW, by airport*

- London Heathrow Apt: 9.5 GW
- Dubai International: 8.9 GW
- Los Angeles International Apt: 7.8 GW
- New York J F Kennedy International Apt: 6.8 GW
- Singapore Changi Apt: 6.2 GW
- Paris Charles de Gaulle Apt: 5.3 GW
- Frankfurt International Apt: 5.3 GW
- San Francisco International Apt: 5.1 GW
- Seoul Incheon International Airport: 5.0 GW
- Tokyo Narita Intl: 4.8 GW
- Hong Kong International Apt: 4.8 GW
- Doha: 4.7 GW
- Beijing Capital Intl Apt: 4.5 GW
- Amsterdam: 4.4 GW
- Sydney Kingsford Smith Apt: 4.4 GW
- Bangkok Suvarnabhumi International Apt: 3.7 GW
- Shanghai Pudong International Apt: 3.0 GW
- Chicago O’Hare International Apt: 3.3 GW
- Madrid Barajas Apt: 3.2 GW
- Abu Dhabi International Apt: 2.7 GW

**For comparison:**

- U.S. power generation capacity (2019): 1.2 TW
- Cumulative global PV capacity (2019): 627 GW

Preliminary data courtesy of F. Alroggen of MIT from ASCENT Project 52

See https://ascent.aero/project/comparative-assessment-of-electrification-strategies-for-aviation/
Additional Considerations

• Placement of liquefaction facilities will be a challenge for cryogenic hydrogen use at airports

• Physical delivery of cryogenic hydrogen from tank farm or liquefaction facility to individual aircraft will also be extremely challenging

• Hydrogen combustion in commercial aviation could exacerbate climate challenges due to NO$_X$ emissions and aviation induced cloudiness

• Going from today’s aviation fuels to another fuel type requires many airports to have the new fuel type available for upload – while hydrogen could make sense for an individual airport, it would have to make sense for many airports across varied geographic regions before it could be used extensively in civil aviation

• FAA are supporting MIT through ASCENT Project 52 to conduct a detailed systems analysis to help us understand the relative merits of different ways that commercial aviation could use electricity in aviation, this including hydrogen and PTL produced from renewable electricity
Another Use for Hydrogen in Aviation

- Hydrogen gas is required for petroleum refining and conversion of biomass and wastes to sustainable aviation fuels
- Using renewable hydrogen for fuel production would provide an immediate reduction in the carbon footprint of aviation and enable the use of sustainable aviation fuels

Path Forward with Hydrogen in Aviation

- There are considerable waste and biomass resources in the U.S. that could be sustainably produced, at lower costs than either cryogenic hydrogen or power-to-liquids, and that would use today’s infrastructure
- Makes logical sense to use these resources now and to leverage our current infrastructure
- In the future, if we need more jet fuel than can be provided from waste and biomass resources, then power-to-liquid fuels could be a viable solution. It could be produced from renewable electricity via hydrogen as an intermediary while enabling us to use our existing infrastructure

1. For additional details on potential for wastes as a SAF feedstock, see: http://caafi.org/focus_areas/docs/US_WasteFeedstockPotential.pdf
Concluding Thoughts

• New vertical lift vehicles, enabled by new energy sources, could open up opportunities for urban air transport and reduce noise relative to conventional helicopters.

• Opportunities for new energy sources exist at airports to reduce emissions from the airport terminal, ground operations at the airport, and ground support equipment.

• MIT through ASCENT COE Project 52 are examining potential paths for using renewable electricity in aviation.

• The best use of renewable hydrogen for aviation could be to green refining processes today, enable the use of a wider range of feedstocks in the near future, and lay groundwork for production of power-to-liquids in the longer term.

For more information on ASCENT Project 52, please see https://ascent.aero/project/comparative-assessment-of-electrification-strategies-for-aviation/
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