



State-of-the-Art Performance and Durability of AEMFCs

William E. Mustain

May 30, 2019

www.mustainlab.com

Mustain Laboratory for Electrocatalysts and Fuels Department of Chemical Engineering University of South Carolina

AEMFCs Operate Fundamentally Different than PEMFCs



²M. Gerhardt, L. Pant and A. Weber, 233rd ECS Meeting, Seattle 2018
¹A. Roy, J. Peng and T. Zawodzinski. 233rd ECS Meeting, Seattle 2018
Figure adapted from D.Dekel. J. Power Sources, 375 (2018) 158-169. Published in: W.E. Mustain, *Current Opinion in Electrochemistry*, 12 (2018) 233-239, DOI: 10.1016/j.coelec.2018.11.010

- Reaction: 6 H₂O Molecule Swing Between the Anode and Cathode
 - Water gradient
 - Electro-osmotic drag
 - 2-8 H₂O molecules per OH- [1]
 - Gas phase H₂O cannot support ORR [2]
- Backdiffusion is Critical
 - Low H₂O flux membranes = low performance
 - In general not reported by membrane makers
 - Helpful to develop H₂O transport metric/target
- Convective Water Removal
 - Where to remove water from?
 - Backpressure vs. no backpressure
 - Total volume of water and rate increasing backpressure reduces evaporation
 - High vs. low flowrates
 - Flowfield and CL design

m·lea



4 Pillars for High Performing AEMFCs

- 1. Anion Exchange Membrane
 - Excellent Alkaline Stability
 - High Conductivity
 - High Water Uptake and Mobility
- 2. Control over the content and balance of water
 - Membrane with rapid H₂O transport
 - Optimized operation parameters
 - Flowrates, backpressure, gas dew points
 - Control over electrode composition and structure

3. Electrodes

- PtRu/C and Pt/C have sufficient activity
 - Can we reduce loading and cost? PGM-free?
- Ionomer (typically linked to AEMs)
 - Success with powder and solubilized forms
 - High ionic conductivity
 - Chemically robust at high and low λ
 - Low adsorption onto catalyst surface
- Electrode Development Integration and Optimization
- 4. Minimizing exposure to CO₂ and managing negative effects

Systematic Improvement in the Achievable Peak Power Density and Current Density in AEMFCs



Y. Wang, L. Zhuang, et al, *Energy Environ. Sci*, 8 (2015), 177-181

S. Maurya, YS Kim et al., *Energy. Environ. Sci*, **11** (2018) 3283-3291

T.J. Omasta, J. Varcoe, B. Pivovar, W.E. Mustain et al, *Energy Environ. Sci*, **11** (2018), 551-558 G. Huang, B. Pivovar, W.E. Mustain and P.A. Kohl et al. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* Accepted. T.J. Omasta, J. Varcoe, W.E. Mustain *et al.*, *J. Power Sources*, **375** (2018) 205 L. Wang, X. Peng, W.E. Mustain, J.R. Varcoe, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, **12** (2019) 1575-1579

Systematic Improvement in the Achievable Peak Power Density and Current Density in AEMFCs



Y. Wang, L. Zhuang, et al, Energy Environ. Sci, 8 (2015), 177-181

- Very good performance has been achieved with multiple ionomer/catalyst approaches
 - We now know it is possible to achieve very high power in AEMFCs
 - How to do it with each AEM/ionomer system is NOT the same
 - e.g. some want high flowrate and low P, some what low flowrate and high P, etc
 - Can be different even w/same AEM new ionomer
 - Have spent a lot of \$\$ on AEMs, almost zero on electrode and catalyst layer design/integration
 - We will need to remember this when developing performance metrics

T.J. Omasta, J. Varcoe, B. Pivovar, W.E. Mustain et al, *Energy Environ. Sci*, **11** (2018), 551-558 G. Huang, B. Pivovar, W.E. Mustain and P.A. Kohl et al. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* Accepted. T.J. Omasta, J. Varcoe, W.E. Mustain *et al.*, *J. Power Sources*, **375** (2018) 205 L. Wang, X. Peng, W.E. Mustain, J.R. Varcoe, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, **12** (2019) 1575-1579



The Arms Race is Over

- There is no point in showing that 3.4 W/cm² can be exceeded operating on UHP H_2/O_2
- It is now time to focus on more practical issues
 - Realistic Stoichs (e.g. < 3 at the cathode)</p>
 - PGM-free catalysts (ORR and HOR)
 - Air-operation
 - Transport performance loss when switching from $100\% \rightarrow 20\% O_2$
 - Negative effects of CO₂
 - Performance Stability (not just materials)
- We also need to develop metrics and protocols that are AEMFC specific and platform (AEM/ionomer) flexible – though application relevant



PGM-Catalysts for AEMFCs

- One of the main driving forces for the study of AEMFCs
 - In the long run, we most likely need to do better than PEMFCs
 - < 0.125 mg_{PGM}/cm²
- Much more success demonstrating PGM-free catalyst for ORR than HOR
 - Ionomer interactions
 - Stability issues

Etc.





Ag as a PGM-free AEMFC Cathode



- L. Wang, X. Peng, W.E. Mustain, J.R. Varcoe, Energy Environ. Sci., 12 (2019) 1575-1579
- Ag is a more endangered element than Pt and may not be the long-term PGM-free solution



Select Non-PGM ORR Catalysts in AEMFCs

Mn-Co Spinel/HSC KB

5 nm



- Y. Wang, H. Abruna, L. Zhuang, et al., Nat. Commun., 10 (2019) 1506

CoFe₂O₄/Vulcan



- X.Peng, W.E. Mustain et al., Catalysts, 9 (2019) 264.

Non-Precious Metal N-C-CoO_x Electrocatalyst for the ORR in AEMFCs



Xiong Peng, T J. Omasta, Emanuele Magliocca, Lianqin Wang, John R. Varcoe and W.E. Mustain. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 58 (2019) 1046-1051.

Comparing AEMFC and PEMFC Performance model and Durability with PGM-free Cathodes



AEMFC (blue/red): Xiong Peng, W.E. Mustain, et al. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **58** (2019) 1046-1051. PEMFC (green): H. T. Chung, P. Zelenay, et. Al. *Science* **357** (2017) 479–484 PEMFC (purple): J. Wang, S. Wei, et al., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **139** (2017) 17281–17284.

• AEMFC@60°C; PEMFCs@80°C

Comparing AEMFC and PEMFC Performance m·leat and Durability with PGM-free Cathodes







- Good News: PGM free cathodes in AEMFCs are already competitive with PEMFCs
 - Reasonable peak power with both oxygen (~1.2 W/cm²) and air (~0.7 W/cm²)
- Electrode integration is incredibly important for these catalysts
 - We need PGM-free specific approaches and designs
 - Even the highest peak power in the literature (1.4 W/cm²) was achieved with a catalysts that had MUCH lower intrinsic acitivity than SOA



14

Hydrogen Oxidation Reaction



E.S. Davydova, S. Mukerjee, F. Jaouen and D.R. Dekel, *ACS Catalysis*, **8** (2018) 6665-6690





log [-j (mA/cm²)] W. Ni, X. Hu et al., *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.*, 58 (2019) DOI: 10.1002/anie.201902751

- HOR exchange current density is still several orders of magnitude higher than ORR
 - Importance of the HOR overpotential is very overstated
 - Though still higher than PEMFC
- Suggests that non PGM options might be usable
- There are no practical Pt-free catalysts for alkaline HOR today
 - All known chemistries are either inactive or oxidize in MEAs
 - e.g. NiFe, NiMo, etc.

Reality: AEMFC CO₂/Carbonation has Significant Negative Effects on Performance



Y. Zheng, J.R. Varcoe, B. Pivovar and W.E. Mustain et al., Submitted for Review.

Even at high current density, the total voltage loss from feeding CO₂ is ~200 mV

m lea

- 2 <u>Very</u> Common Misconceptions
 - The cell can sufficiently "self-purge" carbonate at high current densities
 - The primary mechanism for performance loss is reduced conductivity

• Here ΔR_{Ω} is ~ 8 mOhm; $\Delta V = i * \Delta R_{\Omega} = 16 \text{ mV}$

 There are other mechanisms in play that need to be discussed
 15

3 Mechanisms for Carbonate-Related Voltage Loss in AEMCs



Y. Zheng, J.R. Varcoe, B. Pivovar and W.E. Mustain et al., Submitted for Review.

- Carbonate moves by migration from cathode → anode (some back diffusion)
 - Mechanism 1: conductivity drops, ASR increases (Δ ASR)
- Under normal operating voltages, CO₃²⁻ does not directly react with H₂ and Carbonate accumulates in the anode
 - Mechanism 2: Anode pH increases, ΔV_{nernst}
 - Mechanism 3: Low a_{OH} and t_{OH} < 1</p>
 - Effectively "shuts off" parts of the anode, increasing the effective current density and increasing R_{ctHOR}

m. lea

Quantified Contributions to CO₂-Related Voltage Loss in AEMFCs, 60°C



- Resistances follow a rational trend with decreasing CO₂ concentration and increasing current density preferred
- CO₂-related overpotential is dominated by the Nernstian and kinetic contributors

m·lea

Previous Work Extensively Investigating These Mostly Been Computational

- U. Krewer, D. Dekel et al., Electrochim. Acta, 263 (2018) 433-446.
- M.R. Gerhardt, L.M. Pant and A.Z. Weber, J. Electrochem. Soc, 166 (2019) F3180-F3192



 Unfortunately, these models have not yet been validated with high fidelity experimental data – but we have reach out to A. Weber to do just that.

Increasing the Temperature Can Help to Reduce CO₂-Related Voltage Losses in AEMFCs

LDPE-BTMA AEM



- Increasing temperature decreases the amount of carbonate in the system
- Reduces both the ASR and $\Delta V_{\rm Nernst}$

Temperature	ΔASR	ΔV_{Ohmic}	ΔV_{Nernst}	R _{ctHOR}	V _{ctHOR}
(<u>°C</u>)	$(\mathbf{m}\Omega \mathbf{c}\mathbf{m}^2)$	(mV)	(mV)	(Ω)	(mV)
60	22.6	22.6	226	17.0	84.8
65	17.6	17.6	177	18.0	90.2
70	16.9	16.9	150	18.2	91.1
75	18.2	18.2	134	17.7	88.5
80	15.9	15.9	113	17.2	85.9

Lower Threshold?

1.0 A cm⁻²; 60 °C; ETFE-BTMA AEM



- At 2.0 A/cm², 80°C, and 10 ppm cathode CO₂, the CO₂-related voltage loss was reduced to 90 mV
- Anecdotal evidence that this may not be the case for all systems
- The AEM an ionomer BOTH have an impact on carbonate content and CO₂ overpotential



Y. Zheng, J.R. Varcoe, B. Pivovar and W.E. Mustain et al., Submitted for Review.



AEMFC Durability – Late 2017/Early 2018

- D. Dekel, J. Power Sources, 375 (2018) 158-169.





State-of-the-Art AEMFC Longevity



- AEMFCs are now routinely operated in several groups and systems for 500+ hours and ~10% voltage decay
 - Significantly improved over 1-2 years ago
- Top AEMFC durability is 1000h with ca. 5% voltage degradation

YS Kim, quaternized poly(biphenylene) AEM, FLN anion exchange ionomer



Where We Are Commercially: PO-CellTech

• H₂/Air [CO₂-free], 80°C, P_{ca}=2bar_a





PAP ionomer/membrane*



Slide Material C/O Miles Page, PO-CellTech



Where We Are Commercially: PO-CellTech

- H₂/Air [CO₂-free], 80°C, P_{ca}=2bar_a •
- ~0.1mg/cm² Pt "cost equivalent"
- 300cm²



PAP ionomer/membrane*

1.0

There is a Significant Need to Better Link Modeling with Experiments

Gas flow



25

m·leat



Increase flow rates to mitigate flooding





Insights into the Effects of CO₂







- CO2 accumulation at the anode
 - Leading to low catalyst utilization and higher charge transfer resistances
- It is very difficult to decouple the charge transfer and Nernstian contributors experimentally, but that can be done with high accuracy with validated models
- Models can help experimentalists to iterate on viable operating conditions, determine the efficacy of proposed solutions and more rapidly explore the design space for optimized conditions
- Slide Material C/O Adam Weber, LBL



Recommendations

- Transition from focusing exclusively on materials to a more balanced approach that targets integration and performance
 - e.g. AMFC-PAD-type consortia
 - This would be REALLY helpful to the community and could grow the technology quickly
- Create opportunities to marry experimental and modeling groups to better understand electrode-level and cell-level dynamics
 - And to look at practical hurdles for deployment
 - Air operation (PGM and PGM-free), water management (and targets), and CO2



More Recommendations

- Develop a membrane metric for minimum water transport rate
 Conditions TBD
- Start to design systems specifically for AEMFCs (not adjusted PEMFCs)
 - Start the discussion around targets considering where AEMFCs have real advantages vs. PEMFCs
 - Develop an operating window of interest for AEMFCs to be evaluated
 - Range of stoichs, operating T, operating P, defined CO₂ condition, range of operating dew points that can be accommodated at anode/cathode



30

One Slide on Low T Electrolysis (LTE)

- An area where AEMs can clearly make an improvement over PEMs
 - Collaboration between Georgia Tech, and Proton Onsite/NEL and Pajarito has already have shown operating voltage 150 mV lower than PEM-equivalent
 - This is without combining literature-best materials and electrode engineering/optimization
- Challenges
 - Durability not yet demonstrated
 - Bicarbonate addition to water
 - Scaleup of catalysts and AEMs



Thank You





mustain@mailbox.sc.edu