

1. *Dan Cohen & Sofie Miller-- DOE*
  - a. Gave brief overview of the existing regulation, and process. Reference public interim waiver FAQ sheet.
2. *Jeff Longsworth- Barnes & Thornburgh*
  - a. Regulatory language at the back of the proposal seems to read out of 430-27 H, first phrase says "within one year of existence of interim waiver DOE will do (1) or (2). Is the intent to eliminate the one-year limit on making decision on final waiver once the interim waiver has been approved? FR pg. 18422, under 430 or 431. Certain aspects of one-year period that work well for small and big business and keep the system from being abused by others.
  - b. DOE states don't necessarily make the one-year deadline. Asterisk above the "H." Asterisks are signal to FR about existing text, may be in the wrong box.
  - c. Concerned that it won't be an unlimited timeline for the waiver.
  - d. Should they comment on the existing phrase about within the one-year limit.
  - e. Helps in understanding how it works and improves the process significantly.
  - f. Have had clients that have had significant challenges in this area, but there are concerns from other companies about abuse.
  - g. Spoke with several companies that have had challenges in the past that very much appreciate this proposal. Silence does not mean that they are not appreciating and supporting this and will not provide comments. It has been a problem that needs to be resolved.