Programmatic Environmental Assessment for System-wide **Operations and Maintenance Activities and Integrated Vegetation** Title: Management Program

DOE/EA-2074

Version: Draft

Date: November 16, 2018



Prepared for:

U.S. Department of Energy Southwestern Power Administration One West Third Street Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103

Prepared by:



AGEISS Inc. 12477 W. Cedar Drive, Suite 103 Lakewood, CO 80228

Contract Number: GS-10F-0091K

1PROGRAMMATIC ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FOR SYSTEM-WIDE OPERATIONS2AND MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES AND INTEGRATED VEGETATION MANAGEMENT3PROGRAM

4 Draft Programmatic Environmental Assessment

5 **DOE/EA-2074**

6 **Responsible Agency:** U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Southwestern Power Administration

7 (Southwestern)

8 Abstract

- 9 As one of four Power Marketing Administrations in the United States, Southwestern markets
- 10 hydroelectric power in Arkansas, Kansas, Louisiana, Missouri, Oklahoma, and Texas from 24 U.S. Army
- 11 Corps of Engineers (USACE) multipurpose dams to not-for-profit municipal utilities and rural electric
- 12 cooperatives. This Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) focuses on Southwestern's
- 13 operations in Arkansas, Missouri, and Oklahoma. The purpose of the Proposed Action is to fulfill
- 14 Southwestern's obligation to deliver federal hydropower to end-use customers. The need for the Proposed
- 15 Action is to operate and maintain Southwestern facilities in Oklahoma, Arkansas, and Missouri; protect
- 16 worker and public safety, streamline the regulatory process for right-of-way (ROW) maintenance; have a
- 17 management framework to evaluate herbicides as they become available; control the spread of noxious
- 18 weeds; balance environmental protection with system reliability, while maintaining compliance with the
- 19 National Electric Safety Code (NESC), North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC),
- 20 Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers standards, and Southwestern's directives and standards
- 21 for maintaining system reliability and protection of human safety.

22 Deadline for Draft PEA Comments

- 23 Comments on the Draft PEA are accepted 45 calendar days following publication of the notice of
- availability (NOA) in local newspapers. A copy of the Draft PEA is available online at the following
 websites:
- 26 <u>https://www.swpa.gov/</u>
- 27 www.energy.gov/node/3793593
- 28 Review copies are also available at the Tulsa City-County Library, 400 Civic Center, Tulsa, OK 74103;
- 29 The Library Center, 4653 S. Campbell Avenue, Springfield, MO 65810; and Little Rock Public Library,
- 30 100 Rock Street, Little Rock, AR 72201.
- 31
- 32
- 33 For additional information or to comment on the
- 34 Draft PEA, contact:
- 35
- 36 Danny Johnson
- 37 Program Manager, Office of Corporate
- 38 Compliance
- 39 1 W. 3rd St., Suite 1600
- 40 Tulsa, OK 74103
- 41 Phone: 918-595-6781
- 42 <u>Danny.Johnson@swpa.gov</u>
- 43
- 44

51

47

48

52 Office of NEPA Policy and Compliance, GC-54

49 For additional information on DOE NEPA

- 53 U.S. Department of Energy
- 54 1000 Independence Avenue, S.W.
- 55 Washington, D.C. 20585
- 56 Phone: 800-472-2756

50 activities, contact:

- 57 Or visit the DOE NEPA website at
- 58 https://www.energy.gov/nepa/office-nepa-
- 59 policy-and-compliance
- 60

45 46

61					TABLE OF CONTENTS	
62	Section	on				Page
63	EXEC	CUTIVE	SUMM	ARY		ES-1
64	1.0 PURPOSE AND NEED FOR ACTION					1-1
65		1.1	Introdu	uction		1-1
66		1.2	Purpos	e and Nee	d for Action	1-6
67		1.3	Consul	ltations and	d Public Involvement	1-6
68			1.3.1	Initial Ou	ıtreach	1-6
69			1.3.2	Draft EA	Outreach	1-7
70			1.3.3	Agency l	Participation	1-7
71			1.3.4	Native A	merican Participation	1-7
72		1.4	Permits	s and Requ	ired Compliance	1-8
73	2.0	DESC	RIPTIO	ON OF TH	E PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES	2-1
74		2.1	Propos	ed Action	Overview	2-1
75		2.2	Propos	ed Operati	ons and Maintenance Activities	2-2
76		2.3	Propos	ed Integra	ted Vegetation Management Program	2-3
77			2.3.1	Manual a	nd Mechanical Control	2-4
78			2.3.2	Manager	nent Framework for Using Herbicide Treatments	2-5
79				2.3.2.1	Application Methods	2-6
80				2.3.2.2	Herbicide Approval	2-7
81				2.3.2.3	Site-Specific Herbicide Selection	2-14
82				2.3.2.4	Waste Generation and Herbicide Containment	2-15
83				2.3.2.5	Future Activities	2-16
84		2.4	No Act	tion Alterr	ative	2-16
85		2.5	Compa	arison of A	lternatives	
86		2.6	Alterna	atives Elin	inated from Further Consideration	2-24
87	3.0	AFFE	CTED E	ENVIRON	MENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	
88		3.1	Resour	ce Areas I	Excluded from Further Analysis	
89		3.2	Land U	Jse		
90			3.2.1	Affected	Environment	
91				3.2.1.1	Agricultural	
92				3.2.1.2	Forest	3-7
93				3.2.1.3	Residential, Commercial, and Industrial	3-7
94				3.2.1.4	Recreational	3-7
95				3.2.1.5	Land Ownership	
96				3.2.1.6	Applicable Land Use Plans and Policies	
97			3.2.2	Environ	nental Consequences	
98				3.2.2.1	Proposed Action	
99				3.2.2.2	No Action Alternative	3-11

100	3.3	Water	Resource	s	
101		3.3.1	Affected	1 Environment	
102			3.3.1.1	Surface Water	
103			3.3.1.2	Groundwater	
104			3.3.1.3	Wetlands and Waters of the U.S	
105			3.3.1.4	Floodplains	
106		3.3.2	Environ	mental Consequences	
107			3.3.2.1	Proposed Action	
108			3.3.2.2	No Action Alternative	
109	3.4	Biolog	gical Reso	urces	
110		3.4.1	Affected	d Environment	
111			3.4.1.1	Vegetation	
112			3.4.1.2	Wildlife	
113			3.4.1.3	Special Status Species	
114		3.4.2	Environ	mental Consequences	
115			3.4.2.1	Proposed Action	
116			3.4.2.2	No Action Alternative	
117	3.5	Air Qu	ality		
118		3.5.1	Affected	l Environment	
119		3.5.2	Environ	mental Consequences	
120			3.5.2.1	Proposed Action	
121			3.5.2.2	No Action Alternative	
122	3.6	Geolog	gy and So	ils	
123		3.6.1	Affected	l Environment	
124			3.6.1.1	Geology	
125			3.6.1.2	Soils	
126		3.6.2	Environ	mental Consequences	
127			3.6.2.1	Proposed Action	
128			3.6.2.2	No Action Alternative	
129	3.7	Cultur	al Resour	ces	
130		3.7.1	Affected	1 Environment	
131		3.7.2	Environ	mental Consequences	
132			3.7.2.1	Proposed Action	
133			3.7.2.2	No Action Alternative	
134	3.8	Enviro	onmental J	ustice	
135		3.8.1	Affected	d Environment	
136		3.8.2	Environ	mental Consequences	
137			3.8.2.1	Proposed Action	
138			3.8.2.2	No Action Alternative	
139	3.9	Noise			
140		3.9.1	Affected	l Environment	
141			3.9.1.1	Noise Measurement	

142			3.9.1.2 Background Noise Sources and Levels	
143			3.9.2 Environmental Consequences	
144			3.9.2.1 Proposed Action	
145			3.9.2.2 No Action Alternative	
146		3.10	Safety and Health	
147			3.10.1 Affected Environment	
148			3.10.1.1 Public Health and Safety	
149			3.10.1.2 Occupational Health and Safety	
150			3.10.2 Environmental Consequences	
151			3.10.2.1 Proposed Action	
152			3.10.2.2 No Action Alternative	
153		3.11	Materials and Waste	
154			3.11.1 Affected Environment	
155			3.11.1.1 Hazardous Materials	
156			3.11.1.2 Petroleum Products	
157			3.11.1.3 Asbestos	
158			3.11.1.4 Waste	
159			3.11.2 Environmental Consequences	
160			3.11.2.1 Proposed Action	
161			3.11.2.2 No Action Alternative	
162		3.12	Transportation	
163			3.12.1 Affected Environment	
164			3.12.2 Environmental Consequences	
165			3.12.2.1 Proposed Action	
166			3.12.2.2 No Action Alternative	
167		3.13	Intentional Destructive Acts	
168			3.13.1 Affected Environment	
169			3.13.2 Environmental Consequences	
170			3.13.2.1 Proposed Action	
171			3.13.2.2 No Action Alternative	
172		3.14	The Relationship Between Local Short-Term Uses of the Environment an	d the
173			Maintenance and Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity	
174		3.15	Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources	
175		3.16	Unavoidable Adverse Impacts	
176	4.0	CUM	IULATIVE IMPACTS	4-1
177		4.1	Cumulative Impacts	4-1
178			4.1.1 Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Actions	4-1
179			4.1.2 Cumulative Impacts Summary	4-1
180			4.1.2.1 Proposed Action	4-1
181			4.1.2.2 No Action Alternative	4-5

182	5.0	LIST OF PREPARERS	5-1
183	6.0	REFERENCES	6-1
184			
185		LIST OF TABLES	
186	Table	9	Page
187	Table	2-1. Proposed Operations and Maintenance Activities	2-2
188	Table	2-2. Proposed Uses of Manual and Mechanical Control Methods	2-5
189	Table	2-3. List of Adjuvants	2-7
190	Table	2-4. Herbicides Considered for Use Under the Proposed Action	2-10
191 192	Table Action	2-5. Recommended Combinations of Herbicides Considered for Use Under the Proposed	2-14
193	Table	2-6. Currently Approved Herbicides Not Included Under the Proposed Action	2-14
194	Table	2-7. Approved Herbicides for Use Under the No Action Alternative	2-18
195	Table	2-8. Summary of Environmental Consequences by Alternative	2-20
196	Table	3-1. Resource Areas Excluded from Further Analysis	3-2
197	Table	3-2. Overview of Facilities by County and nearby Land Use	3-3
198 199	Table Three	3-3. Species Federally Listed as Threatened and Endangered Potentially Occurring in the -State Area	3-30
200	Table	3-4. De Minimis Changes of Emissions of Concern in Arkansas	3-54
201	Table	3-5. De Minimis Levels of Emissions of Concern in Missouri	3-55
202	Table	3-6. Regulatory Levels of Emissions of Concern in Oklahoma	3-55
203	Table	3-7. NRHP-listed Cultural Resources near or on Southwestern Property	3-67
204	Table	3-8. Typical Decibel Levels of Noise Encountered in Daily Life	3-73
205	Table	3-9. Estimated Background Noise Levels	3-74
206	Table	3-10. Typical Noise Levels of Equipment	3-75
207	Table	3-11. Southwestern's Recordable Incident Rate for 2013-2017	3-79
208	Table	3-12. Summary of Materials Used at Southwestern Facilities	3-87
209	Table	5-1. List of Preparers and Contributors	5-1
210			

211

212	LIST OF FIGURES	
213	Figure	Page
214	Figure 1-1. Locations of Southwestern Power Administration Facilities	1-2
215	Figure 1-2. Locations of Facilities in Arkansas	1-3
216	Figure 1-3. Locations of Facilities in Missouri	1-4
217	Figure 1-4. Locations of Facilities in Oklahoma	1-5
218	Figure 2-1. Evaluation of New Herbicides for Approval	2-9
219	Figure 2-2. Considerations for Site-Specific Herbicide Selection	2-15
220	Figure 3-1. Land Cover in the Three-State Region	
221	Figure 3-2. Land Ownership in the Three-State Region	
222	Figure 3-3. Drainage Basins and Surface Water in Arkansas	
223	Figure 3-4. Drainage Basins and Surface Water in Missouri	
224	Figure 3-5. Drainage Basins and Surface Water in Oklahoma	3-15
225	Figure 3-6. EPA Level III Ecoregions in Arkansas	
226	Figure 3-7. EPA Level III Ecoregions in Missouri	
227	Figure 3-8. EPA Level III Ecoregions in Oklahoma	
228	Figure 3-9. Karst Features in Northern Arkansas	
229	Figure 3-10. Karst Features and Cave Density in Missouri	
230	Figure 3-11. Major Roadways in the Three-State Region	
231 232		
233	LIST OF APPENDICES	
234	Appendix	
235	Appendix A Consultations and Public Involvement	

- 236 Appendix B Request for Approval of a New Herbicide
- 237
- 238

239

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS / ACRONYMS

ABB	American Burying Beetle
ACHP	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
AGFC	Arkansas Game and Fish Commission
ANSI	American National Standards Institute
APP	Avian Protection Plan
AR	Arkansas
ARPA	Archaeological Resources Protection Act
BGEPA	Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act
BMP	Best Management Practice
CAA	Clean Air Act
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
cm	centimeter(s)
dBA	A-weighted decibel
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
EA	Environmental Assessment
EMS	Environmental Management System
EO	Executive Order
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	Endangered Species Act
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FICMNEW	Federal Interagency Committee for the Management of Noxious and Exotic Weeds
FIFRA	Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act
GIS	Geographic Information System
GUS	Groundwater Ubiquity Score
ISO	International Standardization Organization
Koc	Sorption Potential
m	meter(s)
MBTA	Migratory Bird Treaty Act
MDC	Missouri Department of Conservation
MO	Missouri
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NAGPRA	Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act
NCC	Nixa Control Center
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NERC	North American Electric Reliability Corporation
NESC	National Electric Safety Code
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
NLEB	Northern Long-eared Bat
NOA	Notice of Availability
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NPS	National Park Service
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places

NWP	Nationwide Permit
O&M	Operations and Maintenance
OAS	Oklahoma Archeological Survey
OK	Oklahoma
PA	Programmatic Agreement
PBA	Programmatic Biological Assessment
PBO	Programmatic Biological Opinion
PCB	Polychlorinated biphenyl
PEA	Programmatic Environmental Assessment
PM_{10}	Particulate Matter less than or equal to 10 micrometers in Diameter
PM _{2.5}	Particulate Matter less than or equal to 2.5 micrometers in Diameter
PPE	Personal Protective Equipment
ppm	parts per million
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
ROI	Region of Influence
ROW	Right-of-way
SARA	Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act
SDS	Safety Data Sheet
SHPO	State Historic Preservation Officer
SOP	Standard Operating Procedure
Southwestern	Southwestern Power Administration
SPCC	Spill, Prevention, Control, and Countermeasures
TSCA	Toxic Substances Control Act
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
USFS	U.S. Forest Service
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
WMA	Wildlife Management Area

241

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

242 ES.1 Introduction

- 243 Southwestern Power Administration (Southwestern) is an agency of the U.S. Department of Energy
- 244 (DOE). As one of four Power Marketing Administrations in the United States, Southwestern markets
- hydroelectric power in Arkansas, Kansas, Louisiana, Missouri, Oklahoma, and Texas from 24 U.S. Army
 Corps of Engineers (USACE) multipurpose dams to not-for-profit municipal utilities and rural electric
- 247 cooperatives. Southwestern has over one hundred such "preference" customers, and these entities
- 247 cooperatives. Southwestern has over one numbered such preference customers, an 248 ultimately serve over 8 million end-use customers.
- 249 This Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) focuses on Southwestern's operations in Arkansas,
- 250 Missouri, and Oklahoma which include high-voltage transmission lines, electrical substations, and a
- communications system that includes microwave, mobile radio, and fiber optics. The purpose of the
- 252 Proposed Action is to fulfill Southwestern's obligation to deliver federal hydropower to end-use
- customers. The need for the Proposed Action is to operate and maintain Southwestern facilities in
- Oklahoma, Arkansas, and Missouri; protect worker and public safety, streamline the regulatory process
- 255 for right-of-way (ROW) maintenance; have a management framework to evaluate herbicides as they
- become available; control the spread of noxious weeds; balance environmental protection with system
- reliability, while maintaining compliance with the National Electric Safety Code (NESC), North
- 258 American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC), Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
- standards, and Southwestern's directives and standards for maintaining system reliability and protection
- of human safety.
- 261 The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 requires all federal agencies to give appropriate
- 262 consideration to potential environmental effects of proposed major actions in planning and decision
- 263 making. This PEA has been prepared in accordance with NEPA; the Council on Environmental Quality
- 264 (CEQ) Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of NEPA (1978); 40 Code of Federal
- 265 *Regulations* (CFR) Parts 1500 through 1508; and 10 CFR Part 1021, DOE NEPA Implementing
- 266 Procedures (2011).

267 ES.2 Proposed Action

- The Proposed Action encompasses operations and maintenance (O&M) activities, which also include the component of integrated vegetation management activities. Since the integrated vegetation management
- program is a large component of the O&M program the Proposed Action has been divided into the two
- components: 1) O&M activities for infrastructure; and 2) integrated vegetation management activities.
- 271 Components. 1) O&W activities for infrastructure, and 2) integrated vegetation management activities. 272 Southwestern proposes to continue O&M and perform vegetation management activities under a new
- Southwestern proposes to continue O&M and perform vegetation management activities under a new management framework designed to provide maximum operational flexibility and enhance safety.
- 274 Proposed O&M activities include continued aerial and ground patrols of line structures, lines, line
- hardware, and access roads to locate and correct problems along the transmission line ROWs, regular and
- 276 preventive maintenance, inspections, repairs, upgrades, rebuilds, and replacements. Proposed O&M
- activities would occur at existing substations, transmission lines, communication system facilities, access
- roads, and maintenance or office-type facilities. O&M activities are physical controls and repairs;
- 279 geography has little bearing on these activities and they are performed routinely.

- 280 The proposed Integrated Vegetation Management Program would include a combination of manual and
- 281 mechanical control and herbicide treatments. As part of the Proposed Action, Southwestern has developed
- a management framework for evaluating and selecting herbicides on an ongoing basis to improve the
- range of herbicides used based on geographic regions and to increase control of undesirable vegetation
- 284 over longer periods of time. The goal of the Integrated Vegetation Management Program is to develop
- site-specific, environmentally sensitive, cost effective and socially responsible solutions to vegetation control. Due to the complexity of vegetation control, the proposed management framework for herbicide
- use considers numerous factors, such as special geographic concerns, the type of vegetation to control,
- and the arrival of new herbicides coming on the market. Southwestern has developed a geographic
- information system (GIS) Resource Mapper, a GIS tool, to help identify environmental restrictions to
- 290 herbicide use in specific locations within Proposed Action areas.

291 ES.3 No Action Alternative

292 Under the No Action Alternative, Southwestern would continue its O&M activities and integrated

- 293 vegetation management as it currently does, as defined under its Office of Corporate Facilities
- 294 Maintenance Standards, Vegetation Maintenance Program (No. MA-23) and would adhere to
- 295 requirements cited in its two 1995 environmental assessments (EAs). As with the Proposed Action, aerial
- and ground patrols of line structures, lines, line hardware, and access roads to locate and correct problems
- along the transmission line ROWs, regular and preventive maintenance, inspections, repairs, upgrades,
- 298 rebuilds, and replacements would continue.
- 299 Southwestern would continue to apply herbicides at substations and communication sites. Southwestern
- 300 would continue to maintain the ROWs to keep facilities clear of all tall-growing trees, brush, and other
- 301 vegetation that could grow too close to the conductors on a 4- to 5-year cycle using manual/mechanical
- 302 and herbicide methods with some flexibility for instances beyond the control of Southwestern. The use of
- 303 herbicides would still be supplemented by the use of manual/mechanical means to maintain the ROWs.
- 304 Southwestern would use selection criteria for herbicides in the 1995 EAs that are based on
- 305 Southwestern's most sensitive ecoregion receptor area and therefore are overly restrictive. This eliminates
- the use of herbicides that could be used safely and efficiently in some specific areas as well as new
- 307 herbicides that have become available. Southwestern would not use the GIS Resource Mapper to assist
- 308 with site-specific herbicide selection.

309 ES.4 Environmental Consequences

310 **Proposed Action**

- 311 Land Use. The Proposed Action activities would take place within existing Southwestern facilities and
- ROWs. No new ROWs would be created and no new facilities would be constructed. Activities could
- temporarily disrupt residential, recreational, and farming activities on adjacent land. In general, adjacent
- 314 uses are mostly agricultural, pasture, and forest lands in rural areas that are sparsely populated. No
- 315 changes to land use or land ownership would occur.
- Water Resources. Some short-term decreases in water quality, from erosion, increasing surface water runoff, or sedimentation, could occur during O&M activities, such as bank repair, replacement of poles, and from large machinery disturbing the soil during mechanical techniques for controlling vegetation.

- 319 Chemical, fuel, oil, or herbicide spills, if not contained immediately, could migrate and threaten surface
- 320 water and groundwater quality. Southwestern's employees are prepared and trained to clean up such
- 321 minor spills, so impacts would be minor. Implementation of Southwestern's spill prevention, control, and
- 322 countermeasures (SPCC) and emergency spill plans for substations would minimize impacts from spills.
- 323 Impacts to water quality from herbicides are not expected because powerlines are linear in nature so the
- area of land treated with herbicides would be relatively small (narrow strips across the landscape)
- 325 compared to the surrounding area. The ratio of treated to untreated surface area in any given watershed is
- 326 usually sufficiently low to permit rapid dilution. In addition, Southwestern does not spray herbicides
- directly on surface water, nor do they spray within 15 feet from any water's edge or karst feature.
- 328 Herbicides approved for aquatic use would be used near sensitive water receptors or open water bodies.
- 329 **Biological Resources.** No impacts from Proposed Action activities to vegetation at the substations,
- 330 communication sites, and offices are expected due to the lack of vegetation at these facilities. Vegetation
- is maintained in a lawn-like state at the offices, except for the Tulsa office which does not have
- 332 vegetation. Along the ROW, large equipment has the potential to temporarily trample vegetation, increase
- erosion in select areas under certain conditions, and increase invasive species. Woody species would
- 334 continue to be removed and the habitat would continue to favor low-growing non-woody plant species.
- 335 However, potential impacts to vegetation from O&M activities would be short-term and concentrated in
- 336 specific areas along the ROW.
- 337 Potential impacts to wildlife would be short-term and temporary (noise, vibration, and construction
- 338 equipment movement) and concentrated in specific areas along the ROW. Direct impacts to wildlife could
- result from mortality or injury from collision with vehicles. The general disturbance associated with
- 340 Proposed Action activities would result in the temporary displacement of most wildlife from the
- 341 immediate vicinity of the maintenance area and adjacent areas. Larger or more mobile wildlife would
- 342 leave the vicinity but would eventually return to the area after the activities were completed. Less mobile
- 343 species may be crushed by heavy equipment. Indirect impacts could include habitat degradation,
- 344 disruption of foraging and prey availability, and disruption of nesting. However, these impacts would not
- 345 affect species populations as few individuals would be impacted.
- 346 Potential impacts to wildlife species from herbicide exposure depends on the quantity of the chemical the
- 347 species was exposed to as well as the toxicity of the herbicide. Herbicides proposed for use are low in
- toxicity to wildlife. The GIS Resource Mapper would be used to identify sensitive wildlife areas
- including karst and known areas of threatened and endangered species to reduce unintentional exposure.
- 350 A biological assessment determined that the Proposed Action may affect but is not likely to adversely
- 351 affect 23 special status species. The Proposed Action may affect and is likely to adversely affect the
- 352 American burying beetle (ABB). Southwestern will attempt to minimize disturbance to areas outside of
- 353 the required maintenance footprints of the proposed projects whenever practicable and feasible and utilize
- 354 the most current version or equivalent of the Best Management Practices for American Burying Beetle in
- 355 Oklahoma. In addition, Southwestern has established an agreement with the USACE Tulsa District for the
- utilization of 100 ABB mitigation acres (out of 2,000 total acres) belonging to USACE Tulsa District
- associated with ABB mitigation lands. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) consultation is ongoing;
- 358 results will be contained in the Final EA.

- 359 Air Quality. Potential impacts to air quality would be minimal and no changes to regional air quality
- 360 would occur. The primary source of air emissions from Proposed Action activities would be from the
- burning of fossil fuels in internal combustion engines and particulate matter and fugitive dust emitted
- 362 from those activities that disturb the soil, such as from replacing poles, driving on dirt roads, and from
- 363 other ground-disturbing activities. The burning of fossil fuels in gasoline or diesel engines would result in
- the short-term emission of criteria pollutants, small amounts of toxic air contaminants, and greenhouse
- 365 gases during the time that the engines are in operation. Sulfur hexafluoride gas used in electrical
- 366 equipment is an extreme greenhouse gas, but proper maintenance of equipment should eliminate leaks
- and the resulting release of the gas.
- Geology and Soils. Undetected sinkholes in karst terrain and the New Madrid Seismic Zone could
 potentially present health and safety risks to workers. Karst terrain could serve as conduits for herbicide
 applications, transporting the herbicide to unwanted areas or water sources. Because of this, herbicide
 application is not allowed within 15 feet of a karst feature (cave, sinkhole, spring).
- 372 Potential impacts to soils include soil erosion, compaction, and disturbance of the physical arrangement of
- soils from ground disturbing activities and the use of heavy equipment. Soil compaction and erosion
- 374 would be very localized and short-term. Vegetation removal would have the potential to impact soil
- 375 resources by increasing the amount of exposure of susceptible soils to water or wind erosion at the land
- 376 surface. Manual impacts on soil include disturbance of the uppermost soil layer in only a very small area,
- 377 not enough to cause substantial impacts on the soil as a resource. Additionally, as vegetation is removed,
- it would be dispersed across the ROW as wood chips (mechanical vegetation removal) or as scattered
- 379 limbs/logs and stumps cut flush with the ground surface (manual methods). The application of this debris
- to the cleared land surface would assist in mitigating impacts to soil resources by intercepting rainfall,
- 381 limiting impact erosion, and slowing surface runoff; and combined with existing grasses in the ROW
- 382 (which are not removed as a part of vegetation management), further limits erosion.
- 383 When herbicides are used, some of the chemical can end up in the soil and can reduce soil microbes'
- numbers and/or change species composition. ROWs would be treated with relatively small amounts of herbicide with long-time spans between treatments, so there would be little potential for impacts on soil
- 386 microbes. At substations, the soil is treated intentionally to keep plants from growing, and the regular use
- of herbicides would affect the microbes within the substation. If herbicides were to migrate offsite into
- 388 adjacent soils, microbes (and thus soil productivity) could be affected.
- 389 **Cultural Resources.** Potential adverse impacts to cultural resources are not expected because impacts 390 would be avoided and minimized by the implementation of the Section 106 consultation process and the
- 390 would be avoided and minimized by the implementation of the Section 100 consultation process and the 391 Programmatic Agreement (PA) with State Historic Preservation Officers (SHPOs), the Advisory Council
- on Historic Preservation (ACHP), the Oklahoma Archaeology Society (OAS), and tribes. Despite these
- 392 on Historie Treservation (ACTI), the Oktanomia Arenaeology Society (OAS), and those. Despite these 393 processes, inadvertent discoveries and/or long-term, direct impacts to cultural resources could still occur
- 394 from surface and subsurface disturbance during activities including pole replacement, road maintenance,
- 395 or culvert replacement and by vehicles and equipment traversing the ROW areas. Removal of vegetation
- 396 may expose cultural resource areas or provide accessibility to yet unidentified resources and provide the
- 397 potential for vandalism. Herbicides, themselves, would not impact cultural resources.

- 398 Environmental Justice. The Proposed Action would ensure continued maintenance and safe operation of
- 399 the transmission lines and delivery of reliable power to not-for-profit municipal utilities, rural electric
- 400 populations, and military installations within Southwestern's service area. One minority population and
- 401 several low-income populations were identified in the Proposed Action areas. Because Southwestern
- 402 facilities are spread throughout a large geographic area, impacts of the Proposed Action are dispersed.
- 403 These populations would not experience disproportionate impacts when compared to census tracts
- 404 without minority or low-income populations.

405 Noise. The Proposed Action would cause short-term noise from vehicles, machinery, and equipment, as

- 406 well as helicopter noise during aerial inspections and aerial side saw trimming that could cause potential
- disruptions to residential and recreational lands. Activities would be temporary, intermittent, of short
 duration, and dispersed throughout the Proposed Action area. No new stationary sources of permanent
- 409 noise would be introduced.
 - 410 **Safety and Health.** Members of the public could be exposed to exhaust and fuel vapors from trucks and
 - 411 experience direct or indirect exposure to herbicides. People could sustain physical injuries from flying
 - 412 debris and falling trees, from poles being removed, and from heavy equipment. Aerial reconnaissance
 - 413 could result in a mishap that impacts the public. Adverse impacts to the public would be negligible, due to
 - the public's limited access to Southwestern's facilities, close supervision of activities, implementation of
 - 415 Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA)-approved worker safety and environmental
 - training programs, and conduct of aerial reconnaissance by licensed pilots. Controlling brush and trees
- 417 along the ROW in a systematic fashion and preventing service interruptions, fire, or impediments to
- 418 restoration of service when outages occur would benefit public health and safety.
- 419 Workers could be exposed to exhaust and fuel vapors from trucks, chemical vapors from wood treating
- 420 chemicals, as well as fuel and other chemicals used at the substations and communication sites, and
- 421 herbicides. Physical injuries could arise from electrocution, falls, flying debris and falling trees and from
- 422 poles being removed, as well as from the use of tools and operation of heavy equipment. Some locations
- 423 within Southwestern's service region are mountainous, rugged, and relatively remote and pose
- 424 treacherous working conditions. Chemicals in herbicides can be toxic to workers, to varying degrees. Any
- 425 chemical poses a health risk at a sufficient dose. Most clinical reports of herbicide effects are of skin and
- 426 eye irritation. Impacts on the workers' health and safety would be negligible because Southwestern staff
- 427 is trained in health and safety and environmental actions, and activities are closely supervised.
- 428 Materials and Waste. Hazardous materials, petroleum products, and miscellaneous materials, such as
- 429 sulfur hexafluoride would continue to be used under the Proposed Action. Wastes, such as
- 430 polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) items, used oils, used oil contaminated waste, treated wood products,
- 431 spent solvents, rags, paints, thinners, asbestos and lead-based paint abatement wastes, and solid wastes
- 432 would continue to be generated. Southwestern has materials and waste management processes and
- 433 procedures in place and no impacts are expected.
- 434 **Transportation.** Potential impacts such as vehicle accidents and temporary lane closures or disruptions
- 435 (limited only to areas where lines cross public roadways) could occur during some maintenance activities.
- 436 Very few interstates and major roads are crossed by Southwestern transmission lines; therefore, impacts
- 437 to heavily traveled roads are expected to be minimal. Southwestern would use all-terrain vehicles, light

- 438 duty four-wheel drive vehicles, trailers, and specialized heavy-duty heavy rolling equipment to traverse
- 439 access roads and ROWs. Access through private property would be maintained with permission of the
- 440 specific landowner. Wear or damage to existing access roads from Proposed Action activities would be
- 441 repaired as needed to maintain roads at their current maintenance level.

Intentional Destructive Acts. The destruction of a tower on a high-voltage transmission line or of equipment at a substation by terrorism or sabotage could disrupt electrical services and affect the utility customers and end users. The impacts of intentional destructive acts and wildfire would likely be relatively localized, and would depend on the nature and location of the acts, the magnitude of the damage, and other variables. The impacts would typically be similar to outages caused by other natural phenomena such as hurricanes, ice storms or tornadoes. Vandalism and theft, while potentially expensive

- to repair, do not normally cause a large effect to utility customers or to the environment.
- 449 The incidence of an intentional destructive act is speculative and could potentially occur anywhere within
- 450 Southwestern's system. Proposed O&M activities and integrated vegetation management would help
- 451 reduce the potential impacts of a destructive act and lower the potential for generating any regional or
- 452 large-scale destruction. Any intentionally destructive acts that might occur would be localized from an
- 453 environmental perspective with preventative measures being installed to limit an intentional destructive
- 454 act to de minimis or negligible environmental impacts.

455 No Action Alternative

456 Under the No Action Alternative, impacts to environmental justice, materials and waste, and intentional

- 457 destructive acts would be the same as those described for the Proposed Action. Potential impacts to land
- 458 use, water resources, biological resources, air quality, geology and soils, cultural resources, noise, safety
- 459 and health, and transportation would be similar to those described for the Proposed Action. However, the
- 460 magnitude of the impacts would likely be greater because the No Action would require greater use of
- heavy equipment to control vegetation within the ROW and these activities may need to occur more
- 462 often.
- 463 Southwestern would not have the flexibility to readily use better formulated herbicides that are
- 464 geographically targeted and would not use the GIS Resource Mapper to assist with site-specific herbicide
- selection. These restrictions would lead to shortened time intervals between herbicide treatments and
- 466 would require more frequent use of large machinery which causes greater noise, disturbance to vegetation
- 467 and wildlife, air emissions, transportation impacts, and ground disturbance. In addition, impacts to health
- and safety would be greater as more time would be spent on vegetation management particularly in
- 469 remote and treacherous spans of ROW.

470 **1.0 PURPOSE AND NEED FOR ACTION**

471 **1.1 Introduction**

- 472 Southwestern Power Administration (Southwestern) is an agency of the U.S. Department of Energy
- 473 (DOE). As one of four Power Marketing Administrations in the United States, Southwestern markets
- 474 hydroelectric power in Arkansas, Kansas, Louisiana, Missouri, Oklahoma, and Texas from 24 U.S. Army
- 475 Corps of Engineers (USACE) multipurpose dams.
- 476 This Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) focuses on Southwestern's operations in Arkansas,
- 477 Missouri, and Oklahoma which include high-voltage transmission lines, electrical substations, and a
- 478 communications system that includes microwave, mobile radio, and fiber optics. Southwestern is
- 479 currently operating under environmental assessments (EAs) that require revision. These EAs are more
- 480 restrictive and burdensome than necessary, because approved vegetation management practices in the
- 481 EAs are based on analysis of the most sensitive ecoregion where Southwestern operates and therefore,
- they restrict the use of herbicides that could be safely used in other locations. This PEA addresses
- 483 operations and maintenance (O&M) activities and updates vegetation management activities and
- 484 practices, Endangered Species Act (ESA) listed species, regulations, permitting requirements, and
- 485 facilities. Southwestern proposes to continue O&M and perform vegetation management activities under
- 486 a new management framework designed to provide maximum operational flexibility and enhance safety
- 487 at the following facilities located in Arkansas, Missouri, and Oklahoma (Figures 1-1 through 1-4):
- 488 Four office/maintenance complexes and the Nixa Control Center (NCC)
- 489 **2**4 substations
- 490 1,347 miles of linear physical transmission line and 1,380 circuit miles of conductor transmission line
 491 and the associated 100-foot width right-of-way (ROW)
- 492 Approximately 6 miles of fiber optic communication line and associated corridors
- 493 Approximately 50 communication sites (communication towers)
- 494 **•** 3 pole yards
- 495 Access roads/pathways to access transmission ROW
- 496 Southwestern has completed this PEA to evaluate the potential environmental impacts associated with
- 497 these activities. The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 requires all federal agencies to
- 498 give appropriate consideration to potential environmental effects of proposed major actions in planning
- and decision making. This PEA has been prepared in accordance with NEPA; the Council on
- 500 Environmental Quality (CEQ) Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of NEPA (1978);
- 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Parts 1500 through 1508; and 10 CFR Part 1021, DOE NEPA
- 502 Implementing Procedures (2011).
- 503









512 **1.2 Purpose and Need for Action**

513 The purpose of the Proposed Action is to fulfill Southwestern's obligation to deliver federal hydropower

to end-use customers. The need for the Proposed Action is to operate and maintain Southwestern facilities

in Oklahoma, Arkansas, and Missouri; protect worker and public safety, streamline the regulatory process

- 516 for ROW maintenance; have a management framework to evaluate herbicides as they become available; 517 control the spread of noxious weeds; balance environmental protection with system reliability, while
- 518 maintaining compliance with the National Electric Safety Code (NESC), North American Electric
- 519 Reliability Corporation (NERC), Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers standards, and
- 520 Southwestern's directives and standards for maintaining system reliability and protection of human
- 521 safety.
- 522 To protect worker safety, total elimination of weedy species at the substations and the towers is necessary
- 523 to ensure that these facilities maintain grounding requirements through the ground grid to dissipate

524 lightning. Vegetation removes moisture at the substations causing issues with the ground grid. Gravel is

525 used to maintain an insulating buffer for workers. The gravel insulates the workers from potentials that

526 may be present in the soil during electrical faults and also provides a more stable working surface during

527 wet periods than either soil or grass. Vegetation must be eliminated from the gravel areas as it could result

- 528 in electric potentials that are hazardous to workers.
- 529 Transmission facilities must be kept clear of all tall-growing trees, brush and other vegetation that could

530 grow too close to the conductors. The most significant impediment to the transmission line ROW O&M

- and also emergency response is the growth of woody vegetation (trees and shrubs) within the ROW.
- 532 Trees are a major contributor of electric service interruptions. They cause outages in two ways,
- 533 mechanical and electrical. Mechanical damage refers to entire trees or portions of trees falling and
- 534 physically damaging facilities. Because of their conductive properties, electrical outages can also occur.
- 535 These interruptions are caused when a portion of a tree becomes a short-circuit path for electricity to flow
- 536 causing a protective device to operate which interrupts the flow of electricity. Therefore, trees must be
- 537 maintained an adequate distance from the conductors. Southwestern needs to select vegetation
- 538 management practices appropriate to specific conditions along the ROW. With the development of new
- berbicide formulations, enhanced delivery technology, and increased knowledge regarding environmental
- 540 interaction, Southwestern needs a management framework that allows evaluation of new herbicides as
- they become available. In addition, Southwestern needs to lower safety risks of conducting vegetation
- 542 management operations in remote and treacherous spans of ROW.

543 **1.3 Consultations and Public Involvement**

544 **1.3.1 Initial Outreach**

545 The purpose of the initial outreach is to notify stakeholders that Southwestern intends to prepare the PEA

and to ensure all relevant issues are identified and analyzed in the PEA. Initial outreach for this PEA

547 included a scoping letter sent to stakeholders. The letter and the list of stakeholders, as well as responses

548 received are provided in Appendix A.

549 **1.3.2 Draft EA Outreach**

- 550 A Notice of Availability (NOA) was published in the following newspapers to notify the public that the
- 551 draft EA was available for public review.
- 552 The Tulsa World
- 553 Hughes County Tribune
- 554 Springfield News-Leader
- 555 Poplar Bluff Daily American Republic
- 556 Jonesboro Sun
- 557 Southwest Times Record
- 558 The draft EA was made available on Southwestern's website at: <u>https://www.swpa.gov/</u> and on DOE's
- 559 website at: <u>www.energy.gov/node/3793593</u>. Hardcopies were available at the following public libraries:
- 560 Tulsa City-County Library, 400 Civic Center, Tulsa, OK 74103
- 561 The Library Center, 4653 S. Campbell Avenue, Springfield, MO 65810
- Little Rock Public Library, 100 Rock Street, Little Rock, AR 72201
- 563 The NOA invited public comment for a period of 45 days.

564 **1.3.3 Agency Participation**

- 565 Currently Southwestern has three programmatic agreements (PAs) under Section 106 of the National
- 566 Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), one with each State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) and the
- 567Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) which cover the proposed O&M and integrated
- vegetation management activities in the Proposed Action through July 24, 2019. The PA for Oklahoma
- also includes the Oklahoma Archeological Survey (OAS). Southwestern is in the active consultation
- 570 process with SHPOs, ACHP, OAS, and tribes to update and combine the three separate PAs into one
- 571 unified multi-state PA. Responses received from SHPOs to the notification of intent to prepare the EA are
- 572 provided in Appendix A.
- 573 Southwestern is currently updating its Programmatic Biological Opinion (PBO) with the Oklahoma Field
- 574 Office of the USFWS. This consultation includes both O&M and integrated vegetation management
- activities and impacts to listed species with focus on the American burying beetle (ABB, *Nicrophorus*
- 576 *americanus*). Southwestern initiated consultation with the USFWS, through preparation of a
- 577 Programmatic Biological Assessment (PBA) for listed species in three states (Appendix A). Consultation
- 578 is ongoing; results will be contained in the Final EA.

579 **1.3.4 Native American Participation**

- 580 Southwestern is conducting consultation with federally recognized Native American tribes according to
- the DOE American Indian Tribal Government Interactions and Policy (DOE Order 144.1). These entities
- 582 were invited by Southwestern to participate as Sovereign Nations per Executive Order (EO) 13175,
- 583 Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, in both the EA and the NHPA Section
- 584 106 process. Letters and responses are included in Appendix A.

585 **1.4 Permits and Required Compliance**

- 586 Southwestern has special use permits for its facilities in the Mark Twain National Forest in southeastern
- 587 Missouri and the Ozark-St. Francis National Forest in Arkansas. A special use permit with the Mark
- 588 Twain National Forest allows Southwestern to manage the 7 miles of transmission lines and ROW
- through this portion of the National Forest. In the Ozark-St. Francis National Forest, vegetation
- 590 management for the two communication towers and the 20.5 miles of transmission line occurs under a
- special use permit and was analyzed in an amended U.S. Forest Service (USFS) 2014 EA (USDA 2014).
- 592 Three communication sites within the Ouachita National Forest in Oklahoma and Arkansas are also under
- special use permit with the USFS.
- 594 In addition, a small percentage of Southwestern transmission lines and substations are located on USACE
- 595 hydropower dam generation sites, by permits. Southwestern receives electricity immediately below the
- 596 dam, through substations and conveyance through a short span of transmission lines until it reaches
- 597 private lands in the ROW. These permits will be maintained and updated by Southwestern when
- 598 necessary.
- 599 USACE Nationwide Permits (NWPs) may be required for certain O&M activities such as stream crossing
- or bank repairs. Southwestern requests NWPs and consults with the USACE on a case-by-case basis.
- 601 When an activity involves ground disturbance of 1 acre of soil or more, Southwestern obtains a
- 602 stormwater construction permit from the appropriate state environmental agency. However, this PEA
- 603 includes maintenance activities, not new construction. Maintenance activities would usually not require
- 604 greater than 1 acre of ground disturbance and many maintenance activities are exempt from National
- 605 Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permitting requirements. For substations in Missouri
- that have an oil/water separator, Southwestern holds oil/water separator NPDES permits and will maintain
- and update such permits as necessary.

608

609 2.0 DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES

610 2.1 Proposed Action Overview

- 611 The Proposed Action encompasses O&M activities, which also include the component of integrated
- 612 vegetation management activities. Since the integrated vegetation management program is a large
- 613 component of the O&M program the Proposed Action has been divided into the two components: 1)
- 614 O&M activities for infrastructure; and 2) integrated vegetation management activities. These are
- discussed briefly here and in detail in Sections 2.2 and 2.3.



616

617 Proposed O&M activities include continued aerial and ground patrols of line structures, lines, line

618 hardware, and access roads to locate and correct problems along the transmission line ROWs, regular and

619 preventive maintenance, inspections, repairs, upgrades, rebuilds, and replacements. Proposed O&M

activities would occur at existing substations, transmission lines, communication system facilities, access

621 roads, and maintenance or office-type facilities. O&M activities are physical controls and repairs;

622 geography has little bearing on these activities and they are performed routinely. Southwestern proposes

to continue these activities; details of O&M activities are provided in Section 2.2.

624 The proposed Integrated Vegetation Management Program would include a combination of manual and 625 mechanical control and herbicide treatments. As part of the Proposed Action, Southwestern has developed 626 a management framework for evaluating and selecting herbicides on an ongoing basis to improve the 627 range of herbicides used based on geographic regions and to increase control of undesirable vegetation 628 over longer periods of time. The goal of the Integrated Vegetation Management Program is to develop site-specific, environmentally sensitive, cost effective and socially responsible solutions to vegetation 629 630 control. No individual method will control undesirable vegetation in a single treatment; diligence and 631 persistence are required over a number of years to subdue vegetation such as woody plants, including 632 trees and brush. Due to the complexity of vegetation control, the proposed management framework for 633 herbicide use considers numerous factors, such as special geographic concerns, the type of vegetation to 634 control, and the arrival of new herbicides coming on the market. Details of the proposed manual and

mechanical control methods, as well as the management framework for herbicide use, are provided inSection 2.3.

637 2.2 Proposed Operations and Maintenance Activities

638 Proposed O&M activities include continuing regular and preventive maintenance, inspections, repairs,

639 upgrades, rebuilds, and replacements at existing substations, transmission lines, communication system

640 facilities, access roads, and maintenance or office-type facilities. Aerial and ground patrols of line

641 structures, lines, line hardware, and access roads to locate and correct problems along the transmission

642 line ROWs would continue. Clearances of the transmission lines would continue to be visually checked

by aerial patrol on a biannual basis and ground patrols by foot would continue on a 24-month cycle.

644 Machinery and personnel would be transported to and from the facilities using established and maintained

roadways. Some portions of ROW are accessible at points where the ROW crosses existing roads;

646 however, many areas would need to be accessed through private properties. Access through private

647 property would be maintained with permission of the specific landowner. Access within the ROW exists

648 through existing jeep trails or would be developed as the machinery travels over herbaceous vegetation.

649 This access would be used by Southwestern personnel to access the target areas within the ROW.

650 Proposed O&M activities are listed below in Table 2-1.

Table 2-1. Proposed Operations and Maintenance Activities

O&M Activities at Substations

 Remove, test, clean, repair, replace, modify, maintain or operate electrical equipment, and its support systems or foundations.

Clean, repair, replace, maintain, modify, operate and upgrade control building facilities, fencing, access roads, parking areas, grounding, grounding grids, substation ground-cover materials, substation perimeter, gates, storage buildings, underground utilities, security systems, and pole yards.

• Clean up chemical spills.

Prepare equipment, oil, or waste material for offsite shipment and disposal.

- Acquire, dispose, or transfer facility or property when use remains unchanged.
- Use light duty vehicles, heavy rolling equipment, and temporary storage of heavy materials.
- Remove facilities and equipment and restore site to adjacent natural vegetated surroundings.
- Perform erosion, flood or drainage control improvements.
- Control pests.
- Employ avian management practices.
- Perform biological or cultural resources environmental sampling activities, or environmental remediation actions.

O&M Activities at Transmission Lines

Install, maintain, operate, repair, remove, and inspect or replace any transmission structure, including poles of any material or height, and their associated components such as aircraft warning devices or avian protection/deterrent devices, insulators, pole guards, cross arms, steel members, X-braces, knee braces, structure mile marker signs, dampeners, ground rods or spikes, guy-wires, anchors and foundations.

Install, string, pull, splice, maintain, repair, operate, remove or replace any electrical conductor, optical ground wire (OPGW), shield wire, or fiber optic cables and their connections, and place or remove aircraft warning devices or avian deterrent devices upon overhead wires.

 Use all-terrain vehicles, light duty four-wheel drive vehicles, trailers, and specialized heavy-duty heavy rolling equipment to traverse access roads and rights-of-way.

- Perform temporary equipment storage or material staging for installation or repairs.
- Clean-up and dispose of spills.
- Install, maintain, operate, repair, remove, and inspect culverts.

- Maintain, operate, repair, remove, and inspect access roads and their components.
- Repair or perform maintenance at water crossings or bank stabilization.
- Perform soil/vegetation disturbances or digging activities along the rights-of-way such as, but not limited to, drilling holes for pole placement, gathering core samples for geotechnical studies, drilling and placing deep pilings/foundations for self-supporting monopole structures, dozing, grading, blading for miscellaneous activities, installing fence post holes, digging for guy-wire anchor holes, burying transmission lines or utilities, uncovering tower legs or anchors, and performing erosion repairs.
- Conduct ground inspections and aerial inspections.
- Install, repair, or remove gates, fences, or signs.
- Place, move, or remove fill or rocks around culverts, towers, structures, or along rights-of-way.
- Stage and prepare for disposal of transmission line associated materials and waste for offsite disposal.
- Perform emergency actions to restore or repair electrical power due to storms or accidents such as clearing downed trees or powerlines, access road construction or upgrading to allow emergency actions. (This activity may take place adjacent to, or outside of, Southwestern facilities).
- Perform biological or cultural resources environmental sampling activities.
- Apply wood preservatives, fire retardants, or chemical resin compounds on wooden pole structures.
- Complete customer interconnections to transmission lines, and applications to encroach through rights-of-way for utilities or other use requests.

O&M Activities at Communication System Facilities

- Remove, test, clean, repair, replace, modify, maintain or operate communications equipment, and its support systems.
- Clean, repair, replace, maintain, modify, operate and upgrade control building facilities, fencing, access roads, parking areas, grounding, communication facility ground-cover materials, communication facility perimeter, gates, storage buildings, generator buildings, generators, underground utilities, liquid propane gas tanks.
- Clean up chemical spills.
- Prepare equipment, oil, or waste material for offsite shipment and disposal.
- Acquire, dispose, or transfer facility or property when use remains unchanged.
- Remove facilities and equipment and restore site to adjacent natural vegetated surroundings.
- Use heavy rolling equipment or temporarily store heavy materials.
- Perform erosion, flood or drainage control improvements.
- Control pests.
- Employ avian management practices.
- Perform biological or cultural resources environmental sampling activities, or environmental remediation actions.

O&M Activities at Maintenance or Office-Type Facilities

- Clean, repair, expand, replace, demolish, maintain, modify, operate, utilize, and upgrade office buildings, maintenance buildings, warehouses, emergency generators and fuel storage, waste storage buildings, equipment storage buildings, operation control centers, and miscellaneous facilities, fencing, roads, parking areas, sidewalks, gates, wastewater treatment lagoons, landscaping and utilities.
- Use and store light duty vehicles and heavy rolling equipment.
- Clean up chemical spills.
- Prepare equipment, oil, or waste material for offsite shipment and disposal.
- Acquire, dispose, or transfer facility or property when use remains unchanged.
- Remove facilities and equipment and restore site to adjacent natural vegetated surroundings.
- Control pests.
- Perform biological or cultural resources environmental sampling activities, or environmental remediation actions.
- Employ avian management practices.

652 2.3 Proposed Integrated Vegetation Management Program

As discussed in Section 2.1, Southwestern proposes a combination of manual and mechanical control, as well as herbicide treatments, evaluated and selected through a management framework proposed herein,

- to control undesirable vegetation. Proposed vegetation management activities would occur at existing
- substations, transmission lines, communication system facilities, and maintenance or office-type facilities.
- Manual and mechanical control methods are discussed in Section 2.3.1 and the management framework
- 658 for using herbicides is discussed in Section 2.3.2.

659 2.3.1 Manual and Mechanical Control

660 Manual treatment involves the use of hand tools and hand-operated power tools to cut, clear, or prune herbaceous and woody species. Treatments would include cutting undesired plants above the ground 661 level, and pulling, grubbing, or removing undesired plants to prevent sprouting and regrowth. Manual 662 663 techniques, primarily using chainsaws, would be used where equipment access is limited by terrain, soil conditions, or other environmental conditions. A chainsaw would be used to control vegetation larger than 664 3 inches in diameter, including dense shrub growths, tree limbs, and large trees. These manual methods 665 666 are initially effective on woody vegetation; however, resprouting from the stumps or other exposed 667 woody vegetation is common. When deciduous trees are cut, they usually resprout with more stems than before, creating even more dense vegetation. Successive cuttings significantly increase the amount and 668 669 difficulty of labor needed to complete vegetation control.

- 670 Mechanical treatment involves the use of vehicles such as large wheeled-type tractors, or crawler-type
- equipment with attached tools specially designed to mulch, cut, uproot, or chop existing vegetation. The
- 672 mechanical methods would include a tractor-mounted brush hog mower used to maintain existing terrain
- 673 features for cutting grass and woody vegetation. The brush hog mower cuts, chops, or shreds vegetation
- 674 near the land surface and allows mulching of vegetation and onsite nutrient recycling. This tool is most
- effective on vegetation 3 inches or less in diameter. Southwestern would continue to use this method to maintain the majority of the areas within its facilities. The other mechanical methods are more easily
- 677 controlled by humans; therefore, the target vegetation can be individually controlled. Large wheel-
- 678 mounted or track-type equipment with rotary or mulching type attachments would be utilized to cut, chop,
- 679 or shred various types of vegetation, and break the connection between the roots and stems. This type of
- 680 equipment is utilized for herbaceous and woody type vegetation up to 10 inches in diameter.
- 681 Southwestern would continue to use this method to maintain fast growth vegetation, as well as non-
- herbicide applied sections. Most side trimming would be performed via ground equipment; however,
- aerial side saw trimming would be used at locations where ground equipment cannot be used, for
- example, inaccessible areas or river crossing areas. Generally, the cuttings from manual and mechanical
- vegetation control would remain onsite and allowed to deteriorate. In general, mechanical methods that
- disturb soil (heavy equipment) are not appropriate to use near water bodies or wetlands, on steep slopes,
- 687 or in areas of soft soils.
- 688 Under the Proposed Action, manual and mechanical control of the ROW floor would occur anytime
- throughout the year and manual and mechanical control of trees would occur in accordance with the
- 690 USFWS recommendations for protection of bat species. The length of time between manual and
- 691 mechanical treatments would be extended as compared to current operations. Surface mowing of the 100-
- foot ROW would be on a 4-year cycle and side trimming would be performed on an 8-year cycle. The
- 693 clearances would be visually checked on a biannual basis by aerial patrol and on a 24-month cycle by foot
- 694 patrol. Isolated areas that require trimming between cycles or danger trees that present themselves would
- be addressed on an as needed basis. During both aerial and foot patrols, ROW encroachments by

- 696 vegetation which may cause an imminent threat of a transmission line outage would be reported to the
- 697 System Dispatcher and then to the Regional Maintenance Manager for correction. Table 2-2 summarizes
- 698 the proposed manual and mechanical control methods and locations where they would be used.

		Locations for Use			
Method	Type of Vegetation	Sub- stations	ROWs	Communi- cation Sites	Offices
	Manual Control	Methods			
Chainsaws	Tree or shrub branches larger than 3 inches in diameter; and along slopes too steep for the tractor-mounted brush hog		X		
Brush saw	Dense shrub growths smaller than 3 inches in diameter		X		
Power weed trimmers	Most effective on herbaceous vegetation at fence rows and areas surrounding communication tower supports	Х		X	Х
	Mechanical Contro	ol Methods	•		
Tractor-mounted brush hog mower	Landscaped areas; most effective on vegetation 3 inches or less in diameter	Х	X	X	Х
Large wheel-mounted boom-tip saw	Encroaching tree limbs along the sides of the ROW; allows the live tree to remain		X		
Large mowers/site prep tractors/track-mounted mulching machine	Herbaceous and woody plant species up to 10-inch diameter		X		

Table 2-2. Proposed Uses of Manual and Mechanical Control Methods

700 **2.3.2 Management Framework for Using Herbicide Treatments**

701 Herbicides are chemicals that kill or injure plants and can be categorized as selective or non-selective. 702 Selective herbicides kill only a specific type of plant, such as broad-leaved plants, while non-selective 703 herbicides kill all types of plants. Herbicides can also be classified by their mode of action, and include 704 growth regulators, amino acid inhibitors, grass meristem destroyers, cell membrane destroyers, root and 705 shoot inhibitors, and amino acid derivatives, which interfere with plant metabolism in a variety of ways. 706 Southwestern uses U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and state-registered herbicides, and 707 appropriately licensed or certified applicators apply the herbicides following the label requirements. 708 Southwestern's Proposed Action consists of a two-step process for herbicide determination: herbicide 709 approval (on a programmatic scale) and site-specific herbicide selection (on a local or geographic scale).

- 710 The management framework for selecting and using herbicide treatments consists of the following
- 711 considerations:
- 712 Application Methods
- 713 Herbicide Approval
- 714 Site-Specific Herbicide Selection
- 715 Waste Generation and Herbicide Containment
- 716 Future Activities

717 2.3.2.1 Application Methods

- 718 Herbicide application methods would include a combination of methods depending on season of the year,
- recies needing control, and area to be treated. The application method chosen depends upon the
- treatment objective (removal or reduction); accessibility, topography, and size of the treatment area;
- characteristics of the target species and the desired vegetation; location of sensitive areas and potential
- r22 environmental impacts in the immediate vicinity; anticipated costs; equipment limitations; and
- meteorological and vegetative conditions of the treatment area at the time of treatment. Herbicide
- application schedules and type are designed to minimize impacts to non-target species while still meeting
- vegetation control objectives. The application rates depend upon the target species, the presence and
- condition of non-target vegetation, soil type, depth to the water table, presence of other water sources, and
- the label requirements. Applications would be in accordance with "Herbicide Application Guidelines" in
- 728 Southwestern's Office of Corporate Facilities Maintenance Standards, Vegetation Maintenance Program,
- No. MA-23, Revision 2 (2014). Application methods would include:
- Power-driven vehicle-mounted mechanical sprayer used for general brush control especially along
 ROW. Wind gusts must not exceed 10 miles per hour.
- Foliar spray application can be used during the growing season to control species. Herbicide is
 applied directly to the target foliage using pressurized or backpack sprayers.
- Cut-stump treatment used to prevent re-sprouting of freshly cut stumps. Applied using backpacker
 sprayer or Radiarc sprayer.
- Tree injection and girdle/frill method used in the ROW to kill larger tree species.
- Basal application used to apply herbicide directly to the lower 12 to 14 inches of the stem.
 Application occurs in the winter during the dormant season and is effective near row crops.
- An adjuvant is any substance in an herbicide formulation or added to improve herbicidal activity or
- application characteristics. Two examples of adjuvants are dyes and surfactants. Dyes can be used to
- mark where herbicides are sprayed to aid the applicator in determining the area covered. Occasionally,
- herbicides would be diluted with seed oil, limonene, basal oil, or another surfactant when used in
- conjunction with the cut stump method or when used to control highly resistant species. Surfactants are
- 744 wetting agents that increase surface contact and therefore, overall effectiveness of the herbicide.
- Adjuvants would be used at recommended label rates. Table 2-3 lists adjuvants.

746 **Table 2-3. List of Adjuvants**

Adjuvant	Туре	Notes
Activator 90	Nonionic surfactant	Mixes well with most herbicides without affecting the water pH. Mix at 2 pints per 100 gallons of water.
Basal oil	Surfactant	Use with Garlon 4.
Elite Champion	Nonionic Surfactant	For right-of-way, mix 0.5-2 quarts per 100 gallons.
Grounded	Surfactant	Blend of surfactants and aliphatic hydrocarbons designed for soil/gravel with no organic matter. Use for bare ground applications (substations and towers) at a rate of 2 pints per acre.
Hi-Light	Marking adjuvant	Use on bare ground at 16 ounces per 100 gallons. For woody plant applications, use 24 ounces per 100 gallons.
Induce	Nonionic surfactant	Mixes well with most herbicides without affecting the water pH. Mix at 2 pints per 100 gallons of water.
Metholated Seed Oil (MSO)	Surfactant	Best used for the woody plant applications, especially when pine trees are present. The rate is 2 pints per acre.
Redriver 90	Fatty acid ionic Surfactant	For right-of-way, mix 0.5-2 quarts per 100 gallons.

747 Under the Proposed Action, Southwestern hopes to extend the length of time between herbicide

treatments using better formulated herbicides that are now available. Herbicide applications at each

substation would occur in spring when vegetation blooms and then would be spot sprayed as needed.

750 Towers would be spot sprayed as needed.

751 Herbicide applications in ROWs would occur from May through October. Regional offices would manage

the applications on a rotating schedule. The system-wide maintenance plan documents which

transmission lines need to be cleared each year on a 4- to 5-year rotating schedule. Clearing of a line

means that either manual/mechanical or herbicide control (or both is used) or that the line is free of

vegetation issues. Means of control would be determined based on what is identified at each site. Aerial

patrols would be conducted on a biannual basis and foot surveys would be conducted every 2 years.

757 2.3.2.2 Herbicide Approval

This section presents Southwestern's proposed process for determining which herbicides are approved on
a programmatic scale for use under the Integrated Vegetation Management Program. Site-specific
characteristics, which also have to be considered, are discussed in the following section. The approval
process is necessary, because herbicide availability and formulation are constantly changing and there are
restrictions to where herbicides can be applied depending on soil type, water availability, landowner

restrictions, and other environmental restrictions.

764 The Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) requires all herbicides to be classified

for their potential hazards based on the circumstances to which they are used. The two classifications are

"General Use" and "Restricted Use." General Use herbicides generally have lower toxicities with

767 corresponding lower hazards to humans and the environment. Restricted Use herbicides generally have

768 higher toxicity ratings and are often hazardous to humans and/or the environment. Some herbicide

769 formulations containing the same active ingredient may be registered in both classifications, depending on 770 the ingredient concentration, application method, and intended use. With exception, General Use 771 herbicides can be purchased and applied by the general public without training or licensing. Exceptions 772 include, but are not limited to, applying General Use herbicides with motorized equipment and the 773 application of aquatic use herbicides. These exceptions and all Restricted Use herbicides can be 774 purchased and used only by trained and licensed applicators or others under the direct supervision of a 775 trained and licensed applicator. With either classification, the applicator is required by law to follow all 776 label instructions and restrictions. 777 In the 1995 EAs, Southwestern developed selection criteria for determining which herbicides could be 778 used at the substation/communication towers and in the ROW. These selection criteria were applied 779 across all of Southwestern's lands and were limited by the most restrictive type of ecoregion. Although 780 the selection criteria were well developed and provided protection to the environment and species, they 781 did not provide flexibility to more effectively manage vegetation in ecosystem regions which may have 782 been able to utilize less restrictive selection criteria. Under the Proposed Action, the current Southwestern 783 approved herbicides, as well as other potential herbicides, were evaluated with the criteria shown in 784 Figure 2-1. Consideration was focused on the factors that indicate the greatest likelihood of groundwater 785 contamination. Many pesticides bind strongly to soil and are therefore immobile. A measure of how 786 strongly a pesticide binds to soil is its sorption potential (Koc). For those that are mobile in soil, their 787 leaching to groundwater can be thought of as a race in time between their degradation into nontoxic by-788 products and their transport to groundwater. If the pesticide is not readily degraded and moves freely with 789 water percolating downward through the soil, the likelihood of it reaching groundwater is relatively high. 790 If, however, the pesticide degrades quickly or is tightly bound to soil particles, then it is more likely to be 791 retained in the upper soil layers until it is degraded to nontoxic by-products. Even if degradation is slow, 792 this type of pesticide is unlikely to pose a threat to groundwater. The time it takes for a pesticide to 793 degrade to half of its original concentration is called its half-life. The Groundwater Ubiquity Score (GUS) 794 is an experimentally calculated value that relates pesticide half-life and Koc. The GUS can be used to 795 rank pesticides for their potential to move to groundwater (NPIC 2018).

Groundwater Ubiquity Score (GUS)

The GUS is an experimentally calculated value that relates pesticide half-life and sorption potential (Koc) (from laboratory data). The GUS may be used to rank pesticides for their potential to move toward groundwater.

GUS = log10 (half-life) x [4 - log10 (Koc)].

804	GUS Value	Potential for movement toward groundwater
805	Below 0.1	Extremely low
806	1.0 – 2.0	Low
807	2.0 - 3.0	Moderate
808	3.0 - 4.0	High
809	Above 4.0	Very high
810		

811 Figure 2-1. Approval Criteria for New Herbicides

812	Approval Criteria for New Herbicides
813 814	 Herbicide must be labeled for the specific site of application (Range Land, Aquatic, ROW/Bare Ground).
815	2. Herbicide must be a proven herbicide with documented acceptable results.
816 817	3. Mix rates per acre must be in ounces rather than in the pounds or gallons to limit the amount of herbicide on the landscape.
818	4. Herbicide must be safe for wildlife.
819 820	5. Based on the GUS value, the pesticide movement rating must be low to moderate. If the rating is high or very high, the herbicide must be nontoxic or exhibit low toxicity to aquatic species.
 821 822 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 833 834 	Table 2-4 identifies the proposed list of herbicides selected for consideration under the Proposed Action, as well as, their characteristics, target vegetation, and types of facilities where they could be used. Southwestern does not spray herbicides directly on surface water, nor do they spray within 15 feet from any water's edge. Table 2-4 identifies herbicides approved for aquatic use and these should be used near sensitive water receptors or open water bodies. In cases where a generic herbicide has the equivalent percentage or less of the active ingredient as the brand name, that herbicide may be substituted. Sometimes herbicides are combined to make them more effective for certain applications. For example, combining herbicides allows more than one mode of action affecting the plant which allows better management. In addition, for bare ground applications where existing plants are visible, both a pre-emergent and post-emergent herbicide are necessary, as most pre-emergent herbicides will not have any effect on existing plants. Table 2-5 lists recommended combinations of herbicides for use under the Proposed Action. Some herbicides currently approved for use were removed from consideration under the Proposed Action because they are no longer available (Table 2-6). Section 2.3.2.5 describes the process Southwestern would use to approve herbicides that are developed in the future.

835

Trade Name	Active Ingredient	Herbicide Characteristic and Target Vegetation	Pesticide Movement Rating	Wetland/ Aquatic Use (Yes/No) ²	Bare Ground Only	ROW Only	Bare Ground or ROW
4 # Amine	47.3% dimethylamine salt of 2,4-dichlorophenoxyactic acid	Selective post emergent for broadleaf weeds in desirable grasses and gravel/rock areas	Moderate	Yes			Х
Accord XRT ¹	53.6% glyphosate	Non-selective broad spectrum systemic herbicide for control of annual/perennial weeds and woody plants.	Extremely Low	No			Х
Arsenal Powerline ¹	27.8% imazapyr	Controls a broad-spectrum of troublesome vines and brambles, brush and tree species, and grasses and broadleaf weeds	High	No			Х
Arsenal ¹	27.8% isopropylamine salt of imazapyr	Non-selective control most annual and perennial grass and broadleaf weeds in addition to many brush and vine species. Readily absorbed through emergent leaves and stems.	High	Yes			Х
Cleantraxx	40.3% oxyfluorfen 0.85% penoxsulam	Pre-emergent broadleaf and grass weeds for hard surface/gravel areas	Extremely Low	No	Х		
Credit Systemic Extra ¹	41% glyphosate	Non-selective	Extremely Low	No			Х
Diuron 4L	40.7% diuron	Pre-emergence control of broadleaf weeds and annual grasses, as well as certain woody brush seedlings	Moderate	No	Х		
Endurance ¹	65% prodiamine	Pre-emergence control of broadleaf weeds and annual grasses, as well as certain woody brush seedlings	Extremely Low	No	Х		

Table 2-4. Herbicides Considered for Use Under the Proposed Action

Trade Name	Active Ingredient	Herbicide Characteristic and Target Vegetation	Pesticide Movement Rating	Wetland/ Aquatic Use (Yes/No) ²	Bare Ground Only	ROW Only	Bare Ground or ROW
Escort XP ¹	60% metsulfuron methyl	Selective post emergent for broadleaf and woody plants in desirable grasses	High	No		Х	
Garlon 3A ¹	44.4% triclopyr (salt)	Selective post emergent for broadleaf and woody plants in desirable grasses	Moderate	No			Х
Garlon 4 ¹	61.6% triclopyr (ester)	Selective post emergent for broadleaf and woody plants in desirable grasses	Moderate	No			Х
Karmex-DF ¹	80% diuron	Long-term non-selective herbicide for control of most annual and some perennial weeds	Moderate	No			Х
Krenite S ¹	41.5% ammonium salt of fosamine	Selective for woody species	Low	No		Х	
Mastiff PGR ¹	48.1% flurprimidol	Growth regulator on established trees. Injected into individual trees.	Very High	No		Х	
Method 240SL	25% potassium salt of aminocyclopyrachlor	Selective pre and post emergent for broadleaf and woody plants, can be used near water. Works best with Esplanade.	Very High	No	Х		
Milestone VM	40.6% triisopropanolammonium salt of 2-pyridine carboxylic acid, 4-amino-3,6-dichloro	Selective post emergent broadleaf weed and some woody, no grazing restrictions; good for desirable grasses under power lines.	Low	No		Х	
Mojave 70 EG	7.78% imazapyr 32.2% diuron	Pre-emergent use for broadleaf weeds and grasses. Can be used near water.	High Moderate	No	X		

Trade Name	Active Ingredient	Herbicide Characteristic and Target Vegetation	Pesticide Movement Rating	Wetland/ Aquatic Use (Yes/No) ²	Bare Ground Only	ROW Only	Bare Ground or ROW
Oust Extra ¹	56.25% sulfometuron methyl 15% metsulfuron methyl	Selective post emergent for woody plants and broadleaf weeds in desirable grasses.	Moderate High	No			Х
Oust XP ¹	56.25% sulfometuron methyl	Selective broad-spectrum broadleaf weed and grass control.	Moderate	No			Х
Pathfinder II ¹	13.6% triclopyr	Selective for basal bark and cut- stump treatments	Low	No		Х	
Polaris	27.7% imazapyr	Non-selective post emergent all weeds, grasses and woody. Best used with pre-emergent.	High	Yes	Х		
Profile 2CS	21.8% paclobutrazol	Selective post emergent and tree growth regulator for management of shoot growth and the reduction of biomass when trees are pruned	High	No		Х	
Remedy Ultra	60.45% triclopyr (ester)	Selective post emergent for woody plants and some broadleaf weeds	Moderate	No			X
Rodeo ¹	53.8% glyphosate	Non-selective post emergent all weeds, grasses and woody with no soil residual activity. Best used with pre-emergent.	Extremely Low	Yes	Х		
Roundup Pro ¹	41.0% glyphosate	Non-selective post emergent broadleaf and woody plants with no soil residual activity. Best used with pre-emergent.	Extremely Low	No	Х		
Sahara DF ¹	62.2% diuron 7.78% imazapyr	Non-selective, pre-emergent	Moderate	No			X

Trade Name	Active Ingredient	Herbicide Characteristic and Target Vegetation	Pesticide Movement Rating	Wetland/ Aquatic Use (Yes/No) ²	Bare Ground Only	ROW Only	Bare Ground or ROW
Streamline	39.5% aminocyclopyrachlor 12.6% metsulfuron methyl	Selective post emergent for woody plants. Spot treat only.	Very High	No		X	
Topsite 2.5G ¹	0.5% imazapyr 2% diuron	Non-selective	Moderate	No		Х	
Tordon 101M	24.4% picloram 39.6% 2,4-D	Selective post emergent for broadleaf and some woody. Works best when mixed with Garlon 4 for better results. Restricted Use	Very High Moderate	No		Х	
Tordon 22K	24.4% picloram	Selective post emergent broadleaf weed and some woody, no grazing restrictions; good for desirable grasses under power lines. Restricted Use	Very High	No		Х	
Transline	40.9% clopyralid	Selective post emergent for broadleaf and woody	Very High	No		X	
Vastlan	54.72% triclopyr choline	Selective post emergent for broadleaf and woody, has aquatic label and can be used in wetland area.	Very High	Yes			Х
Vista ¹	26.2% fluroxypyr	Selective post emergent for broadleaf, specific for kosha	Moderate	No			Х
Winter mix Blend	Stalker – 27.7% isopropylamine salt of imazapyr Garlon 4 – 61.6% triclopyr	Selective post emergent for woody plants in desirable grasses	High	No		X	

¹ Herbicide is currently used (No Action Alternative) and would continue to be used under the Proposed Action. ² Southwestern does not spray herbicides directly on surface water, nor do they spray within 15 feet from any water's edge. Herbicides approved for aquatic use should be used near sensitive water receptors or open water bodies.
Table 2-5. Recommended Combinations of Herbicides Considered for Use Under the Proposed Action

Proposed Combinations	Herbicide Characteristic and Target Vegetation	Wetland/ Aquatic Use (Yes/no)	Bare Ground Only	ROW Only
Cleantraxx and Roundup	Bare Ground application with existing grasses/weeds	No	Х	
Mojave and Rodeo	Bare Ground application with existing grasses/weeds	Yes	Х	
Mojave and Diuron	Bare Ground application with existing grasses/weeds	No	Х	
Polaris and Vastland	Bare Ground application with existing grasses/weeds	Yes	Х	
Tordon 22K and Milestone	Selective application for weeds and woody	No		Х
Transline and Milestone	Selective application for weeds and woody	No		Х
Vastland and Amine	Selective application for weeds and woody	Yes		Х

365

Table 2-6. Currently Approved Herbicides Not Included Under the Proposed Action

Trade Name	Active Ingredient	Rationale
Accord SP	41% glyphosate	No longer available
Habitat	28.7% imazapyr	No longer available
Journey	8.13% imazipic 21.94% glyphosate	No longer available
Spike 80DF	80% tebuthiuron	Moves in soil. Does not meet the GUS ranking requirement and is highly toxic to aquatic organisms.
Tordon K	24.4% picloram	No longer available. Replaced by Tordon 22K.

366 GUS Groundwater Ubiquity Score

367 2.3.2.3 Site-Specific Herbicide Selection

368 Although an herbicide is approved for use, site-specific information, such as vegetation to be treated,

369 hydrological data, soil composition, sensitive species, and restricted areas, must be considered when

370 selecting an herbicide for use at a specific site. Table 2-4 provides the general locations where each

371 herbicide could be used, i.e., bare ground, the ROW, and near water. However, Southwestern would

determine which herbicides, if any, would be appropriate for site-specific use.

373 Southwestern has developed the geographic information system (GIS) Resource Mapper, a GIS tool, to

374 help identify environmental restrictions in specific locations within Proposed Action areas. The GIS

375 Resource Mapper considers soil type, presence of karst and water features, general vegetation types, and

376 known land management restrictions. Land management restrictions include areas that are managed by

- the USFS and Wildlife Management Areas (WMAs) managed by state agencies. Along with the GIS
- 378 Resource Mapper, the items in Figure 2-2 would be considered to identify an appropriate herbicide from
- the approved list for a specific site.

Figure 2-2. Considerations for Site-Specific Herbicide Selection

381		Site-Specific Herbicide Selection
382 383 384	•	Identify if the site is within a known restricted area, for example, areas with known Threatened and Endangered species and areas with other management practices, such as USFS lands. No herbicides are allowed for use within these restricted areas.
385 386 387	•	Identify the facility (ROW, Bare Ground) and the vegetation management need (Selective, Non-Selective, Pre-Emergent, Broadleaf, Woody). Narrow down the list of possible choices in the Approved Herbicides List.
388 389	-	Identify nearby water resources. Select herbicide allowed in water or near water. Herbicides should not be used within 15 feet from any water's edge.
390	-	Identify karst features. Herbicides should not be used within 15 feet of karst features.
391 392 393 394	•	Identify if sandy soil is present. Low organic matter content (e.g., sand) indicates a greater likelihood of groundwater contamination because soil adsorption is dependent on soil characteristics. If sandy soil is present, do not choose an herbicide that has permeable soil restrictions.

395 2.3.2.4 Waste Generation and Herbicide Containment

396 Wastes would be generated by the Proposed Action, including herbicide product containers, spray tips, 397 and personal protective equipment (PPE). Herbicide product containers would be triple rinsed with water, 398 punctured, and disposed of in a sanitary landfill or by any other method indicated on the manufacturer's 399 label. Spray tips would be triple rinsed and disposed of in a sanitary landfill or by any other method 400 indicated on the manufacturer's label. PPE would either be rinsed and disposed of in a sanitary landfill or 401 washed and reused. The rinse water generated in cleaning containers and spray tips would be applied in 402 the treated areas. There would be no excess herbicide mixture remaining onsite after each day because 403 any excess herbicide mixture would be applied on site before Southwestern personnel leave the site.

404 Product herbicide would be delivered to the site in either 2.5-gallon or 55-gallon containers. The

405 herbicide would normally be diluted with water. Non-water diluents (adjuvants) would be transported to

406 the site in small (less than 5-gallon) containers and would be poured into the hand or backpack sprayers as

- 407 necessary. The herbicide dilution would occur within the ROW. In case of a rupture or other release of an
- 408 herbicide container, the remainder of mixed herbicide would be applied to the target area until the
- 409 container was empty. Leaking herbicide containers would not be transported off of the ROW until no
- 410 herbicide remained in the container. If an uncontrollable rupture or other release of an herbicide or non-
- 411 water diluent container did occur, Southwestern personnel would contain any liquids within the ROW. To
- 412 further reduce the risk of release, no product herbicide, diluted herbicide, or non-water diluents would
- 413 remain in non-contained areas within the ROW without Southwestern personnel supervision.

414 **2.3.2.5 Future Activities**

415 Herbicides' availability and formulation are constantly changing. When an applicator wishes to use an

herbicide not on the currently approved list, the applicator would need to complete a request for a newherbicide (Appendix B). Southwestern would then evaluate the requested herbicide using this PEA and

418 the criteria shown in Figure 2-2 and determine whether or not it can be added to the approved list.

the criteria shown in Figure 2-2 and determine whether of not it can be added to the approved list.

419 If a new herbicide passes the criteria in Figure 2-2, Southwestern would also consider the following items

420 when determining if a new herbicide should be added to its official Approved List of Herbicides. The

421 answers to the questions below would not automatically eliminate an herbicide from approval but are

422 helpful in determining whether or not an herbicide meets Southwestern's needs.

- 423 Is the cost of the herbicide per acre of application acceptable?
- 424 Is the herbicide available for purchase in the local market?
- Is the herbicide a General Use herbicide or a Restricted Use herbicide? Restricted Use herbicides
 have the potential to cause unreasonable adverse effects to the environment and injury to applicators
 or bystanders without added restrictions. The "Restricted Use" classification restricts a product, or its
 uses, to use by a certified applicator or someone under the certified applicator's direct supervision.
- Is the label signal word Caution or less? Note: other common signal words include Danger and
 Poison; these herbicides involve greater adverse health risks.
- 431 Are there PPE requirements other than the standard gloves, long sleeves, long pants, rubber boots,432 and eye protection?

433 **2.4 No Action Alternative**

434 Under the No Action Alternative, Southwestern would continue its O&M activities and integrated 435 vegetation management as it currently does, as defined under its Office of Corporate Facilities Maintenance Standards, Vegetation Maintenance Program (No. MA-23) and would adhere to 436 437 requirements cited in its two 1995 EAs (Southwestern 1995a and 1995b). O&M activities as listed in 438 Table 2-1 would continue under the No Action Alternative. As with the Proposed Action, aerial and 439 ground patrols of line structures, lines, line hardware, and access roads to locate and correct problems 440 along the transmission line ROWs would continue. Clearances of the transmission lines would continue to 441 be visually checked by aerial patrol on a biannual basis and ground patrols by foot would continue on a 442 24-month cycle. As with the Proposed Action, machinery and personnel would be transported to and from 443 the facilities using established and maintained roadways. Access within the ROW exists through existing 444 jeep trails or would be developed as the machinery travels over herbaceous vegetation. This access would 445 be used by Southwestern personnel to access the target areas within the ROW.

- 446 Southwestern would continue to apply herbicides at each substation in spring when vegetation blooms
- and then spot spray as needed. Towers would be spot sprayed as needed. Southwestern would continue to
- 448 maintain the ROWs to keep facilities clear of all tall-growing trees, brush, and other vegetation that could
- grow too close to the conductors on a 4- to 5-year cycle using manual/mechanical and herbicide methods
- 450 with some flexibility for instances beyond the control of Southwestern. The use of herbicides would still
- 451 be supplemented by the use of manual/mechanical means to maintain the ROWs in many areas.
- 452 Southwestern would use selection criteria for herbicides in the 1995 EAs that are based on
- 453 Southwestern's most sensitive ecoregion receptor area and therefore are overly restrictive. This eliminates
- 454 the use of herbicides that could be used safely and efficiently in some specific areas as well as new
- 455 herbicides that have become available. Southwestern would not use the GIS Resource Mapper described
- 456 in Section 2.3.2.3. Southwestern would continue to use basil oil, mineral oil, and Redriver 90 as
- 457 surfactants under this alternative. Herbicides that would be used under the No Action Alternative are the
- 458 herbicides currently approved for use and are listed below in Table 2-7.

459

Table 2-7 Approved	Herbicides for	Use Under	the No Action	∆lternative
Table Z-1. Apploved				Alternative

Trade Name	Active Ingredient	Herbicide Characteristic and Target Vegetation	Bare Ground Only	ROW Only	Bare Ground or ROW
Accord SP	41% glyphosate	Non-selective broad spectrum systemic herbicide for control of annual/perennial weeds and woody plants.			Х
Accord XRT	53.6% glyphosate	Non-selective broad spectrum systemic herbicide for control of annual/perennial weeds and woody plants.			Х
Arsenal	27.8% isopropylamine salt of imazapyr	Non-selective control most annual and perennial grass and broadleaf weeds in addition to many brush and vine species. Readily absorbed through emergent leaves and stems.			Х
Arsenal Powerline	27.8% imazapyr	Controls a broad-spectrum of troublesome vines and brambles, brush and tree species, and grasses and broadleaf weeds			Х
Credit Systemic Extra	41% glyphosate	Non-selective			Х
Endurance	65% prodiamine	Pre-emergence control of broadleaf weeds and annual grasses, as well as certain woody brush seedlings	Х		
Escort XP	60% metsulfuron methyl	Selective post emergent for broadleaf and woody plants in desirable grasses		X	
Garlon 3A	44.4% triclopyr	Selective post emergent for broadleaf and woody plants in desirable grasses			Х
Garlon 4	61.6% triclopyr	Selective post emergent for broadleaf and woody plants in desirable grasses			Х
Habitat	28.7% imazapyr	Non-selective		X	
Journey	8.13% imazipic 21.94% glyphosate	Non-selective	Х		
Karmex-DF	80% diuron	Long-term non-selective herbicide for control of most annual and some perennial weeds			X
Krenite S	41.5% ammonium salt of fosamine	Selective for woody species		X	

Trade Name	Active Ingredient	Herbicide Characteristic and Target Vegetation	Bare Ground Only	ROW Only	Bare Ground or ROW
Mastiff PGR	48.1% flurprimidol	Growth regulator on established trees. Injected into individual trees		X	
Oust Extra	56.25% sulfometuron methyl 15% metsulfuron methyl	Selective post emergent for woody plants and broadleaf weeds in desirable grasses.			Х
Oust XP	56.25% sulfometuron methyl	Selective broad-spectrum broadleaf weed and grass control			Х
Pathfinder II	13.6% triclopyr	Selective for basal bark and cut-stump treatments		X	
Profile 2CS	21.8% paclobutrazol	Selective post emergent and tree growth regulator for management of shoot growth and the reduction of biomass when trees are pruned		X	
Rodeo	53.8% glyphosate	Non-selective post emergent all weeds, grasses and woody with no soil residual activity. Best used with pre-emergent	Х		
Roundup Pro	41.0% glyphosate	Non-selective post emergent broadleaf and woody plants with no soil residual activity. Best used with pre-emergent	Х		
Sahara DF	62.2% diuron 7.78% imazapyr	Non-selective, pre-emergent			Х
Spike-80DF	80% tebuthiuron	Pre-emergent	Х		
Topsite 2.5G	0.5% imazapyr 2% diuron	Non-selective		X	
Tordon K	24.4% picloram	Selective broadleaf weed and some woody			X
Vista	26.2% fluroxypyr	Selective post emergent for broadleaf, specific for kosha			X

444 **2.5 Comparison of Alternatives**

- 445 Table 2-8 summarizes and compares the potential impacts under the Proposed Action and the No Action
- 446 Alternative. Chapter 3 provides detailed information for potential impacts of each alternative.

447 Table 2-8. Summary of Environmental Consequences by Alternative

	Proposed Action	No Action Alternative
La	nd Use	
•	No changes to land use or land ownership. No creation of new ROWs or construction of new facilities. Potential for temporary disruption to residential, recreational, and farming activities on adjacent land. In general, adjacent land uses are mostly agricultural, pasture, and forest lands in rural areas that are sparsely populated.	Similar but slightly greater impacts to adjacent land uses could occur since the No Action would require greater use of heavy equipment to control vegetation within the ROW and these activities may need to occur more often. No changes to land use or land ownership would occur.
Wa	ater Resources	
•	Potential short-term decreases in water quality from erosion, increased surface water runoff, or sedimentation, during O&M activities, such as bank repair, replacement of poles, or repairing underground utilities and from large machinery disturbing the soil during mechanical techniques for controlling vegetation. Potential threat to surface water and groundwater quality from migration of chemical, fuel, oil, or herbicide spills, if not contained immediately. No direct impacts from herbicides are expected because the area of land treated with herbicides would be relatively small (narrow strips across the landscape) compared to the surrounding area which allows rapid dilution. In addition, Southwestern does not use herbicides within 15 feet of surface water features or karst features.	Similar but slightly greater impacts to water resources could occur since for the No Action Southwestern would not have the flexibility to readily use better formulated herbicides that are geographically targeted. These restrictions would lead to shortened time intervals between herbicide treatments, and would require continued use of large machinery around surface body waters, potentially causing more erosion and sedimentation.
Bio	ological Resources	
•	No impacts to vegetation at the substations, communication sites, and the Tulsa office due to lack of vegetation at these sites. Vegetation at other offices would continue to be maintained in a lawn-like state. Along the ROW, potential for large equipment to temporarily trample vegetation, increase erosion in select areas under certain conditions, and increase invasive species. Continued removal of woody species in the ROW to favor low-growing non-woody plant species. Potential short-term impacts to wildlife from noise, vibration, and construction equipment movement. Potential direct impacts to wildlife from mortality or injure from collision with vehicles. Temporary displacement of most wildlife from the immediate vicinity of the maintenance area and adjacent areas. Larger or more mobile wildlife would leave the vicinity but would eventually return to the area after the activities were completed. Less mobile species may be crushed by heavy equipment.	Impacts to vegetation, wildlife and special status species would be similar as described for the Proposed Action. However, greater impacts may occur because older formulations of herbicides would be used which would increase the frequency of visits to manage vegetation within the ROW and more herbicide could be applied across the landscape as compared to under the Proposed Action. An increase in use of mechanical equipment would occur to control vegetation which would cause greater disturbance to the vegetation and wildlife. In addition, the GIS Resource Mapper would not be used to assist with site-specific herbicide selection.

	Proposed Action	No Action Alternative
•	Proposed Action Potential indirect impacts of habitat degradation, disruption of foraging and prey availability, and disruption of nesting. Potential impacts to wildlife species from herbicide exposure depends on the quantity of the chemical the species was exposed to and the toxicity of the herbicide. Herbicides proposed for use are low in toxicity to wildlife. The GIS Resource Mapper would be used to identify sensitive wildlife areas including karst and threatened and endangered species areas to reduce unintentional exposure. Approximately 859 acres of potential American burying beetle habitat occur along the ROW in the three counties in Arkansas. Approximately 4,732 acres per year may be subject to disturbance on short notice or during the dormant season with little avoidance possible in Oklahoma. The Proposed Action may affect but is not likely to adversely affect 23 special status species. The Proposed Action may affect and is likely to adversely affect the American burying beetle. USEWS	No Action Alternative
	consultation is ongoing; results will be contained in the Final EA.	
Air	Quality	
•	Minimal impacts to air quality and no change to regional air quality. Emission of criteria pollutants, small amounts of toxic air contaminants, and greenhouse gases from burning of fossil fuels (gasoline or diesel) in internal combustion engines in emergency generators, light duty four-wheel drive vehicles, all-terrain vehicles, trucks, tractors, and specialized heavy equipment.	Potential impacts to air quality would not change from current conditions. However, the time interval between herbicide applications may be shorter and therefore, air emissions from vehicles could be greater as compared to the Proposed Action.
-	Emission of particulate matter and fugitive dust from those activities that disturb the soil, such as from replacing poles, driving on dirt roads, and from other ground-disturbing activities. Emission of greenhouse gases from sulfur hexafluoride containing electrical equipment.	
Ge	ology and Soils	
•	Potential health and safety risks to workers from undetected sinkholes in karst terrain and from the New Madrid Seismic Zone. Potential for karst terrain to serve as conduits and transport herbicides to unwanted areas or water sources. Because of this, herbicide application is not allowed within 15 feet of a karst feature (cave sinkhole spring)	The potential impacts are the same but without the use of better formulated herbicides that are geographically targeted, shorter time intervals between herbicide treatments and greater use of large machinery would be required potentially causing more disturbance as compared to the Proposed
•	Potential localized and short-term soil erosion, compaction, and disturbance of the physical arrangement of soils from ground disturbing activities and the use of heavy equipment. Potential increased exposure of susceptible soils to water or wind erosion at the land surface from vegetation removal. Potential reduction in soil microbes' numbers and/or change in species composition from herbicide use. Little potential at ROWs due to relatively small amounts of herbicide with long- time spans between treatments. Greater potential within	Action.

	Proposed Action	No Action Alternative
	from growing and if these herbicides were to migrate offsite into adjacent soils, microbes (and thus soil productivity) could be affected.	
Cu	Itural Resources	
-	Potential adverse impacts to cultural resources are not expected because impacts would be avoided and minimized by the implementation of the PA and or the Section 106 process. Potential for long-term, direct impacts to cultural resources from surface and subsurface disturbance during activities including pole replacement, road maintenance, or culvert	Similar as the Proposed Action however more frequent maintenance and increased use of heavy equipment could increase the likelihood of inadvertent effects to cultural resources along the ROW. However, impacts would be avoided and minimized by implementation of
-	replacement and by vehicles and equipment traversing the ROW areas. Potential exposure of resources to vandalism or new accessibility to yet unidentified resources from removal of	the PA and/or the Section 106 process.
-	vegetation. No direct impact from herbicide application.	
Env	vironmental Justice	
•	Continued maintenance and safe operation of the transmission lines and delivery of reliable power to not-for-profit municipal utilities and rural electric cooperatives within Southwestern's service area.	Same as the Proposed Action
•	Potential impacts of the Proposed Action are dispersed because Southwestern facilities are spread throughout a large geographic area. One minority population and several low- income populations were identified in the Proposed Action areas, but would not experience disproportionate impacts when compared to census tracts without minority or low-income populations.	
No	ise	
-	Short-term noise from vehicles, machinery, and equipment, as well as helicopter noise during aerial inspections and aerial side saw trimming may disrupt residential and recreational lands. Activities would be temporary, intermittent, of short duration, and dispersed throughout the Proposed Action area. No introduction of new stationary sources of permanent noise.	Similar as the Proposed Action but slightly greater noise impacts may occur because the range of herbicides that could be used under the Proposed Action would not be available and the No Action would require greater use of heavy equipment to control vegetation within the ROW on a more frequent basis.
Saf	ety and Health	
Pu	blic Health and Safety	Potential beneficial impacts to public and
•	Potential exposure to exhaust and fuel vapors from trucks and direct or indirect exposure to herbicides. Potential physical injuries from flying debris and falling trees, from poles being removed, and from heavy equipment running over people if the operator does not see them	Proposed Action, such as fewer required herbicide applications, more selective or targeted herbicide applications, and less time spent on vegetation management particularly
•	Potential mishap during aerial reconnaissance that impacts the public. Negligible impacts due to the public's limited access to Southwestern's facilities, close supervision of activities, implementation of OSHA-approved worker safety and environmental training programs, and conduct of aerial reconnaissance by licensed pilots.	in remote and treacherous spans of ROW, would not be realized. The types of potential impacts to public and occupational health and safety would be the same; however, the No Action Alternative has the potential to expose the public more often.

	Proposed Action	No Action Alternative
•	Beneficial impacts by controlling brush and trees along the ROW in a systematic fashion to prevent service interruptions, fire, or impediments to restoration of service when outages occur.	
Oc	cupational Health and Safety	
•	Potential exposure to exhaust and fuel vapors from trucks, chemical vapors from wood treating chemicals, as well as fuel and other chemicals used at the substations and communication sites, and herbicides.	
•	Potential physical injuries from electrocution, falls, flying debris and falling trees and from poles being removed, as well as from the use of tools, such as minor cuts, blisters, sprains, abrasions, bruises, muscle strains, and exposure to equipment	
-	Potential injuries from operating heavy equipment as a result of equipment malfunctions, overturns, loss of control, and equipment noise and vibration. Especially in mountainous, rugged, and relatively remote areas that pose treacherous working conditions.	
-	Negligible impacts due to staff training in health and safety and environmental actions, and close supervision of activities.	
Ma	terials and Waste	
:	Continued use of hazardous materials, petroleum products, and miscellaneous materials, such as sulfur hexafluoride.	Same as Proposed Action
	contaminated waste, treated wood products, spent solvents, rags, paints, thinners, asbestos and lead-based paint abatement wastes, and solid wastes	
-	Minimal impacts due to implementation of existing materials and waste management processes and procedures.	
Tra	Insportation	
•	Potential vehicle accidents and temporary lane closures or disruptions (limited only to areas where lines cross public roadways) during some maintenance activities.	Similar as the Proposed Action; however, greater use of heavy equipment to control vegetation within the ROW and more frequent
-	Minimal impacts to heavily traveled roads due to very few interstates and major roads crossed by Southwestern transmission lines.	maintenance could cause slightly greater impacts to transportation.
-	Use of all-terrain vehicles, light duty four-wheel drive vehicles, trailers, and specialized heavy-duty heavy rolling equipment to traverse access roads and ROWs.	
-	Access through private property would be maintained with permission of the specific landowner.	
-	Impacts to existing access roads from wear or damage would be repaired as needed to maintain roads at their current maintenance level.	

	Proposed Action	No Action Alternative
Int	entional Destructive Acts	
-	Potential for destruction of a tower on a high-voltage transmission line or of equipment at a substation by terrorism or sabotage and disruption of electrical services. Potential for vandalism or theft, while potentially expensive to repair, would not normally cause a large effect to utility customers or to the environment. Potential for an incidence of an intentional destructive act is speculative and could occur anywhere within Southwestern's system. However, the likelihood of an act of terrorism would be low due the low potential for generating any regional or large-scale destruction.	Same as for the Proposed Action
•	management would help reduce the potential impacts of a	
	regional or large-scale destruction low.	

448 **2.6** Alternatives Eliminated from Further Consideration

449 Southwestern considered using only manual and mechanical control without use of herbicides to manage

450 vegetation. Prior to 1995, Southwestern used manual and mechanical methods to control vegetation

through the ROW and a combination of manual/mechanical/chemical control at the substations. The use

452 of manual and mechanical methods only often resulted in a long-term increase in stem counts and the

453 establishment of dense woody cover. As a result of this habitat change, manual and mechanical methods

454 of control have required extensive re-clearing efforts every few years and limited annual re-clearing

455 (brush-hogging) for localized line maintenance. These extensive efforts increase costs and safety risks by

456 increasing the frequency of vegetation management operations, particularly in remote and wild areas.

457 Therefore, this alternative was eliminated from further consideration.

458

459 **3.0 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES**

460 This chapter describes the existing environmental and human resources that could potentially be affected

by the Proposed Action and No Action Alternative. The environment described in this chapter is the

baseline for the consequences that are presented for each resource and each alternative. The region of

influence (ROI), or study area for each resource category, is defined in the individual resource category

464 discussion.

465 This chapter also describes potential impacts for each environmental and human resource. CEQ defines

466 impacts at 40 CFR 1508.8, "Effects and impacts as used in these regulations are synonymous. Effects

467 includes ecological (such as the effects on natural resources and on the components, structures, and

468 functioning of affected ecosystems), aesthetic, historic, cultural, economic, social, or health, whether

direct, indirect, or cumulative. Effects may also include those resulting from actions which may have both

- 470 beneficial and detrimental effects, even if on balance the agency believes that the effect will be
- 471 beneficial."

472 Twelve resource areas were considered for potential impacts from the Proposed Action and the No Action

473 Alternative: land use; water resources; biological resources; air quality; geology and soils; cultural

resources; environmental justice; noise; safety and health; materials and waste; transportation; and

intentional destructive acts. Some resources were eliminated from detailed analysis as described below.

476 **3.1** Resource Areas Excluded from Further Analysis

477 Consistent with NEPA implementing regulations and guidance, Southwestern focuses the analysis in an

EA on topics with the greatest potential for environmental impacts. This approach is consistent with

479 NEPA [40 CFR 1502.2(b)], under which impacts, issues, and related regulatory requirements are

480 investigated and addressed with a degree of effort commensurate with their importance. This section

481 identifies the impact topics dismissed from detailed analysis in this PEA and provides the rationale for the

dismissal. Generally, issues and impact topics are dismissed from detailed analysis because either the

resource does not exist in the analysis area, the resource would not be affected by the proposal, or the

484 likelihood of impacts are not reasonably expected (i.e., no measurable effects).

485 Because the Proposed Action facilities are part of an existing transmission line system, the activities

486 associated with maintaining the transmission system are limited in time and scope, and the study area is

487 well defined and has been previously disturbed. Southwestern concluded that the Proposed Action would

488 result in no impacts or negligible impacts to the resource areas identified in Table 3-1 and they are not

489 considered further in this EA.

490 **Table 3-1. Resource Areas Excluded from Further Analysis**

Resource Area	Rationale
Visual Resources	Proposed Action activities would occur along existing transmission lines and at existing substations, communication sites, and offices. A majority of Southwestern facilities have been in place since about the 1970s and are an existing component of their respective viewsheds. Activities could affect scenic quality from the temporary visual intrusion of construction vehicles, equipment helicopters, storage materials, and workers. Proposed Action activities would be temporary, intermittent, of short duration, and dispersed throughout Proposed Action areas. No new permanent visual intrusions would be introduced.
	Material storage and staging areas would be selected to minimize views from public roads, recreation areas, and residences, to the extent feasible. Work sites would be kept clean of debris and waste and best management practices for waste management would be implemented as described in Section 3.11.2.1.
Socioeconomics	The Proposed Action would not alter socioeconomics factors (unemployment rate, changes in total income, business volume, and local housing markets). Almost all of the existing transmission lines and facilities have been in place since about the 1970s and maintenance along the lines is not expected to affect social and economic values. By law, Southwestern's power is marketed and delivered to not-for-profit municipal utilities and rural electric cooperatives. Southwestern has over one hundred such "preference" customers, and these entities ultimately serve over 8 million end-use customers. Southwestern would utilize standard workforce already conducting maintenance activities along the transmission system. The proposed activities described in Section 2.0 would maintain the existing transmission system and are not proposed to expand the system. Therefore, maintenance on existing transmission lines, facilities, and rights-of-way would not stimulate new development or growth and would not change existing socioeconomic patterns of the areas proximate to activities.

491 **3.2 Land Use**

Land use encompasses natural land uses and land uses that reflect human modification. Natural land use classifications include wildlife areas, forests, and other open or undeveloped areas. Human land uses include residential, commercial, industrial, utilities, agricultural, recreational, and other developed uses. Management plans, policies, ordinances, and regulations determine the types of uses that are allowable, or protect specially designated or environmentally sensitive uses. The ROI for impacts to land use is the land where proposed activities would take place (i.e., Southwestern facilities) and lands that are immediately adjacent to the facilities.

499 3.2.1 Affected Environment

500 Southwestern facilities are located in Arkansas, Missouri, and Oklahoma (Figures 1-1 through 1-4) and

501 include five office/maintenance complexes, 24 substations, 1,347 miles of linear physical transmission

502 line and 1,380 circuit miles of conductor transmission line and the associated 100-foot width ROW,

approximately 6 miles of fiber optic communication line and associated corridors, approximately 50

504 communication sites, and access roads/pathways to access transmission ROW. Southwestern facilities are

505 located within 23 counties in Arkansas, 22 counties in Missouri, and 16 counties in Oklahoma in mostly

sparsely populated areas. The ROW encompasses 6,405 acres in Arkansas, 5,377 acres in Missouri, and

507 4,587 acres in Oklahoma or a total area of 16,369 acres (25.6 square miles). In addition, approximately

508 341 acres of land are used for the office/maintenance facilities, communication sites, and substations.

- 509 Table 3-2 provides a summary of the facilities by county and the predominant land use types in these
- 510 areas. The main land use types adjacent to Southwestern facilities are agricultural and forest. Other
- 511 adjacent land uses include: residential, commercial, industrial, and recreational. Figure 3-1 shows land
- 512 cover data and the abundance of agricultural and wooded areas near the facilities.

513 Table 3-2. Overview of Facilities by County and nearby Land Use

Jurisdiction/Land Management Agency	Approximate Miles Traversed	Predominant Land Use Types Adjacent to ROWs
Arkansas		
Baxter County ^{1, 2}	25	Wooded, agricultural, pasture
Benton County	4	
Carroll County ¹	34	Residential/commercial near Rena, Alma, Russellville, Horseshoe Bend, and Paragould; as well as scattered rural residences
Clay County ^{1, 2}	20	
Cleburn County ¹	18	Recreation Areas: Lee Creek Reservoir Recreation Area; Harold Alexander WMA, Robert L. Hankins Mud Creek WMA; Foushee Cave Natural Area; Buffalo National River; Beaver Lake; Bull Shoals Lake and State Park; Greers Ferry; Norfork Lake
Craighead County ^{2, 3}	39	
Crawford County ²	32	
Franklin County ¹	25	
Fulton County	25	
Greene County ^{1, 2}	32	Forest: Ozark-St. Francis National Forest; Ouachita National
Independence County ¹	36	
Izard County ¹	28	
Jackson County	12	
Johnson County	28	
Lawrence County	15	
Logan County ¹	NA	
Marion County ¹	28	
Pope County ¹	45	
Randolph County ^{1, 2}	37	
Searcy County ¹	28	
Sebastian County ¹	NA	
Sharp County ¹	19	
Yell	0.6	
Arkansas Fish and Game Commission		
 Harold Alexander WMA Robert L. Hankins Mud Creek WMA 	3 1	
Arkansas Natural Heritage Commission (Foushee Cave Natural Area)	1.8	

Jurisdiction/Land Management Agency	Approximate Miles Traversed	Predominant Land Use Types Adjacent to ROWs
USFS		
 Ozark-St. Francis 	20.5	
 National Forest¹ Ouachita National Forest¹ 	NA	
National Park Service (Buffalo National River)	0.6	
Missouri		
Barry	10	Agricultural, wooded, pasture
Benton ¹	16	
Butler ^{1, 2}	26	Residential/commercial/industrial near Springfield; scattered rural residences throughout Recreation Areas: Truman Lake Mountain Bike Park near the
Cedar ¹	NA	
Christian ^{1, 2, 3}	19	
Dunklin ²	12	RV park; Stockton Lake; Taneycomo Lake; Moonshine
Greene ^{1, 2, 3}	35	Beach State Recreation area; Fair Acres Sports Complex north of the Carthage, Missouri substation; Missouri Department of Conservation land
Henry	13	
Howell	16	
Jasper ²	20	Forest: Mark Twain National Forest
Lawrence	25	
McDonald	23	
New Madrid ^{1, 2}	67	
Newton ²	22	
Pemiscot	8	
Ripley ²	25	
Scott ²	5	
Stoddard ^{1, 2}	30	
Stone	14	
Taney ^{1, 2}	11	
Webster	21	
Wright	5	
USFS - Mark Twain National Forest	7	
Missouri Department of Conservation	1	

Jurisdiction/Land Management Agency	Approximate Miles Traversed	Predominant Land Use Types Adjacent to ROWs		
Oklahoma				
Bryan ^{1, 2}	22	Wooded, agricultural, pasture		
Cherokee ¹	4	Residential: near Cartwright and scattered rural residences throughout		
Choctaw ¹	NA			
Coal ^{1, 2}	18			
Haskell ²	23	Recreation Areas: Broken Bow Reservoir, Keystone Lake, Lake Tenkiller, Eufaula Lake, Fort Gibson Lake, Lee Creek, and Webbers Falls		
Hughes ²	38			
Johnston	21			
LeFlore ¹	6	Indian trust land for several tribes along the Arkansas River in eastern Oklahoma		
McCurtain ¹	NA			
McIntosh	38			
Muskogee ^{2, 3}	79			
Okfuskee ^{1, 2}	13			
Okmulgee ¹	37			
Pontotoc ¹	10			
Sequoyah ¹	64			
Tulsa ^{1, 2, 3}	NA			
$\frac{\text{USFS} - \text{Ouachita National}}{\text{Forest}^1}$	NA			
Indian Trust Land	0.2			

514 1 One or more communication sites are located in this county.

515 2 One or more substations are located in this county.

516 3 An office is located in this county.

517 NA not applicable-no transmission lines; facility only

518 ROW rights-of-way

519 USFS U.S. Forest Service

520 WMA Wildlife Management Area

521 **3.2.1.1 Agricultural**

522 Southwestern substations are generally located in rural settings. In general, open pasture surrounds the

523 majority of the Missouri substations with the exception of Springfield, Table Rock, and Norfork

substations. The Springfield substation is located on the outskirts of Springfield and is adjacent to areas of

525 industrial and agricultural use. With the exception of the Jonesboro substation, all the Arkansas

substations are located in rural settings surrounded by agricultural land including pastures and farmland.

527 The Jonesboro substation is located on the outskirts of Jonesboro. Industrial and agricultural use areas are

adjacent to the substation. The Oklahoma substations are also located in rural areas. Surrounding land use

529 is generally agriculture including farming and pasture land. Much of the transmission line ROW travels

530 through agricultural lands in all three states. Agricultural lands include pasture and farmland. Crops

531 include rice, soybeans, corn, beans, cotton, watermelon and cantaloupe.



534 3.2.1.2 Forest

- 536 (MO) and Water Valley (AR) substations are also adjacent to wooded areas. Some wooded areas also 537 surround the Gore (OK) and Van Buren (AR) substations.
- 538 Southwestern has special use permits for its facilities in the Mark Twain National Forest in southeastern
- 539 Missouri and the Ozark-St. Francis National Forest in Arkansas. A special use permit with the Mark
- 540 Twain National Forest allows Southwestern to manage the 7 miles of transmission line (line 3002) and
- 541 ROW through this portion of the National Forest. In the Ozark-St. Francis National Forest, vegetation
- 542 management for the two communication sites and the 20.5 miles of transmission line (line 3001) occurs
- under a special use permit and was analyzed in an amended U.S. Forest Service (USFS) 2014 EA (USDA
- 544 2014). Three communication sites within the Ouachita National Forest in Oklahoma and Arkansas are
- also under special use permit with the USFS.

546 **3.2.1.3 Residential, Commercial, and Industrial**

547 Rural residences are scattered throughout the Proposed Action areas in all three states. The most urban

- 548 area in the ROI is Springfield, Missouri where residential, commercial and industrial land uses occur and
- some transmission lines go through residential backyards. The second most populated area is Paragould,
- 550 Arkansas. Other residential and commercial areas in Arkansas are near Rena, Alma, Russellville, and
- 551 Horseshoe Bend. In Oklahoma, a transmission line passes through a residential area on the east side of
- 552 Cartwright. Industrial use areas are adjacent to the Springfield and Jonesboro substations.

553 3.2.1.4 Recreational

USACE operates water-based outdoor recreation areas, including lakes and reservoirs in Oklahoma,
Arkansas, and Missouri with parks, campsites, improved swimming beaches, and boat ramps (USACE
2018a and 2018b). As shown on Figures 3-3 through 3-5 in the Water Resources section, many of these
lakes are near transmission lines and some communication sites. The Norfork (AR), Table Rock (MO),
Keystone (OK), and Tenkiller (OK) substations are located adjacent to USACE dams. The primary land

- use surrounding these dams is recreation and hydroelectric power. Fishing and camping sites are located
- 560 near the dams. The Shepherd of the Hills Fish Hatchery is located 6 miles southwest of Branson, Missouri
- just below Table Rock Dam. The hatchery includes a free conservation center, where the public can learn
- 562 more about trout culture, aquatic life, fishing and the Missouri Department of Conservation's (MDC's) 563 role in aquatic resource management. Trails are available near the hatchery for hiking, wildlife viewing,
- and access to Lake Taneycomo for fishing (MDC 2018a). A transmission line (line 3005) crosses a state-
- 565 designated scenic river in Sequovah County, Oklahoma (Big Lee's Creek) (Oklahoma Scenic Rivers
- 566 Commission 2018). Numerous springs and caves in northern Arkansas and southern Missouri are used for
- 567 recreation. Section 3.6 discusses caves and karst features in these areas.
- 568 Approximately 0.6 mile of line 3001 crosses the Buffalo National River near Gilbert, in Searcy County,
- Arkansas. The river is managed by the National Park Service (NPS). Southwestern facilities cross a
- 570 portion of three management areas in Arkansas that provide recreational opportunities. An approximate
- 571 3-mile portion of line 3002 crosses the Harold Alexander WMA, located approximately 6 miles south of
- 572 Hardy in Sharp County. Most of the WMA is owned by the Arkansas Game and Fish Commission

- 573 (AGFC); the rest is Arkansas Natural Heritage Commission land. The WMA was created because of a
- 574 growing concern of habitat loss in the area. The primary goal was to create optimum habitat conditions
- 575 for white-tailed deer and turkey, with secondary goals for habitat development for quail, rabbit, squirrel
- 576 and furbearers. Recreational opportunities include hunting, fishing, canoeing along the Spring River,
- 577 hiking, sightseeing, and a chance to observe bald eagles during late winter along the Spring River
- 578 (AGFC 2018a).

579 An approximate 1-mile portion of line 3002 also crosses Robert L. Hankins Mud Creek WMA, located in

580 Randolph County about 10 miles north of Pocahontas in the Ozark foothills region of the state. It is a

small but scenic area, well suited to bird watching, hiking or photography. Interior roads are off limits to

582 motor vehicles. No camping areas have been developed. The land is owned by AGFC and offers hunting

583 opportunities (AGFC 2018b).

584 A portion of line 3007, approximately 1.8 miles, crosses the Foushee Cave Natural Area. The area is

585 Arkansas Natural Heritage Commission land and is located where the Boston Mountains meet the

586 Springfield Plateau of the Ozarks in Independence County. It includes one of the most biologically

587 significant caves in Arkansas making protecting the site a high priority (Arkansas Natural Heritage

588 Commission 2018).

589 In Missouri, approximately 60 miles southwest of Springfield near Diamond, the George Washington

590 Carver National Monument is a NPS site near transmission line 3009. George Washington Carver's

591 boyhood home consists of rolling hills, woodlands, and prairies. The 240-acre park has a visitor center,

theater, museum, an interactive exhibit area for kids, and a 0.75-mile nature trail. In addition, a small

593 portion of transmission line 3002 (approximately 1 mile) crosses a tract of MDC land (Poplar Bluff and

594 Stephen J. Sun conservation areas) in Butler County.

595 3.2.1.5 Land Ownership

596 Approximately 96 percent of Southwestern's transmission line corridors are located on private

597 landowner's property via easement. An easement is a right to cross or otherwise use someone else's land

- 598 for a specified purpose. In general, private land which is not located within any municipality falls under
- the jurisdiction of the county it is located within. Generally, Southwestern owns the land where
- 600 offices/maintenance complexes, communication sites, and substations are located. A small percentage of
- 601 Southwestern transmission lines, substations, and communication sites are located on USACE
- 602 hydropower dam generation sites, by permits. Southwestern receives electricity immediately below the
- dam, through substations and conveyance through a short span of transmission lines until it reaches

604 private lands in the ROW. As discussed above, some facilities are under special use permit with the USFS

and very small portions of lines cross WMAs and the Foushee Cave Natural Area owned by the State of

- Arkansas (Table 3-2). Southwestern's service area does not include any Indian Reservations or Indian
- Trust Lands other than an area where a transmission line (line 3017) spans a small portion, approximately
 0.2 mile, of a 96-mile-long section of the Arkansas River in eastern Oklahoma which is Indian Trust Land
- for several tribes. Figure 3-2 identifies land ownership/management near the facilities in the three-state
- 610 area.

611



614 3.2.1.6 Applicable Land Use Plans and Policies

- 615 Because the Proposed Action area is large, this EA does not identify every land use plan and policy that
- 616 potentially applies. In addition, new land use plans and policies could be created, as well as existing plans
- and policies may be revised. Southwestern would work with land managers to follow updated and new
- 618 provisions. As described above, the Proposed Action areas include 96 percent private property via
- 619 easement, as well as a very small amount of Indian Trust Land where a line spans 0.2 mile across the
- 620 Arkansas River in Oklahoma, and Southwestern, USACE, USFS, AGFC, Arkansas Natural Heritage
- 621 Commission, NPS, and MDC lands. Major resource management plans in effect within the Proposed
- 622 Action areas include:
- 623 Revised Land and Management Plan for the Ozark-St. Francis National Forests and accompanying
- 624 environmental impact statement (2005) describes desired conditions for management areas and the
- 625 ecological systems that occur within the management areas.

626 3.2.2 Environmental Consequences

- 627 Impacts on land use would be significant if the Proposed Action would cause:
- 628 Land use changes that would conflict with existing or planned land uses
- 629 Land use changes that would conflict with community land use plans or zoning

630 3.2.2.1 Proposed Action

The Proposed Action activities would take place within existing Southwestern facilities and ROWs. No new ROWs would be created and no new facilities would be constructed. The Proposed Action would not cause any changes to existing land uses. Southwestern would continue to work with property owners to ensure that any potential use of a ROW does not pose a threat to public safety or to the reliability of the electrical system. Unauthorized use, however, could result in the removal of structures or other personal property at the expense of the property owner. The Proposed Action would not cause any changes to land ownership or management.

- 638 O&M activities would take place within existing Southwestern facilities but could temporarily disrupt
- adjacent land uses. In general, adjacent uses are mostly agricultural, pasture, and forest lands in rural
- areas that are sparsely populated. Nuisance noise that could affect adjacent residential and recreational
- 641 land uses is described in Section 3.9. Likewise, manual and mechanical control of vegetation could cause
- 642 similar short-term disruptions to adjacent land uses.
- 643 In accordance with the Office of Corporate Facilities Maintenance Standards, *Vegetation Maintenance*
- 644 *Program* (MA-23, Rev. 2), Southwestern would contact the landowner to request permission to apply
- 645 herbicides and would identify the herbicides and application methods to be used and any restrictions that
- 646 would occur on the property. For example, some herbicides have restrictions related to farming.
- 647 Southwestern generally controls vegetation in forest and overgrown shrubland. Areas used for pastureland
- and farming require little to no vegetation control. Since Southwestern does not need to control much
- 649 vegetation in these areas, these restrictions would usually not be a factor for the program. However, there
- 650 could be cases where the landowner or tenant would want to use the treated ROW for hay, pasture or

- crops. Copies of the farming restrictions and safety data sheets (SDSs) are provided to landowners upon
- request. If the landowner does not give permission, the herbicides would not be applied.
- 653 Southwestern would continue to comply with existing special use permits for its facilities in the Mark
- Twain National Forest in southeastern Missouri and the Ozark-St. Francis National Forest in Arkansas.
- 655 Impacts to recreation areas next to or outside transmission line ROWs, such as increased noise near
- 656 campgrounds or preventing access to the recreation site, trail, or trailhead, could occur during
- 657 maintenance or vegetation treatment activities. Activities would occur for short periods at various
- locations and would not be concentrated in one area for extended periods. Southwestern would coordinate
- with land management agencies to ensure the public is informed of any disruptions to recreation.

660 Best Management Practices

- 661 The following BMPs would be implemented to protect adjacent land use:
- If using herbicides near crops, comply with pesticide-free buffer zones, if any, per label instructions.
- 663 Request permission from landowners to apply herbicides and provide SDSs upon request.
- Notify land owners and recreation users and post signage in areas requiring temporary closure for
 proposed activities.
- 666 Minimize noxious weeds by cleaning seeds from ground-disturbing equipment and repair any damage 667 caused during maintenance activities.
- 668 Coordinate with affected land management agencies to ensure activities are consistent with applicable 669 land use plans and regulations.
- 670 Comply with existing special use permits.

671 3.2.2.2 No Action Alternative

672 Activities under the No Action Alternative would take place within existing Southwestern facilities. No 673 new ROWs would be created and no new facilities would be constructed. The No Action Alternative 674 would not cause any changes to existing land uses. Potential disruptions to agricultural, residential, and 675 recreational lands would be similar to those described for the Proposed Action. Because the range of 676 herbicides that could be used under the Proposed Action would not be available under the No Action 677 Alternative, the No Action would require greater use of heavy equipment to control vegetation within the 678 ROW and these activities may need to occur more often. Therefore, there may be slightly greater impacts 679 to adjacent land uses.

680 **3.3 Water Resources**

The humid climate of the region produces abundant precipitation. Precipitation can either generate overland flow and runoff into surface waters or infiltrate into the soil and recharge groundwater. Surface water and groundwater are abundant in the Proposed Action areas and are discussed in this section, along with wetlands and floodplains. The ROI for impacts to water resources is the surface water, groundwater, wetlands, and floodplains at Southwestern's facilities as well as drainage pathways that could be affected by runoff adjacent to them.

687 3.3.1 Affected Environment

688 **3.3.1.1 Surface Water**

689 Surface water is present as rivers, streams, swamps, wetlands, springs, and natural and made-made lakes 690 and impoundments. The transmission lines cross six major drainage basins in the three state area going 691 from the south to north, Red River (OK), Arkansas River (OK and AR), White River (AR and MO), 692 Mississippi-St Francis River (MO and AR), Osage (MO), and Missouri River (MO) as shown on Figures 693 3-3, 3-4, and 3-5. These rivers are important sources of water and in places with major dams they form 694 the area's largest lakes. The transmission lines cross numerous perennial and intermittent streams, natural 695 lakes, manmade lakes, and reservoirs; line 3005 crosses a state-designated scenic river in Oklahoma (Big 696 Lee's Creek) (Oklahoma Scenic Rivers Commission 2018). Line 3001 crosses the Buffalo River in 697 Searcy County, Arkansas. To the west, in Newton County, Arkansas the Buffalo River is designated as a 698 National Wild and Scenic River, the NPS manages the resources for which the river has been designated. 699 Although the ROW does not cross the Buffalo River where it is designated as a National Wild and Scenic 700 River, the NPS has been consulted during the preparation of this EA. Most perennial streams in the study 701 area are fed by intermittent streams, springs, and natural lakes. There are a number of major reservoirs 702 with dams and locks operated by the USACE for hydroelectric power, flood control, and recreation. 703 USACE also operates locks and dams at a couple locations on the Arkansas River in Arkansas.

A spring is a place where groundwater flows naturally from rock, sediment or soil onto the land surface.

To Solution The second second

of the water table, and on the land topography. Springs are prevalent in the Ozark Plateau region where transmission lines pass through northern Arkansas and southern Missouri. Springs consist of two general

707 transmission mes pass unough normen Arkansas and southern wissouri. Springs consist of two gener 708 types: perennial and seasonal. Perennial springs flow year round whereas seasonal or "wet weather"

springs dry up periodically, especially during droughts or long periods of minimal rainfall. In Arkansas

and Missouri these conditions often occur during late summer and early fall. In this region, springs have

710 and wissour nese conditions often occur during rate summer and early ran. In this region, springs have
711 historically been important community water sources. Most communities have now begun to abandon

natural springs as water supplies because shallow springs are susceptible to contaminants from the surface

713 (Arkansas Geological Survey 2015a).

Surface water is used for municipal, industrial, agricultural, and recreational uses. Many of the watersheds

fed by the perennial streams are used as sources for public drinking water. Surface water quality is

excellent in most streams except during major storms, when runoff from mines, farms, roads, and

717 construction sites contributes materials to the surface water. Localized contamination often occurs near

virban areas, industrialized centers, agricultural chemical use areas, and waste sites. In the Salem and

719 Springfield portions of the Ozark Plateau, limestone and dolomite produce a neutral pH surface water

high in dissolved minerals. Elsewhere in the Ozark Plateau, sandstone and novaculite produce neutral pH

surface water low in dissolved minerals.

722







- Surface water quality is protected under the Clean Water Act (CWA). Three sources of surface water
 discharge were identified at Southwestern facilities: storm water drainage, discharges from conduit
- 731 sumps, and discharges from secondary oil containment areas. "Storm water" means storm water runoff,
- 732 snowmelt runoff, and surface water runoff and drainage. These surface water discharges are managed
- through the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program, authorized
- by the CWA, and carried out by the States. Southwestern's Environmental Management System (EMS)
- establishes a *National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Program* that includes the provisions for
- 736 NPDES permits that are required at Southwestern facilities. According to the preamble of 40 CFR Part
- 122 (2005), Southwestern substations are not required to apply for NPDES permits because Southwestern
- activities do not involve industrial operations as defined in the regulation and because oil is enclosed in
- electrical equipment and does not come into direct contact with storm water. This exemption applies to
- storm water drainage, discharges from conduit sumps and discharges from secondary oil containment
- structures. However, the State of Missouri views secondary containment devices such as oil/water
- separators as wastewater treatment devices, which require general operating NPDES permits according to
- its regulations. Currently, Southwestern has five substations in Missouri (Nixa, Table Rock, Kennett,
- New Madrid, and Norfork) which use these types of devices. Southwestern holds a general operating
- NPDES permit for these facilities and monitoring is performed in accordance with its provisions.
- The exemption described above also applies to pentachlorophenol-treated wood products stored onsite at
- three pole yards, because the treated wood products are not expected to have an effect on storm water
- quality and because the primary function of Southwestern facilities is the transmission of electric power
- 749 (not the storage of treated wood products).
- 750 Storm water construction permits are obtained from the appropriate state environmental agency when an
- 751 O&M activity disturbs 1 acre or more of soil. Storm water construction permits require implementation of
- 752 BMPs and appropriate pollution prevention to minimize impacts to surface water.
- 753 Southwestern's EMS establishes a Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasures Program, described in
- 754 Section 3.11 of this PEA, and provides procedures to limit the transfer of pollutants to storm water.
- Procedures to inspect discharge storm water from oil/water separation tanks and catchment basins are
- included, and an Environmental Inspection Checklist is completed on a bi-monthly basis.

757 3.3.1.2 Groundwater

- 758 Groundwater levels in the surficial aquifer respond to climatic influences, as continual discharges to
- streamflow are offset by periodic rainfall. There are also areas within the study area where streams
- recharge the groundwater in a region. Water levels in these unconfined aquifers are typically highest in
- 761 the winter and lowest in the summer.
- 762 Groundwater found within limestone and dolomite usually contains high levels of calcium carbonate.
- 763 Groundwater found within the valley deposits of the Mississippi, Arkansas, Red, Ouachita, and White
- rivers is often high in iron. Groundwater can be easily impacted in karst terrain through sinkholes, sinking
- 765 creeks, and caverns.
- Generally the substations and transmission lines in Oklahoma are located within the Central Lowlands
 Physiographic Province where the surficial geologic deposits are predominantly bedrock formations

- consisting of shale, and shaly sandstone and to a lesser extent non-karst limestone. The substations are
- 769located within the Red River and Arkansas River alluvial valleys where the surficial geologic deposits
- predominantly consist of unconsolidated clay, silt, sand and gravel. These deposits comprise unconfined
- aquifers with moderate to high permeability whose water table is generally within 10 to 30 feet below
- 172 land surface. Within Cherokee County, Oklahoma groundwater in the surficial aquifer in this region exists
- in fractured and karstified carbonate formations.
- 774 The majority of the substations and transmission lines in southwest Missouri and northern Arkansas are 775 situated within the Ozark Plateau Physiographic Province. The Ozark Plateau is characterized by an 776 extremely thick sequence of carbonate (limestone and dolomite) bedrock formations. Generally there 777 exists a thick clay rich residual soil overlying the bedrock. The carbonate rocks in this area are quite 778 soluble in the presence of water. Solution by groundwater has caused many large openings through which 779 water passes so quickly that contaminants from the surface cannot be filtered out. Signs of these openings 780 are caves, sink holes, springs, and lost stream segments. Groundwater in the surficial geologic deposits 781 exists in unconfined to semiconfined fractured and karstified bedrock formations. There are two important 782 aquifers at greater depth - the Roubidoux Formation and the Gunter Member of the Gasconade 783 Formation. Both are permeable sandstone and carbonate units of Ordovician age. These aquifers serve as 784 the principal source of high-quality water for many communities in northern Arkansas. These formations 785 do not outcrop anywhere in Arkansas but instead outcrop in southern Missouri (Arkansas Geological
- 786 Survey 2015b).
- 787 Substations and transmission lines located in southeastern Oklahoma and west-central Arkansas are
- situated within the Ouachita Physiographic Province and underlain by weathered shale. The dominant
- rock types consist of sandstone along the ridges and shale in the valleys. The topsoil in the upland regions
- generally consists of sandy loam and is only a few feet thick. Bedrock formations in the upland region
- have a low permeability and yield very small quantities of water to wells. The surficial geologic deposits
- in low lying areas commonly consist of alluvial deposits of clay, silt, sand, and gravel. The alluvial
- deposits generally possess surficial unconfined aquifers and groundwater occurs relatively close to the
- ground surface. Because of the predominance of shale in both the surface and subsurface rocks in the
- Arkansas Valley and Ouachita Mountains regions, and the low porosity of many of the interbedded
- sandstones, few rock units qualify as aquifers. Because most wells yield less than 10 gallons per minute,
- most communities rely on surface water supplies (Arkansas Geological Survey 2015).

798 Substations and transmission lines located in Dunklin, New Madrid, Butler, and Stoddard counties in 799 Missouri, and in Craighead, Greene, and Clay counties in Arkansas, are situated in the Mississippi 800 Alluvial Plain Physiographic Province. The surficial geologic deposits in this region consist of 801 unconsolidated alluvial deposits of clay, silt, sand, and gravel. The surficial aquifer in this area is 802 generally unconfined and groundwater occurs relatively close to the land surface (approximately 5 to 50 803 feet below ground surface) (Arkansas Natural Resources Commission 2017). Although usable for 804 irrigation and some domestic uses, the high iron content of surficial aquifers makes the water generally 805 unsuitable for human consumption in many areas. In this area, most domestic and municipal needs are 806 supplied by deeper aquifers, including the Mississippi River Valley alluvial aquifer, which extends north 807 from Arkansas into Missouri, south into Louisiana, and under the Mississippi River into Tennessee and 808 Mississippi. The aquifer is the uppermost aquifer in the Mississippi Embayment and is composed of 50 to 809 150 feet of sand and gravel, grading from coarse gravel at the bottom to fine sand at the top. It generally

- 810 is overlain by the Mississippi River Confining Unit, which is composed of 0 to 50 feet of fine-grained
- sand, silt, and clay. The alluvial aquifer is underlain by confining units composed of aquifers and
- 812 confining units of the Mississippi Embayment, which are less permeable than the alluvial aquifer. The
- alluvial aquifer is connected hydraulically with several rivers and drainage areas (Arkansas Geological
- 814 Survey 2015).

815 Southwestern's EMS establishes a *Groundwater Protection Management Program* that indicates there is

816 little to no potential for groundwater contamination associated with the transmission lines or the radio and

817 microwave towers. Thus, Southwestern's groundwater management program is focused on substation

facilities that handle dielectric fluid and compressor oils. Currently, there is no need for a site-specific

groundwater monitoring program, and that need is evaluated based on current conditions. In addition,

- 820 Southwestern has a *Well Management Program*, which establishes procedures for maintaining or
- 821 plugging water wells to protect water-bearing formations against possible contamination.

822 **3.3.1.3** Wetlands and Waters of the U.S.

Wetlands are transitional lands between terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems, and are characterized by the
presence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soil, and hydrology. In addition to providing habitats for many
plants and animals, wetlands function to improve water quality, control flood waters, and control erosion.
Wetlands have been impacted through agriculture in the United States. Agricultural impacts include
drainage and filling, channelization, alteration of wetland hydrology, and the runoff of herbicides,
pesticides, fertilizers, and soil into wetlands. The transmission lines cross several types of wetlands,
including forested, scrub-shrub, and emergent. Forested wetlands are dominated by woody vegetation

greater than 20 feet tall. Scrub-shrub wetlands are dominated by woody vegetation less than 20 feet tall.

- 831 Emergent wetlands are dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytic vegetation (Southwestern
- 832 1995a). Wetlands are protected under Section 404 of the CWA and 24 CFR Part 55. Additionally, EO
- 833 11990, *Protection of Wetlands*, intends "to avoid to the extent possible the long- and short-term adverse

834 impacts associated with the destruction or modification of wetlands and to avoid direct or indirect support

835 of new construction in wetlands wherever there is a practicable alternative."

836 "Waters of the U.S." are considered jurisdictional waters under the CWA and are regulated by the

- 837 USACE. Work in or adjacent to waters of the U.S. requires a permit by the USACE. Waters of the U.S.
- 838 within the ROI include, but are not limited to the following: Illinois River, Arkansas River, Missouri
- 839 River, Red River, White River, St. Francis River, and Mississippi River.

840 3.3.1.4 Floodplains

841 Floodplains are low-lying areas associated with streams, rivers, and/or wetlands that have at least a one-

percent chance of flooding each year. Under 10 CFR 1022 and EO 11988, *Floodplain Management*,

843 federal agencies are required to avoid or minimize adverse impacts that might result from changing or

- 844 occupying floodplains. Many of Southwestern's transmission lines and access roads cross floodplains,
- 845 while some substations are located next to floodplains. In addition, the Jonesboro maintenance office
- 846 complex is located in a floodplain.

847 **3.3.2 Environmental Consequences**

848 Potential impacts to water resources, including surface water and groundwater, are evaluated with respect

for the potential to irreversibly diminish water supply, water quality, or endanger public health bycreating or worsening adverse health hazard conditions.

851 3.3.2.1 Proposed Action

Under the Proposed Action, the regulatory compliance requirements and Southwestern's guidelines and programs that are in place to be protective of water resources would remain in place and continue to be reviewed and updated on a regular basis. Continuation of O&M activities and the Integrated Vegetation Management Program have the potential to impact surface water, groundwater, wetlands, and floodplains, as described below.

as described below.

857 3.3.2.1.1 Surface Water and Groundwater

858 Some short-term decreases in water quality, from erosion, increasing surface water runoff, or

sedimentation, could occur during O&M activities, such as bank repair, replacement of poles, or repairing

860 underground utilities. Storm water runoff from maintenance sites has the potential to pick up pollutants

861 like sediment, debris and chemicals and transport these to a nearby municipal storm sewer system or

directly to a stream, lake, or wetland. Additionally, mechanical techniques for controlling vegetation have

the potential to cause erosion, by compaction or rutting from the wheels of the tractors, which can directly

864 or indirectly affect water quality. Erosion can affect water quality by causing increased turbidity

865 (sediments suspended in water), sedimentation (sediments that settle to the bottom), and/or surface-water

866 runoff. Sediment in water bodies can reduce the amount of sunlight reaching aquatic plants, clog fish

gills, smother aquatic habitat and spawning areas, and cause stream bank erosion.

Small, non-distinct streams and wetlands have the greatest potential to be affected because they are small
 and can be overlooked. Removal of streamside (or riparian) vegetation, could affect surface water by the
 following:

- 871 Increasing surface runoff
- 872 Promoting erosion and sedimentation, which reduces water quality
- 873 Reducing shading and increasing water temperatures
- Limiting organic plant debris, and thus the amount of nutrients, entering the water

875 However, Southwestern transmission lines normally do not parallel streams but rather cross them at an

- angle. The amount of vegetation removed and consequently the amount of steam surface exposed by such
- activity is thereby kept to a minimum.
- 878 Initial use of herbicides in the ROW may result in increased erosion due to less vegetative cover;
- 879 however, the promotion of grass growth in the ROW would reduce impacts to surface water, since grasses
- 880 provide more soil erosion protection than shrubs and trees.

- 881 If an O&M activity would disturb 1 acre or more of soil, Southwestern would obtain a storm water
- 882 construction permit from the state environmental agency. Implementation of the BMPs discussed below
- and appropriate pollution prevention controls required in the permit would minimize erosion and
- sedimentation impacts to surface water.
- 885 There is the potential for chemical or oil spills while conducting O&M activities, or working along the
- 886 ROW and at the substations. Such spills, if not contained immediately, could potentially migrate and
- threaten surface water quality. Minor fuel and oil spills could occur from power tools (chainsaws) and
- release of oil during operation of equipment and machinery. There is also the potential for herbicide spills
- 889 during application activities. The impacts of herbicide spills would depend on the persistence and
- mobility of the spill, as well as on how quickly and thoroughly the spill was cleaned up. Southwestern's
- 891 employees are prepared and trained to clean up such minor spills, so impacts would be minor.
- 892 For operation of substations in Missouri that have an oil/water separator, an NPDES permit would be
- 893 maintained or obtained, as appropriate. Implementation of Southwestern's spill prevention, control, and
- countermeasures (SPCC) plans for substations, as described in Section 3.11 of this PEA, would minimize
- 895 impacts from spills.
- 896 Of the herbicides evaluated in the Proposed Action, five herbicides are approved for use in surface
- 897 waters: 4 # Amine; Arsenal; Polaris; Rodeo; and Vastlan. The other herbicides are specifically restricted
- from use in surface waters. Table 2-4 identifies the proposed list of herbicides selected for consideration
- under the Proposed Action, as well as, their characteristics, target vegetation, and types of facilities where
- 900 they could be used. Table 2-5 lists recommended combinations of herbicides for use under the Proposed
- Action. Southwestern does not spray herbicides directly on surface water, nor do they spray within 15 feet
- from any water's edge. The five herbicides noted above that are approved for aquatic use should be used near sensitive water receptors or open water bodies. When applying herbicides near surface water,
- near sensitive water receptors or open water bodies. When applying herbicides near surface water,
 selecting one of these herbicides would minimize impacts to water resources and possibly improve water
- 904 selecting one of these heroicides would infinitize impacts to water resources and possibly improve water 905 quality in terms of minimizing erosion and sedimentation that would otherwise come from use of
- 905 quality in terms of minimizing erosion and sedimentation that would otherwise c
- 906 mechanical vegetation control techniques.
- 907 The potential for a land-approved herbicide to reach water would depend on the herbicide's physical
- properties and the site conditions. The four most significant means of offsite movement are runoff,
- 909 leaching, drift, and misapplication/spills. Runoff is the surface or lateral migration through rainfall or
- 910 erosion. Leaching is the downward (or vertical) migration through the soil. Drift is the airborne
- 911 movement of herbicides through wind or evaporation. Misapplications and spills are caused by failure of
- 912 the applicator to follow the label instructions/restrictions or by the accidental spilling of an herbicide
- 913 during mixing, application or equipment cleaning. Surface water could be affected by any of these means
- of herbicide movement, whereas groundwater would be potentially affected only by leaching.
- 915 Southwestern would use of the GIS Resource Mapper and the site-specific herbicide selection
- 916 considerations in Figure 2-2 to prevent herbicides from reaching surface water and groundwater from
- 917 runoff and leaching. Southwestern only uses herbicide application methods which positively limit the
- spray to Southwestern's ROW, thus limiting drift. Specifically, herbicides would not be applied within 15
- 919 feet of surface water; karst features to be protective of leaching through the karst to groundwater; and if

- sandy soil is present, an herbicide that has permeable soil restrictions would not be permitted. The GIS
- 921 Resource Mapper would be used to identify surface water, karst terrain, and sandy soil.
- 922 Site conditions also determine the likelihood of herbicide reaching water resources. How close herbicides
- are applied to water resources determines the potential for herbicides to reach water. Buffers (defined
- 924 widths of non-treated land) are the most common measure used to protect such environments.
- 925 Southwestern would not use any herbicide within 15 feet of surface water or karst features.
- 926 Because powerlines are linear in nature, the area of land treated with herbicides would be relatively small
- 927 (narrow strips across the landscape) compared to the surrounding area. The ratio of treated to untreated
- 928 surface area in any given watershed is usually sufficiently low to permit rapid dilution. This ratio is much
- 929 lower than that for the concentrated areas or blocks of land typical of herbicide treatments in agricultural
- 930 and forestry practices.
- 931 3.3.2.1.2 Wetlands and Waters of the U.S.
- 932 O&M activities occurring in the waters of the U.S. or impacting a wetland, such as repairing a stream
- 933 crossing, could require obtaining a USACE 404 permit. Wetlands can be affected by machines
- 934 compacting the typically soft, saturated soils. For specific O&M projects, wetlands would be identified
- 935 using the USFWS National Wetland Inventory Mapper at
- 936 <u>https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/mapper.html</u>.

937 3.3.2.1.3 Floodplains

- 938 O&M activities occurring in the 100-year or 500-year floodplain would require special attention to
- 939 minimize impacts to the floodplain. Heavy vehicles would be kept on access roads and not driven off road
- 940 in the floodplain. For specific O&M projects, floodplains would be identified using the Federal
- 941 Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Map Service Center at <u>https://msc.fema.gov/portal</u>.

942 Permitting and Best Management Practices

- 943 The following BMPs would be applied for protection of water resources:
- *Consistently* utilize Southwestern's Table 2-4, Table 2-5, and Figure 2-2 in this PEA and also the GIS
 Resource Mapper for selecting the herbicide with lowest relative risk of migrating to water resources.
- 946 Continue to implement Office of Corporate Facilities Maintenance Standards, *Vegetation Maintenance Program* (MA-23).
- Recognize that any discharge of material (displaced soils and, in certain circumstances, vegetation
 debris) within a water of the U.S. may be subject to USACE regulations under the CWA.
- 950 Obtain appropriate construction storm water permit if project area is greater than 1 acre.
- 951 Obtain appropriate NPDES permit from Missouri for oil/water separators.
- In riparian areas, use manual control methods and take care not to affect non-target vegetation.
- 953 In riparian areas, leave vegetation intact, where possible.

- In floodplains, ensure heavy trucks/machinery stay on access roads.
- Do not permit debris from tree falling, cutting, or disposal to fall into or be placed in any watercourse,
 spring, pond, lake, or reservoir, *unless* there is approval from the appropriate authorities for stream
 habitat projects.
- For all methods using machinery or vehicles (i.e. chainsaws, trucks, graders) keep the equipment in
 good operating condition to eliminate oil or fuel spills.
- 960 Do not wash equipment or vehicles at a stream.
- 961 Follow herbicide product label directions for appropriate uses, restrictions etc.
- 962 Ensure that there is no danger of granular herbicides being washed from the areas of application.
- 963 Notify inspector and the State of any amount of herbicide spill in or near water.
- Always use siphon prevention devices/methods when filling herbicide tanks from domestic water
 supplies.
- Protect surface water and groundwater by observing the 15-foot buffer in karst terrain and at water's
 edge.
- Before herbicide application, thoroughly review the ROW to identify and mark, if necessary, the
 buffer requirements.

970 3.3.2.2 No Action Alternative

971 Under the No Action Alternative, the regulatory compliance requirements and Southwestern's guidelines
972 and programs that are in place to be protective of water resources (described in Section 3.3.1) would
973 remain in place and continue to be reviewed and updated on a regular basis.

974 However, Southwestern would not have the flexibility to use better formulated herbicides that are

975 geographically targeted. These restrictions would lead to shortened time intervals between herbicide

976 geographically targeted. These restrictions would read to shortened time intervals occurrent hereitae
976 treatments, and would require continued use of large machinery around surface body waters, potentially

977 causing more erosion and sedimentation.

978 **3.4 Biological Resources**

979 Biological resources include native or naturalized plants and animals and the habitats (e.g., grasslands, 980 forests, and wetlands) in which they exist. Special status plant and wildlife species are subject to 981 regulations under the authority of federal and state agencies. Special status species include species 982 designated as threatened, endangered, or candidate species by state or federal agencies. The federal 983 Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973 protects listed species against killing, harming, harassment, or 984 any action that may damage their habitat. Under the ESA (16 USC §§ 1531 – 1544), an endangered 985 species is defined as any species in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its 986 range. A threatened species is defined as any species likely to become an endangered species in the 987 foreseeable future. Candidate species are those species for which the USFWS has sufficient information 988 on their biological status and threats to propose them as endangered or threatened under the ESA, but for 989 which development of a proposed listing regulation is precluded by other higher-priority listing activities.

- Although candidate species receive no statutory protection under the ESA, the USFWS believes it is
- important to advise government agencies, industry, and the public that these species are at risk and could
- 992 warrant protection under the ESA. Sensitive habitats include those areas designated by the USFWS as
- 993 critical habitat protected by the ESA and sensitive ecological areas as designated by state or federal
- rulings.

995 The Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA), 16 USC §§ 703-712, protects those migratory birds listed in 50 996 CFR 10.13 from capture, pursuit, hunting, or removal from natural habitat. Over 800 bird species are 997 currently protected under the MBTA. In 2001, EO 13186, Responsibilities of Federal Agencies to Protect 998 Migratory Birds, was issued to ensure that federal agencies consider environmental effects on migratory 999 bird species and, where feasible, implement policies and programs supporting the conservation and 1000 protection of migratory birds. The USFWS removed the bald eagle from the list of species protected 1001 under the ESA in July 2007. However, the bald eagle continues to be protected under the federal Bald and 1002 Golden Eagle Protection Act (BGEPA) and the MBTA.

1003 The ROI for biological resources is defined as the Proposed Action areas and includes 1,347 miles of

1004 transmission lines as well as 69 structures or sites of varying size (i.e., communication sites, substations,

1005 pole yards, etc.). The transmission lines and associated structures occupy land in northern Arkansas,

1006 southern Missouri, and eastern Oklahoma. The total disturbed area within each state (including structures,

1007 sites, and the ROW) is 6,525 acres, 5,485 acres, and 4,700 acres in Arkansas, Missouri, and Oklahoma,

1008 respectively, for a total of approximately 16,710 acres.

1009 3.4.1 Affected Environment

1010 **3.4.1.1 Vegetation**

1011 The Proposed Action encompasses 10 Level III ecoregions as defined by the EPA. Figures 3-6, 3-7, and

1012 3-8 show the ecoregions near the facilities in Arkansas, Missouri, and Oklahoma, respectively. The

- 1013 following Level III ecoregion descriptions were compiled from Chapman et al. (2002), Wiken et al.
- 1014 (2011), and Woods et al. (2005):

 Arkansas Valley – The Arkansas Valley lies between the Ozark Plateau and the Ouachita Mountains in eastern Oklahoma and western Arkansas. It is a diverse area containing plains, floodplains, hills, terraces, and low mountains. Vegetation consists of oak (*Quercus* spp.) savanna and oak-hickory-pine (*Quercus-Carya-Pinus*) forests; sycamore (*Platanus occidentalis*), willow (*Salix* spp.), eastern cottonwood (*Populus deltoides*), and elm (*Ulmus* spp.) on the floodplains; and the bottomlands are typically croplands or pastures. The region is characterized by mild winters, hot summers, and 45 inches of precipitation annually.

 Boston Mountains – The Boston Mountains encompass land in northwestern Arkansas and northeastern Oklahoma. They are just north of the Arkansas Valley and consist of deeply dissected mountainous plateaus. Vegetation is predominately oak-hickory forest. Shortleaf pine (*P. echinata*) and eastern red cedar (*Juniperus virginiana*) may be found on south- and west-facing slopes, while north-facing slopes consists of beech (*Fagus* spp.), basswood (*Tilia americana*), hickory (*Carya* spp.), sugar maple (*Acer saccharum*), and oak. Non-forested flatlands are used as pasture or hayland. The region is characterized by mild winters, hot summers, and 48 inches of precipitation annually.






- Central Irregular Plains The Central Irregular Plains ecoregion is a prairie belt running between the Cross Timbers and Ozark Highlands. The region is characterized by flat to rolling, irregular plains, low hills, and cuestas. This region occupies western Missouri and northeastern Oklahoma in the Proposed Action areas. Historically, vegetation was predominately tallgrass prairie, with forests and woodlands consisting of oak and hickory found on stony hilltops. Now, the region consists of grasslands, farmlands, rangelands, woodlands, and floodplain forests. Hot summers and mild to cold winters characterize this region; precipitation annually is approximately 38 inches.
- 1042 **Cross Timbers** – The Cross Timbers region lies on the western edge of the Central Irregular Plains in 1043 central Oklahoma. To its west is the Central Great Plains region. This large ecoregion consists of 1044 rolling plains, low hills, cuestas, and ridges. The vegetation is considered transitional, between the 1045 winter wheat fields to the west and mountains to the east. Little bluestem (Schizachyrium scoparium) 1046 grasslands are dotted with blackjack oak (O. marilandica) and post oak (O. stellata); other vegetation 1047 includes big bluestem (Andropogon gerardi), Indiangrass (Sorghastrum nutans), switchgrass 1048 (Panicum virgatum), elm, and black hickory (C. texana). The climate for this region consists of hot 1049 summers and mild winters; annual precipitation is approximately 34 inches.
- East Central Texas Plains The East Central Texas Plains consists of flat to rolling, irregular
 plains, crossed by wide rivers. In Oklahoma, the ecoregion occupies a small area on the Texas border.
 Vegetation consists of tallgrass prairie, post oak savannas, and many croplands. Forest stands consist
 of oak and hickory, with little bluestem, purpletop (*Tridens flavus*), and Indiangrass grasses. The
 region is characterized by hot summers and mild winters, with a mean annual precipitation of
 37 inches.
- 1056 **Mississippi Alluvial Plain** – The Mississippi Alluvial Plain terrain consists of a broad, flat, alluvial 1057 plain broken occasionally by river terraces and levees. This region is found in far southeastern 1058 Missouri and along eastern Arkansas. Vegetation historically consisted of bottomland deciduous 1059 forest, though most has been cleared for agriculture. Hardwood swamp forests consist of hickory, red 1060 maple (A. rubrum), green ash (Fraxinus pennsylvanica), and river birch (Betula nigra), while river 1061 swamp forests consist of bald cypress (Taxodium distichum) and water tupelo (Nyssa aquatica). 1062 Sweetgum (Liquidambar styraciflua), sycamore, and several oaks may be found in higher areas. The 1063 region has mild winters and hot, humid summers with 55 inches of annual precipitation.
- Mississippi Valley Loess Plains The Mississippi Valley Loess Plains run from far southeastern Missouri and down through eastern Arkansas. It is bound by the Mississippi Alluvial Plain on both sides. The terrain is characterized by irregular plains and rolling hills, with dissected hills, ridges, and bluffs occurring on the Mississippi River. In the east, forests consist of oaks, hickories, and loblolly (*P. taeda*) and shorleaf pine; in the west, oak-hickory forests occur, as well as forests containing beech, maples, southern magnolia (*Magnolia grandiflora*), and American holly (*Ilex opaca*). The region has hot summers and mild winters, with a mean annual precipitation of 56 inches.
- Ouachita Mountains The Ouachita Mountains region lies directly south of the Arkansas Valley
 region in central western Arkansas and southeastern Oklahoma, though Southwestern facilities only
 occur in the Oklahoma portion. It is made of open hills, low mountains, and sharp east-west trending
 ridges. Historically, the region consisted of oak-hickory-pine forests but is currently covered in
 loblolly and shortleaf pine. Other forest vegetation includes southern red (*Q. falcata*), black

1076 (*Q. velutina*), post, and white (*Q. alba*) oaks as well as hickories. The region has mild winters and hot 1077 summers, and a mean annual precipitation of 52 inches.

Ozark Highlands – The Ozark Highlands consist of irregular terrain: from rolling plains, to steep, rocky hills, and many karst features. Vegetation also varies from savannas and tallgrass prairies to oak-hickory-pine forest stands. Other common vegetation includes shortleaf pine, big and little bluestem, Indiangrass, and eastern red cedar. In the Proposed Action areas, the region encompasses most of southern Missouri, northern Arkansas, and a small portion of northeastern Oklahoma. This region is characterized by hot summers and mild to severe winters. Annual precipitation is around 43 inches.

South Central Plains – The South Central Plains region lies in the far southeastern and southwestern corners of Oklahoma and Arkansas, respectively. The terrain consists of dissected rolling plains, broken up by terraces, bottomlands, low hills, and cuestas. Natural vegetation is dominated by longleaf pine woodlands and shortleaf pine/hardwood forests. The bottomlands consist of water (*Q. nigra*), willow (*Q. phellos*), and swamp chestnut (*Q. michauxii*) oak, sweetgum, bald cypress, and water tupelo. Climate consists of hot summers and mild winters, with 50 inches of mean annual precipitation.

1092 **3.4.1.2 Wildlife**

- 1093 3.4.1.2.1 Mammals
- 1094 Across the three states, mammals are abundant, including white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus),
- 1095 bobcat (Lynx rufus), coyote (Canis latrans), and racoon (Procyon lotor). In forested or woodland areas,
- 1096 black bear (Ursus americanus), gray fox (Urocyon cinereoargenteus), gray squirrel (Sciurus
- 1097 carolinensis), and eastern chipmunk (Tamias striatus) may occur. Beaver (Castor canadensis) and
- 1098 muskrat (Ondatra zibethicus) occur in and around bodies of water, while opossum (Didelphis virginiana),
- 1099 swamp rabbit (*Sylvilagus aquaticus*), and mink (*Neovison vison*) prefer to inhabit areas close to a water
- 1100 body. In grasslands, various shrews, moles, voles, mice, pocket gophers, and rabbits are likely to occur
- 1101 (Choate and Jones 1998, Connior 2010). Many species of bats occur in the study area, with four listed as
- 1102 threatened or endangered and are discussed in Section 3.4.1.3.

1103 3.4.1.2.2 Birds

- 1104 Hundreds of bird species occupy the Proposed Action areas. In wooded areas, warblers, thrushes, and
- 1105 many other passerines occur, as well as hawks, owls, and woodpeckers. In grasslands, kingbirds, killdeer
- 1106 (Charadrius vociferus), meadowlarks and sparrows abound. Mourning doves (Zenaida macroura),
- 1107 hawks, and swallows are also likely to occur. In or around water bodies, shorebirds, waterfowl, red-
- 1108 winged blackbirds (Agelaius phoeniceus), and other marsh birds occur (Audubon Arkansas 2018, MDC
- 1109 2018d).

1110 3.4.1.2.3 Herps

- 1111 The Proposed Action areas are home to many reptiles and amphibians. Turtles, snakes, lizards, and the
- 1112 American alligator (*Alligator mississippiensis*) are reptiles that may occur in the Proposed Action areas.
- 1113 Snapping turtles (*Chelydra serpentina*) are aquatic, while box turtles (*Terrapene* spp.) are terrestrial.

- 1114 Similarly, snakes may be aquatic (e.g., moccasins) or terrestrial (e.g., rattlesnakes); however, the lizards
- are all terrestrial. Amphibians, including salamanders, frogs, and toads are also prevalent (Herps of
- 1116 Arkansas 2017, Missouri Herpetological Atlas Project 2017).
- 1117 3.4.1.2.4 Fish
- 1118 The Proposed Action areas encompass many streams, rivers, lakes, and reservoirs. The Arkansas Valley
- 1119 and Ozark Highlands contain the highest diversity and species richness, as well as many sensitive species.
- 1120 Common fish in the Proposed Action areas include darters, minnows, shiners, suckers, and sunfish. Large
- rivers may hold large gar and sturgeon (Woods et al. 2005). Cavefish occur in several underground
- aquifer systems. Three fish species of concern are found within the Proposed Action areas, as discussed
- 1123 below.

1124 3.4.1.3 Special Status Species

- 1125 The Proposed Action areas encompass habitat for federal and state protected species in several counties in
- all three states. Thirty-five species are listed as endangered, threatened, candidate, proposed, or
- experimental, nonessential population in the three-state area (Table 3-3). Twenty-four of these species
- 1128 have been documented or have the potential to occur in the Proposed Action areas. These are discussed in
- 1129 more detail below the table and in the biological assessment.

1130 Table 3-3. Species Federally Listed as Threatened and Endangered Potentially Occurring in the Three-State Area

Common Name (Species Name)	Status ¹	Habitat Requirements	Potential for Occurrence in Proposed Action Areas	Determination
Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	Т	Slicks or slickspots from eroded areas in grasslands high in salinity. In Missouri, the species occurs in Pennsylvanian-age sandstone glades or outcrops in upland prairies in shallow depressions within rocks	Species listed in Arkansas.	May affect but not likely to adversely affect
Harperella (<i>Ptilimnium nodosum</i>)	E	Sunny, rocky or gravel shoals and margins of clear, swift- flowing stream sections.	Species listed in Arkansas. Species range is currently in six counties south of the Proposed Action Area.	No effect
Mead's milkweed (Asclepias meadii)	Т	Moderate dry to moderately wet conditions in upland tall grass prairies or glade/barren habitat.	Species listed in Missouri.	May affect but not likely to adversely affect
Missouri bladderpod (Physaria filiformis)	Т	Restricted to limestone glades and dry rocky outcrops; also documented in Arkansas on a dolomite glade.	Species listed in Missouri and has been found in the Proposed Action area.	May affect but not likely to adversely affect
Virginia sneezeweed (<i>Helenium virginicum</i>)	Т	Prefers low lying fields and meadows, plains and shorelines around sinkholes, and seasonally flooded limestone ponds.	Species listed in Missouri.	May affect but not likely to adversely affect
Pondberry (Lindera melissifolia)	E	Associated with margins of sinks, ponds and other depressions, as well as bottomland hardwoods and is tolerant of prolonged and regular flooding.	Species listed in Arkansas and Missouri.	May affect but not likely to adversely affect
Running buffalo clover (<i>Trifolium stoloniferum</i>)	E	Prefers somewhat moist habitats with filtered sunlight, such as between open forests and prairie in rich soils, and moderate or periodic disturbance from grazing, mowing, and flood scouring.	Species listed in Arkansas. No known existing populations in the state and habitat not found along the project area.	No effect
Cave crayfish (Cambarus zophonastes)	E	Cave stream systems.	Species listed in Arkansas and Missouri. In the project area, the species occurs in Benton and Washington counties, AR. Line 3009 occurs in the far northeastern corner of Benton County, but is not close to any cave stream systems with known cave crayfish populations.	No effect

Common Name (Species Name)	Status ¹	Habitat Requirements	Potential for Occurrence in Proposed Action Areas	Determination
Curtis pearlymussel (Epioblasma florentina curtisii)	Е	Slow-flowing streams with shallow depths and stable substrates.	Species listed in Arkansas and Missouri.	May affect but not likely to adversely affect.
Fat pocketbook (<i>Potamilus capax</i>)	E	Typically inhabits silt, sand, or mud substrates and are found in water ranging from a few inches to 20 feet in depth.	Species listed in Arkansas and Missouri.	May affect but not likely to adversely affect
Ouachita rock pocketbook (Arkansia wheeleri)	E	Stable substrates including gravel and sand in side channels of larger rivers in pools or backwaters with slow currents.	Species listed in Oklahoma and may potentially occur downstream of Towers 5111, 5114, 5115, RS54; however project activities would be located away from water sources and would not impact this species.	No effect
Neosho mucket (<i>Lampsilis</i> rafinesqueana)	E	Typically found in shallow riffles with gravel substrate and a swift current.	Species listed in all three states.	May affect but not likely to adversely affect
Pink mucket (Lampsilis abrupta)	E	Inhabits rivers that are over 20 meters in width with silt, sand, gravel, or boulder substrates.	Species listed in Arkansas and Missouri.	May affect but not likely to adversely affect
Rabbitsfoot (Quadrula cylindrica cylindrical)	Т	Typically found in shallow water along banks in small streams to large rivers.	Species listed in all three states.	May affect but not likely to adversely affect
Scaleshell mussel (<i>Leptodea leptodon</i>)	E	Medium to large rivers, typically in riffle areas.	Species listed in Arkansas and Missouri.	May affect but not likely to adversely affect
Snuffbox mussel (Epioblasma triquetra)	E	Gravel or sand substrates with swift currents, including shores of lakes.	Species listed in Arkansas and Missouri.	May affect but not likely to adversely affect
Speckled pocketbook (Lampsilis streckeri)	E	Restricted to the Little Red River (Middle, South, Archey, and Devil's forks) and Big Creek in northcentral Arkansas.	Species listed in Arkansas; however these rivers are not located within the project area.	No effect

Common Name (Species Name)	Status ¹	Habitat Requirements	Potential for Occurrence in Proposed Action Areas	Determination
Spectacle case (Cumberlandia monodonta)	Е	Buried in firm mud that lies between or under large rocks or ledges in large rivers.	Species listed in Arkansas. In the project area, a single spectacle case was found in the Mulberry River in Franklin County, AR although the finding is questionable.	No effect
Turgid blossom (Epioblasma turgidula)	Е	Fresh flowing streams and rivers in the Ozark Mountain region. Historically found in the Spring Creek River of Arkansas, and the Black and White rivers winding through Arkansas and Missouri.	Species listed in Arkansas and Missouri. Lines (3308 and 3002) cross the White River and Spring River but not near historical occurrences of the species.	No effect
Winged mapleleaf (<i>Quadrula fragosa</i>)	E	Historically found in large, fast streams and impoundments, in muddy and gravel substrates.	Species listed in Oklahoma and found along and the Little River upstream of towers 5111, 5114, and 5115.	May affect but not likely to adversely affect
American Burying Beetle (<i>Nicrophorus</i> <i>americanus</i>)	E	Habitat generalist, with a slight preference for grasslands and open understory oak hickory forests.	Species listed in Arkansas and Oklahoma. Species is listed as EXPN in SW Missouri.	May affect and likely to adversely affect
Hine's Emerald Dragonfly (Somatochlora hineana)	E	Wetland habitat with slow flowing shallow water.	Species listed in Arkansas and Missouri.	May affect but not likely to adversely affect
Ozark cavefish (Amblyopsis rosae)	Т	Underground caves, sinks, and wells in the Springfield Plateau Region of SW Missouri, NW Arkansas, and NE Oklahoma.	Species listed in all three states.	May affect but not likely to adversely affect
Leopard darter (<i>Percina</i> pantherina)	Т	Occurs in pools with rubble or boulder substrates.	Species listed in Oklahoma only at Tower site 5114 and RS54. In the Proposed Action area, no transmission lines cross any of the creeks or rivers the species occurs in. Critical habitat occurs in several of the streams, but the Proposed Action does not encompass them. Potential habitat does not exist at the structure sites.	No effect
Arkansas River shiner (Notropis girardi)	Т	Occurs in shallow, wide rivers and large streams with sandy substrate.	Species listed in Oklahoma. Critical habitat designated and within Proposed Action area (Line crossing 3101, str.680- 681) over Canadian River, Hughes Co.	May affect but not likely to adversely affect

Common Name (Species Name)	Status ¹	Habitat Requirements	Potential for Occurrence in Proposed Action Areas	Determination
Ozark hellbender (Cryptobranchus alleganiensis)	E	Fast-moving streams in the Ozark Highlands of Missouri and Arkansas.	Species listed in Arkansas and Missouri.	May affect but not likely to adversely affect
American alligator (Alligator mississippiensis)	SAT	Inhabits wetlands, lakes, and rivers throughout the southeastern U.S. The species is currently listed as threatened due to similarity of appearance to the American crocodile.	Species listed in Oklahoma with limited potential in the aquatic environment of Broken Bow Reservoir near Tower 5111.	No effect
Least tern (Sterna antillarum)	E	Prefers open, unvegetated sand or gravel habitats near their feeding areas.	Species listed in Oklahoma and Arkansas.	May affect but not likely to adversely affect
Piping plover (Charadrius melodus)	Т	Nests along lakes, rivers, and reservoirs along open, mostly vegetation-free gravel or sand shorelines of rivers and lakes and on gravel or sand pits.	Species listed in all three states.	May affect but not likely to adversely affect
Red knot (<i>Calidris</i> canutus rufa)	Т	Breeds in the Arctic and overwinters in southern, coastal locations of the U.S. all the way to the southern tip of South America. In the central flyway, knots typically fly 2 to 3 days nonstop from Texas to the Northern Great Plains or Canada, making stopovers in Oklahoma or Arkansas rare.	Species listed in Oklahoma and Arkansas. Stop overs on migration route are rare in the project area.	No effect
Whooping crane (Grus americana)	E	Migratory species through the western half of Oklahoma. Feed in marshes, shallow-water wetlands, wet meadows and sometime crop fields	Species listed in Oklahoma. <i>Critical</i> <i>habitat designated, but outside Proposed</i> <i>Action area</i> ; limited, marginal habitat or no suitable habitat. Avian species (ESA, MGTA, and BGEPA) protection is addressed through Southwestern's Avian Protection Plan. The species was discussed and analyzed under the Oklahoma PBA and PBO.	No effect
Gray bat (Myotis grisescens)	E	Caves, preferably limestone. Summer cave habitat is usually within 2 miles of rivers, streams, reservoirs, or lakes.	Species listed in all three states.	May affect but not likely to adversely affect

Common Name (Species Name)	Status ¹	Habitat Requirements	Potential for Occurrence in Proposed Action Areas	Determination
Indiana bat (<i>Myotis</i> sodalist)	E	Winter habitat consists of caves and summer habitat in agricultural areas with fragmented forests.	Species listed in all three states.	May affect but not likely to adversely affect
Northern long-eared bat (Myotis septentrionalis)	Т	Hibernates between mid-fall through mid-spring in mines or caves and spend its summer in wooded areas.	Species listed in all three states.	May affect however maintenance activities comply with the 4(d) rule
Ozark big-eared bat (Corynorhinus (=Plecotus) townsendii ingens)	E	Caves in limestone karst formations.	Species listed in all three states.	May affect but not likely to adversely affect

1131 ¹ Federal (USFWS) status definitions:

E = Endangered. Any species considered by the USFWS as being in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its range. The ESA specifically prohibits the takeof a species listed as endangered. Take is defined by the ESA as: to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or to engage in any such conduct.

 $T = \text{Threatened. Any species that is likely to become an endangered species within the foreseeable future throughout all or a significant portion of its range. The ESA specifically prohibits the take (see definition above) of a species listed as threatened.$

1136 EXPN = A population that has been established within its historical range under section 10(j) of the ESA to aid recovery of the species. The Service has determined a non-essential

1137 population is not necessary for the continued existence of the species. For the purposes of consultation, non-essential experimental populations are treated as threatened species on

1138 National Wildlife Refuge and National Park land (require consultation under 7(a)(2) of the ESA) and as a proposed species on private land (no section 7(a)(2) requirements, but

1139 Federal agencies must not jeopardize their existence (section 7(a)(4))).

1140 SAT = Similarity of appearance, threatened. Any species listed as threatened due to similarity of appearance with another species that is listed as threatened. Species listed under a similarity of appearance are not biologically endangered and are not subject to section 7 consultation.

- 1142 BGEPA Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act
- 1143 ESA Endangered Species Act
- 1144 MBTA Migratory Bird Treaty Act
- 1145 PBA Programmatic Biological Assessment
- 1146 PBO Programmatic Biological Opinion
- 1147

1148 3.4.1.3.1 Plant Species

1149 The following five special status plant species potentially occur in the Proposed Action areas:

1150 Geocarpon – Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum) is a small, inconspicuous plant, 0.4-1.6 inches (1-4 1151 centimeters [cm]) tall. Geocarpon is a monotypic genus with this single species and is also known as tinytim and earth-fruit. The species relies on the presence of specific microhabitats. Slicks or 1152 1153 slickspots from eroded areas in grasslands high in salinity is the preferred habitat for Geocarpon in 1154 most areas (USFWS 2018a). The species may be a pioneer species of newly cleared sandstone slicks. 1155 When first listed, the species was found in only 28 locations in Arkansas, Louisiana, and Missouri (USFWS 1993). In 2009, 37 populations (including three plantings in Missouri) were recognized 1156 1157 within 17 counties in four states.

- Mead's milkweed Mead's milkweed (*Asclepias meadii*) is a perennial with a single slender unbranched stalk, approximately 7.9-15.7 inches (20-40 cm) high. The species persists in stable latesuccessional prairie in full sun (USFWS 2003a). This tallgrass prairie species is long-lived, often taking up to 15 years to mature after which time it can persist indefinitely (USFWS 2018b). Currently the species is known from 171 sites in 34 counties in eastern Kansas, Missouri, south-central Iowa, and southern Illinois. Mead's milkweed has been reintroduced in Indiana and Wisconsin where it was extirpated (USFWS 2018b).
- 1165 **Missouri bladderpod** – The Missouri bladderpod (*Physaria filiformis*) is a small, non-woody, annual 1166 plant, about 3.9-7.9 inches (10-20 cm) tall (MDC 2018b). The species has always been restricted to 1167 limestone glades and dry rocky outcrops, but it has been found on a dolomite glade in Arkansas. 1168 These glades are usually open and dry, with shallow, loose soil and exposed rock (USFWS 2003b). 1169 The current range of the species is northern Arkansas and southern Missouri. The number of 1170 documented populations includes 76 sites in five Arkansas counties (Izard, Washington, Sharp, 1171 Garland, and Hot Spring) and four counties in Missouri (Dade, Greene, Christian, and Lawrence) (USFWS 2003b). 1172
- Virginia sneezeweed Virginia sneezeweed (*Helenium virginicum*) is an herbaceous, fibrous-rooted perennial reaching around 47.2 inches (120 cm) in height (MDC 2015). The species prefers low lying fields and meadows, plains and shorelines around sinkholes, and seasonally flooded limestone ponds (USFWS 2010a). Currently, the species is limited in distribution to two counties in Virginia and five counties in southern Missouri, four within the Proposed Action area (Howell, Wright, Webster, and Christian). There are over 40 occurrences of the species in Missouri, several on lands owned by the MDC (MDC 2015).
- Pondberry Pondberry (*Lindera melissifolia*) is a low-growing, 23.6-71.6 inches (60-182 cm),
 colony-forming deciduous shrub (MDC 2018c). Pondberry is usually associated with margins of
 sinks, ponds and other depressions, as well as bottomland hardwoods and is tolerant of prolonged and
 regular flooding (USFWS 2015a). In Missouri, pondberry is associated with swampy depressions
 with small sand dunes that are poorly drained (MDC 2018c). The species can thrive in relatively
 closed canopies, but are not exclusive to low light habitat (USFWS 2013a). In Missouri, pondberry is
 found only in Sand Ponds Natural Area and Conservation Area in Ripley County, in the Missouri

- Lowlands Region (MDC 2018c). The species also has the potential to occur in Butler County,
 Missouri (USFWS 2018c).
- 1189 3.4.1.3.2 Mussel Species
- 1190 Eight special status mussel species potentially occur in the Proposed Action areas:
- 1191 **Curtis' pearlymussel** – The Curtis' pearlymussel (*Epioblasma florentina curtisii*) is a relatively 1192 small mussel, less than 1.5 inches (3.9 cm) in length. The species needs slow-flowing streams with 1193 shallow depths and stable substrates to survive. The species is found in depths of 1.9-299 inches 1194 (5-76 cm), in gravel, cobble, or boulder substrates. It is found in riffles or runs in reaches that occur 1195 between headwater and lowland streams (USFWS 1986). Historically, the species was found in the 1196 White and Black River basins in Missouri. USFWS (1986) states the species was only found in six 1197 sites in the upper Little Black River and the Castor River. During surveys in 1988, no specimens were 1198 found in either river, and mussel species in those rivers underwent catastrophic declines. In 1993, a 1199 single specimen was found alive, however, none have been found since (USFWS 2010b).
- 1200 **Fat pocketbook** – The fat pocketbook (*Potamilus capax*) is a medium-sized freshwater mussel, 1201 reaching 4.9 inches (12.5 cm) in length (USFWS 1989a, LMRCC 2014). The species typically inhabit 1202 silt, sand, or mud substrates and are found in water ranging from a few inches to (6 meters [m]) in 1203 depth (USFWS 2012a). Although currently extirpated from the upper Missouri River drainage, the 1204 species has expanded its range in the St. Francis and Ohio River systems, and is also now found in the 1205 Lower Mississippi River system as well as streams and ditch channels in Arkansas and Missouri. In 1206 Arkansas, a single specimen has been reported in the lower White River, although no data exist on 1207 population size (USFWS 2012a).
- 1208 **Neosho mucket** – The Neosho mucket (*Lampsilis rafinesqueana*) is a medium-sized freshwater 1209 mussel, reaching 3.7 inches (9.5 cm) in length. The species is typically found in shallow riffles with 1210 gravel substrate and a swift current (USFWS 2012b). Historically, this species occupied streams of 1211 the Illinois, Neosho, and Verdigris River basins. All but one of the populations is experiencing declines. The Spring River population of Kansas, Oklahoma, and Missouri is currently the only viable 1212 1213 population of the species (USFWS 2012b). Critical habitat was designated for the species on April 30, 1214 2015 and occurs along the Spring River and the north fork of the Spring River in Jasper and 1215 Lawrence counties (USFWS 2018d) in the Proposed Action area.
- 1216 **Pink mucket pearlymussel** – The pink mucket pearlymussel (*Lampsilis abrupta*) is a relatively large 1217 freshwater mussel, reaching 4.1 inches (10.5 cm) in length. The species inhabits rivers that are over 1218 20 m in width with silt, sand, gravel, or boulder substrates. They are typically found in moderate to 1219 fast-flowing water, though they have also been found in standing water. They have been found in 1220 0.5-8 m depths (USFWS 1985). Historically, the species was found in the Tennessee, Cumberland, 1221 Ohio, and Mississippi River drainage systems, 25 river systems in total. It was widespread, though 1222 considered rare. Currently, the species inhabits 16 river systems, from Arkansas to West Virginia 1223 (USFWS 1985).

- 1224 **Rabbitsfoot** – The rabbitsfoot (*Quadrula cylindrica cylindrica*) is a relatively large, rectangle-shaped 1225 freshwater mussel, reaching 4.7 inches (12 cm) in length (USFWS 2013b). The species occurs in 1226 small streams to large rivers. It is typically found in shallow water along banks, but may also occur in 1227 deeper water. Substrate habitat is typically gravel and sand. The species does not typically burrow 1228 into the substrate, but rather lies on its side (USFWS 2012b). Historically, this species inhabited 140 1229 streams in the lower Great Lakes Sub-basin and the Mississippi River Basin. Of those, only 51 1230 streams currently hold populations. Populations are generally restricted to short reaches and, based on 1231 life history, it is not likely that they are able to travel to establish new populations (USFWS 2012b). 1232 Critical habitat for the species was designated on April 30, 2015 (USFWS 2015b, USFWS 2018e) 1233 and exists in the Proposed Action area.
- Scaleshell mussel The scaleshell mussel (*Leptodea leptodon*) is a medium-sized freshwater mussel, reaching 3.9 inches (10 cm) in length. They occur in medium to large rivers, typically in riffle areas containing mussel beds. The mussel beds are typically diverse and occur on stable substrate in clear, good quality water. Historically, the scaleshell mussel was found in 55 rivers in 13 eastern states.
 Currently, the species is only found in 18 rivers, and is only consistently found in three of those; the species are considered rare in the study area (USFWS 2001a).
- Snuffbox mussel The snuffbox mussel (*Epioblasma triquetra*) is a small freshwater mussel,
 reaching up to 2.8 inches (7 cm) in length. The species inhabits small creeks to lakes. They are found
 in gravel or sand substrates with swift currents, including shores of lakes. Historically, the species
 were found in 210 streams; currently, they occur in 79 streams in 14 states. In the Proposed Action
 area, the species is found in five streams in the Lower Mississippi River sub-basin (USFWS 2012c).
- 1245 **Winged mapleleaf** – The winged mapleleaf (*Quadrula fragosa*) is relatively small freshwater mussel 1246 (USFWS 1997). Exact habitat requirements are unknown, as the species has been found in a wide 1247 variety of habitats. They have been historically found in large, fast streams and impoundments, in 1248 muddy and gravel substrates, and at depths ranging from 4.9-21.3 feet (1.5-6.5 m; USFWS 1997). 1249 Recently, the areas where they are found are in dense and diverse established mussel beds. They also 1250 appear to prefer substrates with coarse and compact sediment (USFWS 2015c). They were listed as 1251 endangered in 1991 due to extirpation of the species in their entire range except for one population in 1252 the St. Croix River (USFWS 1991a); however, it has recently been discovered in four additional 1253 populations in three states, for a total of five populations in five states. Five individuals have been 1254 found in the Bourbeuse River in central Missouri; the Ouachita and Saline rivers in southcentral 1255 Arkansas contain unknown population sizes; and the Little River flowing from the far southeastern 1256 corner of Oklahoma into Arkansas also has a winged mapleleaf population of unknown size (USFWS 1257 2015c).
- 1258 3.4.1.3.3 Insect Species
- 1259 Two special status insect species potentially occur in the Proposed Action areas:

 American Burying Beetle – The American Burying Beetle (ABB; *Nicrophorus americanus*) is the largest silphid (carrion beetle) in North America, reaching 0.98-1.8 inches (2.5-4.5) cm in length (Anderson 1982). ABBs are habitat generalists and have been found in several vegetation types including native grasslands, grazed pastures, riparian zones, coniferous forests, mature forests, deciduous forests with little undergrowth, and oak-hickory forests, as well as on a variety of various

1265 soil types (USFWS 1991b, Creighton et al. 1993, Lomolino et al. 1995, Lomolino and Creighton 1266 1996). Ecosystems supporting ABB populations are diverse and include primary forest, scrub forest, forest edge, grassland prairie, riparian areas, mountain slopes, and maritime scrub communities 1267 (USFWS 1991b, Ratcliffe 1996). The ABB readily moves between different habitats (Creighton and 1268 Schnell 1998, Lomolino et al. 1995). In 2016, the known range of the ABB in Oklahoma was updated 1269 1270 from the original 26 Oklahoma counties to 33 counties. The current range of the ABB within 1271 Oklahoma is dominated by the Osage Cuestas (an irregular to undulating plain) of the Central 1272 Irregular Plains, the Arkansas Valley, the Ouachita Mountains, and the South Central Plains 1273 ecoregions. In Arkansas, the ABB has the potential to occur in six counties of which Crawford, 1274 Franklin, and Johnson are located with the Proposed Action area. In Missouri, ABBs are part of a 1275 nonessential experimental population (under Section 10(i) of the ESA) that was reintroduced in 2012.

1276 **Hine's emerald dragonfly** – The Hine's emerald dragonfly (*Somatochlora hineana*), known for its 1277 bright emerald-green eyes, is approximately 2.5 inches (6.3 cm) long and is one of the most 1278 endangered dragonflies (USFWS 2001b). The species is restricted to wetland habitat with slow 1279 flowing shallow water for larvae development. Preferred habitat for the species consists of calcareous 1280 spring-fed marshes, wetlands, streams, and sedge meadows overlaying dolomite bedrock (USFWS 1281 2018f). Other important habitat components of these wetland areas are nearby or adjacent forest edge for shaded perching areas and open, vegetated areas for foraging (USFWS 2001b). Current known 1282 1283 populations of the Hine's emerald dragonfly occur in Dent, Iron, Phelps, Reynolds, and Ripley 1284 counties in Missouri. Habitat for two of these sites is fully protected and managed by the MDC 1285 (USFWS 2013c).

1286 3.4.1.3.4 Fish Species

1287 Two special status fish species potentially occur in the Proposed Action areas:

1288 **Arkansas River shiner** – The Arkansas River shiner (*Notropis girardi*) is a small, freshwater fish 1289 reaching 51 mm in length. The species occurs in shallow, wide rivers and large streams with sandy 1290 substrate (USFWS 1998). Historically, the species occupied streams in the western portion of the 1291 Arkansas River basin. Construction of damns has isolated populations, stopping dispersal and 1292 recruitment from occurring. Currently, almost the entire population resides in the Canadian River of 1293 Oklahoma, Texas, and New Mexico; they are considered extirpated from 80 percent of their historical 1294 range. In the Proposed Action area, critical habitat exists in the Canadian River near Lamar, 1295 Oklahoma (USFWS 2005). The species is presumed extinct in Arkansas (USFWS 1998).

1296 **Ozark cavefish** – The Ozark cavefish (*Amblyopsis rosae*) is a small fish with translucent skin, 1297 reaching 2.9 inches (75 mm) in length (USFWS 2011a). They are found in underground caves, sinks, 1298 and wells in the Springfield Plateau Region of southwest Missouri, northwest Arkansas, and northeast 1299 Oklahoma. Forty-one sites in the three-state area are considered active cavefish sites (USFWS 1300 2011a). Cavefish are found in waters that are "high-quality," with low levels of toxic metals (Willis 1301 and Brown 1985, Graening and Brown 2000) and that depend on nutrient flow from outside of the 1302 cave, such as bat guano or leaf litter (USFWS 1989b). In Oklahoma, confirmed sightings of the 1303 cavefish have not occurred in the Proposed Action area (Graening et al. 2010), while in Arkansas, 1304 confirmed sightings have occurred in Benton County (Graening and Brown 2000, Graening et al. 1305 2010), where one transmission line is located. In Missouri, confirmed sightings have been made in

seven counties in the southwest corner of the state (Graening and Brown 2010). The Proposed Actionpasses through all of those counties.

1308 3.4.1.3.5 Amphibian Species

1309 One special status amphibian species potentially occurs in the Proposed Action areas, the Ozark

- 1310 hellbender. The Ozark hellbender (*Cryptobranchus alleganienis bishopi*) is a large, stream-dwelling
- 1311 salamander, reaching 29-51 cm (USDA 2003). They are found in fast-moving streams in the Ozark
- 1312 Highlands of Missouri and Arkansas. They require particular levels of flow, dissolved oxygen, and
- 1313 temperature in these streams to survive. The streams must also contain gravel beds or large rocks, as they
- spend most of their time under rocks (USFWS 2012d). They are restricted to five rivers (Spring, White,
 Black, Eleven Point, and Current) and three tributaries off of those main rivers. The most recent
- 1316 population estimate was 590 individuals, down 70 percent from historic population estimates (USFWS)
- 1317 2012d). In Arkansas, a transmission line crosses the Eleven Point River in Randolph County, while in
- 1318 Missouri, a line crosses the Current River in Ripley County.

1319 3.4.1.3.6 Avian Species

- 1320 Two special status avian species potentially occur in the Proposed Action areas:
- 1321 Least tern – The least tern (*Sternula antillarum*) is a small, migratory shorebird. It is the smallest tern 1322 in North America, at just 8.3-9.1 inches (21-23 cm) in length (USFWS 2013d). This ground-nesting 1323 species prefers open, unvegetated sand or gravel habitats near their feeding areas (USFWS 2013d). A 1324 majority of interior least terns spend their time on river habitats, though other habitats utilized include 1325 sand pits, reservoirs, salt flats, industrial sites, and rooftops (USACE 2006). They typically prefer to 1326 nest away from trees or other structures that could harbor predators (USFWS 2013d). The Proposed 1327 Action area does include habitat least terns use, and indeed, the species has been documented 1328 breeding along rivers within the project area. During the 2005 range-wide survey, least terns were 1329 found on several rivers in Oklahoma and Arkansas, including the Canadian and Arkansas rivers.
- Piping plover The piping plover (*Charadrius melodus*) is a small, migratory shorebird, reaching
 6.5-6.9 inches (16.5-17.5 cm) in length. The species nests along lakes, rivers, and reservoirs from the
 Midwest to the Atlantic Coast. The piping plover is tied to open sand or gravel shorelines throughout
 its range (USFWS 1988). While migration stopover sites and their usage are unknown (USFWS
 2016a), the Proposed Action area does include some habitat that could potentially be used by the
 species. Suitable habitat may be found on the shores and sandbars of the Canadian and Arkansas
 rivers, where brief stopovers could potentially occur.
- 1337 **3.4.1.3.7** Mammal Species
- 1338 Four special status mammal species potentially occur in the Proposed Action areas:
- 1339 Gray bat The gray bat (Myotis grisescens) is one of the largest species in the genus Myotis in
- eastern North America (USFWS 2009a), weighing 0.25-0.56 ounces (7-16 grams) and is
- approximately 3-5 inches (76-127 mm) in length with a wingspan of 10.8-11.8 inches (275-300 mm;
- 1342 ODWC 2011a). The species is tied to limestone caves throughout its lifecycle with foraging
- 1343 availability near stream, lakes, and reservoirs required during the summer months. Foraging areas

1344 often are forested to provide shelter for the foraging bats. Known cave habitat and rock outcroppings 1345 occur along portions of the transmission lines in all three states. Along the ROW within the counties of Greene, Christian, Stone, and McDonald in Missouri are four known occupied gray bat caves: one 1346 1347 occurs within 1 mile and the other three within 0.5 mile of the ROW (Marguardt 2018). The site in 1348 Christian County is a Priority 2 maternity cave. No known gray bat summer use caves or 1349 hibernaculum sites occur within or immediately adjacent to the ROW in Arkansas (Inebnit 2018). In 1350 Oklahoma, there are three known hibernacula or summer use caves within and/or adjacent to the 1351 existing ROWs (Fuller 2018).

- 1352 **Indiana bat** – The Indiana bat (*Myotis sodalist*) is a migratory, small bat, weighing approximately 0.25 ounces (7 grams) with a wingspan of 8.9-10.9 inches (228-279 mm). This species spends the 1353 1354 winter hibernating in cool, humid caves with stable temperatures; in the summer, they migrate to 1355 summer habitat in wooded areas where they usually roost on dead or dying trees under lose bark 1356 (USFWS 2006). In the Proposed Action area, substations and communication towers are not situated near foraging or roosting habitat. Hibernaculum and roost trees occur in the Proposed Action area: 1357 1358 however, none of them are situated within or adjacent to the transmission line ROW. Based on correspondence with the USFWS in support of the bat guidance document (Vegetation Management -1359 1360 Endangered Species Act Bat Decision Guide), there are no known Indiana bat hibernaculum or roost 1361 trees within 1 mile of the ROW in Missouri (Marquardt 2018, Southwestern 2018). Within Arkansas 1362 and Oklahoma, there are no known hibernacula or roost trees within or adjacent to the ROW for the 1363 Indiana bat (Inebnit 2018; Fuller 2018; Southwestern 2018); however, in Arkansas there are a few 1364 known hibernacula within close proximity to the ROW. Southwestern has documented within the ROW of line 3007 in Arkansas, a cave known to provide winter habitat for bats although it is used 1365 1366 infrequently and has been screened for bat presence.
- 1367 Northern long-eared bat – The northern long-eared bat (NLEB; Myotis septentrionalis) is a н. 1368 migratory, medium-sized bat that has a body length of 3.0-3.7 inches (76-93 mm) and wing span of 1369 8.9-10 inches (228-254 mm; USFWS 2016b). This species winters in caves that have high humidity 1370 and minimal air flow with relatively constant, cool temperatures. During the summer, the NLEB uses 1371 a wide variety of forested/wooded habitats, which may also include some adjacent and interspersed 1372 non-forested habitats, as well as linear features such as fencerows, riparian forests, and other wooded 1373 corridors (USFWS 2016c). Potential habitat for hibernacula may be located near the Proposed Action 1374 areas and forested habitat outside of the ROW and the substations for summer roosting. However, no 1375 known hibernaculum or roost trees occur within 0.25 mile of the ROW in Missouri (Marquardt 2018, 1376 Southwestern 2018) and none occur within or immediately adjacent to the existing ROW in 1377 Oklahoma and Arkansas (Fuller, 2018, Inebnit 2018, Southwestern 2018). Along the ROW within the 1378 counties of Greene, Christian, Stone, and McDonald in Missouri are four known occupied gray bat 1379 caves which also contain NLEB (Marquardt 2018, Southwestern 2018).
- Ozark big-eared bat The Ozark big-eared bat (*Plecotus townsendii ingens*) is a medium-sized bat weighing 0.25-0.46 ounces (7-13 grams) and measuring approximately 3.5-4.6 inches (90-116 mm; USFWS 1995). Like the gray bat, this species does not migrate and prefers caves year-round, usually in limestone karst formations; however, movement between caves may occur (ODWC 2011b). The caves are of moderate to high humidity and relatively cold temperatures (USFWS 1995). The current range of the Ozark big-eared bat includes the Ozark Highlands and Boston Mountains ecoregions of northeastern Oklahoma and northwestern and north-central Arkansas (USFWS 2011b). No known

Ozark big-eared bat summer use caves or hibernaculum sites occur within or immediately adjacent to
 the ROW in Arkansas (Inebnit 2018) or Oklahoma (Fuller 2018).

1389 3.4.2 Environmental Consequences

- 1390 Impacts to biological resources may occur when an action contributes to the disturbance, degradation, or 1391 loss of habitat or contributes to the loss or disturbance of local wildlife populations. The sensitivity of the 1392 wildlife populations and the habitat to the activities will determine the magnitude of the impact. Adverse 1393 impacts to biological resources may occur if the Proposed Action would:
- 1394 Affect a threatened or endangered species;
- 1395 Substantially diminish habitat for a plant or animal species;
- 1396 Substantially diminish a regionally or locally important plant or animal species;
- 1397 Interfere substantially with wildlife movement or reproductive behavior; or
- 1398 Result in a substantial infusion of exotic plant or animal species.

1399 3.4.2.1 Proposed Action

1400 Continuation of O&M activities and the Integrated Vegetation Management Program have the potential to 1401 impact vegetation, wildlife, and special status species, as described below.

1402 3.4.2.1.1 Vegetation

- 1403 The transmission lines pass through many unique ecoregions containing various plant communities.
- 1404 Vegetation along the transmission lines has undergone continuous management for several decades and
- 1405 much of the native vegetation has been removed (e.g., trees) and maintained below a specific height along
- the ROW. Ecological diversity and succession have been influenced by the historical vegetation
- 1407 management practices. Naturally occurring vegetation is absent, except in areas outside the fence, from
- 1408 the substations and communications sites due to construction of these facilities and the need to maintain a
- 1409 barren ground.
- 1410 No impacts from O&M activities to vegetation at the substations, communication sites, and offices are
- 1411 expected due to the lack of vegetation at these facilities. Along the ROW, large equipment has the
- 1412 potential to temporarily trample vegetation, increase erosion in select areas under certain conditions, and
- 1413 increase invasive species within the Proposed Action areas. However, potential impacts to vegetation
- 1414 from O&M activities would be short-term and concentrated in specific areas along the ROW. Vegetation
- in the disturbed area would recover once the activities were complete. DOE is part of the Federal
- 1416 Interagency Committee for the Management of Noxious and Exotic Weeds (FICMNEW) which was
- 1417 established through a Memorandum of Understanding signed by agency leadership in August 1994 and
- 1418 1997 (Simpson 2018). FICMNEW represents a formal partnership between 18 federal agencies with
- 1419 direct invasive plant management and regulatory responsibilities spanning across the United States and
- 1420 territories. Southwestern follows the guidelines established by the FICMNEW for management of
- 1421 invasive species under their Integrated Vegetation Management Program. BMPs to reduce erosion (e.g.,
- 1422 erosion mats) would reduce long-term impacts to vegetation and decrease the potential for establishment
- 1423 of noxious species. In addition, through the Integrated Vegetation Management Program, noxious and
- 1424 invasive species would be controlled.

1425 Vegetation management at the substations and communication sites is non-selective as all vegetation is

- targeted. These areas have been devoid of vegetation for decades and will continue to be so. Surrounding
- 1427 vegetation is only managed when it proposes a threat to the facility and human safety. Direct and long-
- 1428 term impacts to vegetation within the facilities would continue to occur under the Proposed Action;
- 1429 however, impacts to vegetation outside the fenced areas would not occur since barren ground is not
- 1430 required in those areas. Vegetation at the offices is maintained in a lawn-like state, except for at the Tulsa
- 1431 office which lacks vegetation.

1432 Long-term impacts to vegetation along the ROW have occurred through the change in species diversity 1433 and density as well as vegetation structure. Transmission facilities must be kept clear of all tall-growing 1434 trees, brush and other vegetation that could grow too close to the conductors. The primary goal of 1435 vegetation control within the ROW is to minimize woody vegetation growth while increasing the growth 1436 of herbaceous vegetation. Species managed along the ROW are mainly woody species such as silver 1437 maple (Acer saccharinum), black locust (Robinia pseudoacacia), red cedar (Juniperus virginiana), pine 1438 (Pinus spp.), sycamore (Platanus occidentalis), pin oak (Ouercus palustris), red oak (O. rubra), post oak 1439 (Q. stellata), and elm (Ulmus spp.). The use of manual, mechanical, and herbicide treatments for 1440 vegetation management would continue to alter the species diversity in the ROW. The removal of woody 1441 vegetation has created open habitat that favors recolonization by grasses, forbs, and potentially weed 1442 species. Although manual techniques are more selective and would target specific vegetation, vegetation 1443 could be crushed though by the workers with in the ROW. In addition, rare plant species could occur 1444 along the ROW, such as the zig-zag spiderwort (Tradescantia subaspera) in the Alexander Spring River 1445 WMA. Indirect impacts to non-target species could occur with the use of mechanical treatments as larger pieces of equipment could damage or destroy plant species. Herbicides considered under the Proposed 1446 1447 Action along the ROW would be selective for specific species. Although there is a potential for drift to 1448 occur which might damage non-target vegetation, the Proposed Action would provide better control of 1449 target species and reduce the amount and frequency of herbicide treatments. BMPs including using 1450 herbicides under specific weather conditions and specifying the type of application would reduce impacts 1451 to non-target species. Under the Proposed Action, woody species would continue to be removed and the 1452 habitat would continue to favor low-growing non-woody plant species. In some areas, the ROW would 1453 create an edge habitat, if adjacent to forest, which would provide diversity of habitats across a landscape. 1454 The Proposed Action would allow for an increase in time between treatments which would allow desired 1455 low growing native vegetation to recover from activities. Southwestern would use the GIS Resource 1456 Mapper to identify those areas with sensitive habitat or rare plant species to reduce potential impacts to 1457 these species.

1458 3.4.2.1.2 Wildlife

1459 Wildlife species are as diverse as the habitat across the Proposed Action area. Impacts to wildlife occur by

harming or disturbing species within the ROW and facility areas or through the disturbance of habitat.

1461 Naturally occurring habitat within the substations, communication sites, and offices is non-existent, and

1462 therefore, very few species except the potential avian or transient wildlife species are likely to occur in

1463 these areas. The analysis of impacts from the Proposed Action is concentrated on activities within the

transmission line ROW.

1465 Impacts to wildlife from O&M activities would be short-term and temporary (noise, vibration, and 1466 construction equipment movement). Direct impacts to wildlife could result from mortality or injure from 1467 collision with vehicles. The general disturbance associated with O&M activities would result in the 1468 temporary displacement of most wildlife from the immediate vicinity of the maintenance area and 1469 adjacent areas. Larger or more mobile wildlife would leave the vicinity during activities but would 1470 eventual return to the area after the activities were completed. Less mobile species may be crushed by 1471 heavy equipment. Indirect impacts could include habitat degradation, disruption of foraging and prey 1472 availability, and disruption of nesting. O&M activities are generally short in duration and spatially

- distributed across the Proposed Action area. Vehicles traveling the access roads and especially in the
 ROW are usually traveling at slower speeds to allow wildlife species to avoid vehicular traffic. Impacts to
- 1475 wildlife from O&M activities are not expected to be significant.

1476 Operation of Southwestern's transmission lines and substations, as well as maintenance of these 1477 structures, offers a unique challenge to manage avian species. Bald and golden eagles are prevalent in the 1478 Gore, Oklahoma region, although no nests have been found in the Proposed Action areas. In addition, 1479 osprey (Pandion haliaetus) nesting has been documented in Gore along the Arkansas River and 1480 Southwestern has installed nesting platforms to prevent electrocution of the birds. Avian mortality risks 1481 that result from interactions with electrical transmission facilities have the potential to impact species 1482 protected under the MBTA, BGPEA, and ESA. Southwestern is committed to working towards the 1483 overall goal of reducing avian mortality for migratory birds, eagles, raptors, and federally listed 1484 endangered or threatened avian species and to preventing interactions which result in outages and 1485 potential loss in system reliability. Southwestern has developed an Avian Protection Plan (APP) which 1486 provides guidelines for reducing avian mortality risks and incorporates existing laws and executive 1487 orders. Under the APP, Southwestern uses a tiered approach in conducting transmission system avian 1488 evaluations to identify areas that have an increased likelihood for collisions or electrocutions which can 1489 guide O&M activities (e.g., retrofitting of structures, creation of nesting platforms, avian protection 1490 devices). BMPs for communication towers and office facilities are also outlined in the APP to further

- 1491 prevent impacts to avian species. Implementation of the APP would reduce impacts to avian species from
- 1492 O&M activities.

1493 Direct impacts associated with vegetation management include noise which could disturb wildlife and

- cause them to temporality leave the area. Impacts to vegetation under the Proposed Action could further
- degrade or limit available habitat for wildlife species causing indirect impacts. Manual removal of
- selective species would have less of an impact to habitat as it is selective. Mechanical impacts could cause
- reduction in some habitats as well as potential disturbance to the soil which could increase non-native
- species and also alter habitat composition. Direct impacts to wildlife from mechanical equipment would
- 1499 be similar to those under the O&M activities for less mobile species. The disturbance however would be
- 1500 localized. With both manual and mechanical treatments, removal of trees could impact nesting species
- and other species that depend on trees for living (see Section 3.4.2.1.3 for discussion of bat species).

Potential impacts to wildlife species from herbicide exposure depends on the quantity of the chemical the
species was exposed to as well as the toxicity of the herbicide. Herbicides proposed for use are low in
toxicity to wildlife. Herbicides are designed to be toxic to plants, not animals, and contain chemicals that

1505 target plant physiological processes. Direct impacts to wildlife species could occur if species were

directly sprayed during herbicide application. Indirect impacts could occur from ingestion of vegetationwhich has been chemically treated.

1508 Limb trimming of larger trees has the potential to impact nesting species more than saplings removed in 1509 the ROW that do not provide good nesting habitat. Impacts to nesting species would be short-term as very few localized individuals may be impacted and trimming may occur outside the nesting season. Herbicide 1510 1511 application is target specific and applied under the appropriate weather conditions (e.g., wind speed, 1512 temperature, and humidity). Broadcast spraying does not occur and application methods are more 1513 concentrated to avoid over-spraying. Herbicides would not be directly applied to wildlife species. In 1514 addition, herbicides would not be applied within 15 feet (4.6 m) of surface water. Garlon 4 is highly toxic 1515 to fish and is restricted in use in areas with streams and recharge zones. These areas have been identified 1516 by Southwestern and are also identified in the GIS Resource Mapper to reduce any accidental exposures. 1517 Karst features are marked for future identification as well and herbicides would not be applied within 15

- 1518 feet of these features.
- 1519 Under the pollinator health task force created in 2014 by Presidential Memorandum, Southwestern has
- 1520 preliminarily assessed their lands to determinate the appropriateness for implementing pollinator-friendly
- 1521 BMPs per the memorandum. The assessment indicated that in 40 percent of the total ROW acreage,
- 1522 vegetation is managed to promote a low to mid-growing plant community within the ROW. This keeps
- 1523 the vegetation in the ROW in an early seral stage, promoting the growth of native flowering plants,
- 1524 including forbs and shrubs, potential habitat for pollinators. Periodic treatment of selected noxious weeds
- 1525 or invasive species within the ROW promotes the establishment of desirable flowering plant species.
- 1526 Under the Proposed Action the increase in the time between vegetation management treatments would
- 1527 encourage the development of habitat for pollinators.

1528 3.4.2.1.3 Special Status Species

This section describes potential impacts to special status species, including plant, mussel, insect, fish,amphibian, avian, and mammal species.

1531 Plant Species

1532 Geocarpon

1533Geocarpon prefers slicks in grasslands/sandstone and requires some disturbance. Current population and1534habitat information limits this species to potentially occurring in only one county in Arkansas: Franklin

- 1535 County. Although activities in the ROW would avoid slicks, there is a potential for trucks to crush plants
- 1536 during vegetation management and some O&M activities such as pole replacement. In addition, there is a
- 1537 potential for herbicide treatments to impact local populations although this species would not be targeted.
- 1538 Information on identification of the listed plant species in the Proposed Action areas would reduce the
- 1539 potential for direct impacts from herbicide treatments. Any potential impacts to the plant would be
- localized and activities are temporally limited to the vegetation cycle of 4 to 5 years. The Proposed Action
- 1541 may affect but is not likely to adversely affect Geocarpon.

1542 Mead's milkweed

1543 Mead's milkweed prefers stable tall grass prairies. Destruction of tall grass prairies is the main threat to

the species which includes prairie hay fields where mowing typically takes place in late June to early July,

1545 which removes immature Mead's milkweed fruits and prevents completion of the plant's life cycle

1546 (USFWS 2018g). However, tall grass prairies do not occur within the ROW. If tall grass prairies occur

adjacent to the ROW, there could be a chance of short-term impacts from overspray during herbicide

application. The potential for overspray however is minimized through Southwestern's maintenance
 standards (MA-23) on herbicide application which dictates environmental conditions for application.

- standards (MA-23) on herbicide application which dictates environmental conditions for application.
 With implementation of the standards, impacts to Mead's milkweed are not likely to occur and the
- 1551 Proposed Action may affect but is not likely to adversely affect the species.

1552 Missouri bladderpod

1553 Under the Proposed Action, there is a potential for trucks to crush plants during vegetation management

and some O&M activities such as pole replacement. In addition, there is a potential for herbicide

treatments to impact local populations although this species would not be targeted. The areas containing

appropriate habitat within the ROW have been previously documented and surveys would be performed

1557 prior to any activities in these known preferred habitat areas. Per the Southwestern SOP, specific

locations of Missouri bladderpods would be identified and no mowing or herbicides would be used nearthe populations. Survey information prior to activities within the ROW would reduce potential direct

- 1560 impacts to the species; therefore, the Proposed Action *may affect but is not likely to adversely affect* the
- 1561 species.

1562 Virginia sneezeweed

1563 Four counties within the Proposed Action areas have populations known to occur: Howell, Wright,

1564 Webster, and Christian (USFWS 2018h, MDC 2018d). Preferred wetland habitat is limited along the

1565 ROW for lines in those counties and would be avoided by both mechanical and herbicide treatment in

- 1566 those areas. The MDC recommends several BMPs to protect populations of Virginia sneezeweed. They
- 1567 include no mowing from July through September in wetland areas (preferred habitat), and limited use of
- non-specific herbicides (MDC 2015). The GIS Resource Mapper developed by Southwestern to help
 identify and avoid wetland areas in the ROW would be used prior to any vegetation management along
- these ROWs. The Proposed Action *may affect but is not likely to adversely affect* the Virginia sneezeweed
- 1571 with implementation of the BMPs.

1572 Pondberry

1573 Potential impacts to the species may occur along the ROW during O&M activities to repair/replace lines

- and poles that occur near surface waters and river bottoms. Indirect impacts from siltation or erosion
- 1575 altering the hydrological regime may degrade habitat. Direct impacts from herbicide application and
- 1576 trampling of plants are unlikely as activities within areas of regular flooding are limited. BMPs to avoid

1577 wetland areas and reduce sedimentation runoff would reduce impacts to this listed species; therefore, the

1578 Proposed Action may affect but is not likely to adversely affect the pondberry.

1579 Mussel Species

1580 Curtis' pearlymussel

1581 A transmission line crosses the Little Black River right at or very close to the stretch of river where the 1582 last live specimen was found in 1993. Lines also cross the Black River between Williamsville and Poplar 1583 Bluff, where specimens were found in the 1960s. In these areas, any vegetation management and O&M 1584 activities may have the potential to impact the Curtis' pearlymussel. Herbicide application would occur at 1585 least 15 feet from any surface water body and would not directly impact species. Only herbicides with 1586 approved aquatic labels would be used near surface water bodies. Sedimentation from O&M activities 1587 including pole replacements can indirectly affect the species. Erosion controls, including a floating silt 1588 screen when poles are surrounded by water, can be used to reduce sedimentation into surface water. Any 1589 equipment that enters waterbodies would be washed prior to activities to prevent the spread of zebra 1590 mussels. The Proposed Action may affect but is not likely to adversely affect the Curtis' pearlymussel.

1591 Fat pocketbook

1592 In the Proposed Action areas, the species is present in the St. Francis River drainage and has the potential 1593 to occur in both Arkansas and Missouri. The species is present in many river channels, streams, and

ditches in the basin (USACE 2018c). Threats to the species include pesticide/herbicide usage, dredging,

and other water activities (USACE 2018c). Sedimentation from O&M activities including pole
 replacements can indirectly affect the species. Erosion controls, including a floating silt screen when

1597 poles are surrounded by water, can be used to reduce sedimentation into surface water. Herbicide

1598 application would occur at least 15 feet from any surface water body and would not directly impact

1599 species. Only herbicides with approved aquatic labels would be used near surface water bodies. Any

1600 equipment that enters waterbodies would be washed prior to activities to prevent the spread of zebra

1601 mussels. The Proposed Action *may affect but is not likely to adversely affect* the fat pocketbook.

1602 Neosho mucket

1603 Critical habitat for this species exists in the Proposed Action area in Missouri. Line 3003 crosses the 1604 Spring River near Stotts City, MO, but not through designated critical habitat. The Spring River 1605 population is currently the only viable population of this species. Line 3009 crosses the Shoal Creek north 1606 of Neosho, Missouri through designated critical habitat O&M activities on poles/structures near the river 1607 also have the potential to indirectly affect the population and critical habitat at the Shoal Creek. Due to 1608 critical habitat along the Shoal Creek, no equipment would be used within the river. Sedimentation from 1609 O&M activities including pole replacements can indirectly affect the species. However, no poles are 1610 located at the river edge near the critical habitat, but are approximately 0.1 mile from the river. Erosion 1611 controls would be used to reduce sedimentation into surface water and Southwestern would avoid creating 1612 impoundments that may alter water turbidity or increase siltation. Herbicide application would occur at

1613 least 15 feet from any surface water body and would not directly impact species. Only herbicides with

1614 approved aquatic labels would be used near surface water bodies. The Proposed Action *may affect but is*

1615 *not likely to adversely affect* the Neosho mucket and would not modify critical habitat.

1616 Pink mucket pearlymussel

1617 In the Proposed Action areas, the species has been reported in rivers on the Arkansas/Missouri River: the 1618 Spring, Current, Black, and Little Black rivers (USFWS 1985). The species is negatively affected by 1619 impoundments, siltation, and pollution (USFWS 1976). Southwestern would avoid creating 1620 impoundments that may alter water turbidity or increase siltation and indirectly affect the species. 1621 Sedimentation from O&M activities including pole replacements can indirectly affect the species. Erosion 1622 controls, including a floating silt screen when poles are surrounded by water, can be used to reduce 1623 sedimentation into surface water. Herbicide application would occur at least 15 feet from any surface 1624 water body and would not directly impact species. Only herbicides with approved aquatic labels would be 1625 used near surface water bodies. Any equipment that enters waterbodies would be washed prior to 1626 activities to prevent the spread of zebra mussels. The Proposed Action may affect but is not likely to 1627 adversely affect the pink mucket.

1628 Rabbitsfoot

1629 Transmission lines and poles cross several rivers where critical habitat occurs. Herbicide application 1630 would occur at least 15 feet from any surface water body and would not directly impact species. Only

herbicides with approved aquatic labels would be used near surface water bodies. Southwestern would

1632 avoid creating impoundments that may alter water turbidity or increase siltation and indirectly affect the

1633 species. Sedimentation from O&M activities along the ROW including pole replacements can indirectly

1634 affect the species. Erosion controls, including a floating silt screen when poles are surrounded by water,

1635 can be used to reduce sedimentation into surface water. Any equipment that enters waterbodies would be

1636 washed prior to activities to prevent the spread of zebra mussels and equipment would not be used in the

1637 water in areas with designated critical habitat. Although critical habitat is designated in the Buffalo

1638 National River, none of the Southwestern lines cross this portion of the river. The Proposed Action would

not modify critical habitat and *may affect but is not likely to adversely affect* the rabbitsfoot.

1640 Scaleshell mussel

1641 In areas where the transmission lines cross rivers, any vegetation management and O&M activities near

1642 these water bodies may have the potential to impact the scaleshell mussel. Herbicide application would

1643 occur at least 15 feet from any surface water body and would not directly impact species. Only herbicides

1644 with approved aquatic labels would be used near surface water bodies. Sedimentation from O&M

1645 activities including pole replacements can indirectly affect the species. Erosion controls, including a

1646 floating silt screen when poles are surrounded by water, can be used to reduce sedimentation into surface

1647 water. Any equipment that enters waterbodies would be washed prior to activities to prevent the spread of

1648 zebra mussels. The Proposed Action *may affect but is not likely to adversely affect* the scaleshell mussel.

1649 Snuffbox mussel

1650 Southwestern would avoid creating impoundments that may alter water turbidity or increase siltation and

1651 indirectly affect the species. Sedimentation from O&M activities including pole replacements can

1652 indirectly affect the species. Erosion controls, including a floating silt screen when poles are surrounded

- 1653 by water, can be used to reduce sedimentation into surface water. Herbicide application would occur at
- least 15 feet from any surface water body and would not directly impact species. Only herbicides with

approved aquatic labels would be used near surface water bodies. Any equipment that enters waterbodies would be washed prior to activities to prevent the spread of zebra mussels. The Proposed Action *may*

- 1657 *affect but is not likely to adversely affect* the snuffbox mussel.
- 1658 Winged mapleleaf

1659 Communication sites 5115, and potentially 5114, appear upstream of the Little River where specimens 1660 have been found in Oklahoma and Arkansas. The species need to be considered as a chemical spill or 1661 other disruption could travel downstream and impact the species. The species occur in small isolated 1662 populations and are subject to extirpation following a catastrophic event. The species are also at risk from 1663 exotic species such as zebra mussels. Only herbicides with approved aquatic labels would be used near surface water bodies. Sedimentation from O&M activities including pole replacements can indirectly 1664 affect the species. Erosion controls, including a floating silt screen when poles are surrounded by water, 1665 can be used to reduce sedimentation into surface water. Any equipment that enters waterbodies would be 1666 1667 washed prior to activities to prevent the spread of zebra mussels. The Proposed Action may affect but is

1668 *not likely to adversely affect* the winged mapleleaf.

1669 Insect Species

1670 American Burying Beetle

1671 O&M activities have the potential to compact and disturb soils which would potentially injure or kill 1672 ABBs. These projects would be implemented throughout the year, potentially affecting the ABB during 1673 all phases of its lifecycle. Overwintering adults and reproductive broods may be affected through the 1674 direct loss of individual adults and larvae, and a decrease in ABB fecundity. Vegetation management in 1675 the ROW would potentially involve mowing or herbicide treatments which could reduce the availability 1676 of habitat for small bird and mammal populations thus reducing potential carcasses for the ABB. Most 1677 maintenance activities normally only entail minimal soil disturbance or compaction and may cause 1678 multiple, though often minor, disturbances over the life of the project. Approximately 859 acres of 1679 potential ABB habitat occur along the ROW in the three counties in Arkansas: Crawford, Franklin, and 1680 Johnson.

In Oklahoma, the estimated maximum soil disturbance in ABB habitat due to Southwestern activities for
any given year is 4,855 acres. This estimate includes maintenance, and possible emergency actions.
Planned activities could be scheduled or modified to avoid impacts to the ABB on approximately 123
acres per year. Approximately 4,732 acres per year may be subject to disturbance on short notice or
during the dormant season with little avoidance possible; these acres, if disturbed under such conditions,
will be considered "incidental take". This is an estimated maximum amount since actual impacts would

- 1687 be limited to the individual project footprints an area usually considerably smaller than the entire ROW.
- 1688 O&M activities at the communication site and the substation are not likely to impact ABBs as the sites
- are already disturbed and are now graveled. Due to the potential impact to ABB from O&M and
- 1690 vegetation management activities along the ROW, the Proposed Action *may affect and is likely to*
- *adversely affect* the species. Southwestern will attempt to minimize disturbance to areas outside of the
- required maintenance footprints of the proposed projects whenever practicable and feasible and utilize the
- 1693 most current version or equivalent of the Best Management Practices for American Burying Beetle in

1694 Oklahoma. Southwestern proposes to include detection surveys at the project site prior to ground

1695 disturbance or may assume presence in lieu of detection surveys. This section will be updated when

- 1696 consultation with the USFWS is complete and reasonable and prudent measures outlined by the USFWS
- 1697 will be added.

1698 *Hine's emerald dragonfly*

Invasive vegetation can potentially impact Hine's emerald dragonfly behavior and habitat. The 1699 1700 encroachment of cattails (Typha spp.) and woody vegetation has the potential to affect adult flight 1701 behavior and movement (USFWS 2001b). Potential impacts to the Hine's emerald dragonfly may occur if 1702 wetland habitat is destroyed during O&M activities. Maintenance of the poles near the Current River may 1703 crush riparian vegetation along the river bank associated with the poles. Herbicide spraving would not 1704 occur within 15 feet of water's edge nor in any associated riparian habitat, therefore, potential impacts 1705 from vegetation management would be limited to removal of undesirable tree species. However, during 1706 habitat assessment and adult surveys conducted in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan, utility ROWs that 1707 are kept clear of woody vegetation appear to serve as flight corridors for the species (USFWS 2015). 1708 Vegetation management and work along line 3002 at the structures would be sporadic and the timeframe 1709 in between visits would be long. Temporary, short-term impacts to the species may occur during O&M 1710 activities but these would be temporally separated over a minimum of 5 years and would not significantly impact the population. Therefore, the Proposed Action may affect but is not likely to adversely affect the 1711 1712 Hine's emerald dragonfly.

1713 Fish Species

1714 Arkansas River shiner

1715 In the Proposed Action areas, critical habitat exists in the Canadian River near Lamar, OK (USFWS

- 1716 2005). Line 3101 crosses the Canadian River at this point although no structures occur right at the river
- 1717 edge. Threats to the species include habitat loss or alteration and water quality degradation (USFWS
- 1718 1998). O&M activities occurring along line 3101 at the Canadian River have the potential to impact water
- 1719 quality. Potential impacts due to erosion during pole maintenance can indirectly affect the species.
- 1720 Erosion controls, including a floating silt screen when poles are surrounded by water, can be used to
- reduce sedimentation into surface water. Activities, even when replacing the pole near the Current River,
- 1722 would occur away from and out of the water body. O&M activities would occur at a localized area and
- would be temporally spaced occurring at 5-year or longer intervals. The Proposed Action *may affect but is*
- 1724 *not likely to adversely affect* the Arkansas River shiner.

1725 Ozark cavefish

- 1726 Potential threats to the species include spills, which can leak into the groundwater system. Contaminants
- 1727 such as herbicides (among other man-made chemicals) may cause abnormalities and increased cancer
- 1728 risks, although little is known about effects on cave-dwelling organisms (USFWS 2011a). The Ozark
- 1729 cavefish is highly specialized and may not recover well from small changes in its environment (USFWS
- 1730 1989b). Dispersal of the species occurs only during periods of cave flooding. Impacts to the species from
- 1731 O&M activities would be limited since disturbance would occur mainly aboveground. Herbicide usage for
- 1732 vegetation management though has the potential to impact the species. In Greene, Newton, and Lawrence

- 1733 counties in Missouri where the cavefish has been confirmed, Southwestern SOPs limit the use of
- 1734 herbicides to only Garlon 3A on woody plants. Southwestern personnel are also trained to identify karst
- 1735 features and herbicide application is kept a minimum of 15 feet from the features. The Proposed Action
- 1736 may affect but is not likely to adversely affect the Ozark cavefish with implementation of these BMPs.

1737 Amphibian Species

1738 Ozark hellbender

1739 The Ozark hellbender is a strictly aquatic species. Impacts from O&M activities would be limited to any

- 1740 work that occurs within the waterbodies or that has the potential to alter water quality. Increased
- sedimentation from maintenance at the lines which cross the Current and Elven Point rivers could
- 1742 increase erosion into the rivers. Erosion control measures would reduce short-term impacts to the species.
- 1743 Activities at the lines would be infrequent and temporally separated. Herbicides would not be used in the
- 1744 water. The Proposed Action *may affect but is not likely to adversely affect* the Ozark hellbender.

1745 Avian Species

1746 Least Tern

1747 O&M activities have the potential to disturb nesting species although activities would be limited in

duration. While activities in the Proposed Action areas could potentially disturb the species, as stated in

1749 USFWS (2013d), due to the species' flexibility about habitat changes, the sheer number of established

breeding colonies, and the increase in population size, it is unlikely that infrequent visits to the sites

1751 would impact the species at all. Vegetation removal on sandbars would likely benefit the species as they

- 1752 prefer open habitats. Therefore, the Proposed Action *may affect but is not likely to adversely affect* the
- 1753 least tern.

1754 Piping plover

1755 While piping plovers have been documented migrating through Arkansas (USFWS 2014), the Proposed

- 1756 Action areas do not appear to encompass any habitat the species may use. Similarly, the species has been
- documented in Missouri, though not in the Proposed Action areas. Noise from O&M activities near the
- 1758 Arkansas and Canadian rivers may cause short-term displacement of the plovers resting in stopover
- 1759 habitat. Invasive plants encroaching into piping plover habitat could lead to habitat degradation and loss
- 1760 (USFWS 2009b). Vegetation removal activities would likely benefit the species, as it provides more
- available habitat for the species to stop at. The Proposed Action may affect but is not likely to adversely
- 1762 *affect* migrating piping plovers.

1763 Mammal Species

- 1764 Gray bat
- 1765 No direct impacts from O&M activities or vegetation management would occur as these activities do not
- 1766 occur in or near known occupancy caves. Potential indirect effects may occur from a reduction in
- 1767 vegetation near water sources where bats may forage. Impacts from vegetation management may occur if

1768 located near known caves. O&M ground disturbing activities within 0.25 mile of the caves may cause

runoff that would reduce water quality in karst habitat. Surface disturbing activities in the vicinity ofhibernacula may affect bat populations if those activities result in changes to the temperature and air flow.

1771 Southwestern developed a bat decision guidance document for vegetation management along the ROWs.

- 1772 Maintenance and tree trimming along the existing ROWs is not likely to impact bats at the known sites in
- 1773 Missouri and Arkansas (Marquardt 2018, Inebnit 2018). Erosion control measures to protect water quality
- in karst areas would be implemented to reduce potential impacts to the gray bat. In addition, SOPs
- 1775 developed by Southwestern restrict the use of herbicides within 15 feet of a cave or karst feature. The
- 1776 USFWS recommends no tree trimming around any rivers or streams from May 1 through September 15 to
- avoid impacts to aquatic foraging areas and disturbance of the species in Oklahoma (Fuller 2018). With
- implementation of the bat guidance document, seasonal tree trimming restrictions, and the protection of
- 1779 water quality, the Proposed Action *may affect but is not likely to adversely affect* the gray bat.

1780 Indiana bat

O&M activities conducted during the summer time may cause short-term impacts to Indiana bats from
noise and human presence in potential foraging and roosting areas. During summer, female and juvenile
Indiana bats roost almost always in trees, as do adult males. Adult females, however, apparently used a
crevice in a utility pole in Indiana, and adult males were found under metal brackets on utility poles in
Arkansas (USFWS 2008). Removal of trees may affect summer roosting for the Indiana bat. The Indiana
bats usually prefer taller trees 52-85 ft (16-26 m) (USFWS 2008). Vegetation management includes
vertical clearance and maintenance of trees (trimming) and depends on the tree species and re-sprouting

as well as the mandatory electrical clearance. Trees usually removed are smaller diameter trees

- 1789 (<9 inches) and trees over 50 feet in height would not be found within the ROW due to constant
- 1790 maintenance. Trees cut are usually live and not snags. Pesticides within or near suitable habitat could
- harm Indiana bats directly (via dermal contact or ingestion) or indirectly by reducing prey availability of
- 1792 foraging bats.
- 1793 Tree trimming and felling would unlikely impact the species in the fall and spring near caves near the
- 1794 ROW (Inebnit 2018). In the Proposed Action areas, there are no known Indiana bat hibernacula or roost
- trees within or immediately adjacent to the ROWs (Marquardt 2018, Inebnit 2018, Fuller 2018,
- 1796 Southwestern 2018). During spring migration Indiana bats in the Arkansas area have been known to use
- forested habitat within the ROW and potentially for maternity areas. The USFWS recommended that tree
- trimming and felling with the ROW occur from September 15 through March 1 to reduce potential
- 1799 impacts. The bat guidance document specifically notes areas in Arkansas where seasonal trimming should
- 1800 occur (Southwestern 2018). With implementation of the bat guidance document and seasonal tree
- 1801 trimming restrictions, the Proposed Action may affect but is not likely to adversely affect the Indiana bat.
- 1802 Northern long-eared bat

1803 On January 14, 2016 the USFWS finalized the 4(d) rule for the NLEB, which tailors protections to areas
1804 affected by white-nose syndrome during the bat's most sensitive life stages (USFWS 2018i). The USFWS
1805 PBO analyzed several activities that may affect the NLEB including timber harvest and herbicide usage.

1806 Tree removal without a permit is prohibited: 1) within 0.25 mile of known hibernaculum; and 2) within a

1807 150-foot radius of the maternity roost tree from June 1 through July 31. The 2016 PBO (USFWS 2016b)
1808 was developed for federal agencies to fulfill their project-specific Section 7(a)(2) responsibilities.

1809 Disturbance associated with O&M and vegetation management activities could cause NLEB to flee or 1810 abandon day-time roosts, which increases the likelihood of predation. This may also result in females aborting or not being impregnated depending on the time of year (USFWS 2016b). O&M ground 1811 1812 disturbing activities within 0.25 mile of the caves may cause runoff that would reduce water quality in 1813 karst habitat. Bats may also be directly exposed to herbicides or other pesticides sprayed in roosting areas. 1814 Although some adverse effects to NLEBs are reasonably certain to occur from herbicides and other 1815 pesticide use, due to the dispersed nature of the treatments both temporally and spatially, a relatively 1816 small number of bats may be impacted. Southwestern would use all herbicides in accordance to their

- 1817 labels and application would not occur in water. Southwestern has implemented a bat guidance document
 1818 (*Vegetation Management –Endangered Species Act Bat Decision Guide*) for the four listed bat species.
- 1819 Implementation of the guidance, including guidance for emergency situations that would require after the
- fact consultation, would reduce potential impacts to listed species and as noted by USFWS the
- maintenance activities would comply with the final 4(d) rule for the species (Southwestern 2018). The
- 1822 Proposed Action *may affect* the NLEB however maintenance activities comply with the 4(d) rule.
- 1823 Ozark big-eared bat
- 1824 Current impacts to the Ozark big-eared bat include lost forested foraging habitat due to development,
- 1825 timber harvest, and ROW construction (USFWS 1995). No direct impacts from O&M activities or
- 1826 vegetation management would occur as these activities do not occur in or near caves. Indirect impacts
- 1827 could occur if forested habitat near the cave sites which provide cover for the bats and prey species was
- 1828 greatly reduced. The ROWs have already been developed for the Proposed Action and tree removal is
- 1829 limited to selective trees species spatially spread across the species' range. Although there are a couple of
- 1830 known caves located with 300 meters of the ROW in Arkansas, the USFWS did not recommend seasonal
- restriction on maintenance activities (Inebnit 2018). In addition, no seasonal restrictions were
- recommended (Fuller 2018, Inebnit 2018, Southwestern 2018) in Oklahoma. SOPs developed by
- 1833 Southwestern restrict the use of herbicides within 15 feet of a cave or karst feature and would reduce the
- 1834 potential impact to this species. The Proposed Action *may affect but is not likely to adversely affect* the
- 1835 Ozark big-eared bat.

1836 Best Management Practices

- 1837 The following BMPs would be implemented to protect vegetation and wildlife:
- 1838 Implement the APP and conduct preventative transmission system evaluations and implement avian
 preventative measures
- To reduce impacts to nesting migratory bird species (March to August), initially survey the ROW
 area for treatment for potential nests and restrict mechanical disturbance during this period in
 naturally vegetated areas.
- 1843 Implement erosion control methods when necessary.
- 1844 Do not apply herbicide within 15 feet of karst habitat.

- 1845 Do not apply herbicide within 15 feet of surface water.
- 1846 Use approved aquatic herbicides when spraying near sensitive water resources.
- 1847 Implement the GIS Resource Mapper to identify areas with sensitive habitats or listed species.

1848 3.4.2.2 No Action Alternative

1849 Under the No Action Alternative, impacts to vegetation, wildlife and special status species from O&M activities would be similar as described for the Proposed Action. Southwestern would continue its current 1850 1851 ROW vegetation management throughout its system area under the No Action Alternative. Impacts to vegetation and wildlife from manual and mechanical vegetation removal would be similar as for the 1852 1853 Proposed Action. Older formulations of herbicides would be used under the No Action Alternative which 1854 would increase the frequency of visits to manage vegetation within the ROW and more herbicide could be 1855 applied across the landscape as compared to under the Proposed Action. As compared to the Proposed 1856 Action, an increase in use of mechanical equipment would occur to control vegetation which would cause 1857 greater disturbance to the vegetation and wildlife. In addition, the GIS Resource Mapper would not be 1858 used to assist with site-specific herbicide selection.

1859 **3.5** Air Quality

1860 The United States Clean Air Act (CAA), which was amended in 1990, requires states to implement and 1861 administer air pollution control programs, which contain, at a minimum, the requirements of the federal 1862 legislation. This generally includes the control of the emission of six criteria air pollutants above de 1863 *minimis* levels and the permitting of emission sources. The criteria pollutants are ozone (as total volatile 1864 organic compounds), carbon monoxide, particulate matter (PM_{10} ; particulate matter less than or equal to 1865 10 micrometers in diameter and PM_{2.5}: particulate matter less than or equal to 2.5 micrometers in 1866 diameter), sulfur oxides, nitrogen oxides, and lead. In addition, the CAA requires the control (above de 1867 minimis levels) of 189 air toxics (hazardous air pollutants), many of which are also volatile organic 1868 compounds, and the permitting of those emission sources. The ambient air quality in an area can be 1869 characterized in terms of whether it complies with the primary and secondary National Ambient Air 1870 Quality Standards (NAAQS). Stricter rules exist in areas that are not in compliance with NAAQS (non-1871 attainment areas). The CAA also includes a plan to eliminate the production of chlorofluorocarbons 1872 which are ozone-depleting compounds, as well as requirements for the handling and use of such 1873 chemicals.

1874 Section 176(c)(1) of the CAA requires federal agencies to ensure that their actions conform to applicable 1875 implementation plans for the achievement and maintenance of the NAAQS for criteria pollutants. To 1876 achieve conformity, a federal action must not contribute to new violations of standards for ambient air 1877 quality, increase the frequency or severity of existing violations, or delay timely attainment of standards 1878 in the area of concern (for example, a state or a smaller air quality region). Federal agencies prepare 1879 written Conformity Determinations for federal actions that are in or affect NAAQS nonattainment areas 1880 or maintenance areas when the total direct or indirect emissions of nonattainment pollutants (or their 1881 precursors in the case of ozone) exceed specified thresholds.

The ROI for air quality impacts is the 23 counties in Arkansas, 22 counties in Missouri, and 16 countiesin Oklahoma that contain Southwestern facilities.

1884 **3.5.1 Affected Environment**

1885 No Southwestern facilities are currently located in non-attainment areas in Arkansas, Missouri, or

1886 Oklahoma; and therefore, no written Conformity Determination is required for the Proposed Action.

1887 Southwestern's EMS establishes an Air Pollution Control Program to address the CAA requirements.

1888 The Order discusses Southwestern's emission sources at its facilities and its determination of need for

- 1889 permitting, monitoring, and reporting. Southwestern made the determination that based on current air
- 1890 laws and regulations, air permits are not required at its facilities.
- 1891 A summary of each state's (Arkansas, Missouri, and Oklahoma) air pollution control regulations, as they
- relate to current Southwestern operations, is provided below. In all states, the regulations and pollutant
- 1893 levels apply to each facility separately, not to Southwestern operations as a whole.
- 1894 The applicable emissions of concern and their respective regulatory levels for the state of Arkansas are
- 1895 presented in Table 3-4. The environmental impact of any proposed change would be considered trivial if
- 1896 the emission increase would be less than the *de minimis* levels presented.

1897 Table 3-4. *De Minimis* Changes of Emissions of Concern in Arkansas

Parameter of Concern	De Minimis Level Tons/year (megagrams/year)
Carbon monoxide	75
Nitrogen dioxides	40
Sulfur dioxides	40
VOC	40
Particulate matter	25
Direct PM _{2.5}	10
PM_{10}	15
Lead	0.5

1898 Source: Arkansas Pollution Control and Ecology Commission 2016

1899 PM_{10} particulate matter less than or equal to 10 micrometers in diameter

1900 $PM_{2.5}$ particulate matter less than or equal to 2.5 micrometers in diameter

1901 VOC volatile organic compound

1902 The applicable emissions of concern and their respective *de minimis* levels for the state of Missouri are 1903 presented in Table 3-5.

1904

Parameter of Concern	De Minimis Level Tons/year (megagrams/year)
Carbon monoxide	100
Nitrogen oxides	40
Sulfur dioxide	40
Particulate matter	
PM	25
PM _{2.5}	10
PM_{10}	15
Ozone	
VOC (ozone precursor)	40
Nitrogen oxides (ozone precursor)	40
Lead	0.6

1905 Table 3-5. *De Minimis* Levels of Emissions of Concern in Missouri

1906 Source: Missouri 2017

1907 PM particulate matter

1908 PM_{10} particulate matter less than or equal to 10 micrometers in diameter

1909 PM_{2.5} particulate matter less than or equal to 2.5 micrometers in diameter

1910 VOC volatile organic compound

1911 The applicable emissions of concern and their respective regulatory levels for the state of Oklahoma are

1912 presented in Table 3-6.

1913 Table 3-6. Regulatory Levels of Emissions of Concern in Oklahoma

	Parameter of Concern	De Minimis Level Tons/year (megagrams/year)		
	Each Criteria Pollutant ¹	5		
1914 1915	1 Criteria pollutants include: ozone, particulate matter (PM ₁₀ and PM _{2.5}), sulfur oxides, nitrogen oxides, carbon monoxide, and lead.			
1916 1917	Source: Oklahoma 2017 PM ₁₀ particulate matter less than or equal to 10 micrometers in diameter			

1917 PM₁₀ particulate matter less than or equal to 10 micrometers in diameter 1918 PM_{2.5} particulate matter less than or equal to 2.5 micrometers in diameter

1919 Southwestern has facilities within 23 counties in Arkansas, 22 counties in Missouri, and 16 counties in

1920 Oklahoma. All counties containing Southwestern facilities in all three states are in attainment for the six

1921 criteria pollutants. Southwestern has determined that the following potential air pollution sources exist at

1922 its facilities (Southwestern 2005):

- Particulate matter, sulfur oxides, nitrogen oxides, and other pollutants are emitted from emergency
 electrical generators, vehicles, and other fossil fuel-powered equipment used during O&M activities
 (such as trucks, tractors, cranes, backhoes, forklifts, chippers, mulchers, brush cutters, and mowers).
- Particulate matter (including fugitive dust) can be created during maintenance activities, driving over
 dirt roads, and during sandblasting (painting preparation).
- Volatile organic compounds and air toxics are released through maintenance activities including
 equipment cleaning and painting.

- 1930 Insulating oil was used in circuit breakers at substations as an insulate and as a coolant until 1990. By
 - 1931 2015, all the breakers had been changed to sulfur hexafluoride gas. Sulfur hexafluoride gas does not
 - 1932 deplete the ozone but it is an extreme greenhouse gas.

1933 **3.5.2 Environmental Consequences**

- 1934 Potential impacts to air quality are considered significant if the Proposed Action would:
- 1935 Increase ambient air pollution above any NAAQS;
- 1936 Contribute to an existing violation of any NAAQS; or
- 1937 Interfere with or delay timely attainment of NAAQS

1938 3.5.2.1 Proposed Action

1939 The environmental consequences from the Proposed Action would be minimal and would not cause

1940 regional changes to air quality. O&M activities are currently performed routinely and would continue

- 1941 under the Proposed Action. Details of O&M activities and the types of equipment used are shown in
- 1942 Table 2-1.
- 1943 The primary source of air emissions from O&M activities would be from the burning of fossil fuels in
- 1944 internal combustion engines. Gasoline or diesel engines would power numerous emission sources,
- 1945 including emergency generators, light duty four-wheel drive vehicles, all-terrain vehicles, trucks, tractors,
- specialized heavy equipment, and other equipment referenced in Table 2-1. The burning of fossil fuels in
- 1947 these engines would result in the emission of criteria pollutants, small amounts of toxic air contaminants,
- and greenhouse gases. The emissions would be short-term and would occur only during the time that the
- 1949 engines are in operation.
- 1950 Particulate matter and fugitive dust would be emitted from those activities that disturb the soil, such as
- 1951 from replacing poles, driving on dirt roads, and from other ground-disturbing activities referenced in1952 Table 2-1.
- 1953 Volatile organic compounds and air toxics would be released through maintenance activities including
- 1954 equipment cleaning and painting. Sulfur hexafluoride gas used in electrical equipment is an extreme
- 1955 greenhouse gas, but proper maintenance of equipment should eliminate leaks and the resulting release of 1956 the gas.
- 1957 O&M activities under the Proposed Action would be a continuation of existing O&M activities. No1958 increase in air emissions is anticipated and the Proposed Action would not impact regional air quality.
- 1959 Vegetation management includes manual control, which involves using hand tools and hand-operated
- 1960 power tools, such as chainsaws, to cut and clear vegetation. The vehicles required to reach the treatment
- area and the power tools that run on fossil fuels would emit criteria pollutants and greenhouse gases.
- 1962 Fugitive dust could be generated from disturbing the vegetation and land surface. The emissions would be
- 1963 the same as current conditions, be short-term, occur only during the time of the activity, and would not
- 1964 impact regional air quality.

- 1965 The vehicles and equipment used during mechanical treatment would emit criteria pollutants and
- 1966 greenhouse gases from their internal combustion engines. In addition, the chopping and mulching of
- 1967 existing vegetation could generate particulate matter and fugitive dust.
- 1968 Changing the process by which herbicides are selected would not change air emissions. Application of
- 1969 herbicides by vehicle-mounted mechanical sprayers would emit criteria pollutants and greenhouse gases
- 1970 from the internal combustion engines of the vehicles. The application of the herbicide from either vehicle-
- 1971 mounted sprayers or backpack sprayers could result in the drift of droplets of herbicide in a very localized
- area. Although emissions would not impact air quality the use of better formulated herbicides would
- 1973 increase the time between applications and would reduce air emissions from vehicles.

1974 Best Management Practices

- 1975 The following BMPs would be implemented to protect air quality:
- 1976 Perform recurring vehicle emission inspections and proper vehicle maintenance.
- 1977 Maintain emergency generators and comply with the appropriate state regulations.
- 1978 Do not apply herbicides if wind gusts exceed 10 miles per hour to minimize drift.
- Maintain circuit breakers and other equipment at substations to minimize leaks of sulfur hexafluoride
 gas.

1981 3.5.2.2 No Action Alternative

Under the No Action Alternative, Southwestern would continue its O&M activities and vegetation
management as it currently does. Impacts to air quality would not change from current conditions.
However, the time interval between herbicide applications may be shorter and therefore, air emissions
from vehicles could be greater as compared to the Proposed Action.

1986 **3.6 Geology and Soils**

1987 Geology is the study of the earth's physical structure and substance; in this PEA, geology includes the 1988 analysis of landforms and geologic hazards that are relevant to the Proposed Action. Soil is the upper 1989 layer of earth in which plants grow, a black or dark brown material typically consisting of a mixture of 1990 organic remains, clay, and rock particles. Soil is included for prime farmland considerations and its 1991 general composition and texture as it relates to the Proposed Action. The ROI for geology and soils 1992 includes the land where proposed activities would take place (i.e., Southwestern facilities) and areas that 1993 are immediately adjacent to the facilities that could be affected by herbicide overspray as well as land 1994 within drainage pathways that could be affected by runoff.

1995

1996 **3.6.1 Affected Environment**

1997 **3.6.1.1 Geology**

1998 The land forms of the region are extremely diverse consisting of broad valleys and plateaus, and the 1999 Ozark and Boston Mountain ranges. These mountains have a few peaks extending above 2,600 feet. On 2000 the east side of the mountain region is the broad delta region of the Mississippi River. The service area 2001 begins at the Red River on the Oklahoma-Texas border, then crosses the rolling plains of south central 2002 Oklahoma into the rolling Cookson Hills of the eastern portion of the state along the foothills of the 2003 Boston Mountains in western Arkansas, crossing that minor range into the Ozarks in northern Arkansas 2004 and southern Missouri through the plateaus and rolling hills adjacent to the Mississippi River and 2005 terminating in the Mississippi delta region of southeast Missouri. At elevations between 250 and 2,600 2006 feet, this region is frequently traversed by streams and rivers running generally northwest to southeast, 2007 which empty into the Arkansas and Mississippi rivers. Southwestern's facilities are located in four 2008 distinct physiographic provinces: the Central Lowland, Ozark Plateau, Ouachita, and Mississippi Alluvial 2009 Plain Physiographic Provinces.

2010 The facilities in southern Oklahoma are located within the Central Lowlands Physiographic Province,

2011 characterized by numerous wide, flat valleys incised by rivers. The surficial geologic deposits are

2012 predominantly bedrock formations consisting of shale, and shaly sandstone, and to a lesser extent non-

2013 karst limestone.

2014 The majority of the facilities in southwest Missouri and northern Arkansas are situated within the Ozark 2015 Plateau Physiographic Region, characterized by a low dome dissected with deep valley walls and narrow 2016 floors. The Ozark Plateau is characterized by an extremely thick sequence of carbonate (limestone and 2017 dolomite) bedrock formations. Generally there exists a thick clay rich residual soil overlying the bedrock. 2018 The Ozark Plateau geology is characterized by karst terrain, which develops as dissolution features within 2019 the carbonate rocks. Sinkholes, caves, and springs are common features of karst terrain. Numerous 2020 springs and caves in the area are used for recreation. Figures 3-9 and 3-10 show the numerous karst 2021 features of this area. Figure 3-10 also shows the density of caves throughout Missouri. A particular hazard 2022 associated with sinkholes in this area has to do with the fact that the carbonate bedrock is not directly 2023 exposed at the surface, but is covered by a variable thickness of clay, silt and sand. A thicker clay-rich 2024 overburden may bridge subsurface cavities for long periods of time. Eventually a catastrophic collapse of 2025 the overburden into the subsurface cavity may occur, forming a cover-collapse sinkhole. Typically, cover-2026 collapse sinkholes form steep-sided cylindrical openings. A cover-collapse sinkhole usually develops in a 2027 short period of time with no prior indication of its pending existence, thus having the potential to cause

2028 damage to property and structures (Arkansas Geological Survey 2018a).

2029 Facilities located in eastern Oklahoma and west-central Arkansas are located within the Ouachita

2030 Physiographic Province, characterized by sharp ridges, mostly east-west trending, and often buckled and

2031 distorted, separated by narrow to broad valleys. Surface rocks from this region are mostly shales,

2032 sandstone, novaculite, chert, and minor limestone, generally underlain by weathered shale.

2033





- 2038 Facilities located in southeastern Missouri and northeastern Arkansas are situated in the Mississippi 2039 Alluvial Plain Province, a relatively flat area, which is well drained and contains excellent farmland. The 2040 surficial deposits in this region consist of unconsolidated alluvial deposits of clay, silt, sand, and gravel. 2041 The New Madrid Seismic Zone is an active series of faults, running approximately 150 miles from 2042 Arkansas into Missouri and Illinois. Southwestern's facilities located in southeastern Missouri and 2043 northwestern Arkansas are located in the fault zone. The New Madrid zone averages about 20 minor 2044 events per month, registering at least a 1.0 on the Richter scale. About once per year, there occurs a 2045 tremor up to 3.0, and about once every ten years, there is a quake of 5.0 or greater. In 1811–1812, this 2046 zone was responsible for the most violent series of earthquakes in the history of the continental United 2047 States (though there have been larger individual earthquakes). Scientists predict that another large 2048 earthquake is due which could inflict great damage to Arkansas as well as up to half the nation
- 2049 (Missouri Department of Natural Resources 2018, Arkansas Geological Survey 2018b).

2050 **3.6.1.2 Soils**

2051 The soil in which vegetation grows is a complex system of physical and biological elements and

2052 processes. It is essential for plant life, and has a major role in defining local ecosystems. It is vital for

2053 crop, forage, and timber production. There are a total of 11 major soil categories (known as *soil orders*);

2054 five of these occur within Southwestern's service area (USDA 1998), as described below.

- Alfisols Alfisols form in semi-arid to humid areas, typically under a hardwood forest cover. They
 have a clay-enriched subsoil and relatively high native fertility. They are productive for both
 commercial timber and agriculture. These soils occur mainly in south and central Oklahoma (Central
 Lowland Physiographic Province) and in the Ozark Plateau Physiographic Province in southeastern
 Missouri and northeastern Arkansas.
- Entisols These soils are of relatively recent origin, and characterized by great diversity. These soils
 occur along the major river valleys in all three states.
- Mollisols Mollisols form in semi-arid to semi-humid areas, typically under a grassland cover, and are important, productive agricultural soils. The parent material is typically base-rich and calcareous and includes limestone, loess, or wind-blown sand. Mollisols occur in south and central Oklahoma (Central Lowland Physiographic Province) and south/central Missouri.
- Ultisols Ultisols, commonly known as red clay soils, are seen as the ultimate product of continuous
 weathering of minerals in a humid, temperate climate. These soils occur in eastern Oklahoma in the
 Ouachita Physiographic Province and the Ozark Plateau Physiographic Region in southeastern
 Missouri and northeastern Arkansas.
- Inceptisols These soils form quickly through alteration of parent material. They have no
 accumulation of clays, iron oxide, aluminium oxide, or organic matter. Inceptisols are found in east
 central Oklahoma (Central Lowland Physiographic Province) and southeastern Missouri.
- 2073 Prime farmland includes soil types of significant agricultural value and is specifically regulated by the
- 2074 Natural Resource Conservation Service of each state. Prime farmland is defined by the U.S. Department
- 2075 of Agriculture (USDA) as the land best suited for producing food, feed, forage, fiber, and oilseed crops.
- 2076 The soil quality, growing season, and moisture supply within prime farmland produce sustained high
2077 yields of crops when treated and managed with acceptable farming methods. Prime farmland may be 2078 cropland, pasture, woodland, or any lands other than urban areas, developed lands, or open water.

2079 Generally, prime farmland can be delineated using the local soil survey. Previously, delineation of prime

2080 farmland with respect to the substation locations was conducted by comparing the soil types adjoining the

- substation locations to a listing of soil types classified as prime farmland supplied by the local USDA,
- 2082 Natural Resource Conservation Service office. Several of the substations are upgradient or adjacent to
- 2083 prime farmland designated areas. The majority of the substations that adjoin prime farmland are located
- within the Mississippi Alluvial Plain and the Central Lowlands Physiographic Provinces (Southwestern
- 2085 1995b). Likewise, for the ROW, most farmland identified by Southwestern is located along lines 3002,
 2086 3007, 3010, 3011, 3014, 3015, and 3320, located within the Mississippi Alluvial Plain.
- Sandy soils, as identified by Southwestern, generally occur in areas of farmland and have been noted as
 occurring along lines 3011, 3014, 3015, and 3320. Sandy soils are an important consideration for
 herbicide application, as they are very permeable and promote herbicide migration to groundwater.

2090 3.6.2 Environmental Consequences

- 2091 Potential impacts to geology or soils are considered significant if the Proposed Action would:
- 2092 Expose people or structures to major geologic hazards
- 2093 Cause substantial erosion or siltation
- 2094 Cause substantial land sliding

2095 **3.6.2.1** Proposed Action

2096 Area geology considerations include karst terrain and faulting. Karst terrain would be unlikely to be 2097 impacted by O&M activities. Undetected sinkholes could potentially present a health and safety risk to 2098 workers. Likewise, the New Madrid Seismic Zone could be hazardous if it became active while workers 2099 were in the area. Karst terrain could serve as conduits for herbicide applications, transporting the 2100 herbicide to unwanted areas or water sources. Because of this, herbicide application is not allowed within 2101 15 feet of a karst feature (cave, sinkhole, spring). The GIS Resource Mapper that Southwestern developed 2102 in conjunction with the Proposed Action would be used to identify the locations of all karst features. In 2103 addition, workers are trained on identification of karst terrain. Likewise, the New Madrid Seismic Zone 2104 could become a hazard if it became active while herbicide application workers were in the area.

2105 O&M activities would be expected to produce impacts to soil similar to those from construction activities, 2106 such as soil erosion and compaction. Soil compaction and erosion would be very localized and short-term. 2107 Erosion would occur only in isolated incidents under certain conditions, such as crossing small stream 2108 banks with heavy equipment or on areas with steep slopes without much vegetation. If an O&M activity 2109 would disturb 1 acre or more of soil, Southwestern would obtain a storm water construction permit from 2110 the state environmental agency. Restoration would occur in compliance with the permit to stabilize soil after completion of any O&M activities that disturb the soil. The 100-foot ROW buffer has been out of 2111 2112 farmland production for years, so impacts to farmland are not expected.

2113 Vegetation removal (by manual and mechanical means) would have the potential to impact soil resources 2114 by increasing the amount of exposure of susceptible soils to water or wind erosion at the land surface. 2115 Manual impacts on soil include disturbance of the uppermost soil layer in only a very small area, not 2116 enough to cause substantial impacts on the soil as a resource. Mechanical techniques have the greatest 2117 impacts on soils. Ground-disturbing heavy equipment can expose soils, compact soils, and disturb the 2118 physical arrangement of soils. The erosion potential from vegetation removal is expected to be lessened 2119 due to the humid climate and the nature of the soils encountered (slight erosion hazard potential). 2120 Additionally, as vegetation is removed, it would be dispersed across the ROW as wood chips (mechanical 2121 vegetation removal) or as scattered limbs/logs and stumps cut flush with the ground surface (manual 2122 methods). The application of this debris to the cleared land surface would assist in mitigating impacts to 2123 soil resources by intercepting rainfall, limiting impact erosion, and slowing surface runoff; and combined 2124 with existing grasses in the ROW (which are not removed as a part of vegetation management), further 2125 limits erosion.

- 2126 When herbicides are used, some of the chemical can end up in the soil. Once in the soil, herbicides can 2127 reduce soil microbes' numbers and/or change species composition. This reduction and change can affect 2128 soil productivity, including the ability of soils to support certain vegetation. Many herbicides, such as 2129 2,4-D and glyphosate break down quickly and have very temporary effects on soil microbes. Herbicides 2130 that do not break down relatively quickly (e.g., tebuthiuron) may have longer-lasting effects. For instance, 2131 if an area is re-treated often and regularly, herbicides may build up in the soils and can reduce soil 2132 productivity before breaking down. The potential effects on soil microbes can also depend on the 2133 application technique. Spot and localized applications, such as those proposed under the Proposed Action, 2134 affect much smaller areas and microbes might quickly recolonize affected soils from adjacent, unaffected 2135 areas. The effect on soil microbes also depends on the existing vegetation, climatic factors, and soil 2136 properties. ROWs would be treated with relatively small amounts of herbicide with long-time spans 2137 between treatments, so there would be little potential for impacts on soil microbes. At substations, the soil is treated intentionally to keep plants from growing, and the regular use of herbicides would affect the 2138 2139 microbes within the substation. If herbicides were to migrate offsite into adjacent soils, microbes (and 2140 thus soil productivity) could be affected.
- 2141 All of the herbicides meeting the herbicide selection criteria could impact prime farmland through rainfall 2142 runoff of treated areas, where such prime farmland exists. Herbicides carried offsite by rainfall runoff 2143 would primarily effect vegetation and soils within established drainage pathways. At substations, 2144 continuous use of herbicides meeting the herbicide selection criteria could impact prime farmland in the 2145 short-term by affecting vegetation with roots along the drainage pathway, and in the long-term by either 2146 preventing seed germination, or by causing soil sterilization within the drainage pathway. To address 2147 potential impacts to prime farmland, the USDA, Natural Resource Conservation Services from each state, 2148 has been consulted during preparation of this EA regarding recommended techniques to reduce soil 2149 erosion and migration of herbicides by rainfall runoff at substations adjoining prime farmland prior to 2150 herbicide application. Additionally, if sandy soil is present, an herbicide that has permeable soil 2151 restrictions would not be permitted. The GIS Resource Mapper would be used to identify sandy soil.

In accordance with the Office of Corporate Facilities Maintenance Standards, *Vegetation Maintenance Program* (MA-23, Rev. 2), Southwestern would contact the landowner to request permission to apply
 herbicides and would identify the herbicides and application methods to be used and any restrictions that

- 2155 would occur on the property. For example, some herbicides have restrictions related to farming.
- 2156 Southwestern generally controls vegetation in forest and overgrown shrubland. Areas used for pastureland
- and farming require little to no vegetation control. Since Southwestern does not need to control much
- 2158 vegetation in these areas, these restrictions would usually not be a factor for the program. However, there
- could be cases where the landowner or tenant would want to use the treated ROW for hay, pasture or
- 2160 crops. Copies of the farming restrictions and SDSs would be provided to landowners upon request.

2161 Best Management Practices

- 2162 The following BMPs would be apply to geology and soils:
- Identify prime farmland through the USDA, Natural Resources Conservation Service at https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/HomePage.htm.
- 2165 Do not apply herbicides within 15 feet of karst terrain.
- 2166 Follow county restrictions for herbicide usage near agricultural lands.
- If sandy soil is present, do not use an herbicide that has permeable soil restrictions.
- In accordance with the Office of Corporate Facilities Maintenance Standards, *Vegetation Maintenance Program* (MA-23, Rev. 2), contact the landowner to request permission to apply
 herbicides and identify the herbicides and application methods to be used and any restrictions that
 would occur on the property.
- As vegetation is removed, disperse it across the ROW as wood chips (mechanical vegetation removal) or as scattered limbs/logs and stumps cut flush with the ground surface (manual methods). The application of this debris to the cleared land surface would assist in mitigating impacts to soil resources by intercepting rainfall, limiting impact erosion, and slowing surface runoff; and combined with existing grasses in the ROW (which are not removed as a part of vegetation management activities), further limits erosion.
- If an O&M activity would disturb 1 acre or more of soil, obtain a storm water construction permit
 from the state environmental agency. Restoration would occur in compliance with the permit to
 stabilize soil after completion of any O&M activities that disturb the soil.

2181 3.6.2.2 No Action Alternative

- 2182 Under the No Action Alternative, Southwestern's guidelines and programs that are in place to be
- 2183 protective of soil and geologic resources (Office of Corporate Facilities Maintenance Standards,
- 2184 *Vegetation Maintenance Program* (MA-23, Rev. 2) would remain in place and continue to be reviewed
- and updated on a regular basis.
- 2186 However, Southwestern would not have the GIS Resource Mapper and the flexibility to use better
- 2187 formulated herbicides that are geographically targeted. These restrictions would lead to shorter times
- 2188 between herbicide treatments, and would require greater use of large machinery, potentially causing more
- 2189 disturbance as compared to the Proposed Action.

2190 **3.7 Cultural Resources**

Cultural resources encompass archaeological, traditional (or ethnographic), and built environment resources, including but not necessarily limited to buildings, structures, objects, districts, and sites and include sites of important events, traditional cultural places and sacred sites, and places associated with an important person. The Proposed Action areas are diverse in cultural resources that could be affected without adequate protections in place. The ROI for cultural resources includes the land where proposed activities would take place (i.e., Southwestern facilities).

- Section 106 of the NHPA, as amended [16 USC 470 et seq.], requires federal agencies to take into
 account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties. Federal agencies must meet their Section
- 2199 106 responsibilities as set forth in the regulations at 36 CFR Part 800. These regulations require federal
- agencies to conduct the necessary studies or consultations to identify cultural resources that may be
- 2201 affected by an undertaking, evaluate cultural resources that may be affected to determine if they are
- 2202 eligible for the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP), and to assess whether such historic
- 2203 properties would be adversely affected. Other federal legislation pertinent to cultural resources includes
- the Archaeological Resources Protection Act (ARPA) as amended [16 USC 470aa-mm]; the American
- 2205 Antiquities Act [16 USC 431-433]; EO 11593, Protection and Enhancement of the Cultural Environment;
- EO 13007, *Indian Sacred Sites*; and the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act
- 2207 (NAGPRA) (25 USC 300).

2208 3.7.1 Affected Environment

2209 Cultural resources within the Proposed Action areas are defined as historic properties that are 2210 archaeological sites or historic structures. Historic structures are those structures that were constructed at 2211 least 50 years ago. Archaeological sites in the Proposed Action areas date from the Prehistoric period 2212 (12,000 B.C to 1500A.D.), Protohistoric (1500 AD through 1700 AD), and Historic (1700 AD through 2213 1950 AD). The basic sequence of prehistoric stages used for the southwest consists of Paleoindian 2214 (ca. 13,500–11,500 B.P.), Archaic (ca. 111,500–3000 B.P.), Woodland (ca. 3000–1000 B.P.), and 2215 Mississippian (ca. 1000–400 B.P.), with each of these further subdivided into Early, Middle, and Late 2216 periods (Nowak et al. 2018). Few sites are represented during the Early and Middle Paleoindian; however, 2217 during the Late Paleoindian as populations grew, more sites became evident (Nowak et al. 2018). 2218 Aboriginal groups of the period were likely small, mobile bands dependent upon a hunting and gathering 2219 economy (Buchner et al. 2016). During the Archaic period, greater sedentism occurred and husbanded 2220 crops were also being domesticated (Nowak et al. 2018). Both earthen and shell mounds appear in the 2221 archaeological record in the southeast; at this time there is evidence that the substantial "winter" villages, 2222 typically located on major streams, were actually occupied year round (Buchner et al. 2016). Late 2223 Woodland sites were widespread with high numbers occurring along stream valleys and characterized by 2224 Scallorn arrowpoints, cordmarked pottery, and dart points (Nowak et al. 2018). Mississippian sites tended 2225 to be found along the major river valleys with soils suitable for raising crops such as maize and squash 2226 (Nowak et al. 2018).

- 2227 Most of southwest Missouri along with northern Arkansas and portions of Kansas and Oklahoma were 2228 Osage lands prior to the early nineteenth century. Prior to this, it is uncertain which Native American
- group(s) claimed this area as their homeland (Nowak et al. 2018). Except for a relatively narrow band of

- 2230 land along the western border of Missouri south of present-day Kansas City, the Osage ceded all of
- 2231 Missouri to the United States in the Treaty of 1808 (Kansas Historical Society 2018). Land between the
- 2232 eastern edge of present-day Missouri, and much of the central part of present-day Kansas, south of the
- 2233 Kansas and Smoky Hill rivers, and the southern border of present-day Kansas and the Canadian River in
- present-day Oklahoma, were ceded in sections between 1825 and 1839 (Kansas Historical Society 2018).
- 2235 Oklahoma was acquired by the United States as part of the 1803 Jefferson Purchase, and was referred to
- as "Indian Territory" throughout most of the nineteenth century (Buchner et al. 2016). In 1820 under the
- 2237 Missouri Compromise, Missouri became a state (Missouri Digital Heritage 2018). The departure of
- 2238 Native American peoples from southwest Missouri was followed by a large influx of American settlers
- between 1830 and the Civil War (Nowak et al. 2018).
- 2240 Economic depression and major droughts placed tremendous stress on the nation and the six states that
- 2241 Southwestern was to later service. President Roosevelt's New Deal led to numerous programs that put
- 2242 people back to work and gave attention to infrastructure problems within rural areas. The New Deal
- 2243 programs led to the federal government having stronger control over much of the infrastructure of the
- 2244 country, including the control of agricultural production, the regulation of investment companies, the
- control of major waterways, and the control of electrical power generation (Cooper et al. 2006). USACE
- 2246 was tasked to study major river valleys for opportunities to place dams, generate hydropower, control
- flooding, and provide recreational areas. Southwestern was established in 1943 by the Secretary of the
 Interior as a federal agency that today operates under the requirements of Section 5 of the Flood Control
- Act of 1944. In 1977, after many years within the Department of Interior, Southwestern was transferred to
- 2250 the DOE, a newly created department at that time. By about the 1970s the majority if the transmission
- system and facilities were constructed and the agency entered a more maintenance and upgrade mode
- 2252 (Cooper et al. 2006). A large portion of the existing Southwestern transmission system was built more
- than 50 years ago and is subject to evaluation.
- Southwestern has conducted numerous small-scale cultural resources surveys for specific projects within
 the Proposed Action areas. Cultural resources found throughout the Proposed Action areas vary from
 prehistoric sites to historic sites. The types of recorded sites include:
- 2257 Prehistoric habitation/village
- 2258 Historic road/building/school/monument
- 2259 Historic trash dump
- Example 2260 Farmstead
- 2261 Lithic (stone) scatter (surface deposits)
- Burial ground
- 2263 Mounds
- Cultural material scatter (pottery, bone, camp items, bone fish hooks, arrow points, bison bone
 farming tools)
- 2266 Lithic (stone) tool scatter
- 2267 Rock shelter with petroglyph
- Bones of a mastodon (ice age, extinct 11,000 years ago) found in Arkansas
- 2269 Table 3-7 summarizes historically listed sites near/on the Proposed Action areas.

Resource	Approximate Location to Proposed Action areas	Historic Significance	
Leatherwood Historic District, Carroll County, Arkansas	Line 3008 and ROW crosses through approximately 20- 24 structures.	Lake Leatherwood is a spring-fed lake defined by one of the largest hand-cut limestone dams in the nation. The Civilian Conservation Corps constructed the 1,600-acre plus municipal park and facilities between 1933-1942. The site was listed on the NRHP in 1998 (Department of Arkansas Heritage 2017).	
Hemingway-Pfeifer House near Piggott, Clay County, Arkansas	Located within 0.75 mile of line 3320	The barn-studio is associated with Ernest Hemingway and the family home of his second wife, Pauline Pfeiffer. Both the home and the barn studio were listed on the NRHP in 1982 (ASU 2018).	
Buford School, Baxter County, Arkansas	Line 3001	The school is a single-story Plain Traditional structure with Craftsman touches, built in 1936 (Baxter County Government 2018). The building w listed on the NRHP in 1992.	
Mountain View School, Pope County, Arkansas	Line 3001	The school is a single-story masonry structure, built out of fieldstone and covered by a hip roof in 1926. The entrance is sheltered under a project gable- roofed porch with square columns set on stone piers (Wikipedia 2018). The building was listed on the NRHP in 1992.	
Pearson Creek Archaeological District, Greene County, Missouri	Partially in the Springfield ROW. (exact location not disclosed)	Prehistoric habitation	
George Washington Carver National Monument, Newton County, Missouri	Approximately 0.25 mile from the ROW along line 3009	The monument was dedicated in 1943 as the site of George Washington Carver's childhood home (NPS 2018). The monument was listed on the NRHP in 1966.	
Lilbourn Mounds, New Madrid County, Missouri	Under the Southwestern lines (exact locations not disclosed)	Prehistoric mound and village	
Nichols Park, Okmulgee, County, Oklahoma	Approximately 0.25 mile from the ROW along line 3106	The Civilian Conservation Corps and the National Park Service developed the park between 1938 and 1941 (The Living New Deal 2018). The park was listed on the NRHP in 2006.	
Overstreet House, LeFlore County, Oklahoma	Near Cowlington, Oklahoma	The house was built in 1891 by T.G. Overstreet and was listed on the NRHP in 1980 (Oklahoma Historical Society 2018a).	
Honey Springs Battlefield, Muskogee County, Oklahoma	Parallels the lines and runs up to the ROW along line 3005, structures 525-529	Site of the largest hostile encounter in Indian Territory during the Civil War – 1863. The Honey Springs Battlefield was designated a National Historic Landmark in 2013 (Oklahoma Historical Society 2018b).	

2270 Table 3-7. NRHP-listed Cultural Resources near or on Southwestern Property

Resource	Approximate Location to Proposed Action areas	Historic Significance
Sequoyah's Cabin, Sequoyah County, Oklahoma	Approximately 0.25 mile from the ROW along line 3005	The one-room log cabin was built in 1829. Sequoyah is a Native American scholar and inventor of the Cherokee Alphabet. It was listed on the NRHP and was designated as a National Literary Landmark in 2006 (Visit Cherokee Nation 2018).
Oktaha School, Muskogee County, Oklahoma	Approximately 0.25 mile from the ROW along line 3005	The Oktaha School, a two-story gray sandstone building, was built in 1909 and listed on the NRHP in 1978 (NPS 1978).

2271 NRHP National Register of Historic Places 2272 right-of-way

ROW

2273 Southwestern also has certain obligations under the NHPA, as amended (16 USC 470f). In particular,

2274 Sections 106 and 110 of the NHPA identify compliance items for federal agencies. Section 110 of the

2275 NHPA sets forth both general and specific responsibilities for the identification, evaluation, registration,

2276 and protection of historic properties under the control or ownership of federal agencies. That section also

2277 calls for federal agencies to integrate historic preservation planning into their overall agency planning.

2278 Southwestern has conducted evaluations of nearly every facility where the land is owned in-fee. In total,

2279 23 facilities in Arkansas, 15 in Missouri, and 21 in Oklahoma have been evaluated. None were

2280 determined NRHP eligible and only two sites were recommended for archeological monitoring during

2281 deep disturbances.

2282 3.7.2 Environmental Consequences

- 2283 Potential impacts to historic properties and/or archaeological resources are considered significant if the 2284 Proposed Action would:
- 2285 Physically destroy, damage, or alter all or part of the property;
- 2286 Physically destroy, damage, alter or remove items from archaeological contexts without a proper 2287 mitigation plan;
- 2288 Isolate the property from or alter the character of the property's setting when that character 2289 contributes to the property's qualification for the NRHP;
- 2290 Introduce visual, audible, or atmospheric elements that are out of character with the property or alter 2291 its setting;
- 2292 Neglect a property resulting in its deterioration or destruction; or
- 2293 Transfer, lease, or sell the property without a proper preservation plan. н.

3.7.2.1 2294 **Proposed Action**

2295 Conducting O&M activities at Southwestern facilities and managing vegetation along the ROWs may

2296 adversely affect cultural resources. Short-term, direct impacts to cultural resources may occur from

- 2297 surface and subsurface disturbance during activities including pole replacement, road maintenance, or
- 2298 culvert replacement. Subsurface resources may be crushed by vehicles and equipment traversing the

ROW areas; however, Southwestern has used similar routes for conducting O&M activities for years so
the potential for damage to subsurface resources is minimal. Removal of vegetation may expose cultural
resource areas or provide accessibility to yet unidentified resources and provide the potential for

2302 vandalism. Herbicides, themselves, would not impact cultural resources.

2303 However, the effects from the above activities are expected to be avoided and/or minimized through the 2304 implementation of Southwestern's proactive and effective cultural resources program. This program 2305 covers the activities under this Proposed Action through the application of three PAs with each state 2306 SHPO and the ACHP. Southwestern is currently working with the SHPOs from the three states to develop 2307 one unified multi-state PA. For the new multi-state PA, Southwestern has invited the tribes and federal 2308 agencies who have management responsibilities on lands where SWPA has been permitted to use U.S.-2309 owned lands, including the USFS and USACE to be concurring parties. The PA for Oklahoma also 2310 includes the OAS. The PA applies to activities along the ROW, transmission line easements, substations, 2311 communication sites, maintenance facilities, and ancillary features, but does not apply to undertakings 2312 sponsored by other agencies within these areas nor do they cover undertakings subject to Section 106 2313 review such as new construction. Southwestern, in consultation with the three state SHPOs, OAS, and 2314 tribes, has identified those undertakings with little or no potential to affect historic properties which will 2315 require no further consultation. In addition, the PA provides a list of maintenance activities that will 2316 receive Section 106 review at the discretion of Southwestern. These maintenance, maintenance-related 2317 construction, engineering, and operations activities are relatively small-scale projects that are routine, 2318 infrequent, and are generally conducted at locations that have been previously disturbed or maintained in 2319 the same or similar fashion since the establishment of the Southwestern transmission system. The purpose 2320 of the case-by-case discretionary decision-making process is to identify those activities that may impact 2321 less disturbed areas and to initiate the Section 106 review. Any O&M or vegetation management activities 2322 (PEA undertakings) not included in the current or proposed PA(s) and any construction activities would 2323 receive a separate Section 106 consultation. Southwestern uses BMPs to protect previously unknown 2324 historic properties which include properly training employees for increased awareness of resources, and 2325 the cessation of work should cultural resources or human or associated funerary items be uncovered.

The PA ensures that all Southwestern and contract cultural resources staff conducting studies associated with these projects must meet the Secretary of the Interior Qualification Standards and must have all of the appropriate federal and state permits. In addition, the PA requires an annual report that summarizes the historic preservation training program, any personnel changes, changes to real estate, and activities

that underwent the discretionary decision-making process.

2331 Therefore, potential adverse impacts to cultural resources associated with the Proposed Action would be

avoided and minimized by the implementation of the PA and the Section 106 consultation process and

2333 impacts to cultural resources would be considered less than significant.

2334

2335 Best Management Practices

- 2336 The following BMPs would be implemented to protect cultural resources:
- In the event cultural materials are encountered, immediately halt work in the area of the find until the
 material can be evaluated by a qualified cultural resource specialist for NRHP eligibility.
- If previous unknown cultural materials are discovered, implement 36 CFR 800.13 as appropriate, as
 described in the PA executed between Southwestern, each individual state SHPO, and the ACHP.

2341 3.7.2.2 No Action Alternative

2342 Under the No Action Alternative, Southwestern would continue to conduct O&M activities and their

2343 current vegetation management program. Potential impacts to cultural resources from O&M and

vegetation management activities would be the similar as those described above for the Proposed Action.

However, more frequent maintenance could increase the likelihood of inadvertent effects to cultural

resources along the ROW.

2347 **3.8 Environmental Justice**

EO 12898, *Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations*, requires all federal agencies to incorporate environmental justice into their missions by identifying and addressing disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects of their programs and policies on minorities and low-income populations and communities. The required analysis involves screening the Proposed Action area to determine if environmental justice populations exist.

The ROI for environmental justice impacts includes all census tracts that are crossed by SWPA facilities. If a minority or low-income population exists, the analysis must determine whether any impacts would be

2356 significant, and if they would disproportionately affect any environmental justice population.

2357 3.8.1 Affected Environment

CEQ guidance (1997) suggests that an environmental justice population may be identified if "the minority population percentage of the affected area exceeds 50 percent." Minority populations are defined as

2359 population percentage of the affected area exceeds 50 percent. Minority populations are defined as

2360 "individual(s) who are members of the following population groups: American Indian or Alaskan Native;

Asian or Pacific Islander; Black, not of Hispanic Origin; or Hispanic" (ibid). It is important to note that

- the "some other race" category consists of all single race populations other than "White," "Black or
- African American," "American Indian or Alaska Native," "Asian," and "Native Hawaiian or Other
 Pacific Islander" race categories. This category comprises write-in entries, and could include Hispanic or
- 2364 Facture Islander face categories. This category comprises write-in entries, and cou 2365 Latino populations if the respondent considered this to be their race.
- The CEQ defines low-income populations based on an annual statistical poverty threshold. In identifying low-income populations, poverty thresholds do not vary geographically and are identical across the
- 2368 United States. In 2016, the poverty threshold for an individual living alone was \$12,228. For a family of
- four (two adults and two children), the poverty threshold was \$24,339. If the income for a family of four

- 2370 was below \$24,339, then each person in the household was considered to be below the poverty level
- 2371 (U.S. Census 2016a).

2372 SWPA facilities are located within 23 counties in Arkansas; 22 counties in Missouri; and 16 counties in

2373 Oklahoma. The facilities are mostly located in sparse, unpopulated areas. The race composition in 2016 of

- the states, counties, and the specific census tracts crossed by the Southwestern facilities was determined
- from the U.S. Census Bureau 2012-2016 American Community Survey Demographic and Housing
- Estimates (U.S. Census 2016b). The census tracts are composed of a largely white population. One
- 2377 specific census tract, Census Tract 7807 in Scott County, Missouri, has a minority population of 52.8
- 2378 percent Black or African American. A substation and 1.5 miles of transmission line are located in Census
- 2379 Tract 7807, on the western side of Sikeston, Missouri near the Sikeston Power Plant.
- 2380 Based on review of the U.S. Census Bureau, 2012-2016 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates
- of poverty status in the past 12 months (2016), several of the counties in all three states have a greater
- 2382 percentage of residents below the poverty level than the overall statewide percentages (13 counties in
- Arkansas, 18 counties in Missouri, and 15 counties in Oklahoma) (U.S. Census 2016c). The census tracts
- with the highest percentages of residents below the poverty level are in Independence and Izard counties
- 2385 in Arkansas; in Dunklin, Greene, Henry, New Madrid, and Ripley counties in Missouri; and in Okmulgee,
- 2386 Sequoyah, and Tulsa counties in Oklahoma.

2387 3.8.2 Environmental Consequences

Potential environmental justice impacts are considered significant if the Proposed Action would causedisproportionate adverse effects on low-income and/or minority populations.

2390 3.8.2.1 Proposed Action

As noted in Section 3.8.1, one specific census tract, Census Tract 7807 in Scott County, Missouri, has a
minority population of 52.8 percent Black or African American. A substation and 1.5 miles of
transmission line are located in Census Tract 7807, on the western side of Sikeston, Missouri near the
Sikeston Power Plant. The substation is located about 0.7 mile from the nearest residential area. As a
comparison, the substations in Butler and Stoddard counties (non-minority populations) are much closer
to residences, less than 0.1 mile. The Proposed Action would not cause disproportionate impacts to
minority populations.

2398 Several of the counties in all three states have a greater percentage of residents below the poverty level 2399 than the overall statewide percentages (13 counties in Arkansas, 18 counties in Missouri, and 15 counties 2400 in Oklahoma). The census tracts with the highest percentages of residents below the poverty level are in 2401 Independence and Izard counties in Arkansas; in Dunklin, Greene, Henry, New Madrid, and Ripley 2402 counties in Missouri; and in Okmulgee, Sequoyah, and Tulsa counties in Oklahoma. As shown in Table 2403 3-2 in the Land Use section, Southwestern facilities are spread out throughout the counties. For example, Independence County in Arkansas has 36 miles of transmission lines, of which only 2 miles are located in 2404 2405 Census Tract 4906 which has the highest percentage of residents below the poverty threshold. In Izard 2406 County, Arkansas, 8 miles of transmission line pass through Census Tract 9601, including 0.5 mile near 2407 the residential area in Horseshoe Bend. In Missouri, New Madrid County has the greatest amount of 2408 transmission line at 67 miles. However, the miles of line are spread out over 5 census tracts. Census Tract

- 2409 9603 which has the highest poverty level contains 5 miles of transmission line that do not pass near any
- 2410 residences. This tract also contains a substation and communication tower; however, they are surrounded
- 2411 by vacant land. In Oklahoma, Sequoyah County contains the second greatest amount of transmission line
- 2412 at 64 miles, spread over 7 census tracts. Approximately 7.4 miles pass through Census Tract 301.03
- 2413 which has the highest poverty rate for the census tracts crossed in this county.
- 2414 Because Southwestern facilities are spread throughout a large geographic area, impacts of the Proposed
- 2415 Action are dispersed. Although much of the Proposed Action area contains census tracts with greater
- 2416 percentage of residents below the poverty level than the overall statewide percentages, these areas would
- 2417 not experience disproportionate impacts when compared to census tracts with lower poverty rates. The
- 2418 Proposed Action would ensure continued maintenance and safe operation of the transmission lines and
- 2419 delivery of reliable power to not-for-profit municipal utilities, rural electric populations, and military
- 2420 installations within Southwestern's service area. Southwestern has over one hundred such "preference"
- 2421 customers, and these entities ultimately serve over 8 million end-use customers.

2422 3.8.2.2 No Action Alternative

2423 Under the No Action Alternative, potential impacts would be similar to those under the Proposed Action.
2424 As with the Proposed Action, no disproportionate impacts to minority or low-income populations are
2425 expected.

2426 **3.9 Noise**

Noise is unwanted sound that interferes with normal activities or otherwise diminishes the quality of the
environment. Noise may be intermittent or continuous, steady or impulsive, stationary or transient.
Stationary sources are normally related to specific land uses, for example, housing tracts or industrial
plants. Transient noise sources move through the environment, either along established paths or
randomly, for example, traffic, airplanes, or maintenance operations in a ROW. The ROI for noise

- analysis includes areas adjacent to Southwestern facilities that would encounter noise during O&M and
- 2433 vegetation maintenance activities.

2434 3.9.1 Affected Environment

2435 3.9.1.1 Noise Measurement

2436 The human hearing system does not respond equally to all frequencies of sound. For sounds normally 2437 heard in the environment, low frequencies (below 250 Hertz) and very high frequencies (above 10,000 2438 Hertz) are less audible than the frequencies in between. Therefore, it is appropriate to apply a weighting 2439 function to the noise spectrum, which approximates the response of the human ear. This is called 2440 A-weighting the frequency content of a noise signal and has been found to have an excellent correlation 2441 with the human subjective judgment of noise annovance (Hanson et al. 2006). The sound pressure levels 2442 measured using the A-weighting network are expressed as A-weighted decibels (dBA). Table 3-8 2443 identifies typical A-weighted sound levels for various sources.

2444 Table 3-8. Typical Decibel Levels of Noise Encountered in Daily Life

Noise	Level (dBA)
Rustling leaves	20
Room in a quiet dwelling at midnight	32
Window air conditioner	55
Conversational speech	60
Busy restaurant	65
Loudly reproduced orchestral music in large room	82
Beginning of hearing damage (if prolonged exposure)	85
Heavy city traffic	92
Home lawn mower	98
Jet airliner (500 feet [150 meters] overhead)	115
F-15 aircraft (500 feet overhead, afterburner power)	123

2445 Source: Newman and Beattie 1985, format modified.

2446 Note: When distances are not specified, sound levels are the values at the typical location of the machine operators.

2447 dBA A-weighted decibel

A characteristic of environmental noise is that it is not steady, but varies in amplitude from one moment

to the next. To account for these variations in the sound pressure level with time, and to assess

environmental noise in a consistent and practical manner, analysts use a statistical approach to reduce the

time-varying levels to single numbers. Some commonly used single-number evaluators are the equivalent

sound level (L_{eq}) and the day-night average sound level (DNL). These metrics are described in the text

box below.

2454

Noise Metrics

Equivalent sound level (L_{eq}) – describes an individual's cumulative exposure from all sources of noise
 over a specified period of time.

2457Day-night average sound level (DNL) – describes an individual's cumulative exposure from all sources2458of noise over a full 24 hours, with any noise exposure occurring between 10 p.m. and 7 a.m. increased by245910 dBA to account for an individual's greater nighttime sensitivity to noise.

The decibel scale is a logarithmic, or relative, scale. This means, that as the sound pressure is doubled (or the energy in the sound), the index increases by approximately 3. A sound level of 100 dBA contains twice the energy of a sound level of 97 dBA. This means when two noise sources of the same level are added, the resulting sound level will be increased by 3 dBA, not doubled. The reason for measuring sound this way is that human ears (and minds) perceive sound in terms of the logarithm of the sound pressure, rather than the sound pressure itself. Outside of the laboratory, a 3-dBA change in sound level is considered a barely discernible difference.

Noise can interrupt ongoing activities and can result in community annoyance, especially in residential areas. In general, most residents become highly annoyed when noise interferes significantly with

2469 activities such as sleeping, talking, noise-sensitive work, and listening to radio, television, or music

2470 (Hanson et al. 2006). Sound levels that cause annoyance in people vary greatly by individual and

background conditions. The EPA recommends indoor and outdoor sound levels of no more than 45 dBAand 55 dBA, respectively, for avoidance of annoyance (EPA 1978).

2473 **3.9.1.2 Background Noise Sources and Levels**

No data exist for ambient noise in the ROI. Sources of noise in urban/suburban areas include aircraft
overflights, road traffic, and other noises associated with urban/suburban areas, such as lawn mowers and
ambulances. Much of the Proposed Action area is rural and includes agricultural land, pasture land, and
wooded areas. Background sources of noise are estimated to be low for these rural and remote areas.

Background noises near populated areas, such as Springfield, Missouri and Jonesboro and Paragould,
 Arkansas would be higher due to higher population density. Portions of the transmission line ROWs

- Arkansas would be higher due to higher population density. Portions of the transmission line ROWs follow roads and highways. Vehicle noise is the main source of noise along transportation routes.
- 2481 Operation of transmission lines creates corona discharge noise, which is usually experienced as random
- 2482 crackling or hissing sound. Corona noise is primarily audible during wet weather such as fog and rain and
- is most audible near transmission lines at 345 kV and above. For example, the typical corona noise for a
- 2484 345 kV transmission line is less than 26 dBA during fair weather conditions and 49 dBA during wet
- 2485 weather. Southwestern lines are all lower voltage lines at 69 kV and 161 kV so corona noise is negligible.
- 2486 Estimated background noise levels based on land use categories are shown in Table 3-9.

Example Land Use Category	Average Population Density	DNL	Leq (dBA)	
	(people per square mile)		Daytime	Nighttime
Rural or remote areas	1-100	35	35	25
	100-300	40	40	30
Quiet suburban residential	300-1,000	45	45	35
	1,000-3,000	50	50	40
Quiet urban residential	3,000-10,000	55	55	45
Quiet commercial, industrial, and normal urban residential	10,000-30,000	60	60	50

2487 **Table 3-9. Estimated Background Noise Levels**

- 2488 Source: Hanson et al. 2006 (modified)
- 2489 dBA A-weighted decibel(s)

2490 DNL day-night average sound level

2491 Leq equivalent sound level

2492 Southwestern is not aware of any noise complaints in the Proposed Action areas.

2493 **3.9.2 Environmental Consequences**

2494 Noise impacts are evaluated with respect to the potential for annoyance. Noise can impact the

2495 performance of various everyday activities such as communicating, watching television, and sleeping in

residential areas and can impact the recreation experience in recreational areas. Sound levels that cause

2497 annoyance vary greatly by individual and background conditions. Section 3.4 discusses noise impacts on

2498 wildlife.

2499 **3.9.2.1** Proposed Action

As described in Section 3.2, the Proposed Action area is mostly rural in nature and sparsely populated. The most populated areas include Springfield, Missouri and Jonesboro and Paragould, Arkansas. In general, noise sensitive receptors include residences, schools, libraries, places of worship, cemeteries, medical centers, wildlife management and conservation areas, and recreation areas.

Rural residences are scattered throughout the Proposed Action areas in all three states. The nearest
residences to Southwestern activities would be those in Springfield, Missouri where transmission lines go
through some residential backyards. However, there is minimal vegetation in these areas so noise from
vegetation management activities would be limited. Southwestern calls or knocks on doors of local
landowners to inform them when activities would occur. Average maintenance activities in a particular
area would be approximately 1 day.

- 2510 Noise from O&M and vegetation management activities could temporarily affect the experience of
- recreationists in areas near the activities. Recreation areas are discussed in Section 3.2.1.4 and are
- 2512 distributed throughout the Proposed Action areas, especially near lakes and reservoirs. These locations
- 2513 may be temporarily disturbed during an aerial inspection by a helicopter or O&M and vegetation
- 2514 management activities. Aerial inspections would occur only twice per year and would disturb a specific
- area along the ROW for less than a few minutes. The Proposed Action would not increase the frequency
- 2516 of aerial inspections and therefore would not change noise levels from these inspections compared to
- existing conditions.

2518 The Proposed Action would cause short-term noise from vehicles, machinery, and equipment, as well as 2519 helicopter noise during aerial inspections and aerial side saw trimming. Typical noise levels of this type 2520 of equipment are provided in Table 3-10. A reasonable analytical assumption is that three pieces of loud 2521 equipment would operate simultaneously. The combined sound level of three pieces of the loudest equipment (truck, tractor and chainsaw) is 91 dBA measured at 50 feet. Noise attenuates with distance at 2522 2523 a rate of 6 dBA per doubling of distance to the receptor (Hanson et al. 2006). Therefore, equipment noise 2524 at a sound level of 91 dBA at 50 feet would attenuate to 85 dBA at a distance of 100 feet and to 65 dBA 2525 at a distance of 1,000 feet. Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment (Hanson et al. 2006) 2526 recommends not exceeding a one-hour equivalent level of 90 dBA during the daytime in a residential area

and 100 dBA in an industrial or commercial area.

2528 Table 3-10. Typical Noise Levels of Equipment

Type of Equipment	Typical Noise Level, dBA at 50 feet		
Backhoe ¹	80		
Crane, mobile ¹	83		
Tractor ²	84		
Chainsaw ²	84		
Truck ¹	88		

¹ Source: Hanson et al. 2006

2529

2530

² Source: FHWA 2017

- 2531 Proposed Action activities would be temporary, intermittent, of short duration, and dispersed throughout
- the Proposed Action area. No new stationary sources of permanent noise would be introduced. With
- 2533 implementation of the BMPs discussed below, no significant noise impacts are expected.

2534 Best Management Practices

- 2535 The following BMPs would be implemented to minimize noise impacts:
- Limit the use of noise-generating equipment next to campgrounds to daytime hours.
- **2537** Use noise abatement devices on noisy equipment and vehicles.
- 2538 Notify landowners and post signage in recreation areas when excessive noise is expected.

2539 3.9.2.2 No Action Alternative

2540 Under the No Action Alternative, Southwestern would continue to conduct O&M and vegetation

- 2541 management activities at substations, communication sites, offices, and along the transmission line
- 2542 ROWs. Potential disruptions to residential and recreational lands from intermittent noise would be similar
- to those described for the Proposed Action. Because the range of herbicides that could be used under the
- 2544 Proposed Action would not be available under the No Action Alternative, the No Action would require
- 2545 greater use of heavy equipment to control vegetation within the ROW on a more frequent basis and
- therefore, slightly greater noise impacts may occur.

2547 3.10 Safety and Health

Transmission facilities provide electricity for heating, lighting and other services essential for public health and safety. Contact with the electric equipment can injure people and cause property damage.

2550 Managing vegetation around electric transmission facilities keeps the electricity from flashing to ground

2551 or other objects. This same vegetation management can potentially harm humans. Exposure to herbicides,

2552 use of sharp tools, machinery, and heavy equipment can injure people. Aerial reconnaissance could result

2552 use of sharp tools, machinery, and neavy equipment can injure people. Actual recommissance could res 2553 in a mishap injuring workers or people on the ground. This resource area considers public health and

- safety and occupational health and safety of the general public residing in the vicinity of Southwestern's
- 2555 facilities and Southwestern's employees.

2556 3.10.1 Affected Environment

- The following regulatory compliance requirements and Southwestern's guidelines are in place to beprotective of both public and occupational health and safety.
- NERC requires electric utilities to maintain its electrical system in accordance with applicable
 requirements of the NESC. The NESC generally requires the trimming or removal of interfering trees.
 Southwestern's vegetation management program is based on portions of the NESC.
- Southwestern's Office of Corporate Facilities Maintenance Standards, MA-23, *Vegetation Maintenance Program*, includes, but is not limited to, the following safety procedures:
- Applicable ROW maintenance personnel and contractors shall be trained, certified, and licensed as required by federal and/or state laws to apply herbicide in a safe and effective manner as per licensed applicator requirements.

2567 2568		 All applicable provisions of Southwestern's Power System Safety Manual shall be followed, including safe clearance procedures. 		
2569 2570 2571 2572 2573		• All powered equipment shall be operated utilizing the manufacturers' safety guidelines and ensuring that all safety devices supplied with the equipment are in place and functional each time that the respective equipment is operated. Because of the hazards involved in working around power lines and with using the equipment that is required for this type of work each employee shall be trained and outfitted with the correct PPE.		
2574 2575		• Vegetation management methods are performed in compliance with legal, legislative, or regulatory requirements and/or DOE's or Southwestern's policies, procedures, and/or guidelines.		
2576 2577 2578		• Vegetation management t methods are performed in accordance with existing agreements with property owners and/or land managers. Communication with land owners or tenants is required before each treatment.		
2579 2580 2581 2582		• Herbicide methods are performed in compliance with SDSs and container labels for that particular herbicide and have been approved through Southwestern's herbicide review process. The EPA also imposes herbicide regulations by including them on container labels to direct the proper use of an herbicide. It is illegal <i>not</i> to follow label instructions and restrictions.		
2583 2584		• Herbicide application is applied according to Southwestern's application methods and restrictions that were developed to be protective of human health and the environment.		
2585 2586 2587	•	Southwestern's vegetation management program is based on the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) A300 standards for tree care practices, which incorporates occupational safety measures.		
2588 2589 2590 2591	•	Southwestern implements Federal Employee Training under their corporate training policy in accordance with 5 U.S.C. Chapter 41, <i>Training</i> , for employee training, education, and development and in conjunction with DOE Order 3610.1C, <i>Federal Employee Training</i> . While this training focuses more on professional development, it does include safety and security training for all employees.		
2592 2593	•	Southwestern's Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA)-approved worker safety program includes the following annual occupational training:		
2594 2595 2596 2597 2598 2599 2600 2601 2602 2603 2604 2605 2606		 Heavy Equipment Asbestos Training Occupational Exposures Electrical Safety Temporary Protective Grounding Training Defensive Driving Fall Protection Fire Extinguisher Welding and Cutting Hazard Communication Training Lockout Tagout Confined Space Entry Forklift Training 		
2607		14. PPE Training		

- 2608 15. Switchman Training2609 16. Respiratory Protection
- 2610 17. First Aid CPR/AED
- 2611 18. Bloodborne Pathogen
- 2612 19. Chainsaw/Trimming Procedures
- 2613 20. Pole Top Rescue
- 2614 21. Building Emergency Procedure/Fire Drill
- 2615 22. Medical Services
- 2616 23. Working in Hot/Cold Environments
- 2617 24. Power Tool Safety
- Southwestern also implements environmental training as applicable for employees. Applicable topics for implementation of O&M and integrated vegetation management activities, include the following: hazardous waste operations and emergency response; karst; Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) hazardous waste; SPCC; cultural resources; polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs); ESA; and universal waste.
- Southwestern implements SPCC plans and emergency spill plans at their facilities containing
 petroleum materials as described in Section 3.11.1.2. While these plans are oriented towards water
 resource protection, they also provide for public and employee safety from petroleum releases.
- 2626 3.10.1.1 Public Health and Safety

Within the past 4 to 5 years, the Southwestern Safety Office has not received any notifications of accidents or incidents involving the public. Occasionally, in the past, the Environmental Office has received complaints on over application of herbicides from the public. The public can notify

2630 Southwestern about environmental and safety concerns through the Southwestern website.

2631 **3.10.1.2 Occupational Health and Safety**

2632 The safety and well-being of all Southwestern employees are the firm and continuing responsibilities of 2633 every member of management. Each employee, in turn, shares with management the responsibility for his 2634 or her own safety by performing his or her duties in a safe and conscientious manner, complying with all 2635 safety rules and regulations, and observing the provisions of EO 12196, Occupational Safety and Health 2636 Programs for Federal Employees. Recordable incidents include all work related deaths, illnesses, and 2637 injuries which result in a loss of consciousness, restriction of work or motion, permanent transfer to 2638 another job within the company, or that require some type of medical treatment or first-aid. Companies 2639 with 10 or more employees need to report their incident rates, types of incidents and lost/restricted work 2640 days to OSHA every year. Recordable incidents are incidents that resulted from an exposure or event in 2641 the workplace and that required some type of medical treatment or first-aid. The Recordable Incident Rate 2642 shows, for every 100 employees, the number of employees that have been involved in a recordable injury 2643 or illness. Southwestern's Recordable Incident Rate for the past 5 years is shown in Table 3-11.

Calendar Year	OSHA Recordable Incident Rate	
2013	0.70	
2014	1.41 1.9	
2015		
2016	1.5	
2017	3.1	

Table 3-11. Southwestern's Recordable Incident Rate for 2013-2017

2645 Source: Williams 2018

2646 OSHA Occupational Safety and Health Administration

2647 In addition, 2013 marked the 21st consecutive year, over 6 million man-hours worked, without any

2648 electrical-related recordable incidents – a significant achievement for an organization where

approximately one half of the workforce operates in a high-voltage electrical environment. Southwestern

2650 does not have any knowledge regarding long-term employee health-related issues attributed to the work

2651 environment.

2652 **3.10.2 Environmental Consequences**

2653 Potential impacts to health and safety are considered significant if the Proposed Action would:

- **2654** Expose the public to hazardous conditions
- 2655 Increase the likelihood of work-related deaths, illnesses, and injuries

2656 **3.10.2.1** Proposed Action

Under the Proposed Action, the regulatory compliance requirements and Southwestern's guidelines and
programs that are in place to be protective of both public and occupational health and safety (described in
Section 3.10.1) would remain in place and would continue to be reviewed and updated on a regular basis.

2660 3.10.2.1.1 Public Health and Safety

2661 This section discusses the potential health and safety impacts to the general public from O&M activities 2662 and managing vegetation at Southwestern's facilities. Health and safety impacts include both physical injury risks and exposure risks. These risks are minimal due to implementation of Southwestern's OSHA-2663 2664 approved worker safety and environmental training programs. Overall, impacts to public health and safety 2665 by implementing the Proposed Action would be positive. Brush and trees along the ROW would be 2666 controlled in a systematic fashion to ensure they would not grow into the conductors and cause service 2667 interruptions, fire, or impede restoration of service when outages occur. Use of the management 2668 framework for herbicide selection and GIS Resource Mapper developed as part of the Proposed Action 2669 would ensure the most geographically appropriate and efficient herbicides are selected.

- 2670 People who come near workers conducting O&M activities or vegetation management could be exposed
- to exhaust and fuel vapors from trucks. If near the work, people could sustain physical injuries from
- 2672 flying debris and falling trees, and from poles being removed. Heavy equipment could also run over
- 2673 people if the operator does not see them. Impacts on the public's health and safety are negligible, because

the public has limited access to Southwestern's facilities, and because O&M and vegetation management activities are closely supervised which would prevent exposure or injury to the general public. However, use of equipment on access roads used by the public presents an increased risk in vehicle accidents (discussed in Section 3.12). Aerial reconnaissance could result in a mishap that injures the public on the ground; this impact is unlikely as only licensed pilots conduct the reconnaissance, and aerial surveys occur infrequently.

2680 Likewise, direct exposure to the public from herbicide application would be limited by supervision of the 2681 application. The concern with herbicide application is accidental exposure to the herbicides from entering 2682 areas soon after treatment, eating berries or other foods collected from the ROW, touching sprayed 2683 vegetation, drinking contaminated water, consuming contaminated fish, or accidental exposure to 2684 downwind drift. The general public, both visitors and residents, would not receive repeated exposures, 2685 because the ROW locations are remote, a variety of herbicides would be used, the timing of treatments 2686 would be widely spaced, and landowners and tenants are notified prior to application. In addition, 2687 Southwestern hopes to extend the length of time between herbicide treatments using better formulated 2688 herbicides, geographically targeted, that are now available. Application guidelines are designed to prevent

2689 accidental exposures to the public, water, and fish.

2690 Members of the public, both visitors and nearby residents could potentially be exposed to herbicides from 2691 drift or accidental spraying, if they are in the area at the time of application. The application Southwestern 2692 employs is a power-driven vehicle-mounted mechanical sprayer. Potential public exposure from localized 2693 drift is extremely low because the application usually takes place close to the target plant, so the herbicide 2694 is airborne for only a very short moment. Southwestern also restricts the use of the vehicle-mounted 2695 mechanical sprayer to conditions when the wind is less than 10 miles per hour. Should a person be 2696 accidentally sprayed, then the person's skin and/or eyes might be irritated, depending on the particular 2697 herbicide formula. Individuals have reported chronic nausea, dizziness, and other symptoms following 2698 accidental exposure to herbicides. Laboratory tests on animals have shown that most herbicides are not 2699 carcinogenic, even at doses and repeated exposures well above that which could occur accidentally as part 2700 of vegetation management activities. Herbicides are designed to act on plants, not animals, so that the 2701 toxic effects generally do not affect the central nervous system or other vital functions.

- 2702 Best Management Practices
- 2703 The following BMPs would be implemented to protect public health and safety:
- 2704 Continue to implement measures described in Section 3.10.1 of this EA.
- Ensure the use of EPA-approved herbicides that have been selected by Southwestern for use; ensure the use of the geographic-specific requirements for herbicide selection.
- Restrict the use of power-driven vehicle-mounted mechanical sprayer to conditions when the wind is
 less than 10 miles per hour. Evaluate, generally, existing land uses (e.g., agriculture, residential) along
 a ROW or surrounding a facility needing vegetation control to determine any constraints on
 vegetation control.
- 2711 *To the extent practicable*, identify casual informal use of the ROW by non-owner public to determine 2712 any constraints on vegetation control.

- Determine whether there are *other* potentially affected people or agencies that need to be notified or coordinated with; determine appropriate method(s) of notification and coordination.
- 2715 Protect drinking water sources by following all buffer zone restrictions.
- Ensure that all herbicide applicators have received training and are licensed in appropriate application categories.
- Follow all herbicide label and SDS instructions regarding mixing and application standards to reduce potential exposure to the public through drift and misapplication.
- 2720 Never leave herbicides or equipment unattended in unrestricted access areas.
- 2721 Closely follow all equipment cleaning standards required by the herbicide label.
- In the event of a spill, immediately notify potentially affected parties.

2723 3.10.2.1.2 Occupational Health and Safety

2724 This section addresses the potential health and safety impacts to Southwestern's workers conducting 2725 O&M activities and vegetation management at Southwestern's facilities as described under the Proposed 2726 Action. The impacts can be divided into physical injury risks and health risks. In general, all techniques 2727 carry some degree of physical injury risks. Risks to health include exposure to herbicides, exhaust, and 2728 fuels. Indirect impacts on workers include the following: dehydration, heat exhaustion, insect stings, falls, 2729 and exposure to poisonous snakes and plants. Use of the management framework for herbicide selection 2730 and the GIS Resource Mapper developed as part of the Proposed Action would enable a broader, more 2731 flexible use of herbicides, as appropriate, and could be expected to decrease the potential for physical 2732 injuries and indirect impacts to workers, as described in the analysis below.

Workers conducting O&M activities could be exposed to exhaust and fuel vapors from trucks and chemical vapors from wood treating chemicals, as well as fuel and other chemicals used at the substations and communication sites. Physical injuries could arise from electrocution, falls, flying debris and falling trees and from poles being removed. Impacts on the workers' health and safety are negligible because Southwestern staff are trained in health and safety and environmental actions, and O&M activities are closely supervised.

- Manual techniques for vegetation management include use of non-powered and powered hand-operated
 tools. Non-powered tools include axes, brush hooks, hoes, hand girdlers, and hand clippers. Powered
 tools include chainsaws and motorized brush cutters. Use of these tools can result in worker injuries such
 as minor cuts, blisters, sprains, abrasions, bruises, muscle strains, exposure to equipment noise, exposure
 to exhaust and fuel vapors, flying debris, and falling trees. Severe injuries would be rare as standard
 safety procedures are followed.
- 2745 Potential direct impacts on worker health and safety from operating heavy equipment include injuries as a
- result of equipment malfunctions, equipment overturns, loss of control of the equipment, equipment
 noise, equipment vibration, exposure to exhaust and fuel vapors, flying debris, and falling trees. Minor
- injuries are bound to occur when mechanical techniques are employed. On the other hand, severe injuries
- are relatively rare if workers adhere to standard safety procedures associated with heavy machinery
- 2750 operation.

- Some locations within Southwestern's service region are mountainous, rugged, and relatively remote. Use of the management framework for herbicide selection and the GIS Resource Mapper developed as part of the Proposed Action would enable a broader, more flexible use of herbicides, as appropriate, and could be expected to decrease safety and health risk to personnel within rural and untamed mountainous areas where there is an increased safety risk to conduct manual and mechanical activities.
- The main potential impact associated with the use of herbicides is exposure to the compounds (herbicides, carriers, dyes, and adjuvants). Thirty-four different herbicide compounds are being considered for use under the Proposed Action. Others could be added in the future using the same selection process, thereby continuing to increase operational flexibility and effectiveness and potentially decreasing occupational risks by requiring less time conducting vegetation management.
- 2761 These chemicals can all be toxic to workers, to varying degrees. Any chemical poses a health risk at a 2762 sufficient dose. Most clinical reports of herbicide effects are of skin and eye irritation. Some herbicides, 2763 such as triclopyr, can be severe skin irritants; others, such as metsulfuron methyl, can be severe eye 2764 irritants. Herbicides that may cause human health effects through inhalation include Accord, Escort, 2765 Garlon 3A, and Garlon 4. However, the likelihood of exposure through inhalation is unlikely since the 2766 droplet size that would be used reduces airborne herbicide mist. Of the herbicides considered for use 2767 under the Proposed Action, Garlon 3A and Garlon 4 may cause skin irritation through dermal contact. A less significant potential risk of human health effects is from the ingestion of water contaminated by these 2768 2769 herbicides; however, this impact is minimized by the restriction of herbicide use in areas exhibiting karst 2770 features that can act as a conduit and transport herbicides to groundwater. Under the Proposed Action, the 2771 GIS Resource Mapper would be used to identify karst features and herbicides would not be used within
- 2772 15 feet of these features.
- 2773 Short-term effects of excessive exposure to herbicides include nausea, dizziness, or reversible
- abnormalities of the nervous system (reversible neuropathy). In extreme cases of prolonged, repeated, and
- excessive exposure (resulting from careless and/or negligent work habits), longer-term health problems can result, including: organ damage, immune system damage, permanent nervous system damage,
- 2777 production of inheritable mutations, damage to developing offspring, and reduction of reproductive
- success. It is important to note that EPA evaluates and registers herbicides according to a uniform, health-
- based standard to ensure a "reasonable certainty of no harm" to consumers. The EPA is responsible for
- restricting a product's use according to its potential impacts on human health and the environment. Much of that restriction is done through the product label, which states the precautions that must be taken, and
- how and where to apply a certain herbicide. In most cases, the hazards involved are comparable to or less than the risks associated with other variation management methods.
- than the risks associated with other vegetation management methods.
- 2784 Occupational exposure to herbicides varies with the method of application. The greatest risk occurs when 2785 the worker must directly handle and/or mix chemicals. Spot and localized herbicide applications— 2786 including use of backpack sprayers, aerial mixers/loaders, and stem injection-require the most hands-on 2787 use of herbicides and, therefore, carry the greatest risk of exposure (and require the greatest amount of 2788 worker precaution and use of safety equipment, such as respirators). Under all application categories, 2789 workers can be exposed to herbicides from accidental spills, splashing, leaking equipment, contact with 2790 the spray, or by entering treated areas. Exposure can occur either through skin or through inhalation. 2791 Adherence to operational safety guidelines, use of protective clothing, equipment checks, and personal

- hygiene can prevent incidents from occurring. The herbicide label and corresponding SDSs detail theseapplication requirements in addition to safety guidelines.
- Herbicides would be transported to the site in manufacturer's containers, available in either 2.5-gallon

2795 (9.46-liter) containers or 55-gallon (208.19-liter) containers. Herbicides would remain in manufacturer's

- containers until mixed with water prior to application. Unused concentrated herbicides would be
- transported from the site in manufacturer's containers. Diluted herbicides would be transported onsite
- 2798 using a 200-gallon (757.06-liter) tank mounted onto a tractor. No diluted herbicides would be transported
- offsite because all diluted herbicides would be applied to the ROW prior to removal from the ROW.
- 2800 Impacts from transport of herbicides are described in Section 3.12.
- 2801 Two potential accident scenarios related to health and safety were identified in association with the
- 2802 Proposed Action, including human error in herbicide mixing and fire/explosion (Southwestern 1995a).
- 2803 A potential exists for incorrect dilution of herbicide prior to application. The manufacturer's label for

2804 each of the herbicides lists a range of recommended dilution rates, depending on the vegetative species

2805 needing control. A lower dilution rate would be used for more resistant vegetation. This scenario would

2806 pose the greatest threat during the foliar spray application method, as the greatest area is covered by this

- method. Impacts resulting from incorrect dilution would be highly unlikely since Southwestern personnel
 supervising the application of the herbicide mixture have been formally trained in herbicide handling and
- application.
- 2810 A potential exists for fire and explosion resulting from incorrect storage of the herbicides. Extinguishing
- agents appropriate for the herbicides used would be carried within Southwestern vehicles transporting or
- applying the herbicides. A copy of the herbicide SDSs would be carried by Southwestern personnel and
- transferred to emergency personnel upon any fire or explosion.

2814 Best Management Practices

- 2815 The following measures would be implemented to protect worker health and safety:
- 2816 Continue to implement measures described in Section 3.10.1 of this EA.
- Ensure the use of EPA-approved herbicides that have been selected by Southwestern for use; ensure
 the use of the geographic-specific requirements for herbicide selection.
- *For safety*, cut all brush stumps flat where possible. Angular cuts leave a sharp point that could cause
 injuries if workers fell on them.
- 2821 For cutting trees close to "live" power lines, use only qualified personnel.
- Ensure that all herbicide applicators have received training and are licensed in appropriate application
 categories.
- Follow all herbicide label and SDS instructions regarding worker safety standards. These include, but
 are not limited, to the following:
- Wear appropriate protective equipment
- Do not eat, drink, or smoke when handling herbicides

- 2828 Avoid spilling herbicides on skin or clothing and promptly change any clothing substantially 2829 contaminated by a herbicide
- 2830 Clean protective equipment daily •
- 2831 Maintain ready access to clean water and first aid supplies •
- 2832 Maintain access to emergency medical facilities •
- 2833 Use self-contained herbicide handling equipment when appropriate and available to reduce • 2834 worker exposure during herbicide mixing and handling.
- 3.10.2.2 No Action Alternative 2835
- 2836 Under the No Action Alternative, the regulatory compliance requirements and Southwestern's guidelines 2837 and programs that are in place to be protective of both public and occupational health and safety
- 2838 (described in Section 3.10.1) would remain in place and continue to be reviewed and updated on a regular basis.
- 2839
- 2840 Herbicide use would be more restrictive under the current selection criteria. Potential beneficial impacts
- 2841 to public and occupational health and safety, such as fewer required herbicide applications, more selective
- 2842 or targeted herbicide applications, and less time spent on vegetation management particularly in remote
- 2843 and treacherous spans of ROW, would not be realized.

3.11 Materials and Waste 2844

- 2845 Compliance with environmental laws and regulations governing materials management is central to
- 2846 Southwestern's EMS. Southwestern's EMS focuses on complying with applicable regulations for
- 2847 purchasing, handling, using and disposing of the materials used in operating and maintaining
- 2848 Southwestern's transmission system. The EMS implements the environmental protection requirements
- 2849 based on International Organization for Standardization (ISO) 14001, to comply with applicable federal,
- 2850 state, and local environmental protection laws and regulations, executive orders, and internal DOE 2851 policies.
- 2852 Each regional office and the Headquarters implement the program through:
- 2853 Spill control and response н.
- 2854 Hazardous materials and transportation management
- 2855 Hazardous waste management
- 2856 Polychlorinated biphenyls management
- 2857 Non-hazardous waste management н.
- 2858 Storage tank management
- 2859 Pesticide management
- 2860 Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act implementation
- Emergency response procedures 2861 ÷.
- 2862 Comprehensive Response, Compensation and Liability Act implementation
- 2863 **RCRA** implementation ÷.

- 2864 Southwestern also implements environmental training as applicable for employees. Hazardous materials,
- 2865 petroleum products, asbestos, and waste are considered in this analysis. The ROI includes all
- 2866 Southwestern's facilities that handle or store hazardous materials and petroleum products or generate
- 2867 waste, including substations, pole yards, switching stations, office/maintenance facilities, taps, and
- communication towers, and immediately adjacent areas that could be impacted by spills or other incidentsrelated to these materials.

2870 3.11.1 Affected Environment

2871 **3.11.1.1 Hazardous Materials**

2872 For purposes of this PEA, hazardous materials are those regulated under federal, state, and DOE

regulations. Hazardous materials are required to be handled, managed, treated, or stored properly by
trained personnel under the following regulations: OSHA Hazardous Communication, 29 CFR 1900.1200

and 29 CFR 1926.59; and Department of Transportation Hazardous Materials, 49 CFR 172.101; EPA, 40

2876 CFR 260 et seq.

2877 The substances of primary concern at Southwestern facilities include sulfuric acid, present in lead-acid

2878 batteries used for backup power; sulfur hexafluoride, present in gas circuit breakers; and PCBs,

2879 potentially present in small quantities in hermetically-sealed, oil-filled bushings and other electrical

2880 equipment. Minor amounts of cleaning materials and vehicle maintenance fluids are also used. Materials

- used at Southwestern's facilities are summarized in Table 3-12.
- PCBs were banned from manufacture as of July 2, 1979 under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA),
 which regulates the sampling and disposal of PCB-containing material. PCBs can still be found in

2884 electrical equipment used by Southwestern including voltage regulators, switches, re-closers, and

2885 bushings. However, Southwestern currently has no electrical equipment with PCB concentrations greater

than 500 parts per million (ppm), the level above which the material is considered PCB-containing rather

- 2887 than PCB-contaminated. Southwestern is in the process of replacing PCB-contaminated electrical
- 2888 equipment having PCB concentrations between 50 and 500 ppm. Electrical equipment at Southwestern
- facilities for which the PCB concentration cannot be determined consists of bushings, coupling
- capacitors, and capacitor banks. Southwestern performs PCB analyses on this electrical equipment when
 it is removed from service for disposal. Disposal of PCB-contaminated material is discussed in Section
- 2892 3.11.1.4.

The Community Right-To-Know Act, established under the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization
 Act (SARA) Title III, provides guidelines for reporting potential hazards to state and local planning
 commissions. Southwestern's EMS establishes a *Community Right-To-Know Program* to meet the

requirements of SARA incorporated into 40 CFR 370 (2005). Southwestern is exempt from Form R

reporting because it does not use or release more than 4,540 kilograms/year (10,000 pounds per year) of

any reportable substance. Southwestern does not manufacture, process or otherwise use any toxic

- chemicals listed at 40 CFR 372.65 or 40 CFR 372.28 above their threshold quantity, and therefore does
- 2900 not have to report to the Toxic Release Inventory (TRI). Southwestern is not currently required to submit
- 2901 Community Right-to-know Act Tier I and Tier II reports for its facilities, because individual facilities do
- 2902 not contain regulated substances in an amount above the regulated reporting thresholds. Southwestern

policy dictates that it will, at minimum, contact local fire departments and inform them of potentialhazards at all Southwestern facilities.

2905 3.11.1.2 Petroleum Products

2906 40 CFR Part 112, Oil Pollution Prevention, established procedures, methods, equipment, and other 2907 requirements to prevent the discharge of oil from non-transportation related onshore and offshore 2908 facilities into or upon the navigable waters of the U.S. or adjoining shorelines. Oil means oil of any kind 2909 or in any form. The requirements established by this regulation apply to any owner or operator of a non-2910 transportation related onshore or offshore facility engaged in drilling, producing, gathering, storing, processing, refining, transferring, distributing, using, or consuming oil or oil products, which due to its 2911 2912 location, could reasonably be expected to discharge oil in harmful quantities and meets either of the 2913 following criteria:

- **2914** Facility has a completely buried storage capacity of oil/oil products greater than 42,000 gallons.
- Facility has an aggregate aboveground storage capacity of oil/oil products greater than 1,320 gallons
 (including containers with 55-gallon capacity or greater only).
- 2917 Facilities subject to this regulation are required to prepare and implement a SPCC plan in accordance with
- the regulatory requirements of 40 CFR Part 112. Southwestern's EMS establishes a Spill Prevention,
- 2919 Control, And Counter-Measures Program, designed to meet the requirements of 40 CFR 112 (2005).
- 2920 Southwestern implements 12 different SPCC plans that are facility specific for their substations that have
- large (10,000-gallon) transformers. In compliance with 40 CFR Part 112, the plans address the following:
- 2922 Operating procedures in place to prevent oil spills.
- 2923 Control measures installed to prevent a spill of oil from reaching navigable waters.
- 2924 Communication procedures to be followed in the event of an oil spill.
- Countermeasures established to contain, clean up, and mitigate the effects of an oil spill that reaches
 navigable waters.
- 2927 For the substations or switchyards that do not meet the oil threshold criteria to trigger a SPCC (<1,380
- 2928 gallons of oil), but still have oil on site, Southwestern implements a simpler plan called an "Emergency2929 Spill Plan." There are 12 site-specific emergency spill plans.
- 2929 Spill Plan. There are 12 site-specific emergency spill plans.
- 2930 In addition, Southwestern operates oil/water separators at five of their facilities in Missouri. The State of
- 2931 Missouri views secondary containment devices such as oil/water separators as wastewater treatment
- 2932 devices, which require general operating NPDES permits according to its regulations. Southwestern holds
- a general operating NPDES permit for these facilities and monitoring is performed in accordance with its
- 2934 provisions. Southwestern's EMS establishes a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
- 2935 *Program*, which includes the provisions for NPDES permits that are required at Southwestern facilities.
- 2936 Petroleum materials at Southwestern's facilities are summarized in Table 3-12.

Facility Type	Number	Hazardous Materials	Petroleum Products	Miscellaneous
Substation	24	 Lead acid batteries Small amounts of PCBs/tars in hermetically-sealed power transformer bushings on top of the big transformers Few small capacity oil-containing circuit transformers and potential transformers that all test less than 50- 500 ppm PCB 	Oil-containing transformers (10,000 gallon)	 Sulfur hexafluoride Pressurized cylinders of nitrogen Gas breakers (sulfur hexafluoride)
Pole yard	3	None	None	Poles are purchased as treated. No wood treating chemicals are used at pole yards.
Switching Station	3	 Lead acid batteries Small amounts of PCBs/tars in hermetically-sealed power transformer bushings on top of the big transformers Few small capacity oil-containing circuit transformers and potential transformers that all test less than 50- 500 ppm PCB 	Small amounts of electrical insulating oil in oil-filled electrical equipment	 Sulfur hexafluoride Pressurized cylinders of nitrogen Gas breakers (sulfur hexafluoride)
Office/ Maintenance Facility	3	Solvents	 Small amounts of hydraulic fluids and miscellaneous vehicle fluids for incidental repair (vehicles are serviced offsite) Transformer oils for disposal 	 Janitorial cleaning supplies Pressurized cylinders of sulfur hexafluoride, oxygen, and nitrogen Herbicides Metals recycle dumpsters Emergency generators with diesel reservoirs within the generator Batteries Light bulbs
Тар	4	None	Small quantities of insulating oil	Sulfur hexafluoride
Communication Site	50	None	None	Liquid propane gas tanksBatteries

Table 3-12. Summary of Materials Used at Southwestern Facilities 2937

2938 2939 2940

polychlorinated biphenyl parts per million PCB ppm

3.11.1.3 Asbestos

2942 Southwestern's EMS establishes an Asbestos Operations and Management Program in compliance with

2943 29 CFR 1926.1101 and 1910.1001 and other applicable regulatory requirements, and establishes

2944 limitations on work that can be performed by Southwestern employees. This applies to all demolition,

salvage, and maintenance work, including, but not limited to: demolition or salvage of structures where

- asbestos is present; construction, alteration, repair, maintenance, or renovation of structures, substrates, or
- 2947 portions thereof that contain asbestos; installation of products containing asbestos; asbestos
- 2948 spill/emergency cleanup; and transportation, disposal, storage, or containment of asbestos or products
- 2949 containing asbestos, on the site or location at which construction activities are performed.

2950 3.11.1.4 Waste

2951 Southwestern's EMS establishes a *Waste Management Program*. Waste streams generated by

2952 Southwestern operations are predictable; however, the volume of these waste streams may vary. Wastes 2953 are categorized as principal waste streams and other waste streams.

- Principal waste streams Most of the waste streams generated by Southwestern operations are small and infrequently generated. Due to the small quantities, most of the waste streams do not lend themselves to waste minimization. The principal waste streams generated at Southwestern include PCB items, used oils, materials containing or contaminated with used oil (used oil contaminated waste), and treated wood products.
- Other waste streams Other wastes generated by Southwestern activities include those related to the
 following:
- Spent solvents, rags, paint and thinner, defined herein as RCRA hazardous wastes
- Asbestos and lead-based paint abatement wastes.
- Herbicide application wastes
- Solid wastes
- 2965 The current disposal methods for the waste streams are listed below:
- PCB-contaminated items Currently transported by a licensed hauler in compliance with 40 CFR 263
 (2005) to a treatment, storage, and disposal facility that is permitted to accept PCB materials.
 However, alternative approved methods of disposal (e.g., chemical waste landfill, chemical de chlorination, etc.) may be used. The appropriate method of disposal shall be determined and
 implemented by the Administrative Officers without further review.
- Used oils Currently treated as PCB-contaminated oils or used oil and must be dechlorinated prior to
 being recycled. If used oils contain non-detectable quantity of PCBs (less than 2 parts per million),
 they may be sent to a non-TSCA-permitted facility for recycling.
- Used oil contaminated wastes Typically includes rags and related maintenance items. These items
 could be managed as TSCA waste, solid waste, or hazardous waste or as appropriate and disposed
 according to the classification of the material and contaminant present upon or within the item.
 However, if used oil contaminated wastes contain PCBs in concentrations <50 ppm, then they may be
 disposed of at approved special waste landfill or sanitary landfill permitted to accept such waste.

- Treated wood products Upon removal from service, unusable, treated wood products are offered to
 the property owner for their use if they contain only small, allowable levels of preservatives such as
 pentachlorophenol as allowed by the EPA. If the property owner does not want the treated wood
 products, they are removed and disposed of in an environmentally sound method available in
 compliance with the law.
- 2984 RCRA hazardous wastes - RCRA hazardous wastes generated by Southwestern during routine 2985 maintenance activities are generated in very small quantities and therefore Southwestern meets the criteria set forth as a "Very Small Quantity Generator" of hazardous wastes. Provided that the very 2986 2987 small quantity generator meets all the conditions for exemption, hazardous waste generated by the 2988 very small quantity generator is not subject to the requirements of parts 124, 262 (except §§262.10-2989 262.14) through 268, and 270 of this chapter, and the notification requirements of section 3010 of 2990 RCRA and the very small quantity generator may accumulate hazardous waste on site without 2991 complying with such requirements. Southwestern manages most of its hazardous waste as a "Small 2992 Quantity Handler of Universal Waste." Its universal wastes consist mostly of spent batteries, waste 2993 pesticides, used fluorescent lamps, and used mercury-containing thermostats.
- Waste minimization and pollution prevention techniques are implemented as practical. A program has been initiated to promote cost-effective waste reduction and recycling of reusable materials in all operations. This program fosters: (a) practices that reduce waste generation, and (b) the recycling of recyclable materials such as, electronic equipment, paper, plastic, metals, glass, used oil, and lead acid batteries. All program activities must meet local and state recycling requirements.

2999 3.11.2 Environmental Consequences

3000 Potential impacts to materials and waste are considered significant if the Proposed Action would:

- 3001 Require permits or permit modifications
- 3002 Expose the public or workers to hazardous materials or waste
- 3003 Result in noncompliance with applicable federal and state regulations; or
- Increase the amounts of generated or procured hazardous materials or wastes beyond current
 permitted capacities or management capabilities

3006 3.11.2.1 Proposed Action

- 3007 Under the Proposed Action, the regulatory compliance requirements and Southwestern's guidelines and 3008 programs that are in place to manage materials and waste (described in Section 3.11.1) would remain in 3009 place and continue to be reviewed and updated on a regular basis. Use of materials and generation of
- 3010 waste is not expected to change much under the Proposed Action. No new hazardous materials or
- 3011 petroleum products are proposed.

3012 Best Management Practices

- 3013 The following BMPs would apply for materials and waste:
- 3014 Continue to implement measures described in Section 3.11.1 of this EA.
- 3015 Ensure the use of EPA-approved herbicides that have been selected by Southwestern for use; ensure
 3016 the use of the geographic-specific requirements for herbicide selection by using the GIS Resource
 3017 Mapper.
- Ensure that all herbicide applicators have received training and are licensed in appropriate application
 categories.
- 3020 Follow all herbicide label and SDS instructions regarding worker safety standards and disposal.

3021 3.11.2.2 No Action Alternative

3022 Under the No Action Alternative, the regulatory compliance requirements and Southwestern's guidelines 3023 and programs that are in place to manage materials and waste (described in Section 3.11.1) would remain 3024 in place and continue to be reviewed and updated on a regular basis. Use of materials and generation of 3025 waste would remain the same as current conditions.

3026 3.12 Transportation

Transportation considers the use of roadways and transport of herbicides needed to perform O&M and
 vegetation management activities throughout Southwestern's transmission system. The ROI includes
 established and maintained roadways used to access Southwestern's facilities, as well as private property
 where there is no access to the ROW by existing roads.

3031 3.12.1 Affected Environment

Southwestern facilities are located within 23 counties in Arkansas, 22 counties in Missouri, and 16
counties in Oklahoma in mostly sparsely populated areas. A network of roadways is available in the
three-state region. Some transmission line ROWs, however, are in rural and remote areas and in some
areas, no access roads to the ROWs exist. As shown in Figure 3-11, the transmission lines cross relatively
few major roadways. The following summarizes the major roads crossed by transmission lines in the three
states.

3038 In Arkansas, line 3001 parallels Interstate 40 east of Alma. In Alma, it crosses Interstate 40 west of the

interchange with Highway 71 and just west of there, it crosses Interstate 49. Line 3001C crosses Interstate

3040 40 just west of Russellville. Both lines 3005 and 3017 cross Fayetteville Road (AR-59) near Rena,

3041 Arkansas. Line 3007 crosses Highway 555 just north of interchange with AR-91 in northwest Jonesboro.

3042 On the western side of Paragould, line 3010 crosses U.S. Highway 412, west of Pinecrest Drive. On the 3043 northeast side of Paragould, line 3010 crosses Highway 49 at Purcell Road near the substation and a

3044 communication site.

3045



3048 In Missouri, line 3003 crosses State Highway Ff on the west side of Springfield before crossing U.S. 3049 Highway 60 further west and then parallels it on the south side to the interchange with W. Sunshine Street 3050 (MO-413). Also in Springfield, West Battlefield Road (West Farm Road 160) is on the south side of the 3051 substation and transmission lines (3003, 3004, and 3006) cross this road in three locations near the 3052 substation. Line 3006 heads south from the substation and crosses Highway 60 approximately mid-way 3053 between State Farm Road 135 and State Farm Road 137. Further east in Springfield, line 3004 crosses 3054 Business Route 65 near the Primrose Marketplace; as it continues east, it crosses Highway 65 just south 3055 of its interchange with Battlefield Road. Approximately 20 miles west of Springfield and northwest of 3056 Mt. Vernon, line 3003 crosses Interstate 44. Line 3003 crosses Interstate 44 again further west, 3057 approximately 0.8 mile east of the interchange with Interstate 49. Although on Figure 3-11, the 3058 transmission line near Sikeston looks close to Interstate 55, it is actually approximately 5 miles to the 3059 west.

- 3060 In Oklahoma, line 3005E crosses the Muskogee Turnpike (Highway 351) and Interstate 40 southwest of
- 3061 Gore. Line 3005 also crosses the Muskogee Turnpike further to the north. Line 3005 crosses Main Street
- 3062 (OK-100) on the northeast side of Gore. Further west, line 3005 crosses Interstate 40 west of Henryetta.
- Line 3101 crosses Main Street (OK-91) in Cartwright, east of South 4th Avenue.

3064 3.12.2 Environmental Consequences

- 3065 Potential impacts to transportation are evaluated with respect to the potential for the Proposed Action to:
- 3066 Disrupt or improve current transportation patterns and systems
- 3067 Cause safety hazards

3068 3.12.2.1 Proposed Action

3069 Machinery and personnel would continue to be transported to and from the facilities using established and 3070 maintained roadways. Some portions of ROW are accessible at points where the ROW crosses existing roads; however, many areas would need to be accessed through private properties. Access through private 3071 3072 property would be maintained with permission of the specific landowner. Access within the ROW exists 3073 through existing jeep trails or would be developed as the machinery travels over herbaceous vegetation. 3074 This access would be used by Southwestern personnel to access the target areas within the ROW. 3075 Southwestern would use all-terrain vehicles, light duty four-wheel drive vehicles, trailers, and specialized 3076 heavy-duty heavy rolling equipment to traverse access roads and ROWs.

3077 Proposed Action activities would continue to occur along existing transmission lines and at substations,

- 3078 communication sites, and offices and are not expected to have measureable effects on transportation.
- 3079 While some maintenance activities identified in Section 2.0 may require temporary lane closures or
- 3080 disruptions (limited only to areas where lines cross public roadways), any such disruption would be short-
- term. Proper signage and traffic diversion would be used to reduce impacts. As described in the affected
- 3082 environment, very few interstates and major roads are crossed by Southwestern transmission lines,
- 3083 therefore, impacts to heavily traveled roads are expected to be minimal. Southwestern is not proposing to
- 3084 construct any new roads as a part of this action, but would maintain existing access roads to their current
- 3085 maintenance level, as needed based on wear or damage from Proposed Action activities.

- 3086 A potential exists for motor vehicle accidents. In accordance with Southwestern procedures, all
- 3087 employees that drive Southwestern vehicles undergo defensive driving training. In addition, absorbent
- 3088 materials, shovels, etc. would be carried with herbicides to contain any spills resulting from motor vehicle
- accidents. A copy of the SDSs for the herbicides and the non-water diluents would be carried with the
- 3090 containers to inform any emergency response personnel of dangers associated with the herbicide. No U.S.
- 3091 Department of Transportation placarding is needed on the transporting motor vehicles for these
- 3092 herbicides.
- 3093 In accordance with Southwestern procedures, herbicides would be transported to the site in
- 3094 manufacturer's containers. Additives, surfactants, or seed-oils would be transported to the site in small
- 3095 containers. Herbicides would remain in manufacturer's containers until mixed with water or other
- 3096 constituents prior to application. Unused concentrated herbicides would be transported from the site in
- 3097 manufacturer's containers. Diluted herbicides would be transported while on-site using a 200-gallon tank 3098 used by the vehicle mounted spraver or in a pressurized backpack. No diluted herbicides would be
- used by the vehicle mounted sprayer or in a pressurized backpack. No diluted herbicides would be
 transported offsite since they would be applied to the ROW before leaving the work site. Tanks would be
- inspected routinely for integrity issues. Dented, worn, or damaged tank or tank appurtenances would be
- 3101 repaired or replaced prior to use in field.
- Transporting of hazardous waste occurs in compliance with 40 CFR 262 and 263. Personnel involved in
 transportation of hazardous waste are formally trained, including emergency response procedures.
- 3104 Best Management Practices
- 3105 The following BMPs would be implemented to reduce impacts to transportation:
- **3106** Obtain permission from landowner to access ROW through private property.
- 3107 Use proper signage and traffic diversion during temporary lane closures.
- 3108 Implement employee training, including defensive driving and emergency response procedures.
- Transport herbicides with absorbent materials, shovels, and SDSs and inform any emergency
 response personnel of dangers associated with the herbicide.
- Transport herbicides to the site in manufacturer's containers in accordance with Southwestern
 procedures.
- Inspect tanks routinely for integrity issues and repair dented, worn, or damaged tank or tank
 appurtenances prior to use in field.

3115 3.12.2.2 No Action Alternative

- 3116 Under the No Action Alternative, Southwestern would continue to conduct O&M and vegetation
- 3117 management activities at substations, communication sites, offices, and along the transmission line
- 3118 ROWs. Potential impacts to transportation would be similar to those described for the Proposed Action.
- 3119 Because the range of herbicides that could be used under the Proposed Action would not be available
- 3120 under the No Action Alternative, the No Action would require greater use of heavy equipment to control
- 3121 vegetation within the ROW and therefore, slightly greater impacts to transportation may occur.

3122 **3.13 Intentional Destructive Acts**

- 3123 The DOE Office of NEPA Policy and Compliance issued guidance on the need to explicitly consider
- 3124 intentional destructive acts (for example, acts of sabotage or terrorism) in NEPA documents (DOE 2006).
- 3125 Intentional destructive acts can also be expanded to include vandalism and theft. The ROI for intentional
- 3126 destructive acts includes Southwestern's entire transmission system, including transmission lines,
- 3127 substations, communication sites, and office/maintenance facilities.

3128 3.13.1 Affected Environment

Southwestern's transmission system is part of the United States' critical infrastructure and is considered
to be a possible target of intentional acts of destruction. Sabotage and terrorism to a transmission line or
electrical substation could cause potentially large disruptions in electrical service while vandalism or theft

3132 of metals at a facility would be a more localized problem.

3133 3.13.2 Environmental Consequences

3134 **3.13.2.1** Proposed Action

- 3135 The destruction of a tower on a high-voltage transmission line or of equipment at a substation by
- 3136 terrorism or sabotage could disrupt electrical services and affect the utility customers and end users. The
- 3137 extent and duration of the impact would depend upon the specific role and relationship of the damaged or
- 3138 destroyed equipment within the overall infrastructure network, the particular configuration of the
- transmission system in the area, and the potential for cascading effects. The impacts of intentional
- 3140 destructive acts and wildfire would likely be relatively localized, and would depend on the nature and
- 3141 location of the acts, the magnitude of the damage, and other variables. The impacts would typically be
- 3142 similar to outages caused by other natural phenomena such as hurricanes, ice storms or tornadoes.
- 3143 Outages cause inconveniences to electrical end users, ranging from loss of heating, air conditioning, and
- 3144 refrigeration to effects on traffic signals and a numerous other systems that run on electricity.
- 3145 Vandalism or theft (for example, theft of copper wire or other valuable metals) while potentially
- 3146 expensive to repair, do not normally cause a large effect to utility customers or to the environment.
- 3147 Southwestern's existing emergency preparedness and response procedures and SPCC Program would aid
- 3148 in recovery from localized vandalism and any potential spills (such as mineral insulating oils, petroleum
- 3149 products, or herbicides) at a facility.
- 3150 The incidence of an intentional destructive act is speculative and could potentially occur anywhere within
- 3151 Southwestern's system. Proposed O&M activities and integrated vegetation management would help
- reduce the potential impacts of a destructive act and lower the potential for generating any regional or
- 3153 large-scale destruction. O&M activities such as continued aerial and ground patrols could help discover
- 3154 minor problems within the transmission system before they become critical and cause large-scale
- electrical outages. Ongoing repairs, upgrades, rebuilds and replacements within the system (including
 fencing and security systems) would keep the transmission system at optimum quality and reduce the
- 3157 likelihood of older equipment failing during an intentional destructive act. Removal of oil, chemicals,
- and waste material from the system facilities would eliminate their use during a potentially destructive
- 3159 and waste material from the system factures would eminiate their use during a potentiary destructive 3159 act. The Integrated Vegetation Management Program would minimize the size and quantity of vegetation

- 3160 within the transmission system and allow security systems to better monitor activities within the system.
- 3161 Removal of overhead and encroaching vegetation would eliminate their use during a potentially
- destructive act; such as cutting a tree or tree branch onto a transmission line. Any intentionally
- 3163 destructive acts that might occur would be localized from an environmental perspective with preventative
- 3164 measures being installed to limit an intentional destructive act to de minimis or negligible environmental 3165 impacts.
- 3166 3.13.2.2 No Action Alternative

Under the No Action Alternative, potential impacts would be the same as those described for the
Proposed Action. Southwestern's transmission system would be identical and the likelihood of an
intentional destructive act would not change.

3170 3.14 The Relationship Between Local Short-Term Uses of the Environment and the Maintenance and Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity

3172 CEQ regulations require consideration of "the relationship between short-term uses of man's environment 3173 and the maintenance and enhancement of long-term productivity" (40 CFR 1502.16). O&M and 3174 integrated vegetation management at Southwestern facilities would require short-term uses of land and 3175 other resources. Short-term use of the environment, as used here, is that used during the life of a project, 3176 whereas long-term productivity refers to the period of time after the project has been decommissioned, the 3177 equipment removed, and the land reclaimed and stabilized. The short-term use of the land for the 3178 Proposed Action would not affect the long-term productivity of the area. If it is decided at some time in 3179 the future that the facilities have reached their useful life, the facilities and foundations could be 3180 decommissioned and removed, and the areas reclaimed and re-vegetated to resemble a similar habitat to 3181 the pre-disturbance conditions.

3182 3.15 Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources

3183 CEQ regulations require environmental analyses to identify "...any irreversible and irretrievable
3184 commitments of resources that would be involved in the proposal should it be implemented" (40 CFR
3185 Section 1502.16). A commitment of resources is irreversible when its primary or secondary impacts limit
3186 the future options for a resource or limit those factors that are renewable only over long periods of time.
3187 Examples of nonrenewable resources are minerals, including petroleum. An irretrievable commitment of
3188 resources refers to the use or consumption of a resource that is neither renewable nor recoverable for use

- 3189 by future generations. An example of an irretrievable resource is the loss of a recreational use of an area
- 3190 or the disturbance of a cultural site. While an action may result in the loss of a resource that is
- 3191 irretrievable, the action may be reversible.
- 3192 For the Proposed Action, resources consumed during O&M activities and vegetation management
- 3193 activities, including labor, fossil fuels, and materials (e.g., poles, wire), would be committed for the life of
- the project. Nonrenewable fossil fuels would be irretrievably lost through the use of gasoline- and diesel-
- 3195 powered equipment and generators during O&M and vegetation management activities. The Proposed
- 3196 Action has committed approximately 341 acres of land for continuing the operation of the office/
- 3197 maintenance facilities, communication sites, and substations. An additional 16,369 acres of ROW are
- 3198 committed with vegetation kept in seral stages. Although these resources could be reclaimed in the future,

- 3199 especially along the ROW, it is unlikely that they would be restored to their original conditions and
- 3200 functionality. Therefore, these commitments are considered irreversible.
- 3201 The implementation of the Proposed Action would potentially result in the irretrievable commitment of
- 3202 energy and small quantities of process chemicals, herbicides, and nutrients. Irretrievable commitment of
- 3203 building materials for maintenance of the facilities would also occur.

3204 3.16 Unavoidable Adverse Impacts

3205 Unavoidable adverse impacts are environmental impacts that cannot be effectively mitigated. Each

- resource section includes recommended BMPs and mitigation measures to avoid or reduce adverseenvironmental impacts. Vegetation management along the ROW could be considered to cause adverse
- environmental impacts. Vegetation management along the ROW could be considered to cause adverseeffects as it limits vegetation diversity and structure. However, since vegetation management is necessary
- 3209 to ensure Southwestern can safely and reliably operate and maintain its existing electrical transmission
- 3210 facilities and deliver electrical power, it is unavoidable.

3211

3212 4.0 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

3213 4.1 Cumulative Impacts

3214 The CEQ regulations (40 CFR 1508.7) require assessment of cumulative impacts in the decision-making 3215 process for federal projects. Cumulative impacts on environmental resources result from incremental 3216 effects of proposed actions, when combined with other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future 3217 projects in the area. Cumulative impacts can result from individually minor, but collectively substantial, 3218 actions undertaken over a period of time by various agencies (federal, state, and local) or individuals. 3219 Informed decision making is served by consideration of cumulative impacts resulting from projects that 3220 are proposed, under construction, recently completed, or anticipated to be implemented in the foreseeable 3221 future.

3222 4.1.1 Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Actions

3223 For future actions to be relevant to the cumulative impacts analysis, the actions must affect resources

3224 (be the cause of some type of effect whether beneficial or adverse) within the Proposed Action areas.

3225 Construction, agricultural practices, and forest management activities can cause similar impacts to those

3226 described for the Proposed Action. Present and future projects may include:

- 3227 USFS land management activities
- 3228 USACE dam maintenance and reservoir activities
- 3229 Agricultural production
- 3230 Maintenance/construction at the hydropower dams where Southwestern's power is initiated
- 3231 Development and construction
- 3232 Land management activities on WMAs or conservation grounds
- Future construction planned by Southwestern: two communication towers and the associated access road would be constructed in the near future in Polk and Vista counties, Missouri. The estimated footprint of the project is expected to be 0.25 square mile for each.

3236 4.1.2 Cumulative Impacts Summary

3237 4.1.2.1 Proposed Action

- 3238 Due to the temporal distribution and spatial distribution over a large geographical area, the O&M and
- 3239 vegetation management activities would contribute relatively minor impacts when considered together
- 3240 with other actions in the region. Potential cumulative impacts for each resource area are discussed below.

3241 4.1.2.1.1 Land Use

- 3242 The Proposed Action activities would take place within existing Southwestern facilities. No new ROWs
- 3243 would be created and no new facilities would be constructed; changes in land use and management would
- 3244 not occur. Southwestern would continue to comply with existing special use permits for its facilities in the
- 3245 Mark Twain National Forest in southeastern Missouri and the Ozark-St. Francis National Forest in
- Arkansas. Areas owned by the USFS are not likely to change ownership and agricultural areas are likely to continue to be used for future agriculture production. A potential exists that some easements along
- 3248 private lands for the ROW may change ownership; however, Southwestern would continue to work with
- 3249 landowners to maintain the perpetual easements. Any changes in adjacent land use (e.g., development
- 3250 along the ROW) would be spatially and temporally distributed along the Proposed Action's location. No
- 3251 cumulative impacts to land use are expected.

3252 4.1.2.1.2 Water Resources

3253 Some short-term decreases in water quality, from erosion, increased surface water runoff, or 3254 sedimentation, could occur during Proposed Action activities, although implementation of BMPs would 3255 reduce the potential for impacts. Construction and forest management practices could contribute to soil 3256 erosion and indirectly impact water quality. The potential effect, however, would be minor, short-term, 3257 and restricted to conditions in which one or more of the identified project activities are in the same 3258 watershed as the Proposed Action watersheds. Southwestern procedures for herbicides restrictions near 3259 surface water bodies would minimize impacts from vegetation management under the Proposed Action. 3260 In addition, agricultural practices in areas along the ROW may include the use of herbicides. Due to the 3261 linear nature of powerlines, the ratio of treated to untreated surface area in any given watershed is usually 3262 sufficiently low to permit rapid dilution. Even when combined with concentrated areas or blocks of land 3263 typical of herbicide treatments in agricultural areas, cumulative impacts from vegetation management are

3264 not expected as the Proposed Action would contribute little to the impact.

3265 4.1.2.1.3 Biological Resources

3266 Potential impacts to vegetation and wildlife from the Proposed Action would be short-term and 3267 concentrated in a small footprint per activity. Potential cumulative effects could occur when multiple 3268 projects are implemented in the same general area at the same time increasing the magnitude of 3269 disturbance, decreasing plant diversity, and when wildlife habitats are permanently or temporarily 3270 affected. Other present and future activities are also confined to specific areas and have a small chance of 3271 temporally and spatially overlapping the Proposed Action since only portions of the ROW are managed at 3272 a time. Adverse cumulative effects to wildlife could include loss and degradation of wildlife habitat, 3273 increased disturbance from noise, increased mortality, and disturbance and displacement of wildlife. 3274 Because ROWs are linear and spread over a large geographic area, implementation of the Proposed 3275 Action when combined with other actions in the area would contribute relatively minor overall 3276 cumulative impacts on vegetation and wildlife in the region. Cumulative beneficial impacts to vegetation 3277 may be realized as land agencies collectively control noxious weeds.

3278 Potential cumulative impacts to special status species is species specific. The dispersed nature of the 3279 mussel species and plant species combined with the temporal and spatial distribution of the Proposed 3280 Action and the future projects would preclude any cumulative impacts to these species. The distribution 3281 of the ABB and the four bat species, however, make them more likely to be impacted. Cumulative effects 3282 could occur when: 1) suitable species habitats are affected, either short-term or long-term, by multiple 3283 projects; 2) when multiple projects are implemented in the same general area at the same time increasing 3284 the magnitude of noise and general disturbance. Construction activities and forest management practices 3285 that remove trees have the potential to impact some of the bat species, and ground disturbing activities

3286 could affect the ABB. Timing restrictions applied to federal tree removal projects, and the spatial 3287 distribution of other projects, would reduce potential cumulative impacts to bats across the region. For 3288 projects that disturb more than 3 acres in the six-county distribution of the ABB in Arkansas, 3289 absence/presence surveys must be conducted. Oklahoma requires surveys for projects with ground 3290 disturbance in the ABB area or if not conducted, it is assumed the species are present and mitigation 3291 efforts must be made (USFWS 2016d). Surveys, in addition to mitigation efforts and consultation with the 3292 USFWS for other federal projects, would reduce potential cumulative effects to the ABB; however, 3293 cumulative effects to the species would be adverse but minor due to the concentration of the species in the 3294 region.

3295 4.1.2.1.4 Air Quality

3296 Impacts from the Proposed Action would be minimal, emissions would be short-term and would occur 3297 only during the time that the engines are in operation, and would not cause regional changes to air quality. 3298 All counties containing Southwestern facilities in all three states are in attainment for the six criteria 3299 pollutants. Emissions from other projects would be localized and spatially distributed across a wide 3300 landscape in three states and when combined with the Proposed Action would not cumulatively impact air 3301 quality.

3302 4.1.2.1.5 Geology and Soils

3303 Potential cumulative impacts to this resource category include soil erosion and compaction and reduced 3304 soil productivity. Equipment use for both the O&M and vegetation management activities would cause 3305 localized and short-term soil compaction and erosion. Karst terrain would be unlikely to be impacted by 3306 O&M activities and vegetation management activities as Southwestern employees are trained to identify 3307 these areas and protect them. The Proposed Action, when combined with other projects, would not cause 3308 a direct cumulative effect on soils and geology. Any direct effects on soils would be spatially and 3309 temporally distributed along the Proposed Action's location and would not likely combine with other 3310 existing and reasonably foreseeable projects.

3311 4.1.2.1.6 Cultural Resources

Potential damage or exposure of cultural resources could occur with new construction projects. The areas
 under agriculture production have been so historically and are not expected to contribute to cumulative
 impacts to cultural resources. Land management agencies such as the USFS have plans and policies in

- 3315 place to protect cultural resources on their lands and therefore impacts are not expected from these
- activities. Implementation of the Southwestern PAs and the Section 106 consultation process, as well as
- 3317 other land management agency plans would reduce any adverse effects to the resources. Therefore,
- 3318 potential cumulative effects to cultural resources would not be significant.

3319 4.1.2.1.7 Environmental Justice

- 3320 Although much of the Proposed Action area contains census tracts with greater percentage of residents
- below the poverty level than the overall statewide percentages, these areas would not experience
- 3322 disproportionate impacts when compared to census tracts with lower poverty rates. Because Southwestern
- 3323 facilities are spread throughout a large geographic area, impacts of the Proposed Action are dispersed and
- 3324 would not cause cumulative impacts when combined with other present or future projects.

3325 4.1.2.1.8 Noise

- Proposed Action activities would be temporary, intermittent, of short duration, and dispersed throughout
 the Proposed Action area. No new stationary sources of permanent noise would be introduced. In
- addition, BMPs, such as limiting the use of noise-generating equipment next to campgrounds to daytime
- hours and using noise abatement devices on noisy equipment and vehicles, would be implemented. Noise
- from other projects would be localized, spatially distributed across a wide landscape in three states, and
- 3331 likely temporary, and when combined with the Proposed Action would not cumulatively impact noise.

3332 4.1.2.1.9 Safety and Health

- 3333 Safety and health impacts from the Proposed Action can be divided into physical injury risks and health
- risks. Public safety and health could be impacted if the public were near the work sites or came into
- contact with the herbicides being applied. Occupational safety and health programs are required under
 OSHA. Under the Proposed Action, the regulatory compliance requirements and Southwestern's
- OSHA. Under the Proposed Action, the regulatory compliance requirements and Southwestern's
 guidelines and programs that are in place to be protective of both public and occupational health and
- 2220 guidennes and programs that are in place to be protective of both public and occupational heatin and
- safety would remain in place and continue to be reviewed and updated on a regular basis. If personnel
 from another project were in or adjacent to a Southwestern work site, Southwestern's management would
- address the situation appropriately. This is an unlikely scenario because other projects would be localized,
- 3341 spatially distributed across a wide landscape in three states, and occurring at differing times, and
- therefore, unlikely to combine with the Proposed Action to cause cumulative safety and health impacts.

3343 4.1.2.1.10 Materials and Waste

Under the Proposed Action, the regulatory compliance requirements and Southwestern's guidelines and programs that are in place to manage materials and waste would remain in place and continue to be reviewed and updated on a regular basis. Use of materials and generation of waste is not expected to change much under the Proposed Action. No new hazardous materials or petroleum products are proposed; as such cumulative impacts to materials and waste are not likely.

3349 **4.1.2.1.11** Transportation

- 3350 Machinery and personnel would continue to be transported to and from the facilities using established and
- maintained roadways. Access through private property would be maintained with permission of the
- 3352 specific landowner. Proposed Action activities would continue to occur along existing transmission lines
- and at substations, communication sites, and offices and are not expected to have measureable effects on
- transportation. While some maintenance activities may require temporary lane closures or disruptions
- 3355 (limited only to areas where lines cross public roadways), any such disruption would be short-term. If

- 3356 such lane closures or disruptions were to impact another project in or adjacent to such closure,
- 3357 Southwestern's management would address the situation appropriately. This is an unlikely scenario
- because other projects would be localized, spatially distributed across a wide landscape in three states,
- and occurring at differing times, and therefore, unlikely to combine with the Proposed Action to cause
- 3360 cumulative transportation impacts.

3361 4.1.2.2 No Action Alternative

3362 Under the No Action Alternative, O&M activities would continue and changes to the vegetation

3363 management program would not occur. Cumulative impacts of the No Action Alternative when combined

with past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future projects would be similar to those described for theProposed Action.

3366

3367 **5.0 LIST OF PREPARERS**

3368 **Table 5-1. List of Preparers and Contributors**

Name	Education	Certifications	Responsibility
Wendy Arjo	Ph.D. Fish and Wildlife Biology	Certified Wildlife Biologist®	Biological Resources, Cultural Resources, Cumulative Impacts
Tonya Bartels	M.S. Analytical Chemistry	None	Land Use, Noise, Environmental Justice, Transportation, Technical Editing
Michael Finley	B.S. Forestry	Certified Applicator, and Qualified Supervisor for Colorado Department of Agriculture	Subject Matter Expert for Herbicides
Brooke Hill	M.S. Wildlife Biology	None	Biological Resources
Melissa Russ	M.S. Geology	P.G., Utah, Wyoming	Water Resources, Geology and Soils, Safety and Health, Materials and Waste
Leroy Shaser	M.S. Geology	None	Air Quality, Intentional Destructive Acts, GIS

3369

3370 6.0 REFERENCES

- AGFC (Arkansas Game and Fish Commission). 2018a. Harold E. Alexander Spring River WMA.
 Available at <u>https://www.agfc.com/en/zone-map/707/</u>. Accessed 16 June 2018.
- AGFC. 2018b. Robert L. Hankins Mud Creek WMA. Available at: <u>https://www.agfc.com/en/zone-</u>
 3374 <u>map/756/</u>. Accessed 16 June 2018.
- Anderson, R.S. 1982. On the decreasing abundance of *Nicrophorus americanus* Olivier (Coleoptera:
 Silphidae) in eastern North America. The Coleopterists Bulletin 36:362-365.
- Arkansas Geological Survey. 2015a. Springs of Arkansas. Available at:
 <u>http://www.geology.ar.gov/water/springs.htm</u>. Accessed May 31, 2018).
- Arkansas Geological Survey. 2015b. Groundwater. Available at:
 <u>http://www.geology.ar.gov/water/aquifer.htm</u>. Accessed June 2, 2018.
- Arkansas Geological Survey. 2018a. Land Subsidence. Available at:
 <u>http://www.geology.ar.gov/geohazards/landsubsidence.htm.</u> Accessed June 2, 2018.
- Arkansas Geological Survey. 2018b. Arkansas Earthquake Updates. Available at:
 <u>http://www.geology.ar.gov/geohazards/earthquakes.htm.</u> Accessed 2 June 2018.
- Arkansas Natural Heritage Commission 2018. Foushee Cave Natural Area. Available at:
 <u>http://www.naturalheritage.com/natural-areas/foushee-cave-natural-area</u>. Accessed 16 June 2018.
- Arkansas Natural Resources Commission. 2017, May. Arkansas Groundwater Protection and
 Management Report for 2016.
- Arkansas Pollution Control and Ecology Commission. 2016. Regulation No. 19, Regulations of the
 Arkansas Plan of Implementation for Air Pollution Control, #014.00-019. February 26, 2016.
- ASU (Arkansas State University). 2018. Arkansas Heritage Sites- Hemingway-Pfeiffer museum and
 educational center. Available at: <u>http://hemingway.astate.edu/</u>. Accessed 11 June 2018.
- Audubon Arkansas. 2018. Birds of Arkansas. Available at:
 <u>http://www.birdsofarkansas.org/sort.asp?type=habitat</u>. Accessed 19 June 2018.
- Baxter County Government. 2018. Arkansas Historic Preservation Program. Available at:
 <u>http://www.baxtercounty.org/ahpp.php.</u> Accessed 11 June 2018.
- Buchner et al. (Buchner, C.A., A. Saatkamp, A, Hinnenkamp-Faulk). 2016. Phase I Cultural Resources
 Survey for the SWPA Line 3101 Structure Replacement and Access Road Upgrade, Okfuskee
 County, OK.
- 3400 CEQ (Council on Environmental Quality). 1997, December 10. Environmental Justice Guidance Under
 3401 the National Environmental Policy Act.

3402 3403 3404 3405	Chapman et al. (Chapman, S.S., Omernik, J.M., Griffith, G.E., Schroeder, W.A., Nigh, T.A., and T.F. Wilton). 2002. Ecoregions of Iowa and Missouri (color poster with map, descriptive text, summary tables, and photographs): Reston, Virginia, U.S. Geological Survey (map scale 1:1,800,000).
3406 3407	Choate, L.L. and C. Jones. 1998. Annotated checklist of recent land mammals of Oklahoma. Occasional Papers, Museum of Texas Tech University 181:1-13.
3408 3409	Connior, M.B. 2010. Annotated checklist of the recent wild mammals of Arkansas. Occasional Papers, Museum of Texas Tech University 293:1-12.
3410 3411 3412 3413	Cooper et al. (Cooper, J.H., V.A. Pierce, E.S. Cooper, W.M. Jurgelski, and L.E. Moore). 2006. National Register of Historic Places Evaluations of Southwestern Power Administration Facilities in Arkansas: Electrical Stations and Maintenance Facilities. C Dimensions, Plano, Texas. Submitted to: Wyandotte Net Tel and Southwestern Power Administration.
3414 3415 3416	Creighton et al. (Creighton, J.C., C.C. Vaughn, and B.R. Chapman). 1993. Habitat preference of the endangered American burying beetle (<i>Nicrophorus americanus</i>) in Oklahoma. The Southwestern Naturalist 38:275-277.
3417 3418	Creighton, J.C. and G. Schnell. 1998. Short-term movement patterns of the endangered American burying beetle Nicrophorus americanus. Biological Conservation 86:281-287.
3419 3420 3421	Department of Arkansas Heritage. Arkansas Historic Preservation Program. 2017. Lake Leatherwood Park Historic District. Available at: <u>http://www.arkansaspreservation.com/national-register-</u> <u>listings/lake-leatherwood-park-historic-district</u> . Accessed 11 June 2018.
3422 3423	DOE (U.S. Department of Energy). 2006, December 1. Need to Consider Intentional Destructive Acts in NEPA Documents. Memorandum
3424 3425 3426	EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency) 1978. Protective Noise Levels, Condensed Version of EPA Levels Document. EPA 550/9-79-100. November 1978. Office of Noise Abatement & Control, Washington, D.C.
3427 3428 3429	FHWA (Federal Highway Administration). 2017, August 24. Construction Noise Handbook. Available at: <u>https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/Environment/noise/construction_noise/handbook/handbook09.cfm</u> . Accessed 2 July 2018.
3430 3431	Fuller, B. 2018. (USFWS Oklahoma bat specialist). 2018, March 5. Personnel communication with Kristofer Mierisch RPA. Subject: USFWS recommendations for bat guidance document.
3432 3433 3434	Graening, G.O. and A.V. Brown. 2000. Status Survey of Aquatic Cave Fauna in Arkansas. Available at: https://arkansas-water-center.uark.edu/publications/msc/MSC286.PDF . Accessed 19 February 2018.

3435 3436	Graening et al. (Graening, G.O., Fenolio, D.B., Niemiller, M.W., Brown, A.V., and J.B. Beard). 2010. The 30-year recovery effort for the Ozark cavefish (<i>Amblyopsis rosae</i>): Analysis of current
3437 3438	distribution, population trends, and conservation status of this threatened species. Environmental Biology of Fishes 87:55-88.
3439	Hanson et al. (Hanson, C.E., Towers, D.A., and Meister, L.D). 2006, May. Transit Noise and Vibration
3440 3441	Impact Assessment. FTA-VA-90-1003-06. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Transit Administration, Office of Planning and Environment. Washington, D.C.
3442 3443	Herps of Arkansas. 2017. Herps of Arkansas. Available at: <u>http://www.herpsofarkansas.com/</u> . Accessed 19 June 2018.
3444	Inebnit, T. 2018. (USFWS Arkansas bat specialist). 2018, February 16. Personnel communication with
3445	Kristofer Mierisch RPA. Subject: USFWS recommendations for bat guidance document.
3446	Kansas Historical Society. 2018. Osage- Treaties with the United States. Available at:
3447	https://www.kshs.org/kansapedia/osage-treaties-with-the-united-states/19293. Accessed 11 June
3448	2018.
3449	LMRCC (Lower Mississippi River Conservation Committee). 2014. Endangered Fat Pocketbook mussel
3450	found along the lower Miss. Available at: <u>http://www.lmrcc.org/endangered-fat-pocketbook-</u>
3451	mussel-found-along-lower-miss/. Accessed 10 April 2018.
3452	Lomolino et al. (Lomolino, M.V., J.C. Creighton, G.D. Schnell, and D. L. Certain). 1995. Ecology and
3453 3454	conservation of the endangered American burying beetle, <i>Nicrophorus americanus</i> . Conservation Biology 9:605-614.
3455	Lomolino, M.V. and J.C. Creighton. 1996. Habitat selection, breeding success and conservation of the
3456	endangered American burying beetle, Nicrophorus americanus. Biological Conservation 77:235-
3457	241.
3458	Marquardt, S. 2018. (USFWS Missouri bat specialist). 2018, February 2. Personnel communication with
3459	Kristofer Mierisch RPA. Subject: USFWS recommendations for bat guidance document.
3460	MDC (Missouri Department of Conservation). 2015. Best management practices for construction and
3461	development projects – Virginia sneezeweed (Helenium virginicum). Available at:
3462	https://mdc.mo.gov/sites/default/files/downloads/Virginia%20Sneezeweed.pdf. Accessed 3 June
3463	2018.
3464	MDC. 2018a. Shepherd of the Hills Fish Hatchery. Available at: <u>https://nature.mdc.mo.gov/discover-</u>
3465	nature/places/shepherd-hills-fish-hatchery. Accessed 16 June 2018.
3466	MDC. 2018b. Missouri bladderpod (Physaria filiformis). Available at:
3467	https://nature.mdc.mo.gov/discover-nature/field-guide/missouri-bladderpod. Accessed 1 June
3468	2018.

- MDC. 2018c. Field guide A-X: Pondberry (*Lindera melissifolia*). Available at:
 https://nature.mdc.mo.gov/discover-nature/field-guide/pondberry. Accessed 4 June 2018.
- MDC. 2018d. Missouri Natural Heritage Program Missouri Fish and Wildlife Information System.
 Available at: <u>https://mdc.mo.gov/property/greener-communities/heritage-program.</u> Accessed 3
 June 2018.
- Missouri. 2017. Code of State Regulations, Title 10 Department of Natural Resources, Chapter 6 Air
 quality standards, definitions, sampling and reference methods and air pollution control
 regulations for the entire State of Missouri.
- 3477 Missouri Department of Natural Resources. 2018. Facts about the New Madrid Seismic Zone. Available
 3478 at: <u>https://dnr.mo.gov/geology/geosrv/geores/techbulletin1.htm.</u> Accessed 2 June 2018.
- 3479 Missouri Digital Heritage. 2018. Missouri State Archives.-Timeline of Missouri History. Available at:
 3480 <u>https://www.sos.mo.gov/archives/history/timeline/timeline3</u>. Accessed 11 June 2018.
- 3481 Missouri Herpetological Atlas Project. 2017. Project. Available at <u>https://atlas.moherp.org/project/.</u>
 3482 Accessed 19 June 2018.
- Newman, J.S. and Beattie, K.R. 1985. Aviation Noise Effects. FAA-EE-85-2, March 1985. Federal
 Aviation Administration, Washington, D.C.
- 3485 NPS (National Park Service). 1978. Oktaha School National Register of Historic Paces Inventory
 3486 Nomination From. Available at: <u>https://npgallery.nps.gov/GetAsset/f361064b-1245-4f06-b3fb-</u>
 3487 <u>e6bd278c033f</u>. Accessed 11 June 2018.
- 3488 NPS. 2017. Physiographic Provinces. Available at:
 3489 <u>https://www.nps.gov/subjects/geology/physiographic-provinces.htm</u>. Accessed June 13, 2018.
- NPS. 2018. George Washington Carver. Available at: <u>https://www.nps.gov/gwca/index.htm.</u> Accessed 11
 June 2018.
- Nowak et al. (Nowak, M.V., B.N. London, D.A. Thompson, and N.H. Lopinot). 2018. A Phase I Cultural
 Resources Survey for Power Line Upgrades in Greene County, Missouri. Missouri State
 University. Research report No. 1617.
- NPIC (National Pesticide Information Center). 2018. "Groundwater Ubiquity Score (GUS)." Available at:
 <u>http://npic.orst.edu/ingred/ppdmove.htm</u>. Accessed 11 April 2018.
- 3497 ODWC (Oklahoma Department of Wildlife Conservation). 2011a. Gray bat (*Myotis grisescens*).
 3498 Available at: <u>https://www.wildlifedepartment.com/wildlifemgmt/endangered/gray_bat.htm</u>.
 3499 Accessed 10 January 2018.
- ODWC. 2011b. Ozark big-eared bat. (*Corynorhinus* (= *Plecotus*) townsendii ingens). Available at:
 <u>https://www.wildlifedepartment.com/wildlifemgmt/endangered/ozark_bat.htm</u>. Accessed 5
 March 2018.

- Oklahoma. 2017. Oklahoma DEQ Rules and Regulations, Title 252. Department of Environmental
 Quality, Chapter 100. Air Pollution Control. Effective date September 15, 2017.
- Oklahoma Historical Society. 2018a. Le Flore County. Available at:
 <u>http://www.okhistory.org/publications/enc/entry.php?entry=LE007</u>. Accessed 11 June 2018.
- Oklahoma Historical Society. 2018b. Honey Springs Battlefield and Visitor Center. Available at:
 <u>http://www.okhistory.org/sites/honeysprings.</u> Accessed 11 June 2018.
- Oklahoma Scenic Rivers Commission. 2018. Scenic Rivers. Available at:
 <u>https://ok.gov/osrc/Scenic_Rivers/index.html</u>. Accessed 14 June 2018.
- Pilcher, Mistie. (Environmental Specialist for Southwestern). 2018, June 19. Personal communication
 with M. Russ (NEPA Specialist, AGEISS Inc.). Subject: OSHA Information.
- Ratcliffe, B.C. 1996. The carrion beetles (Coleoptera: Silphidae) of Nebraska. Bulletin of the Nebraska
 State Museum Vol. 13.
- Simpson, A. 2018. Federal Interagency Committee for the Management of Noxious and Exotic weeds
 (FICMNEW). Available at: <u>https://my.usgs.gov/confluence/display/ficmnew</u>. Accessed 10 July
 2018.
- Southwestern (Southwestern Power Administration). 1995a, April 13. Final Environmental Assessment
 for Vegetation Control along Transmission Line Rights-of-Way. Prepared for Southwestern
 Power Administration, U.S. Department of Energy. Prepared by Black & Veatch.
- Southwestern. 1995b, October 13. Final Environmental Assessment for Vegetation Control at VHF
 Stations, Microwave Stations, Electrical Substations, and Pole Yards. Prepared for Southwestern
 Power Administration, U.S. Department of Energy. Prepared by Black & Veatch.
- 3524 Southwestern. 2005, November 15. Order SWPA O 450.1A, Subject: Environmental Protection Program.
- Southwestern. 2018. Southwestern Power Administration, Vegetation Management Endangered Species
 Act Bat Decision Guide. Vegetation management endangered species act guidance document for
 Southwestern Power Administration's (SWPA) transmission line right of way maintenance
 activities, Missouri, Arkansas, and Oklahoma. Tectonic Engineering and Surveying Consultants
 P.C. #8869-GSA-05.
- The Living New Deal. 2018. Nichols Park Henryetta Oklahoma. Available at:
 https://livingnewdeal.org/projects/nichols-park-henryetta-ok/. Accessed 11 June 2018.
- USACE (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2006. Distribution and Abundance of the Interior Population of
 the Least Tern (*Sternula antillarum*), 2005. Available at:
 http://www.dtic.mil/dtic/tr/fulltext/u2/a461429.pdf. Accessed 19 February 2018.
- USACE. 2018a. Tulsa District, Recreation. Available at:
 https://www.swt.usace.army.mil/Missions/Recreation/. Accessed 2 July 2018.

- USACE. 2018b. Little Rock District, Recreation. Available at:
 https://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Recreation/. Accessed 2 July 2018.
- USACE. 2018c. Conservation plan for the endangered Fat Pocketbook Mussel in the St. Francis River
 Basin. Prepared by the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, Memphis District, Memphis, Tennessee.
 Available at:
- 3542https://www.fws.gov/mississippies/_pdf/3_MVM_FPM_Conservation_Plan_2017.pdf. Accessed354310 April 2018.
- U.S. Census Bureau. 2016a. Poverty Thresholds for 2016 by Size of Family and Number of Related
 Children Under 18 Years. Available at: <u>https://www.census.gov/data/tables/time-</u>
 series/demo/income-poverty/historical-poverty-thresholds.html. Accessed 10 July 2018.
- U.S. Census Bureau. 2016b. ACS Demographic and Housing Estimates. 2012-2016 American
 Community Survey 5-Year Estimates. Available at:
- 3549
 https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=ACS_16_5YR

 3550
 _DP05&prodType=table. Accessed 10 July 2018.
- U.S. Census Bureau. 2016c. Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months. 2012-2016 American Community
 Survey 5-Year Estimates. Available at:
 https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=ACS_16_5YR
- 3554 <u>S1701&prodType=table</u>. Accessed 10 July 2018.
- USDA (U.S. Department of Agriculture). 1998. Soil Order Map of the United States. Available at:
 <u>https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_MEDIA/stelprdb1237749.pdf</u>. Accessed July 11, 2018).
- USDA Forest Service. 2003. Conservation Assessment for Ozark hellbender (*Cryptobranchus alleganiensis bishopi Grobman*). Available at:
 <u>https://www.fs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/fsm91_054403.pdf</u>. Accessed 19 February
 2018.
- USDA Forest Service. 2014, June. Final Environmental Assessment, Southwestern Power
 Administration's Utility Corridor and Tower Site Vegetation Management. Pope and Searcy
 Counties, Arkansas, Ozark-St. Francis National Forest, Big Piney Ranger District.
- USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 1976. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants;
 Endangered Status for 159 Taxa of Animals. Prepared by U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Federal
 Register 41, No. 115. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/docs/federal_register/fr103.pdf</u>. Accessed 10 April
 2018.
- USFWS. 1985. A recovery plan for the Pink Mucket Pearly Mussel *Lampsilis orbiculata* (Hildreth,
 1828). USFWS, Southeast Region, Atlanta, Georgia. Available at:
 <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/docs/recovery_plan/pink%20mucket%20rp.pdf</u>. Accessed 10 April 2018.

3571	USFWS 1986. A recovery plan for the Curtis' Pearl Mussel Epioblasma florentina curtisi (Utterback
3572	1915). USFWS, North Central Region, Twin Cities, Minnesota. Available at:
3573	https://ecos.fws.gov/docs/recovery_plan/860204.pdf. Accessed 10 April 2018.
3574	USFWS 1988. Great Lakes and Northern Great Plains Piping Plover Recovery Plan. Available at:
3575	https://books.google.com/books?id=4TE3AQAAMAAJ&pg=PR2&lpg=PR2&dq=Great+Lakes+
3576	and+Northern+Great+Plains+piping+plover+recovery+plan.+Twin+Cities,+Minnesota.&source=
3577	bl&ots=dZIUof88_v&sig=vBrwvDAbyFZ9EbvDRHqN45I_zbE&hl=en&sa=X&ved=0ahUKEwj
3578	pu4DA. Accessed 20 February 2018.
3579	USFWS. 1989a. A recovery plan for the Fat Pocketbook Pearly Mussel Potamilus capax (Green 1832).
3580	USFWS, Southeast Region, Atlanta, Georgia. Available at:
3581	https://ecos.fws.gov/docs/recovery_plan/891114c.pdf. Accessed 10 April 2018.
3582	USFWS. 1989b. Ozark Cavefish Recovery Plan. Available at: <u>https://esadocs.cci-</u>
3583	dev.org/ESAdocs/recovery_plan/891114b.pdf. Accessed 19 February 2018.
3584 3585 3586	USFWS 1991a. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Determination of Endangered Status for the Winged Mapleleaf Freshwater Mussel. Prepared by U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Federal Register 56, No. 119. Available at: https://ecos.fws.gov/docs/federal_register/fr1873.pdf.
3587	Accessed 20 February 2018.
3588 3589	USFWS. 1991b. American burying beetle (<i>Nicrophorus americanus</i>) recovery plan. Newton Corner, Massachusetts. 80 pp.
3590 3591	USFWS. 1993. Geocarpon minimum McKensie recovery plan. Available at: <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/docs/recovery_plan/930726.pdf</u> . Accessed 3 June 2018.
3592	USFWS 1995. Ozark big-eared bat Revised recovery plan. Available at:
3593	https://ecos.fws.gov/docs/recovery_plan/950328b.pdf. Accessed 5 March 2018.
3594 3595	USFWS. 1997. Winged Mapleleaf Mussel Recovery Plan (<i>Quadrula fragosa</i>). Available at: <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/docs/recovery_plan/970625.pdf</u> . Accessed 20 February 2018.
3596 3597 3598 3599 3600	USFWS. 1998. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Final Rule to List the Arkansas River Basin Population of the Arkansas River Shiner (<i>Notropis girardi</i>) As Threatened. Prepared by U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Federal Register 63, No. 225. Available at: <u>https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-1998-11-23/pdf/98-31096.pdf#page=1.</u> Accessed 6 June 2018.
3601 3602 3603 3604	USFWS. 2001a. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Determination of Endangered Status for the Scaleshell Mussel. Prepared by U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Federal Register 66, No. 195. Available at: <u>https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2001-10-09/pdf/01-24804.pdf.</u> Accessed 10 April 2018.
3605 3606	USFWS. 2001b. Hine's emerald dragonfly (<i>Somatochlora hineana</i>), Recovery Plan. Available at: https://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/insects/hed/hed-recplan.html . Accessed 2 June 2018.

- 3607 USFWS. 2003a. Mead's milkweed (*Asclepias meadii*) recovery plan. Available at:
 3608 <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/docs/recovery_plan/030922b.pdf</u>. Accessed 4 June 2018.
- 3609 USFWS. 2003b. Missouri bladderpod- fact sheet. Available at:
 3610 <u>https://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/plants/mo_blad/bladderp_fs.html</u>. Accessed 1 June
 3611 2018.
- USFWS. 2005. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Final Designation of Critical Habitat for
 the Arkansas River Basin Population of the Arkansas River Shiner (*Notropis girardi*); Final Rule.
 Prepared by U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Federal Register 70, No. 197. Available at:
 <u>https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2005-10-13/pdf/05-20048.pdf#page=2</u>. Accessed 6 June
 2018.
- 3617 USFWS. 2006. Indiana bat (*Myotis sodalis*) fact sheet. Available at:
 3618 <u>https://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/mammals/inba/inbafctsht.html</u>. Accessed 31 January
 3619 2018.
- 3620 USFWS. 2008. Section 7 technical assistance. Summary of Indiana bat ecology. Available at:
 3621 <u>https://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/section7/s7process/mammals/inba/INBAEcologySum</u>
 3622 mary.html. Accessed 6 February 2018.
- 3623 USFWS. 2009a. Gray bat (*Myotis grisescens*) 5-year review: summary and evaluation. Available at:
 3624 <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/docs/five_year_review/doc2625.pdf</u>. Accessed 10 January 2018.
- 3625 USFWS. 2009b. Piping Plover (*Charadrius melodus*) 5-Year Review: Summary and Evaluation.
 3626 Available at:
- 3627https://www.fws.gov/northeast/endangered/pdf/piping_plover_five_year_review_and_summary.p3628df. Accessed 20 February 2018.
- 3629 USFWS. 2010a. Virginia sneezeweed (*Helenium virginicum*). Available at:
 3630 <u>https://www.fws.gov/northeast/pdf/VAsneezeweed.pdf</u>. Accessed 3 June 2018.
- USFWS. 2010b. Curtis' Pearlymussel (*Epioblasma florentina curtisii*). 5-year review: summary and
 evaluation. USFWS, Columbia Missouri Field Office, Columbia, Missouri. Available at:
 <u>https://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/recovery/5yr_rev/pdf/CurtisPearlymussel5YRreview.p</u>
 df. Accessed 10 April 2018.
- USFWS. 2011a. Ozark cavefish (*Amblyopsis rosae* Eigenmann 1898). 5-year review: summary and
 evaluation. USFWS, Arkansas Ecological Services Field Office, Conway, Arkansas. Available at:
 <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/docs/five_year_review/doc3850.pdf</u>. Accessed 19 February 2018.
- 3638 USFWS. 2011b. The Ozark big-eared bat. Available at:
- 3639https://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/Oklahoma/Documents/TE_Species/Species%20Profiles/Ozark3640%20Big%20Eared%20Bat.pdf. Accessed 5 March 2018.

3641	USFWS. 2012a. Fat Pocketbook (Potamilus capax). 5-year review: summary and evaluation. USFWS,
3642	Mississippi Ecological Services Field Office, Jackson, Mississippi. Available at:
3643	https://ecos.fws.gov/docs/five_year_review/doc3984.pdf. Accessed 10 April 2018.
3644	USFWS. 2012b. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Proposed Endangered Status for the
3645	Neosho Mucket, Threatened Status for the Rabbitsfoot, and Designation of Critical Habitat for
3646	Both Species. Prepared by U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Federal Register 77, No. 200.
3647	Available at: https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2012-10-16/pdf/2012-24151.pdf. Accessed 10
3648	April 2018.
3649	USFWS. 2012c. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Determination of Endangered Status for
3650	the Rayed Bean and Snuffbox Mussels Throughout Their Ranges. Prepared by U.S. Fish and
3651	Wildlife Service. Federal Register 77, No. 30. Available at:
3652	https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2012-02-14/pdf/2012-2940.pdf. Accessed 10 April
3653	2018.
3654	USFWS. 2012d. Recovery Outline for the Ozark Hellbender. Available at:
3655	https://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/amphibians/ozhe/pdf/ozheRecoveryOutline.pdf.
3656	Accessed 19 February 2018.
3657	USFWS. 2013a. Pondberry (Lindera melissifolia) 5 year review: summary and evaluation. Available at:
3658	https://www.fws.gov/southeast//pdf/five-year-reviews/pondberry.pdf. Accessed 4 June 2018.
3659	USFWS. 2013b. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Endangered Status for the Neosho
3660	Mucket and Threatened Status for the Rabbitsfoot. Prepared by U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
3661	Federal Register 78, No. 180. Available at: https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2013-09-
3662	<u>17/pdf/2013-22245.pdf</u> . Accessed 10 April 2018.
3663	USFWS. 2013c. Hine's Emerald Dragonfly, Somatochlora hineana (Odonata: Corduliidae)- 5-Year
3664	Review: Summary and Evaluation. Available at:
3665	https://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/insects/hed/pdf/HED5YearReviewMay2013.pdf.
3666	Accessed 2 June 2018.
3667	USFWS. 2013d. Interior Least Tern (Sternula antillarum) 5-Year Review: Summary and Evaluation.
3668	Available at: https://www.fws.gov/mississippies/_pdf/FINAL_ILT%205-
3669	year%20reivew_10_24_13.pdf. Accessed 19 February 2018.
3670	USFWS. 2014. Arkansas Ecological Services Field Office; Threatened, Endangered, and At-risk Species'
3671	Geographic Ranges & Life History Summaries. Available online at:
3672	http://www.washingtonccd.org/uploads/6/9/1/2/6912341/species_habitat_summary_for_nrcs_gis_
3673	tool_8-7-14.pdf. Accessed 20 February 2018.
3674	USFWS. 2015a. Arkansas threatened and endangered species- Pondberry. Available at:
3675	https://www.fws.gov/arkansas-es/Species/Plants/pondberry.html. Accessed 4 June 2018.

3676	USFWS. 2015b. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Designation of Critical Habitat for
3677	Neosho Mucket and Rabbitsfoot. Prepared by U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Federal Register
3678	80, No. 83. Available at: https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2015-04-30/pdf/2015-09200.pdf.
3679	Accessed 10 April 2018.
3680	USFWS. 2015c. Winged Mapleleaf (Quadrula fragosa) 5-year Review: Summary and Evaluation.
3681	Available at:
3682	https://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/clams/wima/pdf/WMMU5YearReview2015.pdf.
3683	Accessed 20 February 2018.
3684	USFWS. 2016a. Draft Revised Recovery Plan for the Northern Great Plains Piping Plover (Charadrius
3685	melodus). Available at: <u>https://www.fws.gov/mountain-</u>
3686	prairie/es/species/birds/pipingplover/2016/Vol%20I%20NGP%20Draft%20Revised%20Breeding
3687	%20Rec%20Plan.pdf. Accessed 20 February 2018.
3688	USFWS 2016b. Programmatic Biological Opinion on Final4(d) Rule for the Northern long-eared bat and
3689	activities excepted from Take Prohibitions. Available at:
3690	https://www.fws.gov/Midwest/endangered/mammals/nleb/pdf/BOnlebFinal4d.pdf. Accessed 8
3691	February 2018.
3692	USFWS 2016c. Northern long-eared bat (Myotis septentrionalis). Available at:
3693	https://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/mammals/nleb/nlebFactSheet.html. Accessed 8
3694	February 2018.
3695	USFWS 2016d. American burying beetle impact assessment for project review. Available at:
3696	https://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/oklahoma/documents/abb/surveying%20final/abb%20impact
3697	%20assessment%20for%20project%20reviews_30march2016_final.pdf. Accessed 11 July 2018.
3698	USFWS. 2018a. Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum) fact Sheet. Available at:
3699	https://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/plants/geocarpo.html. Accessed 3 June 2018.
3700	USFWS. 2018b. Mead's milkweed (Asclepias meadii) fact sheet. Available at:
3701	https://www.fws.gov/midwest/Endangered/plants/meads/meadsmil.html. Accessed 4 June 2018.
3702	USFWS. 2018c. Species profile for pondberry (Lindera melissifolia). Available at: https://ecos-
3703	beta.fws.gov/ecp0/profile/speciesProfile?sId=1279. Accessed 4 June 2018.
3704	USFWS 2018d. Neoscho Mucket – Missouri Critical habitat unit maps. Available at:
3705	https://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/clams/neoshomucket/CHMapUnitsMissouri.html.
3706	Accessed 22 June 2018.
3707	USFWS 2018e. Rabbitsfoot- Missouri Critical habitat unit maps. Available at:
3708	https://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/clams/rabbitsfoot/CHMapUnitsMissouri.html.
3709	Accessed 22 June 2018.
3710	USFWS 2018f. Hine's emerald dragonfly (Somatochlora hineana)- fact sheet. Available at:
3711	https://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/insects/hed/hins_fct.html. Accessed 2 June 2018.

- 3712 USFWS. 2018g. Mead's milkweed (*Asclepias meadii*) species account. Available at:
 3713 <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp0/profile/speciesProfile?sId=8204</u>. Accessed 4 June 2018.
- 3714 USFWS. 2018h. Species profile for Virginia sneezeweed (*Helenium virginicum*). Available at:
 3715 <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp0/profile/speciesProfile?spcode=Q2P9</u>. Accessed 3 June 2018.
- 3716 USFWS. 2018i. Northern long-eared bat Final 4(d) rule. Available at:
 3717 <u>https://www.fws.gov/Midwest/endangered/mammals/nleb/4drule.html</u>. Accessed 8 February
 3718 2018.
- 3719 Visit Cherokee Nation. 2018. Sequoyah's Cabin Museum. Available at:
 3720 <u>http://visitcherokeenation.com/ATTRACTIONS/Pages/sequoyahs-cabin.aspx</u>. Accessed 11 June
 3721 2018.
- Wiken, E., Nava, F.J., and G. Griffith. 2011. North American Terrestrial Ecoregions—Level III.
 Commission for Environmental Cooperation, Montreal, Canada.

Wikipedia. 2018. Mountain View School (Russellville, Arkansas). Available at:
 <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mountain_View_School_(Russellville, Arkansas).</u> Accessed 11
 June 2018.

- Williams, Karim. (Safety and Occupational Health Manager for SWPA). 2018, June 12. Personal
 communication with M. Russ (NEPA Specialist, AGEISS Inc.). Subject: SWPA OSHA
 Information.
- Willis, L.D. and A.V. Brown. 1985. Distribution and Habitat Requirements of the Ozark Cavefish,
 Amblyopsis rosae. The American Midland Naturalist 114(2):311-317.
- Woods et al. (Woods, A.J., Omernik, J.M., Butler, D.R., Ford, J.G., Henley, J.E., Hoagland, B.W., Arndt,
 D.S., and B.C. Moran). 2005. Ecoregions of Oklahoma (color poster with map, descriptive text,
 summary tables, and photographs): Reston, Virginia, U.S. Geological Survey (map scale
 1:1,250,000).

3736

APPENDIX A

Consultation and Public Involvement

Consultation and Public Involvement

Initial Outreach

The purpose of the initial outreach is to notify stakeholders that Southwestern intends to prepare the PEA and to ensure all relevant issues are identified and analyzed in the PEA. Initial outreach for this PEA included a scoping letter sent to the list of stakeholders below. An example scoping letter and the following responses are included in this appendix.

- Email from the U.S. Forest Service, dated June 18, 2018
- Email from the Arkansas Natural Heritage Commission, dated June 18, 2018
- Email from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Kansas City District, dated June 18, 2018
- Letter from the National Park Service, dated June 19, 2018
- Email from the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality, dated June 20, 2018
- Letter from the Oklahoma Department of Agriculture, Food, and Forestry, dated June 22, 2018
- Letter from the Arkansas Game and Fish Commission, not dated; received by email on June 25, 2018
- Letter from the Oklahoma Military Department, dated July 17, 2018
- Letter from the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, dated July 26, 2018

Arkansas State Agencies

Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality Arkansas Game & Fish Commission (Harold Alexander & Mud Creek WMAs) Arkansas Natural Heritage Commission Arkansas Pollution Control and Ecology Commission Arkansas State Historic Preservation Office Arkansas State Plant Board (under AR Department of Agriculture) Arkansas Wildlife Federation

Missouri State Agencies

Missouri Department of Agriculture Missouri Department of Natural Resources/Division of Environmental Quality Missouri Natural Heritage Commission Missouri State Historic Preservation Office

Oklahoma State Agencies

Oklahoma Conservation Commission Oklahoma Department of Agriculture Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality Oklahoma Department of Wildlife Conservation Oklahoma Scenic Rivers Commission Oklahoma State Historic Preservation Office Oklahoma Water Resources Board

Federal Agencies

Camp Gruber Training Center Mark Twain National Forest, MO Ava/Cassville/Willow Springs Ranger District Eleven Point Ranger District Poplar Bluff Ranger District Ouachita National Forest, OK and AR Ozark-St Francis National Forest, AR Big Piney Ranger District, AR National Park Service, George Washington Carver National Monument, MO National Park Service, Buffalo National River, AR US Army Corps of Engineers, Little Rock US Army Corps of Engineers, Kansas City US Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa USDA, Soil Conservation Service, Arkansas USDA, Soil Conservation Service, Missouri USDA, Soil Conservation Service, Oklahoma USFWS, Ecological Services, Arkansas Field Office USFWS, Ecological Services, Oklahoma Field Office

Agency Participation

Southwestern is in the active consultation process with SHPOs, ACHP, OAS, and tribes to update and combine the three separate PAs into one unified multi-state PA. The following communications with the SHPOs during scoping are included in this appendix:

- Response letter from the Oklahoma Historical Society, State Historic Preservation Office, dated July 5, 2018
- Response letter from the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, State Historic Preservation Office, dated July 10, 2018
- Reply letter from Southwestern to the Oklahoma Historical Society, State Historic Preservation Office, dated August 22, 2018

Southwestern is currently updating its PBO with the Oklahoma USFWS. This consultation includes both O&M and integrated vegetation management activities and impacts to listed species with focus on the American burying beetle (*Nicrophorus americanus*). Southwestern initiated consultation with the USFWS, through preparation of a PBA for listed species in Missouri, Arkansas, and Oklahoma. An example letter is provided in this appendix. Consultation is ongoing; results will be contained in the Final EA.

Native American Participation

Southwestern is conducting consultation with federally recognized Native American tribes according to the DOE American Indian Tribal Government Interactions and Policy (DOE Order 144.1). The following tribes were invited by Southwestern to participate as Sovereign Nations per Executive Order (EO) 13175 (Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments) in both the EA and the National Historic Preservation Act Section 106 process. An example consultation letter and responses are included in this appendix. Responses received are indicated in parenthesis in the list of tribes below. If no response is indicated, no response was received from that tribe.

Absentee-Shawnee Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma Osage Nation (Response received, dated September 1, 2018) Cherokee Nation (Responses received, dated August 7, 2018 and October 3, 2018) Chickasaw Nation Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma Muscogee Creek Nation Seminole Nation of Oklahoma (Response received, dated August 1, 2018) **Delaware** Nation Wichita and Affiliated Tribes (Wichita, Keechi, Waco and Tawakonie) Thlopthlocco Tribal Town United Keetoowah Band of Cherokee Indians in Oklahoma Delaware Tribe of Indians Quapaw Tribe of Indians (Response received, dated August 3, 2018) Caddo Nation of Oklahoma (Response received, dated July 20, 2018) Shawnee Tribe Eastern Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma Miami Tribe of Oklahoma Alabama-Quassarte Tribal Town Kialegee Tribal Town Kickapoo Tribe of Oklahoma Sac & Fox Nation Tunica Biloxi Tribe of Louisiana Kaw Nation of Oklahoma Ponca Tribe of Nebraska Ponca Tribe of Oklahoma (Response received, dated July 30, 2018) Kickapoo Tribe of Kansas Iowa Tribe of Kansas and Nebraska Iowa Tribe of Oklahoma Peoria Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma

INITIAL OUTREACH



June 8, 2018

Becky Keogh Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality 5301 Northshore Drive Little Rock, AR, 72118

Subject: Notification of the Intent to Prepare a Programmatic Environmental Assessment for System-wide Operations and Maintenance Activities and System-wide Vegetation Management Program

Ms. Keogh:

The Southwestern Power Administration (Southwestern) intends to prepare a programmatic environmental assessment (PEA) for System-wide Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Activities and System-wide Vegetation Management Program. Southwestern is a bureau of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). As one of four Power Marketing Administrations in the United States, Southwestern markets hydroelectric power in Arkansas, Kansas, Louisiana, Missouri, Oklahoma, and Texas from 24 U.S Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) multipurpose dams. The PEA will focus on Southwestern's operations in Oklahoma, Arkansas, and Missouri, which include high-voltage transmission lines, electrical substations, and a communications system that includes microwave, very high frequency (VHF) radio, and state-of-the-art fiber optics. Southwestern proposes to continue O&M and vegetation management activities under a management framework designed to provide maximum operational flexibility and enhance safety. The PEA will identify potential impacts of the proposed activities and measures to help mitigate those impacts.

To support the environmental review, Southwestern is contacting you to ensure all relevant issues are identified and analyzed. The purpose of scoping is early identification of concerns, potential impacts, relevant effects of past actions, and possible alternative actions.

Purpose and Need for Action

The purpose of the Proposed Action is to fulfill Southwestern's obligation to deliver federal hydropower to end-use customers. The need for the Proposed Action is to operate and maintain Southwestern facilities in Oklahoma, Arkansas, and Missouri; protect worker and public safety, streamline the regulatory process for right-of-way (ROW) maintenance; have a management framework to evaluate herbicides as they become available; control the spread of noxious weeds; balance environmental protection with system reliability, while maintaining compliance with the National Electric Safety Code (NESC), North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC), Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers standards, and Southwestern's directives and standards for maintaining system reliability and protection of human safety.

To protect worker safety, total elimination of weedy species at the substations and the towers is necessary to ensure that these facilities maintain grounding requirements through the ground grid to dissipate lightning. Transmission facilities must be kept clear of all tall-growing trees, brush and other vegetation that could grow too close to the conductors. The most significant impediment to the transmission line ROW O&M and also emergency response is the growth of woody vegetation (trees and shrubs) within the ROW. Trees are a major contributor of electric service interruptions. Trees must be maintained an adequate distance from the conductors. Southwestern needs to select vegetation management practices appropriate to specific conditions along the ROW. With the development of new herbicide formulations, enhanced delivery technology, and increased knowledge regarding environmental interaction, Southwestern needs a management framework that allows evaluation of new herbicides as they become available. In addition, Southwestern needs to lower safety risks of conducting vegetation management operations in remote and treacherous spans of ROW.

Proposed Action

The Proposed Action encompasses O&M activities, which also include the component of integrated vegetation management activities. The scope of the action includes substations, transmission lines, ROWs and associated access roads, fiber optic lines, communication sites, and office or maintenance complexes located in Oklahoma, Arkansas, and Missouri (Figure 1).



Proposed O&M activities include aerial and ground patrols of line structures, lines, line hardware, access roads and communication sites to locate and correct problems, regular and preventive maintenance, inspections, repairs, upgrades, rebuilds, and replacements. Proposed O&M activities would occur at existing substations, transmission lines, communication system facilities, and maintenance or office-type facilities.

Proposed vegetation management activities include a combination of mechanical and manual control and herbicide treatments. As part of the Proposed Action, Southwestern has developed a management framework for evaluating and selecting herbicides to improve the range of herbicides used based on geographic regions and to increase control of undesirable vegetation over longer periods of time. The goal of the vegetation management program is to develop site-specific, environmentally sensitive, cost effective and socially responsible solutions to vegetation control. No individual method will control undesirable vegetation such as woody plants, including trees and brush. Due to the complexity of vegetation control, the proposed management framework for herbicide use considers numerous factors, such as special geographic concerns, the type of vegetation to control, and the arrival of new herbicides coming on the market.

How to Submit Comments

Interested parties may submit written comments to: Danny Johnson, 1 W. 3rd St., Suite 1600, Tulsa, OK 74103 or <u>Danny.Johnson@swpa.gov</u>. To ensure consideration during the development of the PEA, please submit comments by July 25, 2018.

We look forward to hearing from you. Please contact me at 918.595.6781 or by using the contact information above if you have questions or for additional information regarding the proposed project.

Sincerely,

Danny Johnson

Director, Division of Environmental, Health, Safety & Security

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Crowe, Teresea R -FS" <<u>teresearcrowe@fs.fed.us</u>> Date: June 18, 2018 at 8:58:39 AM CDT To: "<u>danny.johnson@swpa.gov</u>" <<u>danny.johnson@swpa.gov</u>> Cc: "Koloski, Joseph H -FS" <<u>jkoloski@fs.fed.us</u>>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] NOI for Southwestern Power Administration Vegetation Management Project in Missouri

Mr. Johnson:

The Forest Service recently received notification of intent to prepare a programmatic EA for operations and maintenance activities and a vegetation management program. Two letters were received, one on the Poplar Bluff Ranger District and one on the Ava/Cassville/Willow Springs Ranger District, the letter sent to the Poplar Bluff Ranger District is attached for your reference. We are aware of the lines in Butler County, Missouri that cross National Forest System lands as they are currently under a special use permit. However, we are uncertain of the exact location of the lines on the Cassville Unit in the southwest portion of Missouri. Could you send us more detailed information regarding the location of the lines in this area so we can check them against our ownership in this area?

Thank you.

From: Cindy Osborne <<u>Cindy.Osborne@arkansas.gov</u>> Date: June 18, 2018 at 10:40:04 AM CDT To: "<u>Danny.Johnson@swpa.gov</u>" <<u>Danny.Johnson@swpa.gov</u>> Subject: [EXTERNAL] Programmatic Environmental Assessment for System-wide Operations

Dear Mr. Johnson,

Our agency is in receipt of your letter to Darrell Bowman regarding the notification of the Intent to Prepare a Programmatic Environmental Assessment for System-wide Operations and Maintenance Activities and System-wide Vegetation management Program. Our agency maintains a database of information on locations of known high quality natural communities and state and federal species of conservation concern. We also hold legal interest in 73 Natural Areas throughout the state of Arkansas. In order for us to identify potential impacts of the proposed actions, it would be helpful if we could receive GIS shapefiles depicting the locations of Southwestern Power Administration Facilities in Arkansas falling under this assessment. Are such files available?

Thank you,

Cindy Osborne Data Manager/Environmental Review Coordinator

Please note I have a new e-mail and mailing address

Arkansas Natural Heritage Commission

a division of the Department of Arkansas Heritage 1100 North Street | Little Rock, AR 72201 office: 501.324.9762 fax: 501.324.9618 e-mail: <u>Cindy.Osborne@arkansas.gov</u> <u>NaturalHeritage.com</u> <u>Facebook | Instagram</u> #AuthenticArkansas #ANHC From: "Mason, Clint D CIV USARMY CENWK (US)" <<u>Clint.D.Mason@usace.army.mil</u>> Date: June 18, 2018 at 10:05:26 AM CDT To: "Farmer, Jason W CIV USARMY CENWK (US)" <<u>Jason.W.Farmer@usace.army.mil</u>> Cc: "<u>Danny.Johnson@swpa.gov</u>" <<u>Danny.Johnson@swpa.gov</u>> Subject: [EXTERNAL] FW: Scanned Document (Signed)

Jason,

I received this letter from Southwestern Power Administration. I believe that your section will be the one to respond. Please let me know if you have any questions or are not the correct contact for this letter.

Very Respectfully, Clint Mason, P.E. District Asset Manager CENWK-ODT-M Work: 816-389-3619 Mobile: 816-854-9919

-----Original Message-----From: <u>Clint.D.Mason@usace.army.mil</u> [<u>mailto:Clint.D.Mason@usace.army.mil</u>] Sent: Monday, June 18, 2018 10:01 AM To: Mason, Clint D CIV USARMY CENWK (US) <<u>Clint.D.Mason@usace.army.mil</u>> Subject: Scanned Document (Signed)



United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Buffalo National River 402 N. Walnut, Suite 136 Harrison, AR 72601

IN REPLY REFER TO 1.B

June 19, 2018

Mr. Danny Johnson U.S. Department of Energy Southwest Power Administration 1 W. 3rd Street, Suite 1600 Tulsa, OK 74103 Danny.Johnson@swpa.gov

Dear Mr. Johnson:

This letter is to inform you of our desire, as a land management agency having a Southwestern Power Administration (SWPA) transmission line right-of-way (ROW) within our boundaries, to cooperate with the SWPA in the development of the Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) dealing with vegetation management on SWPA transmission line ROWs.

The National Park Service is mandated to insure the protection of those resources and values for which Buffalo National River was established. Because of the highly developed karst landscape present in the Buffalo River watershed, there exists a significant nexus between surface water and ground water. The river is home to the Snuffbox mussel (*Epioblasma triquetra*) which is listed as endangered, and the Rabbitsfoot mussel (*Quadrula cylindrica cylindrica*) which is listed as threatened under the Endangered Species Act. The Buffalo River is designated critical habitat for the Rabbitsfoot mussel. The National Park Service recognizes Arkansas Species of Greatest Conservation Need (AR-SGCN) as sensitive species and considers potential impacts to these species in similar manner to federally listed species. In terms of aquatic fauna, there are 10 additional AR-SGCN mussel species and 7 AR-SGCN fish species.

The national river is home to three endangered bat species, the Ozark Big Eared bat (*Corynorhinus townsendii ingens*), Indiana bat (*Myotis sodalis*), and Gray bat (*Myotis grisescens*), and one threatened bat, Northern Long-eared bat (*Myotis septentrionalis*). The Tricolored bat (Perimyotis subflavus) is currently undergoing a 12-month status review by U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to determine if it warrants listing under the Endangered Species Act (FR Doc. No. 2017-27389). Two additional bat species found at Buffalo National River are AR-SGCN species. The gray bat specializes in capturing emerging aquatic insects from streams. The Indiana, Northern Long-eared, and Tri-color bat all utilize roost trees for pup rearing purposes. While they may all feed over water, they also feed in forest openings and forest edge. The Ozark Big-eared bat is primarily a gleaner, capturing moths where they sit upon vegetation.

Diverse broadleaf vegetation is important for this species as it provides reliable foraging throughout the summer months.

There are numerous bird species within the national river boundary that are listed as AR-SGCN species. Impacts to these species should be evaluated prior to selecting a preferred alternative.

We view any activities involving herbicide applications as sensitive and appreciate your willingness to work closely with us at this early stage. National Park Service policies require the use of integrated pest management procedures to determine when and how pests are to be controlled. Use of herbicides is considered only when mechanical or other non-chemical methods are not feasible. All proposals to apply herbicides within the park require review and approval at the regional office level. The reviews are based upon site specific information regarding the pest(s), location(s), the timing of treatment(s), herbicide(s), and method(s) of application. It is critical that our agencies cooperatively work toward the most environmentally sound management strategy to accomplish SWPA's goals without placing the resources and values of Buffalo National River at risk.

Please keep us advised by contacting Chuck Bitting, Natural Resource Program Manager, of my staff at 870-365-2762 or <u>chuck_bitting@nps.gov</u> as this document progresses, so that we are afforded opportunities for input.

Sincerely,

Laura A. Miller Acting Superintendent

From: Jon Roberts <<u>Jon.Roberts@deq.ok.gov</u>> On Behalf Of DEQ EnvReviews
Sent: Wednesday, June 20, 2018 8:41 AM
To: Danny Johnson <<u>danny.johnson@swpa.gov</u>>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Environmental Review

Dear Mr. Johnson:

In response to your request, we have completed an environmental review of air, land and water records for the project listed below. Additional recommendations to consider as you complete your project may be found at <u>https://go.usa.gov/xnhCE</u>.

Project

Letter dated June 8, 2018 – Southwestern Power Administration Environmental Assessment across several counties in SE Oklahoma

Comments

No environmental concerns under DEQ jurisdiction are anticipated; however, as you assess environmental risk posed by the project please refer to DEQ's GIS data layers available for download at: <u>http://gisdata-deq.opendata.arcgis.com/</u>

Future requests may be submitted electronically to <u>EnvReviews@deq.ok.gov</u> by attaching a single pdf file containing your request and any attachments.

If you have any questions or need clarification, please contact me.

Regards,

JowA. Roberts, Senior Manager Office of External Affairs Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality P. O. Box 1677 707 N. Robinson Ave. Oklahoma City, OK 73101-1677 Ph: (405) 702-7111 http://www.deq.state.ok.us/OEA/index.html



State of Oklahoma Department of Agriculture, Food, and Forestry

Mary Fallin Governor Jim Reese Secretary of Agriculture

June 22, 2018

Mr. Danny Johnson Director, Division of Environmental, Health, Safety & Security Department of Energy Southwestern Power Administration One West Third Street Tulsa, OK 74103-3502

RE: Notification of Intent to prepare a Programmatic Environmental Assessment for System-wide Operations and Maintenance Activities and System-wide Vegetation Management Program

Dear Mr. Johnson:

Thank you for your June 8, 2018 letter and providing the Oklahoma Department of Agriculture, Food, and Forestry (ODAFF) with an opportunity to provide comments on your proposed Programmatic Environmental Assessment for System-wide Operations and Maintenance Activities and System-wide Vegetation Management Program.

Per your request, ODAFF has reviewed the proposed project and based on your information, we wanted to provide the following information. Please make sure you evaluate any location(s) where the use of any type of pesticides are applied directly to or adjacent to a water of the United States. If this scenario applies to your project area(s), please refer to http://ag.ok.gov/aems/agpdes.htm to see if you need coverage under the pesticide AgPDES general permit OKG687A000. Also, please ensure all appropriate entities and pesticide applicators are properly licensed and following all pesticide label requirements. For more information on these requirements, please refer to http://www.oda.state.ok.us/cps/pest.htm.

If you have any questions please feel free to contact me at 405-522-4659 or e-mail, as shown below.

Respectfully,

Jeremy Seiger Director, ODAFF Agricultural Environmental Management Services Division jeremy.seiger@ag.ok.gov

c: Kenny Naylor, Director, CPS

cmn.wq.2018-sa.508 sa

Caroline Cone Chief of Staff



Chris Colclasure Deputy Director

Arkansas Game and Fish Commission

Pat Fitts Director

Mr. Danny Johnson - Director, Division of Environmental Health, Safety, and Security Southwest Power Administration 1 W. 3rd St. Suite 1600 Tulsa, OK 74103

RE: Notification of the Intent to Prepare a Programmatic Environmental Assessment for System-wide Operations and Maintenance Activities and System-wide Vegetation Management Program

Mr. Johnson,

Biologists with the Arkansas Game and Fish Commission (AGFC) have reviewed the notification of intent to prepare the subject referenced Environmental Assessment. The AGFC offers the following comments regarding this proposed project. We support the use of Integrated Vegetation Management (IVM) that includes selective herbicide use. The IVM should seek to promote native, low growing plant communities, and should avoid impacts to native, compatible flora within the right of ways. The IVM methodology should focus on treatment of individual plants, and broadcast spraying should be avoided. We request that any herbicide product used not include the systemic herbicide Picloram. Field crews applying herbicide along the powerline right-of-ways should be trained to identify beneficial native species and be able to distinguish them from noxious or otherwise incompatible woody species. For example, the right-of-way at Harold E. Alexander Spring River Wildlife Management is a known location of the ZigZag Spiderwort (Tradescantia subaspera), a rare endemic plant species tracked by the Arkansas Natural Heritage Commission. Herbicide should not be applied at all to this particular plant. Additional concerns are herbicide contamination in the Spring River, where the federally protected Rabbitsfoot Mussel (Quadrula cylindrica cylindrica) is known to occur. We recommend consultation with the United States Fish and Wildlife Service regarding proposed impacts to federally listed species. The Arkansas Game and Fish Commission appreciates the opportunity to review this notice of intent. If our agency can be of further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Thank you,

Brad Carner Wildlife Division Chief



July 17, 2018

Danny Johnson Director of Environmental, Health, Safety, and Security Department of Energy, Southwestern Power Administration One West Third Street Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103-3502

Dear Mr. Johnson:

The Oklahoma Military Department appreciates the opportunity to review and comment on the Department of Energy's Programmatic Environmental Assessment for System-wide Operations and Maintenance Activities and System-wide Vegetation Management Program. We understand that the Programmatic Environmental Assessment will cover the plans to develop a vegetation management program and operate and maintain on Oklahoma Military Department land.

The Oklahoma Military Department does have some concerns with herbicides being sprayed on some of our land, including throughout Camp Gruber Training Center in Muskogee County Oklahoma, due to threatened and endangered species. Any pesticides sprayed will need to be approved by the Oklahoma Military Department Environmental Management Branch.

As your project unfolds, please continue to keep us informed of the plans, comments from United States Fish and Wildlife Service, and any changes. Additionally, do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions or need assistance with our sites. Jennifer Ziegler, NEPA Manager, 405.228.5521 or Jennifer.d.ziegler.nfg@mail.mil or I can be reached at 405.228.5699 or terry.c.hale.mil@mail.mil.

Sincerely,

1/2 10

Terry C. Hale Jr. Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Environmental Programs Manager



July 26, 2018

Mr. Danny Johnson Southwest Power Administration 1 W. 3rd St. Suite 1600 Tulsa, OK 74103

Dear Mr. Johnson:

The Missouri Department of Natural Resources appreciates the opportunity to review the materials for the Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) for System-wide Operations and Maintenance Activities and System-wide Vegetation Management Program. The Department offers the following comments for consideration.

Where the Southwestern Power Administration has high-voltage transmission lines and communication lines that cross through Missouri State Parks property, mechanical methods of vegetation management are approved. In cases where herbicide application is the only practical alternative for vegetation management, please contact the State Park in which herbicide use is proposed directly to discuss the vegetation management plan.

We appreciate the opportunity to provide comments for the Southwest Power Administration's PEA. If you have any questions or need clarification, please contact me at the Department of Natural Resources, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102 or by phone at 573-522-2656. Thank you.

Sincerely,

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

Rob Hunt Planning Coordinator

RH/man



AGENCY PARTICIPATION



Oklahoma Historical Society

Founded May 27, 1893

State Historic Preservation Office

Oklahoma History Center • 800 Nazih Zuhdi Drive • Oklahoma City, OK 73105-7917 (405) 521-6249 • Fax (405) 522-0816 • www.okhistory.org/shpo/shpom.htm

July 5, 2018

Mr. Danny Johnson, Director Division of Environmental Safety Dept. of Energy/Southwestern Power One West 3rd Street Tulsa, OK 74103

RE: <u>File #1816-18;</u> Proposed Programmatic Environmental Assessment for System-Wide Operations & Maintenance Activities

Dear Mr. Johnson:

Thank you for notifying our office of Southwestern Power Administration's (SWPA) intent to prepare a Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) for System-wide Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Activities and for System-wide Vegetation Management Program.

However, upon review of the summaries provided for the purpose and need and the proposed action, it appears that the actions as described are very similar to the proposed Programmatic Allowances that are listed within Appendix C of the December 2016 draft *Programmatic Agreement Among The Southwestern Power Administration, The Advisory Council On Historic Preservation, The Arkansas State Historic Preservation Office, The Missouri State Historic Preservation Office, The Oklahoma State Historic Preservation Office, And The Oklahoma Archeological Survey, Regarding Maintenance Of Transmission Lines, Rights-Of-Way, Substations, And Other Facilities In Arkansas, Missouri, And Oklahoma. Of which, we are still waiting to finalize the programmatic agreement.*

What are the differences between the activities of the PEA/O&M activities and the Programmatic Agreement? If these activities are the same as listed within the draft PA, is there a need for separate agreement documents for the same activities?

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this project. We look forward to working with you in the future. If you have any questions, please contact Catharine M. Wood, Historical Archaeologist, at 405/521-6381.

Should further correspondence pertaining to this project be necessary, please reference the above underlined file number. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lynda Ozan Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer

LO:pm


July 10, 2018

Mr. Danny Johnson Department of Energy Southwestern Power Adminstration 1 West 3rd St. Suite 1600 Tulsa, OK 74103

Re: SHPO Project Number: 036-MLT-18 – Notification of the Intent to Prepare a Programmatic Environmental Assessment for System-wide Operations and Maintenance Activates and System-wide Vegetation Management Program (DOE)

Dear Mr. Johnson:

Thank you for notifying our office about the above-referenced plan to establish a Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) for projects subject to review under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (P.L. 89-665, as amended) and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation's regulation 36 CFR Part 800, which require identification and evaluation of cultural resources.

We have reviewed the proposal and would like to participate in consultation for the development of the PEA. At this time we have the following comments on the proposed plan:

- The application of herbicides on monuments in cemeteries or buildings should be avoided.
- If historic properties, specifically archaeological sites, are present, then efforts to minimize traffic, avoid rutting and ground disturbance should be made. For each activity, the degree of possible soil disturbance through rutting or compaction should be considered.

We look forward to continuing to consult with your office as the development of the PEA proceeds.

If you have any questions please write Missouri Department of Natural Resources, State Historic Preservation Office, Attn: Review and Compliance, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, or call Amanda Burke (573) 522-4641.

Mr. Johnson Page 2

Please be sure to include the **SHPO Project Number (036-MLT-18)** on all future correspondence relating to this project. If the information is provided via telephone call, please follow up in writing for our files.

Sincerely,

STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE

Jon M. Orawl

Toni M. Prawl, PhD Director and Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer

TMP:ab



August 22, 2018

Lynda Ozan Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer Oklahoma Historical Society, State Historic Preservation Office Oklahoma History Center 800 Nazih Zuhdi Drive Oklahoma City, OK 73105-7917

Subject: File #1816-18; Proposed Programmatic Environmental Assessment for System-Wide Operations and Maintenance Activities and System-wide Vegetation Management Program

Ms. Ozan:

Thank you for your letter dated July 5, 2018 regarding Southwestern Power Administration's (Southwestern's) intent to prepare a Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) for System-wide Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Activities and System-wide Vegetation Management Program. This letter is in response to your questions about the differences between activities in the PEA and activities in the *Programmatic Agreement Among The Southwestern Power Administration, The Advisory Council On Historic Preservation, The Arkansas State Historic Preservation Office, The Missouri State Historic Preservation Office, The Oklahoma State Historic Preservation Office, And The Oklahoma Archeological Survey, Regarding Maintenance Of Transmission Lines, Rights-Of-Way, Substations, And Other Facilities in Arkansas, Missouri, And Oklahoma (PA), which is not yet finalized.*

In both the current cultural resource PA and the draft PA, the list of activities which may be categorically excluded or discretionarily/programmatically allowed is defined and limited by carefully-termed parameters, so as to limit the scope of the activities, and therefore lessen the potential for an impact to a historic property. In contrast, the activities in the PEA are essential activities which must be performed (regardless of Section 106 consideration) to maintain and operate the system and provide electric power delivery service to customers. The PEA activities list, when executed in the field, mostly include PA activities within the limited scope as stated on the current and draft PA. However, some PEA activities are extended beyond the scope of the current or draft PA or are not listed at all on the current or draft PA, because of the scope of disturbance. In these cases, the activities would undergo the regular Section 106 consultation process rather than the abbreviated PA process.

In summary, there is not a need for a separate agreement document for the PEA because most of its activities, when executed in the field, will be covered under the draft or current PA and those that are not, would receive separate Section 106 consultation. In other words, Southwestern would be covered under the PA for the majority of PEA activities, as stated on the covered PA activity list, and would perform Section 106 for those activities that are not stated on the covered PA activity list.

Please contact me at 918.595.6781 or <u>Danny.Johnson@swpa.gov</u> if you have any additional questions or need additional clarification.

Sincerely,

Danny Johnson Program Manager, Office of Corporate Compliance



October 12, 2018

Melissa Lombardi U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Arkansas Ecological Service Field Office 110 S. Amity, Suite 300 Conway, AR, 72032

Subject:Biological Assessment in support of the Programmatic Environmental
Assessment for System-wide Operations and Maintenance Activities and
Integrated Vegetation Management Program

Ms. Lombardi:

The Southwestern Power Administration (Southwestern) requests consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) for System-wide Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Activities and Integrated Vegetation Management Program pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973, the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (BGEPA), and the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA).

Southwestern proposes to continue O&M and vegetation management activities under a management framework designed to provide maximum operational flexibility and enhance safety. Proposed O&M activities include aerial and ground patrols of line structures, lines, line hardware, access roads, and communication sites to locate and correct problems, and perform regular and preventive maintenance, inspections, repairs, upgrades, rebuilds, and replacements. Proposed vegetation management activities include a combination of mechanical and manual control and herbicide treatments. As part of the Proposed Action, Southwestern has developed a management framework for evaluating and selecting herbicides on an on-going basis to improve the range of herbicides used based on geographic regions and to increase control of undesirable vegetation over longer periods of time. The goal of the vegetation management program is to develop site-specific, environmentally sensitive, cost effective and socially responsible solutions to vegetation control.

Attached please find a biological assessment for activities occurring in a three-state area: Oklahoma, Arkansas, and Missouri. The biological assessment was prepared to determine whether the federal action may affect listed or proposed species and designated and proposed critical habitat. It provides the best available scientific and commercial data for the federallylisted threatened or endangered species in the action area. Please contact me by phone at 918.595.6781 or by email at <u>Danny.Johnson@swpa.gov</u> if you have questions or for additional information regarding the proposed project. We look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely, h

Danny Johnson Program Manager Office of Corporate Compliance

NATIVE AMERICAN PARTICIPATION



July 16, 2018

Erin Thompson Tribal Historic Preservation Officer Absentee-Shawnee Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma 2025 Gordon Cooper Dr. Shawnee, OK 74801

Subject:System-wide Operations and Maintenance Activities and System-wide VegetationManagement Program, Arkansas, Missouri, and Oklahoma, Request for Tribal Comments
Regarding Concerns of Traditional, Religious, or Cultural Importance

Ms. Thompson:

The Southwestern Power Administration (Southwestern) intends to prepare a programmatic environmental assessment (PEA) for System-wide Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Activities and System-wide Vegetation Management Program. Southwestern is one of four Power Marketing Administrations under the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). Southwestern markets hydroelectric power in Arkansas, Kansas, Louisiana, Missouri, Oklahoma, and Texas from 24 U.S Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) multipurpose dams. The PEA will focus on Southwestern's operations in Oklahoma, Arkansas, and Missouri, which include high-voltage transmission lines, electrical substations, and a communications system compromised of microwave radios, very high frequency (VHF) radios, and fiber optics technologies. Southwestern proposes to continue O&M and vegetation management activities under a framework designed to provide maximum operational flexibility and enhance safety. The PEA will identify potential impacts of the proposed activities and measures to help mitigate those impacts.

Purpose and Need for Action

The purpose of the Proposed Action is to fulfill Southwestern's obligation to deliver federal hydropower to end-use customers. The need for the Proposed Action is to operate and maintain Southwestern facilities in Oklahoma, Arkansas, and Missouri; protect worker and public safety, streamline the regulatory process for right-of-way (ROW) maintenance; have a management framework to evaluate herbicides as they become available; control the spread of noxious weeds; balance environmental protection with system reliability, while maintaining compliance with the National Electric Safety Code (NESC), North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC), Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers standards, and Southwestern's directives and standards for maintaining system reliability and protection of human safety.

To protect worker safety, total elimination of weedy species at the substations and the towers is necessary to ensure that these facilities maintain grounding requirements through the ground grid to dissipate lightning. Transmission facilities must be kept clear of all tall-growing trees, brush and other vegetation that could grow too close to the conductors. The most significant impediment to the transmission line ROW O&M and also emergency response is the growth of woody vegetation (trees and shrubs) within the

ROW. Trees are a major contributor of electric service interruptions. Trees must be maintained an adequate distance from the conductors. Southwestern will select vegetation management practices appropriate to specific conditions along the ROW. With the development of new herbicide formulations, enhanced delivery technology, and increased knowledge regarding environmental interaction, Southwestern needs a management framework that allows evaluation of new herbicides as they become available. In addition, Southwestern will continue to lower safety risks of conducting vegetation management operations in remote and treacherous spans of ROW.

Proposed Action

The Proposed Action encompasses O&M activities, which also include the component of integrated vegetation management activities. The scope of the action includes substations, transmission lines, ROWs and associated access roads, fiber optic lines, communication sites, and office or maintenance complexes located in Oklahoma, Arkansas, and Missouri (Figure 1).



Proposed O&M activities include aerial and ground patrols of line structures, lines, line hardware, access roads and communication sites to locate and correct problems, regular and preventive maintenance, inspections, repairs, upgrades, rebuilds, and replacements. Proposed O&M activities would occur at existing substations, transmission lines, communication system facilities, and maintenance or office-type facilities.

Proposed vegetation management activities include a combination of mechanical and manual control and herbicide treatments. As part of the Proposed Action, Southwestern has developed a management framework for evaluating and selecting herbicides to improve the range of herbicides used based on geographic regions and to increase control of undesirable vegetation over longer periods of time. The goal of the vegetation management program is to develop site-specific, environmentally sensitive, cost effective and socially responsible solutions to vegetation control. No individual method will control undesirable vegetation such as woody plants, including trees and brush. Due to the complexity of vegetation control, the proposed management framework for herbicide use considers numerous factors, such as special geographic concerns, the type of vegetation to control, and the arrival of new herbicides coming on the market.

In accordance with 36 C.F.R. Part 800, "Protection of Historic Properties", regulations that implement Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended (16 U.S.C. 470f), Southwestern is contacting you to determine if your Tribe may attach traditional, religious or cultural importance to any historic resources affected by the proposed project/activity.

The goal of consultation under Section 106 is to allow your Tribe the opportunity to help identify historic properties potentially affected by these proposed activities; assess the effects of the activities on any historic resources; and consider ways to avoid, minimize or mitigate any adverse effects. We would appreciate hearing from you regarding any known archaeological, historical, or cultural resources of which you are aware at the proposed project/activity site(s) or its immediately surrounding area. In addition, most of the proposed activities are fully covered under the Section 106 programmatic agreements (PA) with the three states and in a new multistate PA that is currently under consultation with the State Historic Preservation Officers in Arkansas, Missouri, and Oklahoma, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, the Oklahoma Archeological Survey and interested tribes.

Southwestern respectfully requests any comments you may have on this project be forwarded to us within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt of this letter. Please be as specific as you can with any comments or information to assist us with our decision-making. Thank you in advance for your assistance with this endeavor. If you have any questions or need any additional information, please contact me at 918.595.6781. Comments may be submitted via mail to: 1 West 3rd St., Suite 1600, Tulsa, OK 74103 or by email to Danny.Johnson@swpa.gov.

Sincerely,

Danny Johnson Director, Division of Environmental, Health, Safety & Security



Caddo Nation of Oklahoma

Post Office Box 487 • Binger, Oklahoma 73009 • 405-656-2344 • Fax 405-656-2892

July 20, 2018

Company: Department of Energy

Description: System-Wide Operations and Maintenance(O&M) Activities and system-wide vegetation management program.

County: various

State: Oklahoma, Arkansas, and Missouri

Point of Contact: Danny Johnson, Division of Environmental Health Safety & Security, (918)595-6781, <u>danny.johnson@swapa.gov</u>

Dear Mr. Johnson,

The Caddo Nation of Oklahoma Cultural Preservation Department received correspondence regarding the above project. Our office is committed to protecting sites important to the Caddo Nation's tribal heritage, culture, and religion. Furthermore, we are particularly concerned with archaeological sites that may contain human burials or remains, and any associated funerary objects.

Based on descriptions of the site in the correspondence from your office and upon research of our database(s) and files, we find that the Caddo people occupied this area either historically or prehistorically. The location of the project does not appear to endanger cultural or religious sites of interest to the Caddo Nation. <u>Please continue with the project as planned</u>. However, should this project inadvertently uncover an archaeological site or object(s), we request that you halt all construction and ground disturbance activities and immediately contact the appropriate federal or state agencies, as well as our office.

We appreciate your initiating contact with the Caddo Nation of Oklahoma in order to obtain proper consultation. Should you have any questions, please contact our office at (405)656-2344 ext. 2081.

Sincerely,

Derek Hill

Sect. 106 Specialist Caddo Nation of Oklahoma P.O. Box 487 Binger, OK 73009 405-656-2344 ext. 2081 <u>dhill@caddonation.org</u>



Ponca Tribe of Oklahoma Tribal Historic Preservation Office

121 White Eagle Drive 🔶 (580) 763-0120 🌢 Fax (580) 763-0126 Ponca City, Oklahoma 74601

7/30/2018

Danny Johnson Director, Division of Environmental, Health, Safety & Security Department of Energy Southwestern Power Administration One West Third Street Tulsa, OK 74103

SUBJECT: System-Wide Operations and Maintenance Activities and System-wide Vegetation Management Program, Arkansas, Missouri and Oklahoma

We have received and reviewed documentation concerning the project mentioned above. Additionally, we have examined other information and materials on historic resources available in our office. We have evaluated the above-referenced project's potential impact on archaeological, historic and cultural/traditional resources of the Ponca Tribe.

Based on our review, it is our opinion that if there are any earth-moving activities involved with the referenced activity will not result in the disturbance of known archaeological sites. However, in the event of any inadvertent discovery of any American Indian remains, funerary objects, or objects of cultural patrimony, please contact the Ponca Tribe of Oklahoma immediately.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this project. We look forward to working with you in the future.

Sincerely,

are

Halona Cabe Tribal Historic Preservation Officer

From: Theodore Isham <<u>isham.t@sno-nsn.gov</u>>

Sent: Wednesday, August 1, 2018 3:15 PM

To: Danny Johnson <<u>danny.johnson@swpa.gov</u>>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] [BULK] SNO Repsonce to SWPA Vegetation Management Program

This

Opinion

is being provided by Seminole Nation of Oklahoma's Cultural Advisor, pursuant to authority vested by the Seminole Nation of Oklahoma General Council. The Seminole Nation of Oklahoma is an independently Federally-Recognized Indian Nation headquartered in Wewoka, OK.

In keeping with the

National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)d, and Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), 36 CFR Part 800, this letter is to acknowledge that the Seminole Nation of Oklahoma has received notice of the proposed project at the above mentioned location.

Based on the information

provided and because the potential for cultural and natural resources that the Seminole Nation of Oklahoma deems important, the proposed project has a probability of affecting archaeological/natural resources, some of which may be eligible for listing in

the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP).

The Seminole Nation

of Oklahoma asks to be participate in the development of the PA for the management of the vegetation on SWPA properties and any other documentation for the project. A face to face meeting is requested to assist in writing the PA that is proposed.

Furthermore, due to

the historic presence of our people in the project area, inadvertent discoveries of human remains and related NAGPRA items may occur, even in areas of existing or prior development. Should this occur we request all work cease and the Seminole Nation of Oklahoma

and other appropriate agencies be immediately notified.

Theodore Isham

Seminole Nation of Oklahoma Historic Preservation Officer PO Box 1498 Wewoka, Ok 74884 Phone: 405-234-5218 e-mail: isham.t@sno-nsn.gov

QUAPAW TRIBE OF OKLAHOMA

P.O. Box 765 Quapaw, OK 74363-0765 (918) 542-1853 FAX (918) 542-4694

August 3, 2018

Department of Energy Southwest Power Administration One West Third Street Tuisa, Oklahoma 74103-3502

Re: Arkansas, Missouri and Oklahoma, Federal hydropower to end-use customers.

To whom it may concern,

The Quapaw Tribe Historic Preservation Office has received notification of the proposed project listed as Arkansas, Missouri and Oklahoma, Federal hydropower to end-use customers.

In accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act, (NHPA) [16 U.S C. 470 §§ 470-470w-6] 1966, undertakings subject to the review process are referred to in S101 (d) (6) (A), which clarifies that historic properties may have religious and cultural significance to Indian tribes. Additionally, Section 106 of NHPA requires Federal agencies to consider the effects of their actions on historic properties (36 CFR Part 800) as does the National Environmental Policy Act (43 U.S.C. 4321 and 4331-35 and 40 CFR 1501.7(a) of 1969).

The Quapaw Tribe has a vital interest in protecting its historic and ancestral cultural resources. The Quapaw Tribe requests a copy of all SHPO correspondence received for the project listed as project name please send all documents via USPS mail only.

Please contact the Quapaw Tribe Historic Preservation Office with your response to this request. Should you have any questions or need any additional information, please feel free to contact me at the number listed below. Thank you for consulting with the Quapaw Tribe on this matter.

Sincerely,

verett Bande

Everett Bandy Tribal Historic Preservation Officer Quapaw Tribe of Oklahoma P.O. Box 765 Quapaw, OK 74363 (w) 918-238-3100



August 7, 2018

Danny Johnson Southwestern Power Administration One West Third Street Tulsa, OK 74103-3502

Re: System-Wide Operations and Maintenance Activities and System-Wide Vegetation Management Program, Arkansas, Missouri, and Oklahoma

GWY.9 D3P

CHEROKEE NATION P.O. Box 948 • Tablequah, OK 74465-0948 • 918-453-5000 • cherokee.org

Mr. Danny Johnson:

The Cherokee Nation (Nation) is in receipt of your correspondence about **System-Wide Operations and Maintenance Activities and System-Wide Vegetation Management Program, Arkansas, Missouri, and Oklahoma**, and appreciates the opportunity to provide comment upon this project. Please allow this letter to serve as the Nation's interest in acting as a consulting party to this proposed undertaking.

To initiate the Section 106 review process, this Office requests shapefiles and/or a detailed map of the Area of Potential Effects as defined by 36 CFR 800.16(d). The Nation maintains databases and records of cultural, historic, and pre-historic resources in this area. Our Historic Preservation Office will review the project, cross referenced the project's legal description against our information, and provide comment upon the proposed undertaking. The Office will proceed with this review with the requested information.

Additionally, the Nation requests that the Southwestern Power Administration conduct appropriate inquiries with other pertinent Historic Preservation Offices regarding historic and prehistoric resources not included in the Nation's databases or records.

If you require additional information or have any questions, please contact me at your convenience. Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Wado,

Elizabeth Toombs, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer Cherokee Nation Tribal Historic Preservation Office elizabeth-toombs@cherokee.org 918.453.5389

Office of the Chief

Bill John Baker Principal Chief OP Gh JSS&JY OEOGA

S. Joe Crittenden Deputy Principal Chief መ. KG. JEYመሃ WPA DLሪብ ውደፅርብ



Osage Nation Historic Preservation Office $\frac{1}{2}$

Date: September 1, 2018

File: 1718-3402OK-7

RE: DOE, Southwestern Power Administration, System-wide Operations and Maintenance Activities and system-wide Vegetation Management Program for AR, MO, and OK, Multiple Counties, Oklahoma

Southwestern Power Administration Danny Johnson One West Third Street Tulsa, OK 74103-3502

Dear Mr. Johnson,

The Osage Nation Historic Preservation Office has received notification and accompanying information for the proposed project listed as DOE, Southwestern Power Administration, System-wide Operations and Maintenance Activities and system-wide Vegetation Management Program for AR, MO, and OK, Multiple Counties, Oklahoma. The Osage Nation requests a copy of the draft programmatic environmental assessment (PEA) for review and comment prior to the approval of the final PEA.

In accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act, (NHPA) [54 U.S.C. § 300101 et seq.] 1966, undertakings subject to the review process are referred to in 54 U.S.C. § 302706 (a), which clarifies that historic properties may have religious and cultural significance to Indian tribes. Additionally, Section 106 of NHPA requires Federal agencies to consider the effects of their actions on historic properties (36 CFR Part 800) as does the National Environmental Policy Act (43 U.S.C. 4321 and 4331-35 and 40 CFR 1501.7(a) of 1969).

The Osage Nation has a vital interest in protecting its historic and ancestral cultural resources. The Osage Nation anticipates reviewing and commenting on the planned draft programmatic environmental assessment (PEA) for the proposed DOE, Southwestern Power Administration, System-wide Operations and Maintenance Activities and system-wide Vegetation Management Program for AR, MO, and OK, Multiple Counties, Oklahoma.

Should you have any questions or need any additional information please feel free to contact me at the number listed below. Thank you for consulting with the Osage Nation on this matter.

& Hender; G. Hendrix

Archaeologist



GW 329 DBP CHEROKEE NATION® P.O. Box 948 • Tablequab, OK 74465-0948 • 918-453-5000 • cherokee.org Office of the Chief

Bill John Baker Principal Chief OP Gh JSS&oy OEOGA

S. Joe Crittenden Deputy Principal Chief ወ. KG. JEYወሃ WPA DLሪብ ውደፅርብ

October 3, 2018

Danny Johnson Southwestern Power Administration One West Third Street Tulsa, OK 74103-3502

Re: System-Wide Operations and Maintenance Activities and System-Wide Vegetation Management Program, Arkansas, Missouri, and Oklahoma

Mr. Danny Johnson:

The Cherokee Nation (Nation) is in receipt of your correspondence about **System-Wide Operations and Maintenance Activities and System-Wide Vegetation Management Program, Arkansas, Missouri, and Oklahoma**, and appreciates the opportunity to provide comment upon this project. Please allow this letter to serve as the Nation's continued interest in acting as a consulting party to this proposed undertaking.

The proposed undertaking lies in Cherokee Nation's Area of Interest, which includes but is not limited to the following states and counties:

- <u>Arkansas</u>: Baxter, Crawford, Franklin, Independence, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Pope, Randolph, Searcy, and Sharp counties;
- <u>Missouri</u>: Butler, Pemiscot, and New Madrid counties; and
- Oklahoma: Cherokee, Haskell, McIntosh, Muskogee, and Sequoyah counties.

The below summary, however, also is not an exhaustive list of cultural and historic properties, but known Nation resources directly within the Area of Potential Effect (APE). Should there be any changes to the scope of or activities within the APE, the Nation requests that Southwestern Power Administration (SWPA) re-contact this Office for additional consultation.

The Nation maintains databases and records of cultural, historic, and pre-historic resources in this area. Our Historic Preservation Office reviewed this project, cross referenced the project's legal description against our information, and found instances where this project intersects or adjoins such resources, including the CHEROKEE TRAIL OF TEARS, culturally sensitive resources, and land held by the Nation.

Thus, the Nation recommends that a cultural resources survey is conducted for the following below areas and requests a copy of the related report. The Nation requires that cultural resources survey personnel and reports meet the Secretary of Interior's standards and guidelines.

The remainder of this letter has not been included to protect and preserve the confidentiality of sites according to federal regulations 36 CFR 296.18 and Executive Order 13007.

APPENDIX B

Request for Approval of a New Herbicide

Request for Approval of a New Herbicide

Only herbicides that have gone through an evaluation and have been placed on Southwestern's Approved Herbicide List can be used by Southwestern. If a Southwestern employee wants to use an herbicide not on the approved list, this form must be completed and sent to the Environmental Program Office that will complete the evaluation process as described in Section 2.1.2.5 of this PEA.

Product Name				
Active Ingredient				
Manufacturer				
Where would you use this p	product? (Mark one or me	ore)		
Rights-of-ways \Box	Substations \Box	Communicati	on Sites \Box	
What would you use this pr	oduct for? (selective con	trol, total control, 1	noxious weeds, etc.)	
What application method w	ould you use?			
What does this herbicide of	fer that those on the curr	ent approved list de	o not?	
Name	Phone Nu	mber	Date	