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Overview

Timeline

• Project start – FY2012
• Currently proposed for FY2017-2019

Barriers

• Targets key barriers from USDRIVE roadmap for modeling and accelerating development of advanced engines with improved efficiency and emissions
  — “... develop more robust, computationally efficient models for combustion system design for improved efficiency and reduced CO2 emission. The knowledge base and modeling tools are required to design combustion systems for maximum fuel economy and minimum emissions.”  – 2018 ACEC Roadmap

Budget

• FY2016 – $450k
• FY2017 – $340k
• FY2018 – $400k

Partners

• Leveraging DOE Office of Science ASCR leadership computing resources
  — Multiple ALCC and DD allocation awards
  — Currently totaling ~30 Mhrs on Titan and other OLCF resources
• Multiple collaborative efforts with industry (OEM and ISV) and NLs
• Collaboration with LLNL for application of GPU-enabled Zero-RK chemistry solvers on Titan (ACS012)
• Strong connectivity to broader simulation portfolios at ORNL and DOE
  — Co-Optima simulation team: FT052, FT053, FT055
  — Daimler SuperTruck II team: ACS100
  — Advanced propulsion materials: MAT057
  — Engine knock prediction with The Ohio State University
Overall Relevance and Approach

Supports collaborative efforts with industry (OEMs and ISVs), other NLs, and academia using simulation and HPC to accelerate development of advanced engines with improved efficiency and emissions

- Leverages use of DOE’s leadership high-performance computing (HPC) resources

**Oak Ridge Leadership Computing Facility (OLCF)**

- DOE User Facility funded by the Office of Science through the Advanced Scientific Computing Research (ASCR) program
- Yearly competitive proposal process for resource allocations: DD, ALCC, INCITE*

**ACCEL**: OLCF Industrial Partnership Program

- Provides path for industry to collaborate with ORNL researchers on complex problems that require HPC resources
- Open, precompetitive (early TRL) projects (inputs may remain proprietary)
- Expectation that results will be published in open literature

- Supported tasks address technical barriers that are...
  - Of particular interest to the industry partner... and transportation industry as a whole
  - Within scope of DOE-VTO research focus areas
  - Well-suited for the use of DOE’s leadership HPC resources
  - Precompetitive (early TRL) with results publishable in open literature

- Multi-year efforts must be coordinated through yearly OLCF project allocations
- Today’s supercomputers are tomorrow’s workstations

* DD = Director’s Discretion (typically 1-5 Mhrs)
  ALCC = ASCR Leadership Computing Challenge (5-20 Mhrs)
  INCITE = Innovative and Novel Computational Impact on Theory and Experiment (20+ Mhrs)
## Current Task List and Milestones

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects / Milestones</th>
<th>Collaborations / Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enabling virtual engine design and calibration with HPC and GPUs</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPC and GPU-based solvers to enable increased simulation detail and predictive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>accuracy to advance virtual engine design and calibration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 2015 and 2016 ALCC projects using GPUs to enable practical use of highly detailed</td>
<td>Completed July 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chemistry in ICE CFD simulations</td>
<td>Completed FY2017-Q1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Milestone: Evaluate impact of enabling increased chemistry detail on predictive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>accuracy and computational requirements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 2017 ALCC project to add further model fidelity</td>
<td>In progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Full-cylinder, open-cycle simulations</td>
<td>On track for FY2018-Q4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Conjugate heat transfer (CHT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Milestone: Evaluate impact of full-cylinder model and CHT on predictive accuracy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and computational requirements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Proposed 2018 ALCC project to add LES and further develop CHT</td>
<td>Submitted Feb 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investigating initiation of autoignition and knock in GDI engines</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPC for CFD engine simulations with coupled LES and CHT to study onset of autoignition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• New task in FY2018 planned as multi-year project</td>
<td>Kick-off held Dec 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• DD project for initial development and proof-of-concept</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Investigating initiation of autoignition and knock in GDI engines
Detailed modeling of autoignition with HPC – Relevance, Approach

Relevance

- FCA, ORNL, and Convergent Science (CSI) recently launched a new collaborative effort to investigate fundamental factors promoting autoignition and knock in GDI engines
- Using HPC to enable higher fidelity engine simulations than possible with conventional computing resources
  - LES with multiple realizations through flow-field variation to examine impact on knock
  - CHT for spatially and temporally varying thermal boundaries to identify autoignition locations

Approach

- Planned as a multi-year effort using HPC to enable improved detail in simulation of autoignition
  - Include model details and physics not practical with conventional computing resources
  - Systematic approach to adding detail
- Final goal: detailed CFD modeling of autoignition conditions using...
  - LES turbulence modeling
  - High spatial (mesh) and temporal (CFL) resolution to resolve in-cylinder high-speed pressure oscillations
    - Significantly increases computational requirements (~3x or more)
  - Variation of in-cylinder flow field to mimic cyclic variability and its effect on knock
  - Conjugate heat transfer (CHT) modeling to identify hotspots and autoignition locations within cylinder
  - Investigation of effects of multiple spark plugs on engine knock
- OLCF DD allocation of 4 Mhrs on Eos awarded late-Nov 2017, kick-off in Dec 2017
Detailed modeling of autoignition with HPC – Accomplishments

**Technical Accomplishments**

- Finalized baseline model of modern GDI engine in CONVERGE
- Initial simulation efforts underway
  - Spark sweeps performed using RANS turbulence model for baseline
  - Verified that model captures knocking onset relative well

- LES turbulence model has been added
  - Grid-resolution study with LES underway

**Ongoing and Future Work**

- Finalize selection of LES base mesh size
- Apply perturbations to flow field in LES cases to study their impact on engine knock
- Finalize CHT model and conduct initial simulations

*Proposed future work is subject to change based on availability of funding and allocation of HPC resources*
Enabling virtual engine design and calibration with HPC and GPUs
Virtual design and calibration – Relevance

Virtual design and calibration has potential to significantly accelerate engine development

Currently not very practical with conventional computing resources

• Classic speed vs. accuracy trade-off
• Requires simulations at 100s or 1000s of operating conditions
• Very simple models needed for acceptable throughput on conventional computing resources
  – Sector geometry
  – Closed-valve simulations with initial cylinder conditions from 1-D models (e.g., GT-Power)
  – Skeletal kinetic mechanism or simple combustion models
• Negative impact on accuracy
  – Reduced accuracy
  – Applicable over limited range of operating conditions
  – Often need to “tune” model for differing conditions across full operating space

Optimize engine controls calibration for fuel economy and emissions targets

Evaluate predicted performance and iterate as needed

CFD simulations to supplement/replace experiments over multi-parameter DoE

The fleet-wide average will be 54.5 MPG

No standing person icon
Virtual design and calibration – *Relevance*

Virtual design and calibration has potential to significantly accelerate engine development

**HPC and GPU-enabled solvers enable higher-fidelity CFD simulations and faster throughput**

- More detailed physics- and chemistry-based submodels
  - More predictive, less tuning
  - Single model capable of covering full calibration map with little or no tuning
- Increased throughput with parallel simulation
  - Simulate entire engine map in one batch
- **GPU-based solvers for computational speed up**
  - **Example:** Sector-model simulations with detailed mechanism (766 species, 6787 reactions)
    - On Titan with Zero-RK solvers and GPUs: complete (IVC to EVO) in ~5 days
    - With conventional solvers on CPU-based clusters: stalled after ~15 days only completing from IVC to +10 °ATDC

Today’s supercomputers are tomorrow’s workstations

- GPU-based systems increasing available and affordable
- Methods and techniques developed on HPC now will be ready for future commercial systems
Virtual design and calibration – *Approach*

GM, ORNL, LLNL, and CSI are partnering on a multi-year effort to use HPC and GPUs to examine the impact of increased simulation detail on predictive accuracy and computational requirements.

- **Goals:**
  - Improved accuracy
  - Increased prediction (less tuning)
  - Increased coverage of operating space without tuning for each case
  - Identify which simplifications are most important to remove
  - *Approach applicable to CI and SI*

- **Systematic approach to add detail to CFD model and assess impacts on accuracy and speed**
  - Detailed chemical kinetics with GPUs
    - Multi-component fuel surrogates
    - Thermophysical and chemical
  - Mesh refinement
    - Every-cell vs. MultiZone chemistry
  - Sector vs. full-cylinder model
  - Closed-valve vs. open-cycle with gas exchange
  - Conjugate heat transfer
    - Full multi-cylinder engine model
  - LES

2015 (CI) & 2016 (SI) ALCC
2017 ALCC
2018 ALCC (proposal submitted)
Virtual design and calibration – Approach

- **Baseline model overview**
  - GM 1.6-L midsize Diesel
  - Sector-mesh, closed-valve, RANS model in CONVERGE (v2.3)
  - GT-Power simulations provide initial cylinder conditions at IVC
  - Skeletal n-heptane mechanism (47 species, 74 reactions), Zel’dovich NOx

- **600+ cases in DoE of engine control parameters over full engine calibration**
  - 10 parameters: Speed, SOI & PW for pilot & main, rail P, EGR, wastegate, etc.
  - Includes extreme operating points that stretch capabilities of model
    - *e.g.*, injection sweeps, EGR sweeps, rich operation, etc.

Systematic refinement of model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>Mesh</th>
<th>Other modifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skeletal</td>
<td>Coarse</td>
<td>Baseline model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced</td>
<td>Coarse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced</td>
<td>Refined</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced</td>
<td>Refined</td>
<td>Refined spray model; Refined spray-wall interaction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Colors in table correspond to plots on following slides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>Fuel Surrogate</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Reactions</th>
<th>Soot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skeletal</td>
<td>nC7H16</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Hiroyasu + PSM model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced</td>
<td>nC7H16</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>Hiroyasu</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mesh</th>
<th>Base Grid</th>
<th>Minimum grid with embedding &amp; AMR</th>
<th>Max Cell Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coarse</td>
<td>2 mm</td>
<td>0.5 mm</td>
<td>~170k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refined</td>
<td>1 mm</td>
<td>0.25 mm</td>
<td>~615k</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Virtual design and calibration – Technical Accomplishments

Global correlation shows improved applicability to full speed-load range with model refinement

- Peak cylinder pressure (PCP), CA50: Consistent improvement
- HC: Significant improvement with chemistry and wall-spray refinements
- NOx: Significant improvement with chemistry and grid refinements
- CO and soot: Need further work

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PCP</th>
<th>CA50</th>
<th>NOx</th>
<th>HC</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>soot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Skeletal mechanism, Coarse grid" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Reduced mechanism, Coarse grid" /></td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Reduced mechanism, Refined grid" /></td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Reduced mechanism, Refined grid, Modified wall and spray" /></td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Experiment" /></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* g/kg-fuel
Virtual design and calibration – Technical Accomplishments

Significant improvement in HC emissions for individual cases

- Chemistry detail and wall-spray refinements play important roles
- Some cases are under-predicting HC with refined wall treatment
  - May need to further investigate wall-film sub-model
Virtual design and calibration – Technical Accomplishments

Transitioning from sector model to full-cylinder, open-valve combustion model

• Expected to improve prediction of in-cylinder conditions and charge motion
  – Gas-exchange
  – Production-accurate port and cylinder geometry including *positionable swirl valve* in intake runner
  – Plume-to-plume spray interaction

• Maintaining previous refinements
  – **Mesh:** 1.4-mm base grid with 0.35-mm min cell size → ~2.5M max cell count (~0.6M for sector)
  – **Mechanism:** Reduced n-heptane (144 species, 900 reactions)

Full 600-case DoE with this model would not be practical with conventional computing resources
Virtual design and calibration – Technical Accomplishments

- Initial efforts with full-cylinder model focusing on 20 down-selected points

- Results show continued improvement in CO and soot over the best sector-model results
  - Dramatic improvement in CO accuracy
  - Remaining improvement in CO accuracy
- Other metrics and emissions remain good or slightly improved
Virtual design and calibration – Technical Accomplishments

Increased oxidation of CO and soot observed with full-geometry model in late cycle

- Secondary “hump” in heat release rate
- Higher turbulence kinetic energy improving local mixing
- Faster swirl decay late in cycle
- Lower swirl motion and contoured piston top allow combustion into squish volume earlier and faster

In-Cylinder CO distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Full-Geometry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAD = 5 dATDC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD = 15 dATDC Combustion in squish region earlier and faster</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD = 30 dATDC Less CO left in squish region</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Virtual design and calibration – *Remainder of FY2018*

Conjugate heat transfer (CHT) for better spatial and temporal thermal boundary conditions

- **Thermal BCs are large and important uncertainties for simulations**
  - Difficult to measure experimentally
  - Traditionally requires estimates or calibration
- **Initial development of CHT model complete** (CONVERGE v2.4)
- **Cooling jacket**
  - Steady-state analysis - not computationally expensive
  - Initial scoping simulations complete
- **Combustion chamber with CHT for gas, piston, liner, head**
  - Full-cylinder, full-cycle combustion model - computationally expensive
  - Solve combustion for one cylinder and map thermal BCs to others
  - Super-cycling for CHT solution
  - Initial scoping runs underway at OLCF
    - Severe under-subscription of nodes to avoid out-of-memory
- **Developing workflow and scripting for full CHT implementation**
### Summary of proposed *Future Work*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Enabling virtual engine design and calibration with HPC and GPUs</strong></th>
<th><strong>Remainder of FY2018</strong></th>
<th><strong>FY2018-19 * Under 2017 ALCC project</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Finalize workflow and scripting for CHT simulations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Complete initial runs with full CHT model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Evaluate impact on simulation accuracy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Continued refinement and evaluation of CHT model</td>
<td>FY2018-19 *</td>
<td>Under 2018 ALCC proposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Add LES turbulence modeling</td>
<td>FY2018-19 *</td>
<td>Under 2018 ALCC proposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Evaluate impact on simulation accuracy</td>
<td>FY2018-19 *</td>
<td>Under 2018 ALCC proposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Implement for full DoE</td>
<td>FY2018-19 *</td>
<td>Under 2018 ALCC proposal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Investigating initiation of autoignition and knock in GDI engines</strong></th>
<th><strong>Remainder of FY2018</strong></th>
<th>**FY2018-19 ***</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Finalize LES base mesh size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Apply perturbations to flow field in LES cases to study impact on engine knock</td>
<td>FY2018-19 *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Finalize CHT model and conduct initial simulations</td>
<td>FY2018-19 *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Proposed future work is subject to change based on availability of funding and allocation of HPC resources
Transition from Titan to Summit on the path to exascale

Summit should further enable high-fidelity engine simulations

- Summit targeted to come online in 2018 (likely 2019 before fully operational)
  - Current plan calls for Titan and Summit to co-exist for 1 year before Titan is decommissioned
- Increased memory per core will be significant improvement
  - Current projects forced to under-subscribe nodes (use <16 cores) due to memory limits on Titan
- Scalability and GPU-enabled code will be increasingly important

Current efforts have ORNL well-positioned to take advantage of Summit’s capabilities
- Models for ongoing projects are severely taxing Titan’s capabilities, especially memory allocation
- But should be well-suited for Summit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Titan</th>
<th>Summit</th>
<th>Frontier – 1st exascale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Performance</strong></td>
<td>27.1 PF (24.5 GPU + 2.6 CPU)</td>
<td>~5-10x Titan</td>
<td>~5-10x Summit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Nodes</strong></td>
<td>18,688 total nodes</td>
<td>~4600 total nodes</td>
<td>~?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPUs per node</td>
<td>16 (AMD Opteron)</td>
<td>42 (IBM Power9)</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPUs per node</td>
<td>1 (NVIDIA Tesla)</td>
<td>6 (NVIDIA Volta)</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Memory per node</strong></td>
<td>32 GB (2 GB per core)</td>
<td>512 GB (~12 GB per core) + 96 GB high-band memory</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Energy usage</strong></td>
<td>9 MW</td>
<td>~15 MW</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Launch date</strong></td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2018-2019</td>
<td>Early- to Mid-2020s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Response to reviewer comments

Multiple reviewer comments suggested targeting a smaller portion of the calibration space to focus the scope and reduce the number of required simulations.

Response:
- One goal of this effort is to develop an approach which will provide coverage of the full calibration space with little or no tuning of the model rather than developing a model that only applies to a small subset of conditions. We feel that is best demonstrated by using a large number of simulations that cover a wide range of operating conditions. The current number of simulations (602) are already a small subset of the total number of simulations required for a full engine calibration.
- **The need for a large number of individual simulations makes this problem suitable for Titan.** Even with the added fidelity of highly detailed mechanisms and large cell counts, the individual simulations only scale to a few dozen cores which could be run on a small GPU-enabled cluster. It is the additional need for rapid throughput of 100s or even 1000s of these simulations which make this effort a suitable application of Titan's massively parallel resources.
- That said, limited allocated hours on Titan under the current 2017 ALCC project and the additional model complexity with full-cylinder geometry and CHT have necessitated a further down-selection to 20 cases during development and proof-of-concept of the CHT model.

Reviewer questioned why full-cylinder models were not used until second year of project.
Response: For the required level of throughput (100s to 1000s of simulations) the appropriate baseline approach with conventional workstations and clusters was determined to be a closed-valve sector model with skeletal kinetic mechanism based on input from our industry partner. Access to Titan requires demonstrated capability to use the GPUs. Thus when prioritizing model refinements to address first, using the Zero-RK GPU-enabled chemistry solvers to enable detailed chemistry had to be the first step in the project.

Reviewer suggested developing “genetic algorithms or Bayesian models” to optimize the calibration process.
Response: OEMs have existing, proprietary tools for optimized engine calibration. While advancing those tools could be a future topic, the current focus is on reducing the time and expense required to produce the data fed into these routines by replacing at least some experiments with simulation. This requires a better balance of the speed/accuracy trade-off that we feel HPC can help provide.

Reviewer suggested use of ANL’s SWIFT to manage multiple simulation cases.
Response: While not specifically called out in the presentation, we have our own set of tools and scripts developed at ORNL and OLCF for this purpose which are specifically designed for Titan. ORNL has extensive experience in managing large ensembles of engine simulations dating back to 2012.
Collaborations

Project supports collaborative efforts with industry, NLs, and universities to apply DOE ASCR HPC resources to accelerate development of advanced engines capable of meeting fuel economy and emissions goals

- **DOE Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy**
  - Vehicle Technologies Office
- **DOE Office of Science**
  - Advanced Scientific Computing Research program
  - OLCF User Facility (Titan, Summit)
  - ACCEL Industrial Partnership Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enabling virtual engine design and calibration with HPC and GPUs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>General Motors</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Convergent Science</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oak Ridge National Laboratory</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investigating initiation of autoignition and knock in GDI engines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fiat Chrysler Automobiles</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Convergent Science</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oak Ridge National Laboratory</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Remaining challenges and barriers

Applying simulation tools on HPC resources presents unique challenges

- **Scalability is vital to maximize benefits of parallel architectures**
  - Both for single jobs and ensembles

- **Increased model fidelity results in increased memory demands**
  - Current HPC systems have limited RAM per core (*e.g.*, 2 GB/core on Titan)
  - Requires undersubscription of nodes to avoid out-of-memory issues -> consumes allocation quickly

- **Maximizing benefits of HPC (increased detail, reduced wall time, etc.) must often be balanced with administration rules (queue and scheduling rules, fixed allocations, I/O limitations, etc.)**

- **Software must continually adapt to evolving hardware technologies**
  - Codes need to be increasingly hardware independent
  - OLCF projects must make use of Titan’s GPUs
  - Forthcoming DOE-ASCR machines (Summit, Aurora) will further exacerbate this issue

- **Software must adapt to scale of HPC environments**
  - Memory usage, file I/O, load balancing, restart management, licensing, etc.

Partnering with ISV is crucial for addressing many of these issues
Summary

• **Relevance**
  – Supports collaborative efforts with industry and others that apply simulation on DOE ASCR HPC resources to accelerate development of advanced engines with improved efficiency and emissions

• **Approach**
  – HPC and GPU-enabled solvers enable increased detail in CFD engine simulations compared to conventional resources (workstations, CPU-based clusters)
  – Systematic approach to add detail to CFD model and assess impacts on accuracy and speed
    • Detailed chemistry, mesh refinement, CHT, LES, etc.

• **Technical Accomplishments**
  – Adding detail to the CFD engine models has provided significant improvement in accuracy over the full operating range without tuning for individual cases
  – Mechanism detail, mesh refinement shown to improve accuracy of predicted combustion metrics & emissions
  – Full-geometry model better captures charge motion and turbulence providing improved CO accuracy

• **Collaborations**
  – Multiple collaborative efforts with OEMs, ISVs, and NLs
  – Leverage of DOE’s ASCR leadership computing resources at OLCF User Facility

• **Future Work** (subject to change based on availability of funding and allocation of HPC resources)
  – Complete implementation of CHT model, add LES turbulence model and assess impact over full DoE
  – Use LES to examine impact of flow field perturbations on predicted knock
  – Implement CHT for identification of hotspots and autoignition locations

Dean Edwards: edwardskd@ornl.gov          Charles Finney: finneyc@ornl.gov
Backup Slides
GPUs are enablers for the use of detailed chemistry

LLNL’s Zero-RK GPU-enabled chemistry solver provides significant computational speed-up

- **For reduced mechanism (144 species)**...
  - 33% speed-up of chemistry solution with Zero-RK and GPUs
  - Overall computation time reduced 10-20%

- **Simulations with detailed mechanism (766 species) not practical without HPC and GPUs**
  - On conventional, CPU-based clusters, after ~15 days only completed from IVC to +10 °ATDC
  - On Titan with Zero-RK and GPUs, ~5 days for IVC to EVO

- **Impact of GPUs even greater when increasing cell count (mesh refinement)**

---

Comparison of computational time for chemistry and transport solvers for example case of constant-volume, single-zone model with 1000 cells using CPUs only. GPUs should provide an additional 1.25-2x speed up.
Virtual design and calibration – Technical Accomplishments

- Emissions index error-squared for HC and NOx across calibration space
  - Note: HC and NOx error plots not on same scale

- Highlights regions of speed-load map where additional improvement needed
  - Caution needed as there are several points with similar speed-load but different engine operating parameters as part of calibration space
Virtual design and calibration – *Technical Accomplishments*

Significant improvement observed for most combustion and emissions metrics for individual cases and globally for increased coverage of calibration space without retuning

- Peak cylinder pressure, CA50: Consistent improvement
- HC: Significant improvement with *chemistry* and *wall-spray* refinements
- NOx: Significant improvement with *chemistry* and *grid* refinements
- CO and soot: Need further work

**ASME ICEF2017-3631**
Virtual design and calibration – Technical Accomplishments

Progressive improvement in NOx emissions prediction

- Significant improvement noted with...
  - Chemistry detail: Zel’dovich → NOx relations from GRI mechanism
  - Mesh refinement: Better resolution of cell temperature

![Graphs showing NOx emissions predictions for different cases.](image-url)
Progressive improvement in emissions prediction over full range

- Overall, absolute soot prediction for many cases became worse
- CONVERGE particulate size mimic (PSM) soot model is linked with SAGE chemistry solvers
  - Not accessible with Zero-RK UDF
  - Runs without Zero-RK also showed increased soot with reduced mechanism
Progressive improvement in emissions prediction over full range

- CO prediction still a significant challenge