Geothermal Technologies Office 2017 Peer Review



Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy



Impact of mineral reactions on shear zone permeability is uncertain at EGS conditions because key rate reactions are unknown



The Viability of Sustainable, Self-Propping Shear Zones in Enhanced Geothermal Systems: Measurement of Reaction Rates at Elevated Temperatures

Project Officer: Elisabet Metcalf; Total Project Funding: \$900,000 November 13-15, 2017 Susan Carroll, Megan Smith, Kristin Lammers Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory Track 3 - EGS Geoscience

This presentation does not contain any proprietary confidential, or otherwise restricted information. LLNL-PRES- 739695

Relevance/Impact of Research

L.B. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY Re

Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy

• GTO Need: Kinetic data are critical to designing and optimizing shear zone permeability for EGS systems.





Relevance/Impact of Research



- Kinetic Knowledge Gap Objective
 - Expand geochemical kinetic database for fracture minerals identified in EGS shear stimulation zones to 300° C.
- Current Technology
 Baseline Specifications
 - Current kinetic data and rate equations are lacking for many shear zone minerals at EGS temperatures and are rare even to 100° C.

Shear Zone Minerals at Desert Peak



GTO Goal: Secure the Future with Enhanced Geothermal Systems

 Results will allow chemical affects to be included in modeling, allow realistic estimates of risk from chemical reactions, and assist in designing economically viable EGS systems.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

Energy Efficiency &

- Rate equation
 - Temperature
 - pH
 - Solution chemistry
 - Surface area

$$R \ (mol \ s^{-1}) = S \begin{pmatrix} \left[A_A \cdot e^{-E_A/_{\mathrm{RT}}} \cdot a_{H^+}^n \right] + \left[A_N \cdot e^{-E_N/_{\mathrm{RT}}} \right] \\ + \left[A_B \cdot e^{-E_B/_{\mathrm{RT}}} \cdot a_{OH^-}^m \right] \end{pmatrix} \cdot f(\Delta G_r)$$

- New rate laws for 4 minerals (25 to 300C)
- 111 new experiments + literature values

Scientific/Technical Tasks

- Detailed characterization of solids
 before and after reaction
 - TEM/SEM/XRD/BET
- Measure dissolution rates for shear zone minerals in mixed flow reactors
 - chlorite, illite, and biotite
 - pH 3 10
 - 100 300° C
 - $f(\Delta G_r)$
 - Desert Peak, Raft River, Bradys Hot Spring (~200° C)
 - Newberry (200-300° C)
- Derive dissolution rate equations to be used in reactive-transport simulations



$$R (mol s^{-1}) = S \begin{pmatrix} \left[A_A \cdot e^{-E_A} /_{\mathrm{RT}} \cdot a_{H^+}^n \right] + \left[A_N \cdot e^{-E_N} /_{\mathrm{RT}} \right] \\ + \left[A_B \cdot e^{-E_B} /_{\mathrm{RT}} \cdot a_{OH^-}^m \right] \end{pmatrix} \cdot f(\Delta G_r)$$

Scientific/Technical Approach

U.B. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy

• Rate ~ Δ [solution composition] x flow rate / surface area



Theoretical $f(\Delta G_r)$ terms slows rates only when the solution is very close to equilibrium



Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy

Summary: Rock-water interactions have the potential to alter shear zone permeability

• Chlorite, biotite, illite, muscovite, and K feldspar are key fracture filling minerals in EGS shear zones

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

|=\|=:

Energy Efficiency &

- Measured rates and derived equations so that they can be used to assess the role of geochemistry for EGS permeability using reactive transport codes.
- Illite, muscovite, feldspar are significantly more reactive than chlorite and biotite.
 - 100 to 1000 times faster
- The high reactivity of illite, muscovite, feldspar is likely to drive chemical alteration in shear zones. Rapid dissolution and secondary precipitation will likely impact flow and permeability in geothermal reservoirs.
- Peer-reviewed publication of new rate laws and with the Geothermal Data Repository

Chlorite $(Mg_{4.29}AI_{1.48}Fe_{0.10})(AI_{1.22}Si_{2.78})O_{10}(OH)_8$ Single rate equation from 25 to 275°C

ENERGY Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy

$$R_{Chlorite} \ (mole \ s^{-1}) = S\left(\left[5 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot e^{-30.8} /_{\text{R}\cdot\text{T}} \cdot a_{H^+}^{0.65}\right] + \left[3 \cdot 10^{-10} \cdot e^{-18} /_{\text{R}\cdot\text{T}}\right] + \left[2 \cdot 10^{-7} \cdot e^{-26.3} /_{\text{R}\cdot\text{T}} \cdot a_{OH^-}^{0.43}\right]\right) \\ \cdot \left(1 - e^{\Delta G_r / RT}\right)$$



Biotite $K_2(Mg,Fe,AI)_6(Si,AI)_8O_{20}(OH)_4$ Single rate equation from 25 to 280°C



U.B. DEPARTMENT OF

Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy

$$R_{biotite} \ (mole \ s^{-1}) = S \left(\left[1.5 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot e^{-22} /_{R \cdot T} \right. \\ \left. \cdot a_{H^{+}}^{1.1} \right] + \left[10^{-9} \cdot e^{-22} /_{R \cdot T} \right] \right) \\ \left. \cdot \left(1 - \left[e^{\Delta G_{r} / RT} \right]^{0.04} \right) \right)$$



Illite $\kappa_{1.55}(Na_{0.04}, Ca_{0.02})Al_{2.90}(Fe_{0.70}, Mg_{0.54}, Ti_{0.05})Si_{6.75}Al_{1.25}O_{20}(OH)_4$ Single rate equation from 5 to 280°C



$$\begin{aligned} R_{illite} \ (mole \ s^{-1}) \\ &= S \left(\left[10^{-2} \cdot e^{-58} / _{\text{R} \cdot \text{T}} \cdot a_{H^+}^{0.55} \right] \\ &+ \left[2 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot e^{-54} / _{\text{R} \cdot \text{T}} \right] \\ &+ \left[10^{-4} \cdot e^{-77} / _{\text{R} \cdot \text{T}} \cdot a_{OH^-}^{0.35} \right] \right) \\ &\cdot \left(1 - e^{\Delta G_r / RT} \right) \end{aligned}$$

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy

Muscovite $K_2(\overline{AI,Mg,Fe})_4(\overline{Si,AI})_6O_{20}(\overline{OH})_4$ Single rate equation from 5 to 280°C

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy





K-Feldspar: Working on a rate equation that accounts for reaction affinity

$$R_{K-spar} \ (mol \ s^{-1}) = S \left(\left[0.9 \cdot e^{-54} /_{\text{RT}} \cdot a_{H^{+}}^{0.3} \right] + \left[6 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot e^{-50} /_{\text{RT}} \right] + \left[0.7 \cdot e^{-50} /_{\text{RT}} \cdot a_{OH^{-}}^{0.3} \right] \right) \cdot \left(1 - e^{-4.9 \cdot 10^{-5} (\Delta G_r / RT)^{3.4}} \right)$$

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

ENERG

Energy Efficiency &



K-Feldspar: Working on a rate equation that accounts for reaction affinity

$$R_{K-spar} \ (mol \ s^{-1}) = S \left(\left[0.9 \cdot e^{-54} /_{\text{RT}} \cdot a_{H^{+}}^{0.3} \right] + \left[6 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot e^{-50} /_{\text{RT}} \right] + \left[0.7 \cdot e^{-50} /_{\text{RT}} \cdot a_{OH^{-1}}^{0.3} \right] \right) \cdot \left(1 - e^{-4.9 \cdot 10^{-5} (\Delta G_r / RT)^{3.4}} \right)$$



U.B. DEPARTMENT OF

Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy

Summary: Rock-water interactions have the potential to alter shear zone permeability

• Chlorite, biotite, illite, muscovite, and K feldspar are key fracture filling minerals in EGS shear zones

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

|=\|=:

Energy Efficiency &

- Measured rates and derived equations so that they can be used to assess the role of geochemistry for EGS permeability using reactive transport codes.
- Illite, muscovite, feldspar are significantly more reactive than chlorite and biotite.
 - 100 to 1000 times faster
- The high reactivity of illite, muscovite, feldspar is likely to drive chemical alteration in shear zones. Rapid dissolution and secondary precipitation will likely impact flow and permeability in geothermal reservoirs.
- Peer-reviewed publication of new rate laws and with the Geothermal Data Repository

Accomplishments, Results and Progress

Status

• Complete

Deliverable

• Final report documenting updated EGS mineral kinetic database (2/15/2017)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

ENE:(e

Energy Efficiency &

Renewable Energy

Publications

- Smith, M. M., Carroll, S. A. (2017) Biotite dissolution kinetics at temperatures above 100 ° C, *Chemical Geology* (in revision)
- Lammers, K. Smith, M. M., Carroll, S. A. (2017) Muscovite dissolution kinetics as a function of pH at elevated temperature. *Chemical Geology,* <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2017.06.003</u>
- Smith M., Dai, Z., Carroll, S. (2017) Illite dissolution kinetics from 100 to 280
 [°] C and pH 3 to 9. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 209, 9-23, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2017.04.005</u>
- Smith, M. M., Carroll, S. A. (2016) Chlorite dissolution kinetics at pH 3 10 and temperatures to 275° C, *Chemical Geology*, 421, 55-64, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2015.11.022</u>



This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract DE-AC52-07NA27344.