The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide federal funding to Fraunhofer, USA, Inc., Center for Sustainable Energy Systems (Fraunhofer) for the development of a polyisocyanurate (PIR) aerogel-based super insulation at atmospheric pressure (SIAP) by benchtop formulation of chemicals and use of a low-cost freeze drying method for pore solvent to create the foam. Project work would occur at Fraunhofer’s facility in Boston, MA and Virginia Commonwealth University (VCU) laboratory space in Richmond, VA.

Project activities include design, development, and laboratory activities such as chemical formulation; optimization; procedure development; production of PIR nanofoam; physical, chemical, thermal, mechanical, and hygrothermal property characterization of batches of foam produced; design of processing vacuum equipment; and initial cost predictions. All project work would be done in existing office space or a laboratory environment. No physical modifications or ground disturbing activities would be required and no change in the use of the facilities would result from project activities. No modifications to permits or new permits, additional licenses and/or authorizations would be necessary for proposed project activities. Typical hazards of working in a chemical laboratory would be expected from project activities. All facilities have chemical hygiene plans with appropriate health and safety policies and procedures in place and all facilities are equipped with personal protective equipment and safety equipment to minimize risks of chemical exposure to project personnel. All handling of hazardous materials would occur in-lab and all facilities would collect hazardous waste and utilize an environmental waste company to dispose of unwanted chemicals in accordance with all federal, state, and local laws. The project is expected to create a foam with nano-sized pores. Commercially available raw materials would be used to achieve that end-product. Specimens would be prepared and handled inside ventilated fume hoods, and kept inside closed containers (e.g. vials, centrifuge tubes) once synthesized to minimize dispersion of the nano-materials in the environment. Foam samples would be disposed of in accordance with federal and state regulations after testing. DOE does not anticipate any impacts to resources of concern due to the proposed activities of the project.
Based on the review of the proposal, DOE has determined the proposal fits within the class of action(s) and the integral elements of Appendix B to Subpart D of 10 CFR 1021 outlined in the DOE categorical exclusion(s) selected above. DOE has also determined that: (1) there are no extraordinary circumstances (as defined by 10 CFR 1021.410 (2)) related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; (2) the proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion; and (3) the proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts, related to other proposals with cumulatively significant actions, or an improper interim action. This proposal is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination for this award.

Insert the following language in the award:

If the Recipient intends to make changes to the scope or objective of this project, the Recipient is required to contact the Project Officer, identified in Block 15 of the Assistance Agreement before proceeding. The Recipient must receive notification of approval from the DOE Contracting Officer prior to commencing with work beyond that currently approved. If the Recipient moves forward with activities that are not authorized for Federal funding by the DOE Contracting Officer in advance of a final NEPA decision, the Recipient is doing so at risk of not receiving Federal funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share.

Note to Specialist:

Building Technologies Office
This NEPA determination does not require a tailored NEPA provision.

SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

NEPA Compliance Officer Signature: [Signature] Date: 8/15/2017

NEPA Compliance Officer

FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION

☐ Field Office Manager review required.

NCO REQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE MANAGER REVIEW FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON:

☐ Proposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but involves a high profile or controversial issue that warrants Field Office Manager's attention.

☐ Proposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and therefore requires Field Office Manager's review and determination.

BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:

Field Office Manager's Signature: ____________________________ Date: ________________

Field Office Manager