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Goal Statement

Demonstrate an advanced biofuels technology at the pilot scale that integrates a catalytic biomass pyrolysis step to produce a low oxygen-content, thermally stable bio-crude intermediate that can be upgraded in a hydroprocessing unit to produce infrastructure compatible biofuels.

Technical goals:

1) Operate a catalytic biomass pyrolysis process (1 tonne/day -1TPD))
   a. Investigate the effect of process conditions, catalyst, and feedstock on bio-crude yield to achieve high degree of deoxygenation (< 20 wt%) while maximizing the bio-crude yield (> 40 C%)
   b. Produce at least 25 gallons of bio-crude for upgrading from different feedstocks for upgrading
   c. Improve bio-crude thermal stability (< 80% residual losses in SIMDIST)

2) Evaluate the impact of bio-crude quality in the hydroprocessing step
   a. Investigate steady-state deoxygenation activity, hydrogen demand, and process severity with loblolly pine bio-crude of various quality (wt%O)
   b. Investigate impact of biomass feedstock on bio-crude upgrading
   c. Evaluate opportunities for co-processing bio-crude and upgraded bio-crude with refinery intermediates

3) Update techno-economic analysis of integrated process and conduct life-cycle assessment of the integrated advanced biofuels process

Target: nth plant modeled MFSP of $3/GGE (2014$) via CFP with hydroprocessing to produce hydrocarbon biofuel with GHG emissions reduction of 50% or more compared to petroleum-derived fuel.

- Update bio-crude and biofuel yields
- Verify CAPEX for upgrading step (single vs. multi-stage hydroprocessing)
- Update hydrogen demand and hydroprocessing conditions with experimental results
**Quad Chart Overview**

**Timeline**
- Award date: 10/1/2011
- Contract award date: 8/16/2012
- Project end date: 3/31/2017
- ~99% complete

**Barriers**
- Ct-F. Efficient High-Temperature Deconstruction to Intermediates
- Ct-H. Efficient Catalytic Upgrading of Bio-Oil Intermediates to Fuels and Chemicals

**Partners**
- RTI – project lead, CFP technology development, Hydroprocessing, project management
- Haldor Topsøe A/S (HTAS) - Hydroprocessing Development and Process Modeling

**High impact feedstock providers**
- Idaho National Laboratory
- Local NC wood products suppliers
- Iowa State University

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY12 - FY14 Costs</th>
<th>FY15 Costs</th>
<th>FY16 Costs</th>
<th>FY17 - Project End Date Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DOE Funded</td>
<td>$1,946,214</td>
<td>$1,140,215</td>
<td>$1,056,193</td>
<td>$207,307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost Share - Haldor Topsoe</td>
<td>$240,765</td>
<td>$171,880</td>
<td>$445,393</td>
<td>$141,962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost Share - State of NC</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$2,286,979</td>
<td>$1,312,095</td>
<td>$1,501,586</td>
<td>$349,269</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1- Project Overview

Build on previous development efforts (ARPA-e) that identified a suitable solid acid catalyst for catalytic biomass pyrolysis to demonstrate an advanced biofuels technology that integrates a catalytic biomass pyrolysis step and a hydroprocessing step to produce infrastructure compatible biofuels.

Scale-up CFP process to pilot-scale to validate catalyst performance and bio-crude yields and quality

1) optimize the catalytic biomass pyrolysis process (1TPD) to maximize high-quality bio-crude production (< 20 wt% O and > 40% carbon recovery)
2) improve bio-crude thermal stability

Design, build and operate a pilot-scale hydroprocessing unit to upgrade bio-crude intermediates

1) evaluate the impact of bio-crude quality on the hydroprocessing step
2) evaluate co-processing opportunities
3) evaluate hydrogen demand of the integrated process
4) maximize biofuels yield
Task 1.0: Pilot-scale Catalytic Biomass Pyrolysis (RTI, INL)

Key Milestones: Complete 1TPD unit commissioning; catalyst and feedstock procurement, bio-crude production

Task 2.0: Hydroprocessing Evaluation and Optimization (Haldor Topsoe)

Key Milestones: Develop upgrading strategy for RTI bio-crude samples; Develop optimized process conditions for bio-crude upgrading; Bio-crude/refinery intermediate co-processing

Task 3.0: Hydroprocessing Reactor Design and Fabrication for Integrated Process Development (RTI, Haldor Topsoe)

Go/NoGo Decision Point – Technical review of hydroprocessing unit design and cost analysis prior to start of fabrication. (April 2014)

Go/NoGo Decision Point – Commissioning hydroprocessing unit. (March 2015)

Task 4.0: Integrated Bio-crude Upgrading and Process Operation (RTI)

Key Milestones: Complete up to 1000 hours of upgrading with loblolly pine bio-crude, 500 hours of upgrading with two other bio-crudes.

Task 5.0: Process Modeling and Refinery Integration (RTI, Haldor Topsoe)

Key Milestones: 2000 TPD Integrated Process Design and Economics; Economic analysis of the technical feasibility of co-processing bio-crude and hydrocarbon intermediate streams

Task 6.0: Project Management and Reporting (RTI)
2 – Approach (Technical)

**Pilot-scale (1TPD) in situ Catalytic Fast Pyrolysis**
- Continuous feed circulating fluidized bed reactor/regenerator – scaleable design
- Maximize carbon efficiency and deoxygenation to control bio-crude properties
- Verify long-term deoxygenation activity and attrition resistance
- Maximize bio-crude yield

Challenges: char/coke formation reduces bio-crude yields, bio-crude recovery efficiency, steady-state bio-crude yields, obtaining pilot-scale quantities of catalyst and feedstock

**Bio-crude Upgrading**
- Design, fabrication, and installation of a pilot-scale (dual 350-mL reactors) hydroprocessing unit that provides data for scale-up
- Benchmark operation to verify system performance and data reliability
- Long-term unattended operation to determine upgrading catalyst stability and lifetime (500-1000 hrs)
- Refinery integration and co-processing strategies

Challenges: Reactor plugging, process severity correlated to bio-crude composition

**Critical Technical Goals**
- Steady-state pilot plant operation to validate bio-crude yields
- Steady-state bio-crude upgrading to validate catalyst HDO activity
- Updated techno-economics to validate technology cost competitiveness
1 TPD unit operational for more than three years

- 4 catalysts tested; 5 feedstocks – loblolly pine, hybrid poplar, corn stover, hardwood pellets, red oak
- 12-h Parametric Studies
  - Temperature was the most influential factor.
    - Moderate temperatures (450 ≤ T < 500) favored higher yields.
    - Anhydrosugars are cracked at higher temperature > 500 °C, and formation of simple phenols, catechols, and PAH increases.
  - Short residence times reduced biomass devolatilization
  - Overall material balances routinely 80%-100%
  - Gas Yield: 5-11 C%; Total Liquid Yield: 15-30 C%; Total Solid Yield: 48-70C%
  - Steady-state yield varied between 38 and 50 gallons/dry ton of biomass
- Over 200-gal of loblolly pine bio-crude and 20-gal of red oak bio-crude produced for upgrading.
Hydroprocessing Evaluation and Optimization

• Developed strategy for upgrading RTI bio-crude samples (catalyst selection and initial process conditions)
• Design, fabrication, and installation of hydroprocessing unit at RTI
• Commissioning (January 2015) and baseline testing (February 2015) to validate system performance
  • 3 baseline experiments with vacuum gas oil (VGO)
  • More than 300 hours time-on-stream and 30 gallons of VGO hydrotreated
  • Mass closures between 99-100 wt%
  • Hydrodesulfurization between 93.8 to 95.3% and hydrodenitrification between 84.1 to 89.7%.
• Over 1500 cumulative hours of bio-crude upgrading since April 2015.
  • High carbon yields (55% to 95%).
  • More stable hydrotreating catalyst activity (> 100-hrs) with lower oxygen containing bio-crudes (< 15 wt%)
  • The HDT product contained mostly naphthenic hydrocarbons. HDT product with greater than 5 wt% O contained more mono-phenols and aromatics hydrocarbons (Mono-, Di-, and Tri-)
  • HDT product carbon number distribution up to C27
• Comprehensive bio-crude and upgraded bio-crude sample analysis
• Co-processed bio-crude and refinery intermediate blends
  • 1180 hours total co-processing with 542-hrs 30%CPO/70%LG
  • 85% diesel-range product
Catalytic Biomass Pyrolysis Scale-up

Understand the effect of operating parameters on product yields and quality
- Pyrolysis temperature (350-500 ºC)
- Residence time (0.5-1.0 s)
- Catalyst circulation rate (catalyst to biomass ratio)
- Type of biomass

Continuous, long-term operation
- Validate bio-crude yields and quality
- Demonstrate catalyst stability and durability
- Gain operational experience
- Collect engineering design data for scale-up

Produce bio-crude for Upgrading Technology Development
Objective:
• Demonstrate steady-state operation for at least 12 consecutive hours to evaluate process conditions on biocrude yield and quality.

Catalyst:
• Non-zeolite alumina based catalyst with nominal 70 µm particle size

CFP Conditions:
• Biomass Feed Rate: 35-70 kg/h
• Pyrolysis Temperature: 425-600 ºC
• Regenerator Temperature: 560 -640 ºC
• Mixing Zone N₂ flowrate: 75 – 550 scfh
• Mixing Zone Residence time: 0.5 – 2 s

Feedstocks:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biomass</th>
<th>Particle Size</th>
<th>Moisture wt%</th>
<th>Elemental composition (as-received), wt.%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loblolly pine</td>
<td>2 mm top size</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>Carbon 47.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Oak</td>
<td>&lt; 2mm</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>Carbon 45.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Steady-state yields of biocrude for CFP of loblolly pine using alumina catalyst at 465 °C was ~50 gal/ton.

- Short residence times reduced biomass devolatilization
- Temperature was the most influential factor:
  - Higher yields at T < 500
  - Less anhydrosugars and more simple phenols, catechols, and PAH at > 500 °C
- Steady-state yields varied between 22 and 51 gallons/dry tonne of biomass
UNIT OPERATIONS

• Oil feed system including pumps and flow control
• Gas feed system
• Reactor system
• Separator system
• Gas and liquid sampling system
Hydroprocessing Reactor Unit Development

Design Basis
- Reactor volume - 350 mL
- Catalyst volume - 20 to 250 mL
- LHSV - 0.1 to 1.0
- Flow rates - 50 to 250 mL/h

- N₂ is used as the stripping agent
- Maximum design pressure of 3000 psig
- Maximum design temperature of 450 °C
Bio-crude Hydrotreating

Catalyst Loading, Sulfidation, and HDT Process Conditions
- HDT Catalyst: Haldor Topsøe Bio-Cat
- Catalyst Sulfidation: In-situ with H₂S in H₂ balance.
- Bio-crude flow rate: 50-250 ml/h
- Mass Balance Protocol: Allow at least 48 hours of run time prior to performing mass balance.
- Experiments continue until pressure drop across reactor > 60-100 psig

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Typical Test Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>290 – 350 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure</td>
<td>1450-2000 psig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHSV</td>
<td>0.125 - 0.5 1/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂/oil</td>
<td>2000-3300 Nl/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOS</td>
<td>16-396</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analysis of Bio-crude and HDT products include:
Elemental Analysis(CHNSO), GC-MS, FTIR, NMR, Carbon Number Distribution, Distillation by ASTM D1160, SG 60/60 by ASTM D4052, Kinematic Viscosity by D445, and Karl Fischer Titration.
### Biocrude Chemical Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elemental (wt.%) dry basis</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>63.7</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>64.9</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>64.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>28.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H/C</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GC-MS Peak Area**

- **Unknown**
- **Sugar**
- **Phenol, multi**
- **Phenol, mono**
- **PAH**
- **Mono-aromatic**
- **Acids**
- **Furans**
- **Carbonyls, multi**
- **Carbonyls, mono**
- **Aliphatic**

![GC-MS Peak Area Graph](image)
Hydrotreating of Biocrude: Physico-chemical Properties
Hydrotreating of Biocrude: Chemical Composition of HDT oils

Less cyclic alkanes are produced from aromatic, hydrocarbon-rich feedstocks.

High yield of cyclic alkanes are produced from phenolic-rich feedstocks.
Hydrotreating of Biocrude: Physico-chemical Properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fractions</th>
<th>HDT Product Oxygen Content, wt%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naphtha/Gasoline IBP-165 °C</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerosene/Jet Fuel 165-250 °C</td>
<td>34.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy Diesel/Heating 250-340 °C</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diesel (Total)</td>
<td>58.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas oils 340-550 °C</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

~2:1 Diesel/Gasoline Range Products
Hydrotreating of Biocrude: Hydrogen Consumption

Factors: Biocrude oxygen content, Biocrude aromaticity, and Process conditions
Co-processing studies (Haldor Topsoe)

Refinery Integration and co-processing

Compare co-processing of light cycle oil (LCO) blended with light gasoil (LG) with co-processing of 30% once through catalytic pyrolysis oil (CPO) blended with LG

Summary of Results

- Feed oxygen in the range 0.5 – 2 %wt
- Product oxygen in the range 1 – 200 wt ppm
- Diesel yields in the range of 85 %wt of FF
- Pilot test ran for 1180 hours where 542 were co-processing of CPO, no problems with pressure drop or extreme deactivation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pressure (Inlet pH₂)</td>
<td>70 Barg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactor temperature</td>
<td>330 – 345°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHSV</td>
<td>0.4 h⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂/oil ratio</td>
<td>625 Nl/l</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Techno-economic Analysis: Detailed Block Flow Diagram

Wood Yard and Drying
- Logs and chips storage
- Wood chipping
- Wood chips drying
- Dry chips storage

Biomass to Bio-crude
- Catalytic pyrolysis reactor
- Coolers and quench column
- Electrostatic precipitator
- Bio-crude/water separation

Bio-crude Upgrading
- Bio-crude pump and heater
- Hydrotreater
- Multi-stage H₂ compressor
- Gasoline/water separation

Hydrogen Production
- Steam reformer
- Shift reactor
- Amine scrubber
- Gas furnace

- Process model developed in Aspen Plus with experimental results from 1 TPD pyrolysis unit
- Aspen Plus simulations for equipment sizing and heat and material balance calculations
- Equipment costs estimates from Aspen Process Economic Analyzer based on $2014
- Installed cost estimates based on equipment-specific installation factors from industrial experience.
## Cost Summary

### Process Details and Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feedstock Type</td>
<td>Loblolly Pine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Envisioned Commercial Feed Rate (dry tonne/day)</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biofuel Yield (gallons/dry ton)</td>
<td>79.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Biofuel Production (MM gallons)</td>
<td>49.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Conversion(% - $C_{in\text{(fuel)}}/C_{in\text{feedstock}}$)</td>
<td>28.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Installed Capital ($MM)</td>
<td>471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Operating Costs ($MM)</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Production costs, $/GGE</td>
<td>$3.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Equipment Cost By Area

- **Wood Processing**: 29.6%
- **Biomass Pyrolysis**: 23.4%
- **Bio-oil Upgrading**: 17.6%
- **Hydrogen Production**: 20.2%
- **Balance of Plant**: 9.2%

### Total Cost By Category

- **Equipment Cost**: 30%
- **Installation Cost**: 37%
- **Engineering**: 3%
- **Home Office**: 4%
- **Commissioning**: 8%
- **Contingency/ Escalation**: 18%
4 - Relevance

Integrated pilot-scale process development to validate material balances and catalyst performance to provide data for scale-up

- Supports the strategic goal of Conversion R&D to develop commercially viable technologies for converting feedstocks into energy-dense, fungible, finished liquid transportation fuels
- Provides technical data that can be used to estimate mature technology processing cost of converting lignocellulosic biomass to hydrocarbon fuels
  - Correlation between laboratory-scale and pilot-scale studies
  - Balance between deoxygenation during CFP and hydrotreating catalyst performance
  - Balance between the amount and quality of bio-crude produced and the impact on downstream catalytic hydroprocessing steps
  - Opportunities for co-processing bio-crudes with refinery intermediates

Performance Milestone for Thermochemical Pathways

- By 2017, validate an nth plant modeled minimum fuel selling price (MFSP) of $3/GGE (2014$) via a conversion pathway to hydrocarbon biofuel with GHG emissions reduction of 50% or more compared to petroleum-derived fuel.
5 - Future Work

- Project end date – 3/31/2017
- All technical work complete; complete data analysis, report writing, and manuscripts
- Complete Final Report
- Project closeout
Integrated catalytic biomass pyrolysis and bio-crude hydrotreatment for advanced hydrocarbon biofuels production

Directly supports BETO Thermochemical Conversion R&D Objectives

- 1 TPD pilot-scale bio-crude production
  - Continuous feed circulating fluidized bed reactor/regenerator – scaleable design
  - Maximize carbon efficiency and deoxygenation to control bio-crude properties
  - Over 200-gal of loblolly pine bio-crude and 20-gal of red oak bio-crude produced for upgrading

- Leverage extensive refining expertise at Haldor Topsøe A/S for bio-crude upgrading
  - Catalyst selection and process optimization
  - Experimental results scale to commercial systems
  - Co-processing upgraded bio-crude with refinery intermediates (LG)

- Design, installation, and commissioning of hydrotreating unit for bio-crude upgrading
  - Benchmark operation to verify system performance and data reliability
  - Long-term unattended operation to determine upgrading catalyst stability and lifetime
  - Over 1500 cumulative hours on stream of bio-crude hydrotreating since April 2015.

Project accomplishments

- Parametric CFP studies to understand the effects of operating conditions (mainly temperature) on bio-crude yield and oxygen content
- Studied the impact of bio-crude composition on hydrotreating performance
- Stable hydrotreating catalyst activity (> 100-hrs) with lower oxygen containing bio-crude (< 15 wt%)
- Minimal pressure drop increase and no severe deactivation during 542-hrs co-processing 30%CPO/70%LG
- Updated techno-economic analysis with pilot-scale experimental data
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Presentations

Responses to Previous Reviewers’ Comments

Overall Impressions

- This is a good project, but it is possible that the project team has not planned enough time and money to get the integrated system running reliably. Other integrated startups have had to spend much more than expected time and money to get over this hump. It does not appear they have this included in their plan.

- This project is nearing the completion date, and seeking a six-month, no-cost extension, but it is questionable whether or not stated project deliverables will actually be achieved.

- Assuming the no-cost time extension is approved, this project should successfully produce reasonable quantities of highly upgraded liquids. Successful completion would essentially serve as the “validation” of the ex-situ pyrolysis approach.

- Product from Haldor Topsoe hydrotreated at severe conditions of 0.5 LHSV (liquid hourly space velocity) still appears to contain oxygen based on specific gravity, and hydrotreating catalyst deactivation seems to be significant. Yield is low at 40 gallons/ton. Based on the experience at Kior (one being low carbon selectivity into liquid fuels), what technical hurdles must be overcome and will this project be able to address them?

- The large pyrolysis unit is an expensive asset that appears to be underutilized (equivalent of four full days in 18 months). This reviewer hopes that the Haldor Topsoe hydrotreater will see better utilization to justify its purchase and commissioning costs.

PI Response to Reviewer Comments

- At the current stage of development, the catalytic biomass pyrolysis process is being scaled-up in a 1 ton per day pilot plant based on a single-loop transport reactor design with continuous catalyst regeneration. RTI has discovered a novel catalyst that effectively deoxygenates biomass pyrolysis vapors in a catalytic biomass pyrolysis process. This produces a low oxygen-content, thermally stable bio-crude intermediate. To date, we have produced 60 gallons of loblolly pine biocrude for upgrading.

- A hydrotreating unit was designed, built, installed and commissioned to provide the capability of integrating bio-crude production and upgrading in a single facility. Since the Peer Review meeting in March 2015, we have successfully upgraded bio-crude for over 100 hours. The hydrotreating unit was designed with the intent of producing commercially relevant data. Highly reproducible operation for hundreds of hours of steady-state operation can be used to simulate the performance of commercial units. Long-term catalyst testing can be used to estimate catalyst deactivation rates and lifetime.

- By the end of the project, experimentally validated process conditions (temperatures, pressures, hydrogen demand, and catalyst performance) and yields (both bio-crude and upgraded products) will be input into a process model to verify the technical feasibility and economic viability of this specific thermochemical pathway using specific catalysts and feedstocks. The integrated catalytic biomass pyrolysis process with bio-crude upgrading is defined by BETO as the in-situ direct biomass liquefaction pathway and a Design Case based on this approach is scheduled for release in 2016. In principle, the data from this project can be used to support future design cases; however, they are typically based on publicly available information and proprietary technical details may need to be protected.