U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Bioenergy Technologies Office (BETO) 2017 Project Peer Review

Improving tolerance of yeast to lignocellulosicderived feedstocks and products



Mar. 9, 2017 Biochemical Conversion

Felix Lam, Greg Stephanopoulos (PI) Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT)

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

Goal Statement

<u>Goal</u> – Engineer tolerance to lignocellulosic hydrolysates in yeast *S. cerevisiae*, the industry-dominant biocatalyst

- <u>Outcome</u> Genetically-enhanced strains and fermentation parameters capable of:
- Ethanol (EtOH) titers of ~100 g/L from unclarified, pretreated biomass
- Utilizing C6 (glucose) and C5 (xylose) sugars
- Producing antifreeze molecule **monoethylene glycol (MEG)** and other non-EtOH products from **lignocellulose**

Relevance -

- High tolerance to combined feedstock + product toxicity (e.g., acidhydrolyzed biomass + EtOH) removes a primary obstacle to high production and cost-competitive cellulosic-based products
- Tolerance-enhanced yeast processes (strains + specific fermentation modifications) could leverage the established fermentation infrastructure for cellulosic economy

Quad Chart Overview

Timeline

- Project start: Oct. 2016
- Project end: Sept. 2019
- Percent complete: <**5%**

Budget

	Total Costs FY 14 –FY 16	FY 17 Costs	FY 18 Costs	Total Planned Funding (FY 19-Project End Date)
DOE Funded	\$0	\$633K	\$428K	\$439K
Project Cost Share (MIT)	\$50K	\$142K	\$147K	\$152K

Barriers

- Ct-H. Efficient Catalytic Upgrading of Sugars/ Aromatics to Fuels and Chemicals
- Ct-J. Process Integration

Partners

- Whitehead Institute (Cambridge, MA)
- National Corn-to-Ethanol Research Center (Edwardsville, IL)
- Biochemtex (Tortona, Italy)

Background

- Inhibitory compounds generally attack microbial catalysts via unidentified mechanisms
- Unlike corn/1G fermentations, lignocellulosic fermentations exhibit combined feedstock + product toxicity (pretreatment byproduct + EtOH toxicity)

Previous Work

- We identified upkeep of the plasma membrane potential as a discrete, engineerable mechanism of general alcohol tolerance in yeast (Lam FH *et al., Science* 2014)
- Simultaneous elevation of extracellular potassium (K⁺) + pH strengthens the principal membrane electrochemical gradients → directly enhances alcohol tolerance...

pH 2-3

H+

H+

pH 7

Previous Work (cont.)

- ...Boosts yeast viability against EtOH, propanol, or butanol
- ...Boosts EtOH production universally in laboratory and commercial strains
- …Boosts EtOH production from either glucose (C6) or xylose (C5)
- Strengthened membrane gradients work alongside engineered pathways:
 - Xylose consumption
 - Xenobiotic utilization (Shaw AJ, Lam FH *et al., Science* 2016)





Proposed Research



Boost lignocellulosic fermentation by **combining alcohol tolerance** advances with genetic pathways **alleviating hydrolysate toxicity**

I. Systematically characterize the impact of dominant hydrolysate inhibitors — furfural, hydroxymethylfurfural, acetic acid — on EtOH production and yeast viability



Proposed Research (cont.)



- II. Engineer hydrolysate-tolerant strains \rightarrow target ~100 g/L cellulosic EtOH, can withstand range of toxicities
 - a. Enzymatic detoxification of furfurals to furan-alcohols \rightarrow strengthen membrane potential to increase alcohol tolerance
 - b. Screening / expression of **drug efflux pumps** to reduce intracellular inhibitor concentrations
- III. Assess if hydrolysate tolerance is portable beyond EtOH: engineer yeast producing **cellulosic MEG**, an antifreeze component

2 – Approach (Management)

Prof. Greg Stephanopoulos (MIT), Principle Investigator **Prof. Gerald Fink** (Whitehead Institute), Project Collaborator

- Scientific guidance
- Financial, administrative oversight

Dr. Felix Lam (MIT), Lead Scientist **Boonsom Uranukul** (MIT), Graduate Researcher

- Hydrolysate tolerance / cellulosic EtOH
- Cellulosic MEG
- **Weekly**: Team and individual meetings (all members colocalized in same lab space for maximum interaction)
- **Quarterly**: DOE reporting, assessment of project management plan (PMP), progress milestones

2 – Approach (Technical)

- Formulate **reference hydrolysate**: laboratory medium containing range of individual, and varying blends, of the 3 inhibitors
- Bench-level fermentations monitoring EtOH / MEG production (HPLC) and yeast viability (microscopy)
- Determination of tolerance metrics and correlation with EtOH / MEG performance to assess if inhibitors impinge viability or metabolism



- Genetic methods (metabolic engineering, CRISPR, gene synthesis) to optimally express detoxification enzymes, efflux pumps, and MEG pathway
- **Pooled library selection** and **deep sequencing** to identify novel alleles of expressed genes

2 – Approach (Technical)

Top Potential Challenges

- Genes initially chosen for inhibitor detoxification or efflux may be ineffective → mutagenesis and selection to increase efficacy
- Activity of expressed genes may be further limited by co-factors or cytoplasmic trafficking → metabolic engineering to tweak biochemistry
- Enhanced alcohol tolerance dependent on medium composition
 → genuine biomass hydrolysates from partners must be
 customized

Critical Success Factors

- Reproducible gains in hydrolysate tolerance / cellulosic EtOH (any range of inhibitors) from engineered strains
- MEG production under any condition

4 – Relevance

<u>Goals</u>

- Enhance yeast tolerance to unclarified lignocellulosic hydrolysates for increased EtOH and MEG production
- Exceed current cellulosic EtOH tolerance of 72 g/L (MYPP, 3/2016)

Higher feedstock + product tolerance:

- Directly addresses BETO's MYPP Conversion R&D objectives for *"more robust host organisms that can tolerate greater feedstock variability and accumulation of inhibitory compounds."*
- Increases production \rightarrow **cost-competitiveness** of cellulosic EtOH
- Potentially lowers CAPEX / OPEX needed for hydrolysate neutralization → lowers feedstock costs
- Technology demonstration of non-EtOH product: cellulosic MEG

5 – Future Work

FY17 – TOXICITY CHARACTERIZATION

- Quantify EtOH production and yeast fermentation viability as a function of the individual toxicities furfural, hydroxymethylfurfural, acetic acid
- Repeat study with defined stoichiometric blends of toxicities

Milestones

- Systematic deconstruction of toxicity to reveal relative impact of component inhibitors as well as inhibitor synergism
- Identification of component(s) exhibiting greatest inhibition and requiring greatest degree of detoxification
- Correlation of EtOH titers and yeast fermentation viability to determine if toxicity impinges cell viability or metabolism

5 – Future Work

FY18 – STRAIN ENGINEERING

- Formulate reference hydrolysate from FY17 results; characterize gains in EtOH production and yeast viability when modified with adjustments strengthening membrane potential
- Engineer strains expressing **alcohol dehydrogenases** that convert furan-aldehydes to -alcohols; benchmark with/without adjustments strengthening membrane potential
- Screen "variomics" libraries of alcohol dehydrogenase genes (e.g., *ADH6, ADH7*) to identify superior detoxification alleles
- Screen strains with deletions of annotated **multidrug efflux pumps** to identify transporters with specificity to inhibitors

Milestones

 A set of genetic enhancements and fermentation conditions that boost hydrolysate tolerance

5 – Future Work

FY19 – CELLULOSIC MEG

- Prototype yeast pathway synthesizing **MEG from xylose**
- Metabolic engineering to **delete competing fluxes**
- Metabolic engineering to reduce / eliminate EtOH production
- Add in genetic enhancements from FY18 that confer hydrolysate tolerance

Milestones

- Strains producing 1–10 g/L MEG from xylose with minimal EtOH co-product
- Strains producing **cellulosic MEG** (any titer)

Summary

- 1. Overview: engineer yeast tolerant to hydrolysate toxicity for production of lignocellulosic EtOH and MEG
- 2. Approach: bench-level fermentations, metabolic engineering, library screenings to identify genetic enhancements and fermentation specifications boosting lignocellulosic fermentation
- 3. Technical Accomplishments: (none yet)
- 4. Relevance: hydrolysate-tolerant yeast...
 - ... Address MYPP objective for more robust biocatalysts tolerating greater feedstock variability
 - ... Lower feedstock costs \rightarrow boost cost-competitiveness of cellulosic products

5. Future Work:

- Systematic deconstruction of hydrolysate toxicity
- Genetic engineering for specific detoxification of inhibitors
- Integration with previous alcohol tolerance advances for increased production from lignocellulosic hydrolysates

Additional Slides



Previous Work



Elevated K⁺ and pH directly control EtOH tolerance and production



Publications, Patents, Presentations, Awards, and Commercialization

References (prior to award)

- Lam FH, Ghaderi A, Fink GR, Stephanopoulos G. Engineering alcohol tolerance in yeast. *Science*. **346**, 71–75 (2014).
- Shaw AJ, Lam FH *et al.* Metabolic engineering of microbial competitive advantage for industrial fermentation processes. *Science*. **353**, 583–586 (2016).

Patents (prior to award)

 US 14/479,118 – "Ethanol production in engineered yeast" (under examination)