

#### **Red Phosphors for LED Lighting**

Jonathan Melman, CTO DOE SSL Workshop February 2, 2017

menari

#### **Company Snapshot**

What we do	We are developing LED phosphors that improve LED efficacy up to 40%			
Target Markets	Packaged LED suppliers to the general lighting & display backlighting markets			
Employees	2 Founders plus 7 PhD Chemists and Physicists			
Founded	January 2013			
Headquarters	Lexington, KY			

This work conducted with support from the Department of Energy under award number DE-EE0007622, the National Science Foundation under award number 1534771, and the Kentucky Science & Technology Corporation under the Grant Agreement KSTC-184-512-17-247.



#### Brighten the world... one particle at a time.

## The Efficacy Trajectory

- LED package efficacy has increased steadily
- Warm White packages are slightly more than halfway to theoretical efficacy



U.S. Dept. of Energy, SSL R&D Plan, 2016

#### **Red Phosphor and LER Impact**

Narrower red emission and shorter red wavelength improve LER

- There are  $\lambda_{max}$  - color quality trade-offs, especially R<sub>9</sub>



U.S. Dept. of Energy, SSL R&D Plan, 2016

### Potential for Large Efficacy Gains with Red Phosphor Emission

Eliminating or reducing near-IR emission improves LER up to 40%



#### **Red Phosphor Activators**

	Eu <sup>2+</sup> -activated	Mn <sup>4+</sup> -activated	Eu <sup>3+</sup> - activated*	DOE Targets
Peak Emission $\lambda$	600-650 nm	631 nm	615-625 nm	615 nm
Excitation Peak/	~475 nm / ~650	~450 nm / ~500		
Edge	nm	nm	~450 nm / ~500 nm	
Absorption, $\alpha$ 450	>200 cm <sup>-1</sup>	<60 cm <sup>-1</sup>	>200 cm <sup>-1</sup>	
Flux Density Saturation (rel. QY @ 1W <sub>rad</sub> /mm <sup>2</sup> vs peak QY)	Unknown	Sub-linear > 0.4 W/mm <sup>2</sup>	Unknown	95%
PL Decay Lifetime	<3 μs	~8.7 ms	~200 μs	
QY @ RT	~90%	~80%	>55%**	~95%
Spectral FWHM	60-100 nm	<10 nm	<10 nm	30 nm

\* Target Specifications

\*\* 55% QE with 625 nm peak yields 5 - 20% increase in efficacy vs. red nitride

#### Brighten the world... one particle at a time.

#### Red Phosphor QE

# Relative efficacy as a function of phosphor QE (Calculated\*)

	Traditional Blend	With Narrow Red (FWHM < 10 nm)			
Phosphor QE	90%	85%	75%	<b>65%</b>	55%
Relative efficacy Ra>80	100%	122%	118%	112%	106%
Relative efficacy Ra>95	100%	139%	134%	128%	120%

\* Thermal effects not considered

Brighten the world... one particle at a time

### **Exciting Phosphors with Blue LEDs**

# In order to be viable, phosphors must be able to use all photons from the LED



Chowdhury, Phosphors Panel San Diego May 2014

# Potential for Efficacy Gains with Red Phosphor Excitation



- Eliminating cross excitation improves overall photon usage, also improves CRI
- LuAG 535 nm and CASN 630 nm
- With CASN absorption Ra ~87 (blue) and without (red) Ra ~96

#### **Exciting Phosphors with Other Phosphors**

# Cross Excitation multiplies QE losses, and reduces CRI by peak shaping



Modified from Chowdhury, Phosphors Panel San Diego May 2014

#### Peak shaping

 CASN absorption of LuAG changes peak shape which impacts (lowers) CRI



#### Conclusions

Large efficacy gains can be realized with continued red phosphor development

- Primarily driven by narrow emission spectrum
  - Elimination of longer wavelength red emission improves LER
- Quantum efficiency
  - High QE should remain the long term goal
  - Moderate QE when coupled with narrow emission can enable nearer term efficacy gains
- Parasitic excitation
  - Potential for small efficacy improvement



#### Thank You

## Lumenari