

Private ISF

From: dorothe anderson <gramdot@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2017 2:43 PM
To: PrivateISF
Subject: "Response to RFI on Private Initiatives to Develop Consolidated SNF Storage Facilities"

RE: Questions 1 thru 12

1. Sites should be fully informed consent based taking into consideration environmental justice now and in the future. Sites should be permanent and criteria for safe storage must be met. Cross country shipping must also be consent based and provide safety and security.

2. Environmental justice communities must not be considered. Adjoining communities would not reap any economic benefits and be affected by lower real estate values plus the hazards of radio active leaks.

3. The DOE should not be involved in high level radio active waste management. Its past history shows its incompetence. PI's should not expect tax payers to pay all costs of a radio active leak as they may only be interested in profits and not safety.

4. The DOE should be replaced by a competent entity.

5. PI's should be responsible for cost over runs and should be privately finance

6. Private Fuel Storage LLC plans for a site on an indian reservation in Utah is a bad busness model for a PI.

7. PI's must be willing to bear ll the liabilities for storage schemes.

8. Native American and other Environmental Justice communities must not be considered for storage of nuclear waste.

9. DOE should not go forward with this private initiative scheme. It violates its legal authority.

10. DOE should stop targeting native American and low income communities.

11. DOE must consider the fact of future generations given the million year hazard of nuclear waste.

12. No alternatives are available as PI interim storage is illegal under the terms of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act.

Sent from Outlook <<http://aka.ms/weboutlook>>