

## Private ISF

---

**From:** mansur johnson <mansurjohnson@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 24, 2017 10:52 PM  
**To:** PrivateISF  
**Subject:** Response to RFI on Private Initiatives to Develop Consolidated SNF Storage Facilities

1. What key factors should be considered to ensure that PIs, as part of the overall integrated nuclear waste management system, would provide a workable solution for interim storage of spent nuclear fuel and high-level waste?

There is no workable solution for interim storage of spent nuclear fuel and high-level waste.

2. How could a PI benefit:

a. the local community and state or Tribe in which an ISF is sited?

b. neighboring communities?

The best idea is to bury spent nuclear generating plants in situ with concrete.

3. What type of involvement if any should the Department or other federal agency consider having with the PI and the community regarding organizational, structural, and contractual frameworks and why?

The one and only thing wrong with PI is the P, private, in the proposal. No private entity will be around in 10,000 years, not to mention a million years.

4. What are the benefits and drawbacks of a PI, compared to a federally-financed capital project resulting in a government-owned contractor-operated (GOCO) interim storage facility?

As mentioned above, encased in concrete Nuclear National Parks can be designated by federal authorities and essentially be signed and fenced as a sign of a failed energy policy and unfortunate waste of money.

5. What assurances to the Government do you think would be appropriate, to ensure that SNF stored at a private ISF, would be managed effectively so as to contain costs to the Government?

All past experience shows GOCO efforts haven't worked well. A PI would be a disaster.

6. What possibilities are there with respect to business models for a PI, and what are the benefits and disadvantages of those models?

This writer rejects the idea of a PI.

7. How could a PI manage liabilities that might arise during the storage period?

OK, it was in response to stupid government policy that accepted all liability for, say, nuclear power plants. Let's cut the people's losses here and adopt the plan cited in #2 above.

8. What state/local/tribal authorizations/approvals would be needed?

None, if you adopt my plan in #2 above.

9. How can the Government continue to explore or implement the PI concept in a fair, open and transparent manner going forward?

I would say listen to my suggestions and drop the idea.

10. What, if any, supporting agreements might be expected between the Government and the host state/tribe/local community associated with a PI?

None, as mentioned above.

11. What other considerations should be taken into account?

Take into account this is a problem without a good solution and take the easiest way out.

12. Are there any alternative approaches to developing non-federally-owned facilities that might be proposed (e.g. how projects would be financed, anticipated regulatory and legal issues, etc.). If so, what are they, are there proposed solution, and how would the above questions be answered with respect to such approaches?

I hope I have been clear in recommending against any PI for the nuclear poisons.

Sincerely,

Mansur Johnson  
6056 N. Oracle Jaynes Station Road  
Tucson, AZ 85741  
USA  
520.742.4450 phone/fax  
mansurjohnson@hotmail.com <mailto:mansurjohnson@hotmail.com>  
[www.mansurjohnson.com](http://www.mansurjohnson.com/) <http://www.mansurjohnson.com/>  
[www.shamcher.org/6.html](http://www.shamcher.org/6.html) <http://www.shamcher.org/6.html>  
[http://www.amazon.com/Big-Tales-stories-volumes-  
Message/dp/1518829333/ref=sr\\_1\\_1?ie=UTF8&qid=1458322141&sr=8-1&keywords=big+tales](http://www.amazon.com/Big-Tales-stories-volumes-Message/dp/1518829333/ref=sr_1_1?ie=UTF8&qid=1458322141&sr=8-1&keywords=big+tales)