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1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 NEPA

This Environmental Assessment (EA) complies with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), the implementing regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) (40 CFR Parts 1500-1508), and DOE’s regulations for implementing NEPA (10 CFR Part 1021).

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) prepared this EA to evaluate the potential direct, indirect, and cumulative environmental impacts of DOE’s Proposed Action to update, by rule, energy efficiency standards for new Federal low-rise residential buildings. The Proposed Action would update the baseline Federal energy efficiency performance standards, found in 10 CFR Part 435, to the latest current model industry code, based on a finding that it is cost-effective and saves energy compared to previous versions of the model industry code, as required by 42 U.S.C 6831 et seq. In this EA, DOE also evaluates the impacts that could occur if DOE were not to adopt the latest current model industry code as the energy efficiency baseline standard for new Federal low-rise residential buildings (the No Action Alternative). In accordance with 40 CFR 1508.9(a) and 10 CFR 1021.320(b), this EA provides sufficient evidence and analysis for determining whether to prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) or to issue a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI).

1.2 Background

DOE is required to establish the building energy efficiency standards for all new Federal buildings pursuant to section 305 of the Energy Conservation and Production Act (ECPA), as amended. (42 U.S.C. 6834 (a)(1)). In turn, each Federal agency and the Architect of the Capitol must adopt procedures to ensure that new Federal buildings will meet or exceed these Federal building energy efficiency standards. (42 U.S.C. 6835(a)). The head of a Federal agency is barred from expending Federal funds for the construction of a new Federal building unless the building meets or exceeds the applicable baseline Federal building energy standards established under section 305. (42 U.S.C. 6835(b)).

The standards established under section 305(a)(1) of ECPA must contain energy efficiency measures that are technologically feasible and economically justified, and that meet the energy saving and renewable energy specifications in the applicable voluntary consensus energy code specified in section 305(a)(2) (42 U.S.C. 6834(a)(1) - (3)). Under section 305 of ECPA, the referenced voluntary consensus code for low-rise residential buildings is the International Code Council (ICC) International Energy Conservation Code (IECC), hereafter “IECC”. DOE codified the referenced code as the baseline Federal building standard in its existing energy efficiency standards found at 10 CFR Part 435.

DOE must also establish, by rule, revised Federal building energy efficiency performance standards for new Federal buildings that require such buildings be designed to achieve energy consumption levels that are at least 30 percent below the levels established in the referenced code (baseline Federal building standard), if life-cycle cost-effective. (42 U.S.C. 6834(a)(3)(A)(i)(I)).
The current 10 CFR 435 baseline standard is based on the 2009 version of the IECC. ICC has updated the IECC from the version currently referenced in DOE's regulations at 10 CFR Part 435. Under section 305 of ECPA, not later than one year after the date of approval of each subsequent revision of the ASHRAE Standard or the International Energy Conservation Code (IECC), DOE must determine whether to amend the baseline Federal building standards with the revised voluntary standard based on the cost-effectiveness of the revised voluntary standard. (42 U.S.C. 6834(a)(3)(B)). It is this requirement that the Proposed Action seeks to address.

DOE determined that the 2015 IECC would achieve greater energy efficiency than the 2012 version of the IECC (See 80 FR 33250; June 11, 2015). DOE also determined that the 2012 version of the IECC would achieve greater energy efficiency than the prior version (the 2009 version that is currently referenced in 10 CFR Part 435) (See 77 FR 29322; May 17, 2012). Both of these determinations were subject to notice and comment. DOE also determined that the 2015 IECC would be cost effective if applied to new Federal low-rise residential buildings. Since the amended 2015 IECC meets the statutory criteria for DOE to incorporate it as the baseline standard for low-rise residential Federal buildings, DOE is considering a rule (the Proposed Action) to update the baseline standard to the 2015 IECC.1 Specifically, the Proposed Action, if implemented, would require that Federal agencies design new Federal low-rise residential buildings to (i) meet the 2015 IECC; and (ii) if life-cycle cost-effective, achieve energy consumption levels that are at least 30 percent below the levels of the 2015 IECC.

1.3 Purpose and Need

As discussed in more detail in Section 1.2, ECPA directs DOE to take action to update its building energy efficiency standards for all new Federal buildings based on model code revisions. The purpose for the Proposed Action is to improve energy efficiency in new Federal low-rise residential buildings in a manner consistent with DOE statutory mandate under ECPA.

The need for the Proposed Action is two-fold. First, the Proposed Action is necessary to reduce energy consumption, manage energy costs for Federal low-rise buildings, reduce outdoor pollutants, and reduce the emissions of greenhouse gases that may lead to climate change. Large amounts of fuel are unnecessarily consumed each year in heating, cooling, ventilating, and providing domestic hot water for newly constructed residential buildings because they lack adequate energy conservation features. Second, the Proposed Action is necessary to meet DOE’s statutory mandate under ECPA regarding the energy efficiency standards for Federal buildings, as discussed in more detail in Section 1.2.

---

1 Although ICC published two versions of the IECC since 10 CFR Part 435 was last updated, the 2012 IECC and the 2015 IECC, the Proposed Action would update 10 CFR Part 435 to the 2015 IECC directly, without requiring agencies to comply with the 2012 IECC.
It is estimated that future construction of Federal low-rise residential buildings will be approximately 5,000 Federal housing units per year.\(^2\) Therefore, updating the energy efficiency standards for new Federal low-rise residential buildings to achieve greater energy efficiency levels can help to reduce national energy consumption, reduce outdoor pollutants produced from the combustion of fossil fuels, and reduce the emissions of greenhouse gases that may lead to climate change. This reduction will prevent waste of energy, can help the U.S. government reduce dependence on imported energy, and strengthen its strategic position.

1.4 Public Participation and Agency Consultation

In accordance with Council on Environmental Quality CEQ regulations in 40 CFR 1508.9(b), DOE states that no additional persons/agencies were consulted during the development of this environmental assessment.

Public involvement is an important requirement of the NEPA process. The public review period for the Draft EA was 15 days after its publication. The Draft EA was published on January 11, 2016. DOE received no comments in response to the Draft EA.

\(^2\) Source: Facilities Investment and Management (FIM) Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Energy, Installations and Environment The Pentagon, Room 5C646 Washington, DC 20301. Estimate prepared by Patricia Coury, Deputy to the DASD for that office. Estimate confirmed total DOD family housing units of 246,780, including Federally owned and privatized military housing. Additional discussions between DOD and DOE confirmed that for purposes of estimating annual construction, a turnover of 50 years was appropriate. The final estimate used in this EA is 4936, which is 246,780 divided by 50. DOD does not estimate housing construction more than a year in advance, so no better numbers are available.
2 ALTERNATIVES INCLUDING THE PROPOSED ACTION

Section 2 describes the Proposed Action and the No Action Alternative for updating energy efficiency baseline standards for new Federal low-rise residential buildings. The updated Federal energy efficiency baseline standards would revise the minimum level of energy savings that DOE requires Federal agencies to achieve in new building designs, including design, and performance-based energy efficiency requirements for building envelope; heating, ventilation, and air-conditioning (HVAC) systems and equipment; domestic water heating systems and equipment; and lighting.

2.1 Proposed Action

Under the Proposed Action, DOE would revise the Federal energy efficiency baseline standard for all new Federal low-rise residential buildings. The Proposed Action would update 10 CFR 435, “Energy Efficiency Standards for New Federal Low-Rise Residential Buildings,” by replacing the 2009 IECC with the more energy efficient 2015 IECC as the baseline standard.3 The Proposed Action, if implemented, would require that Federal agencies design new Federal low-rise residential buildings to (i) meet the 2015 IECC; and (ii) if life-cycle cost-effective, achieve energy consumption levels that are at least 30 percent below the levels of the 2015 IECC. The Proposed Action would make no other changes to the Federal building energy efficiency standards.

DOE examined the potential environmental impacts of the Proposed Action by comparing the Proposed Action with the standards that Federal agencies must achieve under the existing regulations in 10 CFR 435, which adopted the energy efficiency performance levels of the 2009 IECC as the baseline standard for new Federal low-rise residential building designs.

2.2 No Action Alternative

Under the No Action Alternative DOE would not adopt a rule establishing the 2015 IECC as the energy efficiency baseline standard for new Federal low-rise residential buildings. Instead, DOE would retain the 2009 IECC, which is the current baseline standard in 10 CFR 435.

3 Although the ICC published the 2012 version of the IECC, DOE did not update 10 CFR 435 to incorporate that standard.
3 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND IMPACTS

This section describes the existing environmental setting for environmental resources with potential to be affected by the Proposed Action, as well as provides the potential environmental impacts that may result from implementing the Proposed Action and the No Action Alternative. The Proposed Action would apply to all new Federal low-rise residential buildings.

This section includes consequences of the No Action Alternative, a brief description of environmental resource areas not evaluated for potential impacts, analysis of those resources that could potentially be impacted from the Proposed Action and the No Action Alternative, and analysis of cumulative impacts.

3.1 Environmental Consequences of the No Action Alternative

Under the No Action Alternative DOE would not update energy conservation baseline standards for Federal low-rise residential buildings. Therefore, there would be no direct, indirect, or cumulative impacts to the environment and resources discussed in this EA from activities related to the proposed rule. The expected reductions in fossil fuel generated energy pollutant emissions realized by the Proposed Action would not be realized under the No Action Alternative.

3.2 Environmental Resources Evaluated and Dismissed from Detailed Analysis

Consistent with NEPA implementing regulations and guidance, DOE focused the analysis in this EA on topics with the greatest potential for environmental impacts [known as the sliding-scale approach (40 CFR 1502.2(b)]. Table 1 presents DOE’s evaluations of the environmental resource areas on which the Proposed Action and the No Action Alternative would not be expected to have any measurable effects. These resource areas were not carried forward for detailed analysis.

Table 1: Resources Not Carried Forward for Detailed Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource Area</th>
<th>Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitive Ecosystems</td>
<td>Proposed Action is not site specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geology and Soils</td>
<td>Proposed Action is not site specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetlands and Floodplains</td>
<td>Proposed Action is not site specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prime Agricultural Lands</td>
<td>Proposed Action is not site specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic, Cultural or Archeological Resources</td>
<td>Proposed Action is not site specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species, including Threatened and Endangered Species</td>
<td>Proposed Action is not site specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste Management</td>
<td>Proposed Action does not mandate increased waste generation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 3.3 Environmental Resources Carried Forward for Analysis

This section of the EA describes the baseline and analyzes the environmental impacts of the Proposed Action on the following resource areas. It is noted that the construction of new Federal low-rise residential buildings would be subject to a separate NEPA analysis.

- Indoor Air
- Outdoor Air
- Climate Change

#### 3.3.1 Indoor Air

Indoor air quality, and specifically building habitability, is a resource area with possible impacts from the Proposed Action.

##### 3.3.1.1 Affected Environment

Energy efficiency baseline standards can affect indoor air quality. Indoor air quality is influenced by sources of pollutants both within and outside of a residential building, as well as natural and mechanical ventilation of the residential building. The primary indoor air emissions that can adversely affect human health in typical residential buildings are particulate matter (PM), carbon monoxide (CO), carbon dioxide (CO2), nitrogen dioxide (NO2), radon, volatile organic compounds (VOCs) including formaldehyde, and biological contaminants.

Sources of pollutants that affect indoor air quality occur both inside and outside a building. Various emissions can be continuously or intermittently released within residential buildings. These emissions can originate from furnishings within a building (e.g., carpet, furniture), building materials (e.g., insulation material, particle board), from the ground (e.g., radon), the building occupants' indoor activities (e.g., tobacco smoking, painting), fossil fuel appliances (e.g. gas stoves, gas water heaters), or wood stoves and fireplaces. Potential combustion emissions include CO, CO2, nitrogen oxide (NOx), and sulphur dioxide (SO2). Fossil-fuel-burning appliances and, if allowed, tobacco smoke, are the main sources of combustion products.

Pollutants that occur outside the residential building (particularly vehicle exhaust), may be drawn inside, where they affect indoor air quality. These pollutants can enter or be expelled from the residential building through natural and/or mechanical ventilation. Natural ventilation includes air that can enter or be expelled from the residential building through non-mechanical means, often through the building envelope, and due to differences in air pressure inside the residential building and outside the residential building. Natural ventilation rates are significantly

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hazardous Materials and Hazardous Waste</th>
<th>No hazardous materials used or produced as result of Proposed Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intentionally Destructive Acts</td>
<td>Proposed Action is not site specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Justice</td>
<td>Proposed Action does not impact any specific group of persons</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
influenced by weather. Mechanical ventilation involves a system that actively introduces fresh air into the residential building and expels indoor air to the outside.

Indoor air quality is thus influenced by pollutant sources inside and outside the residential building, as well as ventilation rates of the residential building. Table 2 summarizes the principal indoor air emissions that can be of concern within buildings.

**Table 2: Indoor Pollutants in Residential Buildings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Potential Health Impacts</th>
<th>Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Particulate Matter</td>
<td>Bronchitis and respiratory infections. Eye, nose, and throat irritations.†</td>
<td>Combustion, dust.†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Monoxide</td>
<td>CO is an odorless and colorless gas that is an asphyxiate and disrupts oxygen transport. At high concentration levels, CO causes loss of consciousness and death.¶</td>
<td>Unvented kerosene and gas space heaters; leaking chimneys and furnaces; back drafting from furnaces, gas water heaters, wood stoves, and fireplaces; gas stoves; and automobile exhaust.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Dioxide</td>
<td>An excessive concentration of CO₂ triggers increased breathing to maintain the proper exchange of oxygen and CO₂. Exposure to concentrations of CO₂ in air of 5% for 30 minutes can cause symptoms of intoxication, and exposure to concentrations of 7% to 10% for few minutes can cause loss of consciousness.¶</td>
<td>Human respiration, tobacco smoking, gas stoves, and gas ovens.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen Dioxide</td>
<td>Short term exposure to NO₂ is linked with negative respiratory effects including inflammation of airways and increased symptoms of those with asthma.**</td>
<td>Kerosene heaters, gas stoves, ovens, and tobacco smoke.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radon</td>
<td>Radon in breathed air can deposit and stay in the lungs, contributing to lung cancer. Radon is the leading cause of lung cancer in non-smokers.†</td>
<td>Radon is a radioactive gas that occurs in nature and comes from the decay of uranium that is found in soil.††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde</td>
<td>The EPA has classified formaldehyde as a probable human carcinogen. In low concentration levels, formaldehyde irritates the eyes and mucous membranes of the nose and throat. Formaldehyde can cause watery eyes; burning sensations in the eyes, nose, and throat; nausea; coughing; chest tightness; wheezing; skin rashes; and allergic reactions.¶</td>
<td>Various pressed-wood products can emit formaldehyde, including particle board, plywood, pressed wood, paneling, some carpeting and backing, some furniture and dyed materials, urea-formaldehyde insulating foam, and pressed textiles.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volatile organic compounds (VOCs)</td>
<td>VOCs can cause a wide variety of health problems. Some examples of potential health effects include increased cancer risks, depression of the central nervous system, irritation to the eyes and respiratory tract, and liver and kidney damage.¶</td>
<td>VOCs are emitted from a variety of products including paints and lacquers, paint strippers, cleaning supplies, pesticides, building materials and furnishings, office equipment such as copiers and printers, correction fluids and carbonless copy paper, graphics and craft materials including glues and adhesives,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Biological Contaminants

Many biological pollutants are small enough to be inhaled and can cause allergic reactions as well as infectious illnesses. Molds and mildews in particular release disease-causing toxins. Symptoms of health problems include sneezing, watery eyes, coughing, shortness of breath, dizziness, lethargy, fever, and digestive problems.‡

Common biological pollutants include mold; dust mites; pet dander; droppings and body parts from cockroaches, rodents and other pests; viruses; and bacteria. These contaminants are typically found in damp or wet areas such as humidifiers, condensate pans, or unvented bathrooms as well as in areas where dust accumulates.‡‡

---
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**3.3.1.2 Impacts of the Proposed Action**

The Proposed Action could influence the concentration levels of indoor air emissions by decreasing the leakage of air through the building envelope (known as infiltration). The Proposed Action potentially changes infiltration relative to the No Action Alternative. Although the 2009 IECC requires the building envelope be durably sealed to limit infiltration and goes on to provide a list of openings in the building envelope that must be sealed, it does not require any testing to verify proper sealing.⁴ The 2015 IECC, and thus the Proposed Action, requires sealing of the building envelope similar to the 2009 IECC, but it also requires a pressure test of the building to verify that infiltration is at or below a stringent maximum level.⁵

DOE expects the testing added in the 2015 IECC to result in reduced infiltration in many residential buildings because the testing will detect small leaks in the building envelope that a visual inspection could not. Lower infiltration has both a disadvantage and an advantage. It may reduce the dilution of air pollutants that may be produced inside the residential building. On the

---

⁴ See Section 402.4 of the 2009 IECC.
⁵ See Section R402.4 of the 2009 IECC.
other hand, it may limit the entry into the residential building of air pollutants that occur outside the residential building (for example, from a garage).

Mechanical ventilation systems can be used to provide fresh air from the outdoors into a residential building. Effective ventilation is essential to ensure dilution of indoor contaminants, especially when residential buildings are sealed tighter. The 2009 IECC does not require any mechanical ventilation. The 2015 IECC incorporates the 2015 International Residential Code (IRC) or International Mechanical Code (IMC), or other approved mechanical ventilation requirement, by reference which, in tandem with the 2015 IECC, requires that a mechanical ventilation system be installed in new residential buildings.6

The ICC has recognized that adequate ventilation is necessary to ensure acceptable indoor air quality, so now requires mechanical ventilation to properly vent tighter constructed new residential buildings. Accordingly, DOE’s Proposed Action mandates mechanical ventilation, which ensures that impacts to indoor air quality will be minimal.

The Proposed Action also contains a number of provisions intended to reduce sources of indoor air pollutants. Specifically, the 2015 IECC contains a number of provisions focused on minimizing emissions from fireplaces and other fuel-burning appliances that are not found in the 2009 IECC.7

3.3.2 Outdoor Air

Outdoor air quality is a resource area with possible impacts from the Proposed Action. Specifically, impacts would include changes in pollutant emissions due to changes in fossil fuel generated energy use associated with operation of the residential building.

3.3.2.1 Affected Environment

An air pollutant is any substance in the air that can cause discomfort or harm to humans or the environment. Pollutants may be natural or man-made (i.e., anthropogenic), and may take the form of solid particles (i.e., particulates or particulate matter), liquid droplets, or gases.8

The generation of electricity from fossil fuels results in emission of air pollutants and is the largest source of U.S. greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. According to DOE’s buildings energy

6 See Section R403.6 of the 2015 IECC.
7 See Sections R402.4.2 and R402.4.4 of the 2015 IECC. There is a reduced set of requirements for fireplaces in Section 402.4.3 of the 2009 IECC.
8 More information on air pollution characteristics and regulations is available on EPA’s website at www.epa.gov.
data book, U.S. buildings account for 39 percent of primary energy consumption and 72 percent of all electricity consumed domestically. The two most common sources of energy for buildings are electricity and direct consumption of natural gas and petroleum for heating and cooking. Electricity accounts for approximately 78 percent of total building energy consumption and contributes to GHG emissions. According to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), GHG emissions from electricity have increased by about 18 percent since 1990, as the demand for electricity has grown and fossil fuel has remained the dominant source for generation. In addition, U.S. buildings account for nearly 40 percent of the nation's man-made CO₂ emissions, 18 percent of the NOₓ emissions, and 55 percent of the SO₂ emissions. These emissions—primarily from the electricity generation—in turn contribute to smog, acid rain, haze, and global climate change. Improving the efficiency of the nation's buildings can play a role in reducing air pollution.⁹ (Park, 2013; http://www.earthday.org/blog/2013/09/06/how-do-buildings-contribute-greenhouse-gas-emissions).

This EA considered the following outdoor air pollutants: SO₂, NOₓ, Hg, CH₄, NOₓ, halocarbons, CO, and lead. DOE’s analysis also considers CO₂, which is of interest because of its classification as a greenhouse gas (GHG). Finally, as pollutants may take the form of solid particles (i.e., particulate matter or PM), PM is also analyzed.¹⁰ This section describes the pollutants that control the emissions of these pollutants.

**Carbon Dioxide.** CO₂ is of interest because of its classification as a GHG. GHGs trap the sun’s radiation inside the Earth’s atmosphere and either occur naturally in the atmosphere or result from human activities. Naturally occurring GHGs include water vapor, CO₂, CH₄, N₂O, and ozone (O₃). Human activities, however, add to the levels of most of these naturally occurring gases. For example, CO₂ is emitted to the atmosphere when solid waste, fossil fuels (oil, natural gas, and coal), wood, and wood products are burned. In 2013, 93.7 percent of anthropogenic (i.e., human-made) CO₂ emissions resulted from burning fossil fuels (EPA 2015d).

Concentrations of CO₂ in the atmosphere are naturally regulated by numerous processes, collectively known as the “carbon cycle.” The movement of carbon between the atmosphere and the land and oceans is dominated by natural processes, such as plant photosynthesis. While these natural processes can absorb some of the anthropogenic CO₂ emissions produced each year, billions of metric tons are added to the atmosphere annually. In the United States, in 2013, CO₂ emissions from electricity generation accounted for nearly 40 percent of total U.S. GHG emissions (EPA 2015d).

**Nitrogen Oxides.** Nitrogen oxides is the generic term for a group of highly reactive gases, all of which contain nitrogen and oxygen in varying amounts. Many of the nitrogen oxides are colorless and odorless. However, one common pollutant, NO₂, along with particles in the air, can

---

⁹ The amount of energy consumed in the U.S. has quadrupled since 1940, while the population roughly doubled. A sharp increase in housing units has contributed to this trend. There were 140 million housing units in 2011, an increase of more than 250 percent since 1940.

¹⁰ More information on air pollution characteristics and regulations is available on EPA’s website at www.epa.gov.
The nitrogen oxides often be seen as a reddish-brown layer over many urban areas. NO$_2$ is the specific form of NOx reported in this document. NO$_x$ is one of the main ingredients involved in the formation of ground-level ozone, which can trigger serious respiratory problems. It can contribute to the formation of acid rain, and can impair visibility in areas such as national parks. NO$_x$ also contributes to the formation of fine particles that can impair human health (EPA 2015b).

Nitrogen oxides form when fossil fuel is burned at high temperatures, as in a combustion process. The primary manmade sources of NO$_x$ are motor vehicles, electric utilities, and other industrial, commercial, and residential sources that burn fossil fuels. NO$_x$ can also be formed naturally. Electric utilities account for about 22 percent of NO$_x$ emissions in the United States.

**Mercury.** Coal-fired power plants emit Hg found in coal during the burning process. Coal-fired power plants are the largest remaining source of human-generated Hg emissions in the United States (EPA 2015c). U.S. coal-fired power plants emit Hg in three different forms: oxidized Hg (likely to deposit within the United States); elemental Hg, which can travel thousands of miles before depositing to land and water; and Hg that is in particulate form. Atmospheric Hg is then deposited on land, lakes, rivers, and estuaries through rain, snow, and dry deposition. Once there, it can transform into methylmercury and accumulate in fish tissue through bioaccumulation.

Americans are exposed to methylmercury primarily by eating contaminated fish. Women of childbearing age are regarded as the population of greatest concern because the developing fetus is the most sensitive to the toxic effects of methylmercury. Children exposed to methylmercury before birth may be at increased risk of poor performance on neurobehavioral tasks, such as those measuring attention, fine motor function, language skills, visual-spatial abilities, and verbal memory (Trasande et al. 2006).

**Sulfur Dioxide.** SO$_2$ belongs to the family of sulfur oxide gases (SO$_x$). These gases dissolve easily in water. Sulfur is prevalent in all raw materials, including crude oil, coal, and ore that contains common metals like aluminum, copper, zinc, lead, and iron. SO$_x$ gases are formed when fuel containing sulfur, such as coal and oil, is burned, and when gasoline is extracted from oil or metals are extracted from ore. SO$_2$ dissolves in water vapor to form acid, and interacts with other gases and particles in the air to form sulfates and other products that can be harmful to people and their environment (EPA 2015a).

**Methane.** CH$_4$ emissions are primarily from human-related sources, not natural sources. U.S. CH$_4$ emissions come from three categories of sources, each accounting for about one-third of total emissions: (1) energy sources, (2) emissions from domestic livestock, and (3) decomposition of solid waste in landfills. The CH$_4$ emitted from energy sources occurs primarily during the production and processing of natural gas, coal, and oil; not in the actual use (combustion) of these fuels. CH$_4$ is the primary ingredient in natural gas, and production, processing, storage, and transmission of natural gas account for 60 percent of the energy source emissions (or 25 percent of all CH$_4$ emissions) (DOE 2011).

**Nitrous Oxide.** N$_2$O emission rates are more uncertain than those for CO$_2$ and CH$_4$, with nitrogen fertilization of agricultural soils being the primary human-related source. Fuel
combustion is also a source of nitrous oxide; however, in the commercial and residential sector total emissions are a negligible amount of all U.S. emissions (DOE 2011).

**Halocarbons and Other Gases.** One group of human-made greenhouse gases consists of halocarbons and other engineered gases not usually found in nature. Three of these gases are hydrofluorocarbons (HFC), perfluorocarbons (PFC), and sulfur hexafluoride (SF$_6$). HFCs are compounds containing carbon, hydrogen, and fluorine. HFCs do not reach the stratosphere to destroy ozone so are, therefore, considered more environmentally benign than ozone-depleting substances such as chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs), even though HFCs are greenhouse gases. HFCs are used as refrigerants and are becoming more common as ozone-depleting refrigerants are phased out. PFCs are compounds containing carbon and fluorine. PFC emissions result as a byproduct of aluminum smelting and semiconductor manufacturing. SF$_6$ is used an insulator for electric equipment. Energy used in buildings contributes a negligible amount of emissions of these greenhouse gases (DOE 2011).

**Carbon Monoxide.** The main source of CO is the incomplete burning of fossil fuels such as gasoline. Exhaust from ‘highway vehicles’ contributes about 52 percent of all CO emissions. The CO produced from energy use related to buildings is 3.5 percent of all emissions, but most of this is from wood burning in residential buildings, which should not be impacted by these rules. One percent of CO emissions come from fuel combustion for electrical generation by utilities (EPA 2015e).

**Particulate Matter.** PM, also known as particle pollution, is a complex mixture of extremely small particles and liquid droplets. PM pollution is made up of a number of components, including acids (such as nitrates and sulfates), organic chemicals, metals, and soil or dust particles.

PM impacts are a concern because human exposures can adversely affect respiratory and cardiac health. Particle pollution - especially fine particles - contains microscopic solids or liquid droplets that are so small that they can get deep into the lungs and cause serious health problems. Numerous scientific studies have linked particle pollution exposure to a variety of problems, including, for example, increased respiratory symptoms, such as irritation of the airways, coughing, or difficulty breathing; decreased lung function; aggravated asthma; development of chronic bronchitis; irregular heartbeat; nonfatal heart attacks; and, premature death in people with heart or lung disease.

Power plant emissions can have either direct or indirect impacts on PM. A portion of the pollutants emitted by a power plant leave the smoke stack in the form of particulates. These are direct, or primary, PM emissions. However, the great majority of PM emissions associated with power plants are in the form of secondary sulfates, which are produced at a significant distance from power plants by complex atmospheric chemical reactions that often involve the gaseous (non-particulate) emissions of power plants, mainly SO$_2$ and NO$_x$. The quantity of the secondary sulfates produced is determined by a very complex set of factors including the atmospheric quantities of SO$_2$ and NO$_x$, and other atmospheric constituents and conditions. Because these highly complex chemical reactions produce PM comprised of different constituents from different sources, EPA does not distinguish direct PM emissions from power plants from the
secondary sulfate particulates in its ambient air quality requirements, PM monitoring of ambient air quality, or PM emissions inventories. Further, as described below, it is uncertain whether efficiency standards will result in a net decrease in power plant emissions of SO\textsubscript{2}, and of NO\textsubscript{X} in many states because those pollutants are now largely regulated by cap and trade systems. For these reasons, it is not currently possible to determine how the standards impact either direct or indirect PM emissions.

**Lead.** Exposure to lead can cause a variety of health problems. Lead can adversely affect the brain, kidneys, liver, nervous system, and other organs (CDC 2007). Today, mobile sources, primarily aircraft, are the major source of lead emissions to the atmosphere, followed by industrial processes. Combustion from electric utilities represents 10 percent of all lead emissions.

### 3.3.2.2 Outdoor Air Quality Regulation

The Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 list 188 toxic air pollutants that EPA is required to control (EPA 1990). EPA has set national air quality standards for six common pollutants (also referred to as “criteria” pollutants), two of which are SO\textsubscript{2} and NO\textsubscript{X}. Also, the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 gave EPA the authority to control acidification and to require operators of electric power plants to reduce emissions of SO\textsubscript{2} and NO\textsubscript{X}. Title IV of the 1990 amendments established a cap-and-trade program for SO\textsubscript{2}, in all 50 states and the District of Columbia (D.C.), intended to help control acid rain. This cap-and-trade program serves as a model for more recent programs with similar features.

In 2005, EPA issued the Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR) under sections 110 and 111 of the Clean Air Act (40 CFR Parts 51, 96, and 97),\textsuperscript{11} (70 FR 25162–25405 (May 12, 2005)). CAIR limited emissions from 28 eastern States and D.C. by capping emissions and creating an allowance-based trading program. Although CAIR was remanded to EPA by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (D.C. Circuit), (see *North Carolina v. EPA*, 550 F.3d 1176 (D.C. Cir. 2008)), it remained in effect temporarily, consistent with the D.C. Circuit’s earlier opinion in *North Carolina v. EPA*, 531 F.3d 896 (D.C. Cir. 2008).

On July 6, 2011, EPA promulgated a replacement for CAIR, entitled “Federal Implementation Plans: Interstate Transport of Fine Particulate Matter and Ozone and Correction of SIP Approvals,” but commonly referred to as the Cross-State Air Pollution Rule (CSAPR), or the Transport Rule (76 FR 48208 (Aug. 8, 2011)).\textsuperscript{12} On August 21, 2012, the D.C. Circuit issued a decision to vacate CSAPR. See *EME Homer City Generation, LP v. EPA*, 696 F.3d 7, 38 (D.C. Cir. 2012). The court ordered EPA to continue administering CAIR. More recently, however, EPA requested that the court lift the CSAPR stay and toll the CSAPR compliance deadlines by three years. On October 23, 2014, the D.C. Circuit granted EPA's request. CSAPR took effect January 1, 2015 for SO\textsubscript{2} and annual NO\textsubscript{X}, and May 1, 2015 for ozone season NO\textsubscript{X}.

---

\textsuperscript{11} See [http://www.epa.gov/cleanairinterstaterule/](http://www.epa.gov/cleanairinterstaterule/).

\textsuperscript{12} See also [http://www.epa.gov/crossstaterule/](http://www.epa.gov/crossstaterule/).
On February 16, 2012, EPA issued national emissions standards for hazardous air pollutants (NESHAPs) for Hg and certain other pollutants emitted from coal and oil-fired electric generating units (EGUs), which are also known as the Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS) for power plants (77 FR 9304). More recently, the Supreme Court remanded EPA's 2012 MATS rule regarding national emission standards for hazardous air pollutants from certain electric utility steam generating units. See Michigan v. EPA (Case No. 14-46, 2015).

On October 23, 2015, EPA published the final Clean Power Plan (CPP) for existing electricity generating units in the Federal Register (80 FR 64966). In the CPP the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) proposes a federal plan to implement the greenhouse gas (GHG) emission guidelines (EGs) for existing fossil fuel-fired electric generating units (EGUs) under the Clean Air Act (CAA). The October 23, 2015, EPA notice also included the EPA's proposed model plans for states and its draft federal implementation plan (FIP) (80 FR 64662). The former is intended to guide states as they craft their own plans or to act as a ready-made option, and the latter describes how EPA would enforce CO₂ emission reductions on power plants in states that opt not to comply. The CPP went into effect on December 22, 2015. In response, multiple states and industry groups challenged the CPP. The U.S. Supreme Court has stayed the rule implementing the Clean Power Plan until the current litigation against it concludes. Chamber of Commerce, et al. v. EPA, et al., Order in Pending Case, 577 U.S. ___ (2016).

3.3.2.3 Impacts of Proposed Action

To determine the impact of the Proposed Action on outdoor air quality, it is necessary to estimate the reduction in air pollutant emissions resulting from an expected decrease in energy use in new Federal low-rise residential buildings. To calculate total change in energy use, DOE estimated the total new Federal low-rise residential buildings to be constructed, and multiplied that estimate by the expected decrease in energy use per residential building. Finally, in order to arrive at estimated emission reductions, DOE calculated anticipated reductions based on total reductions in energy use.

New Housing Construction
It is estimated that future construction of Federal low-rise residential buildings will be approximately 5,000 Federal housing units per year. For the results shown in this EA, DOE estimated that 4,936 Federal housing units per year would be constructed. This estimate is based on current data obtained from the Department of Defense, which constructs the large

---

13 Source: Facilities Investment and Management (FIM) Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Energy, Installations and Environment The Pentagon, Room 5C646 Washington, DC 20301. Estimate prepared by Patricia Coury, Deputy to the DASD for that office. Estimate confirmed total DOD family housing units of 246,780, including Federally owned and privatized military housing. Additional discussions between DOD and DOE confirmed that for purposes of estimating annual construction, a turnover of 50 years was appropriate. The final estimate used in this EA is 4936, which is 246,780 divided by 50. DOD does not estimate housing construction more than a year in advance, so no better numbers are available.
majority of all Federal housing. This estimate was combined with an estimate of the average turnover of DOD housing stock of 50 years.

**Energy Use**

DOE calculated energy savings per new Federal low-rise buildings using the EPA recommended method of calculating energy use intensity (EUI). EUI is the energy consumed by a building per square foot per year. There are two types of EUI, site and source. Site EUI includes energy used only at the building site. Source EUI includes energy used at the building site plus energy lost in producing and delivering the energy to the site. In the analysis for this EA, energy usage was determined for both natural gas and electricity and combined to express a total site and source EUI. The EPA recommends using source EUI as it more accurately reflects total energy usage. For this analysis, DOE compared both site and source EUI under the Proposed Action with site and source EUI under the No Action Alternative, in part to ensure that energy usage would be reduced in all scenarios. Under the Proposed Action, reductions in energy use as compared to the No Action Alternative are estimated at up to 8.1 EUI (kBtu/ ft²-yr) for site EUI and up to 14.1 EUI (kBtu/ ft²-yr) for source EUI. Under no scenario would annual site or annual source energy use increase.

**Emission Reductions**

To estimate emission reductions, DOE assumed that the energy used in Federal low-rise residential buildings would have the same distribution of fuel/energy sources (e.g., coal, nuclear) as overall national electricity production. Emission reductions were based on source EUI reductions. A range of total emission reductions for a variety of pollutants and greenhouse gases were calculated using data from multiple sources.

---

14 DOE cannot determine precisely the change to either site or source EUI associated with the Proposed Action because exact energy use will depend on the specific level of energy efficiency that is cost effective for each future building design. However, it is possible to establish a range of changes in EUI.

15 DOE used Electric Power Annual (DOE 2015c) to provide the total electric generation in the U.S. in 2013. Data for CO2 emission coefficients was taken from EPA’s Greenhouse Gas Emission Inventory (EPA 2015d) for the year 2013. Data for SO2 and NOX emissions was taken from EPA’s Emissions and Generation Resource Integrated Database (eGrid) (EPA 2014) using the 2010 data from version 9. Data for Hg emissions was taken from DOE’s 2015 Annual Energy Outlook (AEO) (DOE 2015a), Table A8. Data for CH4 emissions was taken from four sources. The CH4 sources include the Intergovernmental Panel for Climate Change (IPCC) Fifth Assessment Report (IPCC 2013) for the conversion factor for CH4 to CO2 equivalents, DOE’s 2015 Electric Power Annual (DOE 2015c) for coal and natural gas consumption associated with electric power generation in 2013, Table 1 of DOE’s 2015 Natural Gas Annual (DOE 2015d) for total natural gas consumption in 2013, and DOE’s Emissions of Greenhouse Gases Report (DOE 2008) for emissions of CH4 from energy sources.
Under the Proposed Action, CO₂, NOₓ, and Hg emissions would be reduced because more energy efficient buildings consume less fossil fuel, either directly as fossil fuel consumed on site or indirectly as fossil fuel used to generate electricity that is consumed on site.

DOE cannot provide an exact determination of emissions impacts associated with the Proposed Action because emissions will depend on the specific level of energy efficiency that is cost effective for each future building design. However, it is possible to determine the range of changes in emissions reductions.

Air emission reductions for the first year of construction during which the Proposed Action is in effect can be estimated at up to 8,849 metric tons of CO₂, up to 6.8 tons of NOₓ, up to 0.00007 tons of Hg, and up to 74 metric tons of CH₄. Emissions reductions for N₂O, halocarbons, CO, PM, and lead are negligible. Under no scenario of future construction would emissions of any of the listed compounds increase.

Cumulative emission reductions for 30 years of construction (2018 through 2047) and 30 years of energy reduction for each building built during that period can be estimated at up to 4,114,800 metric tons of CO₂, up to 3,147 metric tons of NOₓ, up to 0.0338 metric tons of Hg,

16 Actual reductions would depend on the level of energy efficiency that is life cycle cost effective for each new building design. For example, under the No Action Alternative, agencies are required to design all new Federal low-rise residential buildings at 30 percent more efficient than the 2009 IECC, if life cycle cost effective. Under the Proposed Action, agencies would be required to design buildings that are 30 percent more efficient than the 2015 IECC, if life cycle cost effective. A comparison of the No Action Alternative to the Proposed Action yields an estimated first year emissions reduction for CO₂ of 6,786 metric tons.

17 Cumulative emissions for 30 years of construction are calculated by summing up the numbers 1 to 30 to get a multiplier of 465. This multiplier is applied to the first year emissions discussed in the previous paragraph. The reasoning behind this approach is that construction is assumed to be constant across years and therefore the cumulative impact will increase year by year. For the first year, there is one year of emission reductions for one year of new construction. For the second year, there is one year of emission reductions for the new construction that takes place in the second year plus continued emission reductions from the new construction in year 1. For the third year, there is one year of emission reductions from the new construction in year 3, plus continued emission reductions from new construction in years 1 and 2. The total emission reduction in year 2 is twice the first year emission reductions. The total emission reduction in year 3 is 3 times the first year emission reductions. The total cumulative emission reduction through year 2 is 3 (1+2). The total cumulative reduction through year 3 is 6 (1+2+3). This summation is continued to year 30 where the multiplier is 465.
and up to 34,389 metric tons of CH$_4$.\textsuperscript{18} Emission reductions for SO$_2$, N$_2$O, halocarbons, CO, PM, and lead are negligible.

SO$_2$ emissions were also considered in this analysis. SO$_2$ emissions from affected electric generating units (EGUs) are subject to nationwide and regional emissions cap and trade programs, which create uncertainty about the impact of energy efficiency standards on SO$_2$ emissions. The attainment of emissions caps is typically flexible among EGUs and is enforced through the use of emissions allowances and tradable permits. Under existing EPA regulations, any excess SO$_2$ emissions allowances resulting from the lower electricity demand caused by the imposition of an efficiency standard could be used to permit offsetting increases in SO$_2$ emissions by any regulated EGU. However, if the standard resulted in a permanent increase in the quantity of unused emissions allowances, there would be an overall reduction in SO$_2$ emissions from the standards. While there remains some uncertainty about the ultimate effects of efficiency standards on SO$_2$ emissions covered by the existing cap and trade system, the National Energy Modeling System (NEMS) [NEMS 2009] model that DOE uses to forecast emissions reductions for many other analyses indicates that no physical reductions in power sector emissions would occur for SO$_2$. Therefore, no reductions in SO$_2$ emissions are assumed for this analysis.

3.3.1 Global Climate Change

Climate change has evolved into a matter of global concern because it is expected to have widespread, adverse effects on natural resources and systems. A growing body of evidence points to anthropogenic sources of greenhouse gases, such as CO$_2$, as major contributors to climate change. Climate change is a resource area with possible impacts from the Proposed Action and No Action Alternative.

3.3.1.1 Affected Environment

Climate is defined as the average weather, over a period ranging from months to many years. Climate change refers to a change in the state of the climate, which is identifiable through changes in the mean and/or the variability of its properties (e.g., temperature or precipitation) over an extended period, typically decades or longer. The World Meteorological Organization (WMO) and United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) established the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) to provide an objective source of information about climate change.

\textsuperscript{18} Actual reductions would depend on the level of energy efficiency that is life cycle cost effective for each new building design. For example, under the No Action Alternative, agencies are required to design all new Federal low-rise residential buildings at 30 percent more efficient than the 2009 IECC, if life cycle cost effective. Under the Proposed Action, agencies would be required to design buildings that are 30 percent more efficient than the 2015 IECC, if life cycle cost effective. A comparison of the No Action Alternative to the Proposed Action yields an estimated 30-year emissions reduction for carbon dioxide of 2,880,3000 metric tons. The values shown in the text correspond to buildings that just meet the 2009 IECC and 2015 IECC.
change. According to the series of IPCC Fifth Assessment Reports (IPCC Reports), published in 2013 and 2014, “The [Synthesis Report] SYR confirms that human influence on the climate system is clear and growing, with impacts observed across all continents and oceans. Many of the observed changes since the 1950s are unprecedented over decades to millennia. The IPCC is now 95 percent certain that humans are the main cause of current global warming”. (Foreword to IPCC Synthesis Report (SYR) 2014).

The IPCC Report states that the world has warmed by about 0.85°C in the last 132 years. Additionally, the IPCC Report finds that it is extremely likely that most of the temperature increase since the mid-20th century is very likely caused by the increase in anthropogenic concentrations of CO₂ and other long-lived greenhouse gases such as CH₄ and N₂O in the atmosphere, rather than from natural causes. Increasing the CO₂ concentration partially blocks the Earth’s re-radiation of captured solar energy in the infrared band, inhibits the radiant cooling of the Earth, and thereby alters the energy balance of the planet, which gradually increases its average temperature. The IPCC Report estimates that currently, CO₂ makes up about 72 percent of the total CO₂-equivalent global warming potential in GHGs emitted from human activities, with the vast majority (62 percent) of the CO₂ attributable to fossil fuel use. Globally, 49 billion metric tons of CO₂-equivalent of anthropogenic (man-made) greenhouse gases are emitted every year. For the future, the IPCC Report describes a wide range of GHG emissions scenarios, but “cumulative emissions of CO₂ largely determine global mean surface warming by the late 21st century and beyond”.

Researchers have focused on considering atmospheric CO₂ concentrations that likely will result in some level of global climate stabilization, and the emissions rates associated with achieving the “stabilizing” concentrations by particular dates. They associate these stabilized CO₂

19 The 5th IPCC Assessment Report was published in four volumes over the course of 2013 and 2014. The complete set of reports may be found at https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/. The first three volumes are the reports of Working Groups I, II, and III, while the fourth volume is the Synthesis Report for Policy Makers. This section of the EA focuses on results presented in the Synthesis Report.


21 IPCC 5th AR SYR 2014, Summary for Policy Makers (SPM) 1.1.

22 IPCC 5th AR SYR 2014, SPM 1.2

23 IPCC 5th AR SYR 2014, Figure SPM 2. GHGs differ in their warming influence (radiative forcing) on a global climate system due to their different radiative properties and lifetimes in the atmosphere. These warming influences may be expressed through a common metric based on the radiative forcing of CO₂, i.e., CO₂-equivalent. CO₂ equivalent emission is the amount of CO₂ emission that would cause the same time-integrated radiative forcing, over a given time horizon, as an emitted amount of other long-lived GHG or mixture of GHGs.

24 IPCC 5th AR SYR 2014, Figure SPM 2. Other non-fossil fuel contributors include CO₂ emissions from deforestation and decay from agriculture biomass; agricultural and industrial emissions of CH₄; and emissions of nitrous oxide and fluorocarbons.

concentrations with temperature increases that plateau in a defined range. For example, at the low end, the IPCC Report scenarios target CO₂ stabilized concentrations that would likely keep projected temperature rises below. To achieve this goal, the IPCC scenarios present that there would have to be a rapid downward trend in total annual global emissions of greenhouse gases to levels that are 40 to 71 percent below today’s annual emissions rates by no later than 2050.26

In response to global climate change concerns, the President issued a Climate Action Plan (CAP) in June 2013, where he affirmed that the Federal government must position itself as a leader in clean energy and energy efficiency. He pledged that Federal agencies must surpass previous greenhouse gas reduction achievements, through a combination of consuming 20 percent of Federal electricity from renewable sources by 2020, and by pursuing greater energy efficiency in Federal buildings. Additionally, the President directed that efficiency standards for appliances and federal buildings set in the first and second terms combined would reduce carbon pollution by at least 3 billion metric tons cumulatively by 2030 – equivalent to nearly one-half of the carbon pollution from the entire U.S. energy sector for one year.

3.3.1.2 Impacts of Proposed Action

It is difficult to correlate specific emissions rates with atmospheric concentrations of CO₂ and specific atmospheric concentrations with future temperatures because the IPCC Report describes a clear lag in the climate system between any given concentration of CO₂ (even if maintained for long periods) and the subsequent average worldwide and regional temperature, precipitation, and extreme weather regimes. For example, a major determinant of climate response is “equilibrium climate sensitivity”, a measure of the climate system response to sustained radiative forcing. It is defined as the global average surface warming following a doubling of carbon dioxide concentrations. The IPCC Report describes its estimated, numeric value as about 3°C, but the likely range of that value is 1.5°C to 4.5°C, with cloud feedback and vapor feedback providing the largest sources of uncertainty.27 Further, as illustrated above, the IPCC Report scenarios for stabilization rates are presented in terms of a range of concentrations, which then correlates to a range of temperature changes. Thus, climate sensitivity is a key uncertainty for CO₂ mitigation scenarios that aim to meet specific temperature levels.

DOE estimated fifteen years of avoided cumulative emission of carbon dioxide in order to gauge the impact of the Proposed Action on GHGs, and the contribution of the Proposed Action to achievement of emission reduction targets set out in the CAP. DOE estimates avoided cumulative emissions of 690,220 metric tons of carbon dioxide through 2030.28 Under no scenario of future construction would emissions of any GHG compounds increase under the Proposed Action.

26 IPCC 5th AR SRY, Table 3.1, Scenario RCP2.6
27 IPCC AR SYR 2014, Box 1.1.
28 Emission reductions associated with the CAP are calculated using the same process as used for the 30-year emission calculations, with the exception that the CAP is for savings through 2030 – a 12 year period – instead of the 30 year period. The multiplier used for the CAP savings is 78 (the sum of the numbers 1 through 12).
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