



Document Details

Docket ID:	DOE-HQ-2016-0028
Docket Title:	Requests for Information: Excess Uranium Management: Effects of DOE Transfers of Excess Uranium on Domestic Uranium Mining, Conversion, and Enrichment Industries *
Document File:	
Docket Phase:	Request for Comment (RFC)
Phase Sequence:	1
Original Document ID:	DOE_FRDOC_0001-DRAFT-0431
Current Document ID:	DOE-HQ-2016-0028-DRAFT-0002
Title:	Comment on FR Doc # 2016-18657
Number of Attachments:	0
Document Type:	PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS *
Document Subtype:	Public Comment
Comment on Document ID:	DOE-HQ-2016-0028-0001
Comment on Document Title:	Requests for Information: Excess Uranium Management: Effects of DOE Transfers of Excess Uranium on Domestic Uranium Mining, Conversion, and Enrichment Industries
Status:	Pending_Post
Received Date:	09/17/2016 *
Date Posted:	
Posting Restriction:	No restrictions
Submission Type:	Web
Number of Submissions:	1 *

Document Optional Details

Submitter Info

Comment:	Federal Register Document Number 2016-17024 - Excess Uranium Management Thank you for taking comments on this sensitive issue. While I am not an expert in uranium or nuclear technologies, I have taken an increasing interest in our energy infrastructure as a societal building block. This is my informed opinion on the subject at hand. Down-blending HEU seems quite a waste of resources. This material is unique and has specific qualities which can only be replicated with great effort, and causing unease among other nations. I would ask
-----------------	---

that the modest amounts of HEU be maintained for future civilian use at levels not less than 19.75% enrichment, which is well below weapons grade. Of course all NRC and IAEA guidelines for maintaining this material should be followed. While nuclear power seems stagnant inside the USA, it is growing worldwide. A few months ago nuclear capacity worldwide was 385 GWe. It is expected to reach 862 GWe by 2040, and likely increase from there due to various national, financial, national security, and climate change issues around the globe. www.world-nuclear.org/information-library/current-and-future-generation/plans-for-new-reactors-worldwide.aspx Within the next 10-25 years there will undoubtedly be an energy revolution with the introduction of Small Modular Reactors. The HEU under consideration will make excellent starter fuel for these innovations. Personally I would be open to sharing HEU with other nations - provided there is a Memorandum of Understanding and the use is approved and monitored by the IAEA. Currently in the UK there is a competition for SMR development which has over 30 entries from the European Union, Canada, China, and the United States. The UK wishes to identify a leading new nuclear technology which can be factory built and exported from the UK. They seem quite determined in this matter. www.gov.uk/government/publications/small-modular-reactors-competition-phase-one www.world-nuclear-news.org/NN-UK-government-launches-SMR-competition-1803165.html Of course it is quite possible that multiple US companies will be ready to build and test an advanced nuclear technology within 4-10 years. It would be such a shame to not have any HEU available at that time. This would of course depend on the NRC, GAIN, INL, & ORNL participation and approval. But with so many groups working on SMR, I feel it would be foolish to think none of them will succeed. <https://neutronbytes.com/advanced-reactor-development-projects/>
Thank you for your time. Respectfully, Christopher Bergan,
Iowa City *🌐

First Name: Christopher *🌐

Middle Name: 🌐

Last Name: Bergan *🌐

Mailing Address: 1920 H Street *🌐

Mailing Address 2: 1920 H Street *🌐

City: Iowa City *🌐







Country: United States 🌐

State or Province: Iowa 🌐




ZIP/Postal Code: 52240-2029 *🌐

Email Address: ccharlesic@msn.com 🌐

Phone Number: 🌐

Fax Number: 
Organization Name: 
Submitter's Representative: 
Government Agency Type: 
Government Agency: 
Cover Page: 

Document Optional Details

Status Set Date: 10/28/2016
Current Assignee: Bacon, Cuttie (DOE)
Status Set By: Freeman, Yohanna (DOE)
Comment Start Date: 
Comment Due Date: 
Legacy ID:
Tracking Number: 1k0-8ryg-2o8x 
Total Page Count Including Attachments: 1

Submitter Info

Comment: Federal Register Document Number 2016-17024 - Excess Uranium Management Thank you for taking comments on this sensitive issue. While I am not an expert in uranium or nuclear technologies, I have taken an increasing interest in our energy infrastructure as a societal building block. This is my informed opinion on the subject at hand. Down-blending HEU seems quite a waste of resources. This material is unique and has specific qualities which can only be replicated with great effort, and causing unease among other nations. I would ask that the modest amounts of HEU be maintained for future civilian use at levels not less than 19.75% enrichment, which is well below weapons grade. Of course all NRC and IAEA guidelines for maintaining this material should be followed. While nuclear power seems stagnant inside the USA, it is growing worldwide. A few months ago nuclear capacity worldwide was 385 GWe. It is expected to reach 862 GWe by 2040, and likely increase from there due to various national, financial, national security, and climate change issues around the globe. www.world-nuclear.org/information-library/current-and-future-generation/plans-for-new-reactors-worldwide.aspx Within the next 10-25 years there will undoubtedly be an energy revolution with the introduction of Small Modular Reactors. The HEU under consideration will make excellent starter fuel for these innovations. Personally I would be open to sharing HEU with other nations - provided there is a Memorandum of Understanding and the use is approved and monitored by the

IAEA. Currently in the UK there is a competition for SMR development which has over 30 entries from the European Union, Canada, China, and the United States. The UK wishes to identify a leading new nuclear technology which can be factory built and exported from the UK. They seem quite determined in this matter.

www.gov.uk/government/publications/small-modular-reactors-competition-phase-one

www.world-nuclear-news.org/NN-UK-government-launches-SMR-competition-1803165.html Of course it is quite possible that multiple US companies will be ready to build and test an advanced nuclear technology within 4-10 years. It would be such a shame to not have any HEU available at that time. This would of course depend on the NRC, GAIN, INL, & ORNL participation and approval. But with so many groups working on SMR, I feel it would be foolish to think none of them will succeed.

<https://neutronbytes.com/advanced-reactor-development-projects/>

Thank you for your time. Respectfully, Christopher Bergan,
Iowa City *🌐

First Name: Christopher *🌐

Middle Name: 🌐

Last Name: Bergan *🌐

Mailing Address: 1920 H Street *🌐

Mailing Address 2: 1920 H Street *🌐

City: Iowa City *🌐

Country: United States 🌐

State or Province: Iowa 🌐

ZIP/Postal Code: 52240-2029 *🌐

Email Address: ccharlesic@msn.com 🌐

Phone Number: 🌐

Fax Number: 🌐

Organization Name: 🌐

Submitter's Representative: 🌐

Government Agency Type: 🌐

Government Agency: 🌐

Cover Page: 

Document Optional Details

Submitter Info

Comment:

Federal Register Document Number 2016-17024 - Excess Uranium Management Thank you for taking comments on this sensitive issue. While I am not an expert in uranium or nuclear technologies, I have taken an increasing interest in our energy infrastructure as a societal building block. This is my informed opinion on the subject at hand. Down-blending HEU seems quite a waste of resources. This material is unique and has specific qualities which can only be replicated with great effort, and causing unease among other nations. I would ask that the modest amounts of HEU be maintained for future civilian use at levels not less than 19.75% enrichment, which is well below weapons grade. Of course all NRC and IAEA guidelines for maintaining this material should be followed. While nuclear power seems stagnant inside the USA, it is growing worldwide. A few months ago nuclear capacity worldwide was 385 GWe. It is expected to reach 862 GWe by 2040, and likely increase from there due to various national, financial, national security, and climate change issues around the globe. www.world-nuclear.org/information-library/current-and-future-generation/plans-for-new-reactors-worldwide.aspx Within the next 10-25 years there will undoubtedly be an energy revolution with the introduction of Small Modular Reactors. The HEU under consideration will make excellent starter fuel for these innovations. Personally I would be open to sharing HEU with other nations - provided there is a Memorandum of Understanding and the use is approved and monitored by the IAEA. Currently in the UK there is a competition for SMR development which has over 30 entries from the European Union, Canada, China, and the United States. The UK wishes to identify a leading new nuclear technology which can be factory built and exported from the UK. They seem quite determined in this matter. www.gov.uk/government/publications/small-modular-reactors-competition-phase-one www.world-nuclear-news.org/NN-UK-government-launches-SMR-competition-1803165.html Of course it is quite possible that multiple US companies will be ready to build and test an advanced nuclear technology within 4-10 years. It would be such a shame to not have any HEU available at that time. This would of course depend on the NRC, GAIN, INL, & ORNL participation and approval. But with so many groups working on SMR, I feel it would be foolish to think none of them will succeed. <https://neutronbytes.com/advanced-reactor-development-projects/>

Thank you for your time. Respectfully, Christopher Bergan,
Iowa City *🌐

First Name:

Christopher *🌐

Middle Name:

🌐

Last Name:

Bergan *🌐

Mailing Address:

1920 H Street *🌐

Mailing Address 2:


1920 H Street *🌐


City:


Iowa City *🌐


Country:


United States 🌐


State or Province: Iowa 


ZIP/Postal Code: 52240-2029 * 


Email Address: ccharlesic@msn.com 


Phone Number: 

Fax Number: 

Organization Name: 

Submitter's Representative: 

Government Agency Type: 

Government Agency: 

Cover Page: 