

Award Fee Evaluation Period 3 Determination Scorecard

Contractor: Restoration Services, Inc.
Contract: DE-EM0002639

Award Fee Evaluation Period: Fiscal Year 2016 (October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2016)
Basis of Evaluation: Award Fee Plan for Restoration Services, Inc. (RSI), Award Fee Evaluation Period 3
Categories of Performance: \$397,054
Award Fee Available: \$397,054 Award Fee Earned: \$381,172 (96%)

Categories of Performance Award Fee

Award Fee Area Adjectival Ratings

Award Fee Available: \$397,054

- | | |
|---|-------------|
| 1. Quality and Effectiveness of Performing Administrative Support (20%) (CP-1): | ● Excellent |
| 2. Quality and Effectiveness of Performing Environmental, Safety Health (ES&H);
Quality Assurance (QA) and Field Support (20%) (CP-2): | ● Excellent |
| 3. Quality and Effectiveness of Performing Project Support (30%) (CP-3): | ● Excellent |
| 4. Quality and Effectiveness in Managing the Program (30%) (CP-4): | ● Excellent |
| 5. The overall fee awarded based on these grades is: \$381,172 | |

This amount takes into consideration RSI's overall performance.

RSI has provided excellent support throughout the fiscal year and has met contract requirements and exceeded almost all of the performance goals and objectives for the period.

Quality and Effectiveness of Performing Administrative Support: RSI has met contract requirements and exceeded almost all of the significant award fee criteria associated with CP-1. Strengths include:

- Exceeded the goal to complete inventory and disposition of 25% of documents in the Decontamination and Decommissioning (D&D) Library by September 30, 2016, by completing 30% of the inventory (80 binders/boxes of files).
- Supportive in scheduling meetings, providing minutes, tracking correspondence in Livelink, distribution of documents, formatting documents, and providing technical editing for applicable documents.
- Competent documentation and minutes were generated by the RSI staff for each of the monthly Integrated Project Team (IPT) meetings.
- Without the RSI review of the regulatory/compliance documents sent to DOE as final for transmittal to Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (Ohio EPA) for factual accuracy and assurance of comment incorporation, the final submittal would have been incomplete, inadequate, and not able to be submitted to the regulators in a timely manner.
- Interacted effectively, accurately, and with clarity in supporting the DOE staff in the areas of public affairs, Site Specific Advisory Board (SSAB), Southern Ohio Diversification Initiative (SODI), and general project support.
- Extremely helpful in the area of developing presentations and facilitating the overall administrative requirements for the SSAB.

Quality and Effectiveness of Performing ES&H; QA and Field Support: RSI has met contract requirements and exceeded almost all of the significant award fee criteria associated with CP-2. Strengths include:

- Had zero Total Recordable Cases (TRCs)/Days Away, Restricted, or Transferrable (DART) cases during the rating period.
- Performed and communicated well on daily field observations and monitoring contractor's activities.
- Conducted follow-ups on deficiencies, observations, and findings in a timely manner to the Facility Representatives.
- Obtained qualified personnel that assisted in identifying safety issues and programmatic weaknesses, i.e. electrical safety and fall protection.
- Added value and responded to issues regarding the review of work packages, Job Hazard Analyses (JHAs), procedures, training modules, engineering evaluations, etc.
- Produced the Management Tracking System (MTS) database reports (1,460 field observations of contractor safety-related issues were identified and recorded) to help the DOE Facility Representatives track concerns and issues occurring in the field.
- QA support has been excellent. Surveillances are scheduled, conducted, and corrective actions tracked.
- Fire protection support was timely and rigorous. A number of issues were identified in the field, not otherwise discovered by the D&D contractor and DOE.
- In the area of Asset Transfer, RSI provided excellent support, communication, and resolution to issues.

Quality and Effectiveness of Performing Project Support: RSI has met contract requirements and exceeded almost all of the significant award fee criteria associated with CP-3. Strengths include:

- Invoice reviews were very thorough (105 invoices were closed in the rating period, reducing the backlog to 5, exceeding the goal of 35).
- A number of contract actions were required during the evaluation period. RSI staff were invaluable in assisting DOE in the review and comment on these submittals and proposals. Technical evaluations of the proposals were excellent, very timely, and of good quality.
- The ability to conduct budget drills upon request was excellent.
- Participated effectively in status meetings, IPTs, and technical dialogue.
- RSI support to the Centrus work authorizations was extremely detail oriented, effective, and timely.
- Created an X-326 Dashboard Report to provide DOE with timely updates on the status of the project.
- Assisted DOE in surveillance of the FBP Phase I systematic characterization approach.

Quality and Effectiveness in Managing the Program: RSI met contract requirements and almost all of the significant award fee criteria associated with CP-4. Strengths include:

- Finished Fiscal Year 2016 (FY16) with a Cost Performance Index (CPI) of 1.06.
- Created management dashboards/tools that provided information to make well informed decisions through review of objective data.
- The support related to the Critical Decision (CD)-process and presentation preparation has been very beneficial.
- Excellent in preparation of special requests from the Portsmouth/Paducah Project Office (PPPO) and Headquarters.
- Excellent support was provided for outreach activities/education including the Science Bowl and the Science Alliance.
- Public Affairs support has been excellent. RSI used a collaborative approach and strategic thinking in document development.
- Excelled in developing a cumulative FY overtime hours and percentages tracking mechanism that assists PPPO in tracking overtime trends.