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Project Description

> Project Location – ICM, Inc., St. Joseph MO
> Company headquarters – ICM, Inc., Colwich, KS
> High Level overview of:
  ▪ Feedstock handling
    • Dry Frac Corn Fiber, Switchgrass/Energy Sorghum Bales, Forage Equipment
  ▪ Conversion technology
    • Pretreatment, Enzymatic Hydrolysis, Co-Fermentation of C5/C6 sugars
  ▪ Product purification
    • Conventional Ethanol Distillation
> Scale of the project under development with DOE assistance
  ▪ 10 Dry Tons Feedstock per Day
    • Captive Corn Fiber, Energy Sorghum, Switchgrass
  ▪ 260,000 Gallons Ethanol per Year (commercial capacity)
Quad Chart Overview

**Timeline**
- **Project start date**
  - BP-1: January 28, 2010
  - BP-2: August 31, 2010
  - BP-3: April 1, 2012
- **Project end date**
  - Mechanical Turnover – July 2011
  - Start-up – August 2011
  - Commissioning – February 2012
  - Operations – April 2012
  - Completion – December 2014
- **Percent complete** – 54%

**Budget**
- **Total project funding**
  - DOE share - $25,000,000
  - Contractor share - $6,710,210
- **Funding received by Fiscal Year**
  - FY 2010 - $860,469.03
  - FY 2011 - $10,362,734.10
  - FY 2012 - $4,223,739.00
  - FY 3013 - $2,429,304.27
- **ARRA Funding** - $25,000,000

**Project Development**

What is the status of the project?
- Are you on track with cost and schedule?  Yes
- Has the project scope changed?  No
- Identify when the project is complete. **December 31, 2014**

**Project Participants**
- Interactions/ collaborations
  - Novozymes, LifeLine Foods, AGCO, Ceres, Stinger, Growers
- Intellectual property licenses - Yes
- Project management – ICM
- Construction management - ICM
- Start-up and commissioning - ICM
- Operations - ICM
Cost and Schedule Performance

• Spend Plan

IBR Spending History and Projection

• Earned Value

(Bull’s-Eye Diagram)
**Project Overview**

> Key unit operations/process steps you identified for improvement

- Feedstock materials handling
- Pretreatment
- Solid/Liquid Separations

> Identify the specific technical barrier(s) this project addresses

- Design pretreatment that gives a consistent product with high sugar yield
- Overcome feedstock differences
- Is it still a hurdle or has it been overcome?
- Pretreatment works consistently well with corn fiber, energy sorghum is currently in optimization stage, switchgrass is in future
- Feedstock differences are being optimized as each is demonstrated
St. Joseph Generation 2 Pilot Plant: Layout and Process Flow
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WBS (DOE)</th>
<th>Task Name</th>
<th>Start</th>
<th>Finish</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Grant Award</td>
<td>Mon 12/21/09</td>
<td>Mon 12/21/09</td>
<td>0 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ICM DOE FOA-00000066</td>
<td>Fri 1/4/10</td>
<td>Tue 5/23/14</td>
<td>1219 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Funding Period 1</td>
<td>Fri 1/4/10</td>
<td>Thu 9/11/11</td>
<td>430.55 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Funding Period 2</td>
<td>Tue 6/8/10</td>
<td>Mon 8/13/12</td>
<td>555 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Funding Period 3</td>
<td>Mon 8/13/12</td>
<td>Tue 9/23/14</td>
<td>551 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3.1</td>
<td>Switchgrass pilot efforts</td>
<td>Wed 8/14/13</td>
<td>Fri 1/24/14</td>
<td>110 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3.1.1</td>
<td>Test alternative Switchgrass milling</td>
<td>Wed 8/14/13</td>
<td>Tue 11/5/13</td>
<td>60 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3.1.2</td>
<td>Dilute acid switchgrass pretreatment</td>
<td>Fri 8/23/13</td>
<td>Wed 10/16/13</td>
<td>39 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3.1.4</td>
<td>Switchgrass 1st stage mixing and hydrolysis (Deleted)</td>
<td>Wed 9/25/13</td>
<td>Thu 9/26/13</td>
<td>1 day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3.1.6</td>
<td>Switchgrass S/L separation and concentration</td>
<td>Tue 9/10/13</td>
<td>Wed 11/13/13</td>
<td>47 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3.1.7</td>
<td>SG Fermentation, Beer Separation, Aerobic Fermentation, and Yeast Separation</td>
<td>Thu 10/31/13</td>
<td>Fri 1/24/14</td>
<td>62 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3.2</td>
<td>Corn fiber and Energy Sorghum pilot efforts</td>
<td>Mon 8/13/12</td>
<td>Mon 6/30/14</td>
<td>490 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3.2.1</td>
<td>Energy Sorghum milling tests</td>
<td>Mon 12/17/12</td>
<td>Fri 3/20/13</td>
<td>75 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3.2.2</td>
<td>Dilute acid energy sorghum</td>
<td>Sun 3/3/13</td>
<td>Fri 4/19/13</td>
<td>35 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3.2.3</td>
<td>Energy sorghum pretreatment defined</td>
<td>Fri 4/12/13</td>
<td>Fri 4/12/13</td>
<td>0 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3.2.4</td>
<td>Dilute acid Captive fiber (Moved to FP-2)</td>
<td>Mon 8/13/12</td>
<td>Mon 8/13/12</td>
<td>0 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3.2.6</td>
<td>Autohydrolysis captive fiber</td>
<td>Sat 12/28/12</td>
<td>Thu 2/20/14</td>
<td>39 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3.2.10</td>
<td>Energy Sorghum 1st stage mixing and hydrolysis (Deleted)</td>
<td>Thu 3/21/13</td>
<td>Wed 3/27/13</td>
<td>5 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3.2.11</td>
<td>Corn fiber 1st stage mixing and hydrolysis (Deleted)</td>
<td>Mon 8/13/12</td>
<td>Mon 8/13/12</td>
<td>0 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3.2.12</td>
<td>Energy Sorghum S/L separation and hydrolyzate concentration</td>
<td>Wed 5/1/13</td>
<td>Fri 6/7/13</td>
<td>27 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3.2.14</td>
<td>Captive fiber S/L separation and hydrolyzate concentration (DELETED)</td>
<td>Mon 8/13/12</td>
<td>Mon 8/13/12</td>
<td>0 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3.2.16</td>
<td>ES Fermentation, Beer Separation, Aerobic Fermentation, and Yeast Separation</td>
<td>Thu 6/13/13</td>
<td>Fri 6/13/13</td>
<td>62 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3.2.19</td>
<td>CF Fermentation, Beer Separation, Aerobic Fermentation, and Yeast Separation</td>
<td>Mon 10/1/12</td>
<td>Thu 12/27/12</td>
<td>64 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3.2.22</td>
<td>Additional campaigns information gathered</td>
<td>Sat 2/1/14</td>
<td>Mon 6/30/14</td>
<td>105 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3.2.23</td>
<td>Project Close-out</td>
<td>Tue 7/1/14</td>
<td>Tue 9/23/14</td>
<td>61 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1 – Project Management

> WBS Based Planning

> Team Based Approach
  - Technical
    - Multidisciplinary
  - Business

> Regular Team Planning and Review Meetings
1 – Project Management (2)

Systematic Approach – Workflow Process

- Protocol Preparation/Approval
- Training
- Execution
  - Pilot
  - Lab
  - Data Analysis
  - Reporting
  - Lessons Learned
  - Continuous Improvement
- Fixed Targets/Decision Points
  - Technical
  - Economic
2 - Technical Accomplishments/Progress/Results

> Completed & Obtained NEPA Approval - 2010
> Completed Construction – August 2011
  ▪ 10 TPD Feedstock Capacity
  ▪ 260,000 GPY Ethanol Capacity
> Completed Qualification Run – April 2012
> Operations
  ▪ Completed 1,150 hour integrated run – corn fiber
    • November 2012
  ▪ Future integrated runs planned in 2013 and 2014
2 - Technical Accomplishments/Progress/Results

• Construction
  • Procured All Required Equipment
  • Installed Equipment per Design Plan
  • Expanded Scope of State of Missouri Air Permit
  • Completed Water Testing
2 - Technical Accomplishments/Progress/Results

• Qualification Testing
  • Established All Unit Operations
  • Completed Preliminary Testing
  • 60-Day Co-Located Design Run
    • Ran All Unit Operations – Not All Continuously
• Identified Opportunities for Improvement
  • Pretreatment
  • Yeast Propagation
  • Solid/Liquid Separations
2 - Technical Accomplishments/Progress/Results

- Yeast Propagation
- Aseptic Operations
- Timing
- Continuous Sterilization Capacity
2 - Technical Accomplishments/Progress/Results

- Solid/Liquid Separations
  - DE Dust Control
  - Pond Depth/Drum Coating
  - Knife Setting
  - DE Cost
- Drying
  - Feed Rates/Settings
  - Steam Tube Coating
2 - Technical Accomplishments/Progress/Results

- **Feedstocks**
  - Corn fiber
    - Process Co-Product/Waste
  - Energy sorghum
    - Annual crop
  - Switchgrass
    - Perennial crop
2 - Technical Accomplishments/Progress/Results

- Integrated Fiber 1,000 Hour Run
  - Total Continuous Run Time – 1,150 Hours
  - Shut Down Predetermined – Day Before Thanksgiving
- Identified Regular CIP Pretreatment
- Replicated Prior Lab Data
- Pilot Scale – 15,000 gallon Fermentors
- Commercial Scale – 585,000 gallon Fermentors
- 7% Increased Yield – C6 Only
- 10% Increased Yield – C5 + C6
- Modified DDGS - Sold All 5 Batches at Full Market Price
2 - Technical Accomplishments/Progress/Results

- Pretreatment
  - Feedstock Feed/Slurry
  - Acid/Base Control
  - Fouling
  - Flash Control
Fouling
Fouling
Generation 1.5: Integrated Cellulose at Existing Grain Facilities
### 1000 Hour Integrated Run Overview

- 24 X 10,000 gallon starch/cellulose integrated pilot fermenters
- 18 X 35,000 gallon hydrolyzate tanks
- 5 X 535,000 gallon full-scale test fermenters
- 5 X 535,000 gallon full-scale control fermenters
- 1200-hours of pretreatment run time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>batch number</th>
<th>fermentation type</th>
<th>Finish time (h)</th>
<th>ethanol concentration (% w/v)</th>
<th>% yield increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>501</td>
<td>C6 (cell + starch)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>502</td>
<td>C5/C6</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503</td>
<td>C5/C6</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>504</td>
<td>C6 (starch only)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>505</td>
<td>C6 (cell + starch)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>506</td>
<td>C5/C6</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>507</td>
<td>C5/C6</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508</td>
<td>C6 (starch only)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>509</td>
<td>C6 (cell + starch)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>510</td>
<td>C5/C6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>511</td>
<td>C5/C6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512</td>
<td>C6 (starch only)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>513</td>
<td>C6 (cell + starch)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>514</td>
<td>C5/C6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>515</td>
<td>C5/C6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>516</td>
<td>C6 (starch only)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>517</td>
<td>C6 (cell + starch)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518</td>
<td>C5/C6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>519</td>
<td>C5/C6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>520</td>
<td>C6 (starch only)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>521</td>
<td>C6 (cell + starch)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>522</td>
<td>C5/C6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>523</td>
<td>C5/C6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>524</td>
<td>C6 (starch only)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| average      | C6 (cell + starch) | 58             | 12.1%                        | 7.1%            |
| average      | C5/C6              | 58             | 12.4%                        | 9.7%            |
| average      | C6 (starch only)   | 58             | 11.3%                        |                 |
Increased Yields vs Control

- C5/C6 +starch: +7.1%
- C6+starch: +9.7%
- starch only

Type of Fermentation

With Control Dunnett’s 0.05
• Generation 1.5: Integrated Corn Fiber Production Potential

> Additional 1.3-1.5 billion gallons (5.7 billion liters) of cellulosic ethanol annually
  - Existing grain ethanol plants

> Advantages over Co-Located/Greenfield Design Models
  - Reduced capital requirements ($2-3 USD /installed gallon)
  - Reduced chemical inputs

> Flexible rollout (fermentation/regulatory)

> 3.0+ Gallons (11.4 liters) per bushel yield
  - Increase in Protein/Fat Value Feed
  - Increased Oil Recovery
  - Co-Products Diversification

> Increased ethanol yield/bushel of 7-10%

> Patent Pending Process
Generation 2.0 Co-Located Cellulosic Integrated with Generation 1.0 Grain Ethanol Plant
Improvements Against Initial Benchmarks

> Product cost
  - 15.3% reduction

> Product Yield (Gallons Fuel/dry tonne of feedstock)
  - 86% glucan conversion – new 98% glucan conversion (14% increase)
  - 79.2 gallons/tonne (300 liters/tonne) – new 88.7 gallons/tonne (338 liters/tonne) (12% increase)

> Energy demand (Kwhr/tonne feedstock, kWh/gallon fuel)
  - 2 kWh /gallon
  - 158 kWh /tonne

> Infrastructure Cost of any co-located plant significantly reduced

> Environmental sustainability
  - Generate methane from wastewater treatment, Generate heat from residual solids, Share water with co-located plant, Share heat with co-located plant
Clarified Sugar Production

- Pretreatment
- Hydrolysis
- Remove unconverted solids
- Clarified sugars
Ethanol Generations: Economic Parity

Projections based on pilot testing and/or early adopter installation.
3 - Relevance

> Describe how the project will support planned commercial deployment and/or replicability

- Gen 1.5, Gen 2.0 Co-Located, Gen 2.0 Greenfield
- First Commercial Sale of Cellulosic Gen 1.5 by end of 2013
- First Commercial Sale of Cellulosic Gen 2.0 by end of 2014
3 - Relevance

Project will contribute to sustainability and lower life cycle emissions

- Carbon content of ethanol from IBR project 41.69 g CO$_2$e/MJ
- Percent reduction (gasoline baseline) >60%
- Net project Lifecycle GHG emissions 158,168 tCO$_2$e/yr
- Project GHG Emissions Reduction 180,692 tCO2e/yr
- Volume offsets to reach 20% LC reduction from gasoline – 0 tCO2e/yr
- Cost offsets to reach 20% LC reduction from gasoline - $0/yr
- Cost offset all project emissions (100% carbon neutral) $126,534/yr
- Net decrease primary energy consumption
  - 3,082,592 MMBtu/yr
- 75.7% reduction in primary energy compared to gasoline
- Reduction in oil consumption 531,481 bbl/yr
4 - Critical Success Factors

• Critical Success Factors
  – Yield of Ethanol
  – Yield and Quality of Co-Products
  – Required quantities of processing aids (enzymes, chemicals, filter aid, etc.)
  – Mechanical Reliability (high uptime)

• Top Challenges
  – Feedstock handling
  – Optimization of pretreatment with multiple, variable feedstocks
  – Optimization of yeast propagation/recycle strategy
4 - Critical Success Factors

• Risks that were successfully mitigated
  – Feedstock availability
  – Feedstock handling
  – Pretreatment process control
  – Yeast Propagation
## Future Work

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WBS</th>
<th>Task Name</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Start</th>
<th>Finish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.2.3.1</td>
<td>Alternative Energy Sorghum Milling</td>
<td>125 edays</td>
<td>Mon 12/17/13</td>
<td>Sun 4/21/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ICM wet frac full scale run</td>
<td>40 edays</td>
<td>Mon 4/22/13</td>
<td>Sat 6/1/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.3.1</td>
<td>Alternative Energy Sorghum Milling contd.</td>
<td>30 edays</td>
<td>Mon 6/3/13</td>
<td>Wed 7/3/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Dilute Acid Energy Sorghum Pretreatment</td>
<td>18 edays</td>
<td>Thu 7/4/13</td>
<td>Mon 7/22/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2.3.12</td>
<td>Energy Sorghum S/L Separation</td>
<td>30 edays</td>
<td>Thu 8/29/13</td>
<td>Sat 28/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2.3.16</td>
<td>Yeast Production for Integrated Run</td>
<td>14 edays</td>
<td>Mon 9/2/13</td>
<td>Mon 9/16/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.2.3.16</td>
<td>Co-Located Fermentation Testing Round 2</td>
<td>21 edays</td>
<td>Mon 9/16/13</td>
<td>Mon 10/7/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.2.3.16</td>
<td>Data Analysis Break 2</td>
<td>14 edays</td>
<td>Sat 9/28/13</td>
<td>Sat 10/12/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.2.3.16</td>
<td>Energy Sorghum Integrated Run 1,000 Hr Run</td>
<td>57 edays</td>
<td>Sat 10/12/13</td>
<td>Sun 12/8/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Gassification Testing of Non-Fermentables</td>
<td>5 edays</td>
<td>Sun 12/8/13</td>
<td>Fri 12/13/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Switchgrass Milling Tests</td>
<td>15 edays</td>
<td>Sun 12/8/13</td>
<td>Mon 12/23/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Dilute Acid Switchgrass</td>
<td>18 edays</td>
<td>Mon 12/23/13</td>
<td>Fri 1/10/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Data Analysis Break 3</td>
<td>5 edays</td>
<td>Fri 1/10/14</td>
<td>Wed 1/15/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.2.3.15</td>
<td>Switchgrass S/L Separation &amp; Hydrolysate Concentration</td>
<td>30 edays</td>
<td>Wed 1/15/14</td>
<td>Fri 2/14/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.2.3.5</td>
<td>Yeast Production for Integrated Runs</td>
<td>14 edays</td>
<td>Thu 2/6/14</td>
<td>Thu 2/20/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.2.3.5</td>
<td>Data Analysis Break 4</td>
<td>14 edays</td>
<td>Fri 2/14/14</td>
<td>Fri 2/28/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Store fermentation, Beer Separation, Aerobic Fe</td>
<td>57 edays</td>
<td>Thu 2/27/14</td>
<td>Fri 4/25/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Gassification Testing of Non-Fermentables</td>
<td>5 edays</td>
<td>Thu 4/24/14</td>
<td>Tue 4/29/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.2.3.17</td>
<td>Data Analysis Break 5 and Lab Catch-Up 2-3 weeks</td>
<td>14 edays</td>
<td>Fri 4/25/14</td>
<td>Fri 5/3/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Campaign 1 CF 500HR Run</td>
<td>23 edays</td>
<td>Mon 7/22/13</td>
<td>Wed 8/14/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.2.3.19</td>
<td>Data Analysis Break 6 and Lab Catch-Up 2-3 weeks</td>
<td>14 edays</td>
<td>Wed 8/14/13</td>
<td>Wed 8/28/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Yeast Production for Integrated Runs</td>
<td>14 edays</td>
<td>Fri 4/18/14</td>
<td>Fri 5/2/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.2.3.16</td>
<td>Campaign 2 ES 500 hr run</td>
<td>23 edays</td>
<td>Fri 5/9/14</td>
<td>Sun 6/1/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.2.3.16</td>
<td>Data Analysis Break 7 and Lab Catch-Up 2-3 weeks</td>
<td>14 edays</td>
<td>Fri 5/30/14</td>
<td>Fri 6/13/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.2.3.16</td>
<td>Yeast Production for Integrated Runs</td>
<td>14 edays</td>
<td>Fri 5/23/14</td>
<td>Fri 6/6/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.2.3.17</td>
<td>Campaign 3 SG 500 HR Run</td>
<td>23 edays</td>
<td>Fri 6/3/14</td>
<td>Sun 7/6/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Gasification Run</td>
<td>10 edays</td>
<td>Mon 7/7/14</td>
<td>Thu 7/17/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Project closeout</td>
<td>60 days</td>
<td>Fri 7/18/14</td>
<td>Thu 10/9/14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary

> Relevance

Project is confirming the commercial viability of ICM’s integrated fiber (Gen 1.5) and co-located (Gen 2.0) designs for cellulose conversion to ethanol and co-products. First commercial designs have been completed for both with first commercial operations expected in 2013 and 2015.

> Approach

This IBR leverages off if ICM’s prior extensive ethanol industry experience, pre-award lab and pilot data, and a pre-existing grain-based pilot facility expected to provide a high probability of successful technology demonstration.
Summary

Technical accomplishments
All permitting, construction, water testing, qualification testing, and an initial 1,000+ hour integrated campaign have been successfully completed. Conversions of feedstock to C5/C6 sugars and subsequent fermentation to ethanol have improved upon initial projections.

Benefits and Expected Outcomes
The initial integrated run has proven that the integrated fiber design (Gen 1.5) works at both pilot and commercial scales, thus allowing up to a 10% ethanol yield increase per bushel by converting the cellulosic fiber in corn. The potential if all existing grain ethanol plants adopt this technology is the production of about 1.3 – 1.4 BGY of cellulosic ethanol at a CAPEX of $2-3 per installed gallon.
Summary

> Future work

During the remainder of the contract (2013-2014), ICM plans to complete additional 1,000 hour campaigns using switchgrass and energy sorghum as feedstocks using a co-located design. ICM further expects the CAPEX of this design to be about $6-8 per installed gallon.

> Success factors and challenges

Consistent ability to handle a bulky, low density feedstock from receipt at the plant through pretreatment operations.

Ultimately, the lack of market demand for new ethanol production capacity resulting from the lack of market implementation of E15 and higher blends, is critical.
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Responses to Previous Reviewers’ Comments

➢ The Project is behind schedule
  ▪ As shown in the Gantt Charts, we are on schedule to complete the project before the end of 2014.

➢ The Project does not address business, market, and regulatory issues that impact commercial viability
  ▪ The concept of Generation 1.5 ethanol from corn fiber has reduced capex to about $2-3/installed gallon of capacity.
  ▪ The market is a concern. Until E15 and higher blends of ethanol are made available to the consumer across the marketplace, there is no need to add additional ethanol capacity.
  ▪ The GMO yeast that we have been using is currently in the review process for approval at FDA/CVM. We have identified a second GMO yeast provider with equally good results and it is also entering the process.
Responses to Previous Reviewers’ Comments (2)

- Manufacturing cost is higher than the program goal, and critical success factors are likely to add cost, thus commercial success will be a challenge.
  - Generation 1.5 has a capex of only $2-3/installed gallon of capacity.
  - Generation 1.5 is expected to be able to sell at a reduced MESP (see MESP chart above) to achieve breakeven as a result of clear cost competitive advantages as confirmed in a 1,150 hour fully integrated campaign using commercial scale fermentors.
  - Generation 1.5 FEL-1 has confirmed pilot results thus far.
  - Similar positive impacts are expected to be confirmed in upcoming Generation 2.0 fully integrated pilot campaigns.
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Patents
- None

Awards
- None

Publications
- None

Presentations
- See Next Pages
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Presentations

> 2013

> SIMB Fuels and Chemicals Symposium
  - Pretreatment Scale Up
  - Pilot and Commercial Demonstration of Cellulosic Ethanol Production

> Advanced Biofuels Leadership Conference
  - Generation 1.5 Ethanol: Ready for Commercialization, But is There a Market?
Presentations (2)

> 2012

- **BBI Biofuels Conference**
  - Pathways to Clarified Sugars for Production of Fuels and Chemicals

- **Advanced Biofuels Conference**
  - Accelerating the Transition from G1 to G2 Ethanol

- **American Coalition for Ethanol**
  - ICM Pathway from Generation 1 Ethanol to Generation 2 Ethanol

- **Fuel Ethanol Workshop**
  - Co-Location of Cellulose and Corn Processes
Presentations (3)

> 2011

- Fuel Ethanol Workshop
  - ICM Perspectives on the Conversion of Cellulose to Ethanol