



This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

Non-Platinum Group Metal OER/ORR Catalysts for Alkaline Membrane Fuel Cells and Electrolyzers

P. I. Name: Nemanja Danilovic

Chris Capuano and Kathy Ayers

Organization: Proton OnSite

Date: May 15, 2015 (presented)

August 5, 2015 (updated)

Project ID: FC-133

Overview Timeline

- Project Start: 15 Feb 2015
- Project End: 15 Nov 2015
- Percent complete: ~85%

Budget

Total project funding
 DOE share: \$150,000

Partners

- Rutgers University:
 - Charles Dismukes (PI)
 - Graeme Gardner
 - Karin Calvinho

Barriers

Barriers addressed

G: Capital Cost (Electrolyzer + Fuel Cell)

Table 3.4.7.a Technical Targets: Portable Power Fuel Cell Systems (<2 Watt) ^a								
Characteristic	Units 2011 Status		2013 Targets	2015 Targets				
Specific power ^b	W/kg	5	8	10				
Power density ^b	W/L	7	10	13				
Specific energy ^{b,c}	Wh/kg	110	200	230				
Energy density ^{b,c}	Wh/L	150	250	300				
Cost ^d	\$/system	150	130	70				
Durability ^{e,f}	hours	1,500	3,000	5,000				
Mean time between failures ^{f.g}	hours	500	1,500	5,000				

Table 3.1.4 Technical Targets: Distributed Forecourt Water Electrolysis Hydrogen Production ^{a, b, c, l}							
Characteristics	Units	2011 Status	2015 Target	2020 Target			
Hydrogen Levelized Cost ^d (Production Only)	\$/kg	4.20 ^d	3.90 ^d	2.30 ^d			
Electrolyzer System Capital Cost	\$/kg \$/kW	0.70 430 ^{e, r}	0.50 300 ^r	0.50 300 '			
System Energy Efficiency ^g	% (LHV)	67	72	75			
	kWh/kg	50	46	44			
Stack Energy Efficiency ^h	% (LHV)	74	76	77			
	kWh/kg	45	44	43			
Electricity Price	\$/kWh	From AEO 2009 ¹	From AEO 2009 ¹	0.037 ¹			





Project Goal- Phase 1

- Anion exchange membrane (AEM) based unitized regenerative fuel cell (URFC)
- Non-platinum group metal (PGM)-based oxygen electrode





Relevance

- Stacks are the largest cost components of RFCs
 - Integrated approach should make significant \$ impact
- Precious metal content
 - Decrease or eliminate PGM metals in electrodes
- Membrane electrode assembly cost
 - Anion exchange (AEM) vs proton exchange (PEM) membranes
- Balance of stack component cost
 - Reduction in cost using stainless steel vs valve metal components







Approach

- Catalyst (Rutgers):
 - Based on cubic LiCoO₂
 - Tune OER/ORR activity by varying A and B site dopants
- AEM-URFC cell (Proton)
 - Water management
 - Flowfield
 - Wetproofing
 - Catalyst layer integration



Water management optimization



Flowfield Design





Preliminary data on LiCoO₂



Objectives

Task description and significance achievements	Completion
Cubic phase $LiBCoO_2$ (B=Mn ⁺ , etc) synthesized and screened	100%
Electrochemical screening of synthesized materials in RDE	75%
Development of URFC cell	100%
Optimization of flowfields for fuel cell and electrolysis operation	75%
Baselining PGM catalyst materials in fuel cell and electrolysis	100%
Evaluation of non-PGM O ₂ electrodes	75%
Durability testing of non-PGM O ₂ electrodes	100%





Technical Accomplishments

- Catalyst Development
 - Synthesis, performance and reproducibility at 5 grams verified at Proton for LiCoO₂
 - Multiple A and B-site doped ABCoO₂ (A=Mg, Zn; B=Mn) synthesized and characterized by RDE

Cell Development

- Defined flowfield geometry and fabricated stainless steel hardware for O_2 side.
- Flowfield optimization and wet proofing conducted

URFC Testing

- Baseline performance obtained in fuel cell and electrolysis mode for Pt | Pt catalyst (PGM baseline)
- Baseline electrolysis performance for LiCoO₂ and 1300 hrs stability test completed
- Preliminary Fuel cell and electrolysis data obtained for LiCoO₂



Technical Accomplishments: Synthesis

• Sol-gel synthesis employed for high phase purity and higher surface area catalysts

$(b) - LT-LiCoO_2$ $(b) - LT-LiCoO_2$ $(a) - HT-LiCOO_2$ $(a) - HT-LiCOO_2$ $(b) - LT-LiCOO_2$ $(b) - LT-LiCOO_2$ $(c) - HT-LiCOO_2$ $(c) - HT-LiCOO_2$

Sol-Gel Synthetic Routes

 $\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{Li}_2\mathsf{NO}_3 + \mathsf{Co}(\mathsf{NO}_3)_2 + \\ \mathsf{CH}_4\mathsf{N}_2\mathsf{O}(\mathsf{urea}) + \\ \mathsf{C}_6\mathsf{H}_8\mathsf{O}_7(\mathsf{citric} \mathsf{acid}) + \\ \mathsf{H}_2\mathsf{O} \end{array}$



9 C C

Solid State Synthesis $Li_2CO_3 + CoCO_3$ (grinding)

400 °C, 72 hr.

800 °C, 12 hr.







Technical Accomplishments: Non-PGM OER/ORR catalysts

- Synthesized well-defined non-PGM O₂ catalysts based on LiCoO₂ and LiMn₂O₄ families
 - Large batches by sol-gel method achieved high surface area
- Tuned OER and ORR activity by B site substitution
 - $\text{LiMn}_{2-x}\text{Co}_{x}\text{O}_{4} (0 < x < 1.5)$





Technical Accomplishments: Non-PGM OER Performance Screening



- Anode DI water or bicarbonate feed
- Equivalent Pt cathodes
- Improved performance over baseline anode catalyst





Technical Accomplishments Non-PGM O₂ Catalyst Durability Test



- 28cm² cell commercial platform
- Stainless steel and carbon BOP
- 1wt% KHCO₃ anode feed

36L

- Cumulative run time of 1300 hrs
- Apparent drift at high current densities







Technical Accomplishments: URFC cell baselining - Electrolysis

- 25cm² non-proprietary cell platform
- Deionized water feed on the anode side (O₂ electrode)
- Baseline vs conventional PGM anode catalyst
- Little difference at higher current densities points to other rate limiting steps



Technical Accomplishments: URFC cell baselining – Fuel Cell



- 25 cm² non-proprietary cell platform
- Underhumidified H₂, overhumidified O₂: high flow rates





Technical Accomplishments: URFC cell baselining – Fuel Cell

AEMFC Stability test, 25cm² stack, 35 °C @ 50mA/cm²



Rutgers non-PGM cycling data



Potential vs RHE / V

Rutgers evaluated ORR and OER activity of two non-PGM oxide compounds. Meets OER and ORR, RDE technical targets.
Initial sweep direction affects activity OER -> ORR vs ORR -> OER
Electrolysis followed by fuel cell testing is better than fuel cell followed by electrolysis





Electrode and cell configurations fabrication

- 25cm² test stacks that integrates baseline data and cell design:
 - Serpentine flow channels H₂ and O₂ electrodes
 - Stainless steel serpentine flow channel fabricated, and passivated. Used for cycling tests.
 - Teflonized carbon paper and teflonized Ti porous plate
 GDLs used to improve water management





LiCoO₂ anode



Before and after FC test, denotes first electrolysis test followed by fuel cell testing, then electrolysis again
Meets OER technical target in MEA configuration





FC polarization curve

AEMFC Polarization Curve 25 cm² Stack 35°C



Does not meets ORR technical target in MEA configuration that was based on PEM non-PGM materials (MYRD&D).
Propose new target as half of Pt baseline





Proton and Rutgers next steps

- Improve MEA FC performance ORR
 - Focus on improving water transport through GDL
 - Evaluate improved cathode catalyst
- Promote advanced cathode catalyst to 28cm² retest with LiCoO₂ anode for long term stability
- Conduct 10 cycles using new cathode, LiCoO₂ anode (last remaining milestone)
- Rutgers:
 - Evaluate cycling effects on LiCoO₂
 - Evaluating anion and cation dopants on ORR/OER activity





More information

- AMR poster:
 - <u>http://www.hydrogen.energy.gov/pdfs/review15/fc13</u>
 <u>3_danilovic_2015_p.pdf</u>



