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NEET Program Introduction

• Purpose:
  – Support U.S. development to thrive in $B international market for nuclear power additive technologies that significantly reduce development and operational costs and manufacturing lead time for nuclear Rx components

• Objectives:
  – Develop baseline and advanced rad tolerant alloys
    • Investigate nanophase modification
    • Identifying reduced life cycle costs
  – Demonstrate cost and schedule reduction using additive methods.

• Approach:
  – Build manufacturing demonstrations of complex parts demonstrating design flexibility and shortened design-to-manufacturing cycles
  – Employ nanophase alloy modification via Laser Direct Manufacturing (LDM) to create enhanced rad tolerant components
  – Explore cost and schedule benefits through case study and business case analysis

Advanced/Affordable Manufacturing Methods are Key Enablers for competing in $700B global market
Overview

- Materials selection
- Fabrication and characterization of alloy samples – Nanoscale modification
- ODS SS Development
- Demo Fabrication
- Manufacturing Study
- Path Forward

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Inconel 600</th>
<th>Inconel 718</th>
<th>Inconel 800</th>
<th>316L SS</th>
<th>ODS 316L SS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LDM Trials</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microstructures</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>N/C</td>
<td>N/C</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical Properties</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>N/C</td>
<td>N/C</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>N/C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Specimens</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demo Article</td>
<td>Complete (3x3*, 10x10 and 15x15)</td>
<td>Complete (3x3*)</td>
<td>Complete (3x3*)</td>
<td>Complete (3x3)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Baseline 3x3 and thin wall demo samples
Comparison criteria for selection of alternative nuclear materials

Comparison criteria

- Low neutron absorption
- Elevated temperature mechanical properties
  - Creep resistance
  - Long-term stability
  - Compatibility with reactor coolant
- Resistance to irradiation-induced damage (greater than 200 dpa)
  - Radiation hardening and embrittlement
  - Void swelling
  - Creep
  - Helium-induced embrittlement
  - Phase instabilities

Alternate Nuclear Materials

- BASELINE: Traditional ferritic/martensitic steels (HT-9) or later generations of F/M steels
- OPTION 1: ODS steels to examine effect of direct manufacturing methods on nanoscale oxide domains
- OPTION 2: Inconel 800 series of materials to study the effect of processing parameters offered by direct manufacturing methods to improve performance under irradiation
- OPTION 3: Among the refractory alloys, the Mo (TZM) alloys. These have a high operating temperature window and also, the most information on irradiated material properties

Based on customer discussions, materials down-selected to 316L SS, Inconel alloys and ODS steels
Metal AM Technologies – Powder Bed Fusion and Beam Deposition

Applications
- Functional prototype parts
- Legacy parts
- Parts with complex geometries
- Small production runs

Equipment at QCML
- EOSINT M270 Extended-Ti
  - Build Vol: 9.85” x 9.85” x 8.5”

Applications
- Laser Cladding
- Part fabrication
- Adding features to parts
- Part repair

Equipment at QCML
- Customized 4-axis Cell
  - 48” h x 20” w x 20” d x 360° roll
- Customized 3-axis Cell
  - 12” x 12” x 10”

Powder bed and Beam deposition methods were both utilized in samples processing
Approach to Samples Development

- **Method of Fabrication**
  - Powder bed dep. process
    - 316L SS, Inconel alloys (600, 718, 800)
  - Beam deposition method
    - ODS – 316L SS

- **Availability of powders**
  - Particle size
  - Specification

- **Parameter optimization for QCML Electro Optical System (EOS)**
  - Alternative alloys
  - Scan speed
  - Laser power

---

### Table: Chemical composition and other parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Inconel 600</th>
<th>Inconel 718</th>
<th>Incoloy 800</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemical composition (%)</td>
<td>Ni, 14-17 Cr, 6-10 Fe, 0.15 max C, 1 max Mn, 0.015 Si, 0.50 S, 0.50 Cu</td>
<td>Ni, 17-21 Cr, 11-22,5 Fe, 0.08 max C, 0.35 Mn, 0.015 S, 0.35 Si, 0.3 Cu, 0.2-0.5 Al, 0.65-1.15 Ti, 0.015 P, 1 Co, 4.75-5.5 Nb, 0.006 B, 2.8-3.3 Mo</td>
<td>Ni, 19-23 Cr, 39.5 min Fe, 0.1 max C, 0.8 max Mn, 0.008 max Si, 0.4 Cu, 0.15-0.60 Al, 0.15-0.60 Ti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melting point (°F)</td>
<td>2470-2575°F</td>
<td>2300-2435°F</td>
<td>2471-2525°F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (g/cm³)</td>
<td>8.47</td>
<td>8.19</td>
<td>7.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal structure*</td>
<td>FCC</td>
<td>FCC</td>
<td>BCC or FCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process parameter study conditions: Scan Speed (mm/s) In increments of 100</td>
<td>800-1400</td>
<td>800-1400</td>
<td>800-1400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (g/cm³) data range results:</td>
<td>8.29-8.39</td>
<td>8.11-8.20</td>
<td>7.80-7.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test coupon build conditions:</td>
<td>195 W, 1100 mm/s</td>
<td>195W, 1200mm/s</td>
<td>195W, 1200mm/s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Inconel 600 Results

Microstructure Inspection

Etched Inconel 600 non heat treated vs. heat treated

Mechanical Performance

Inconel 600 horizontal, vertical and 45° specimen mechanical testing

The directionality of manufacture has impact on the grain structure and the maximum tensile strength
XRD data on Inconel 600 AM samples

• XRD data shows the differences in peak ratios between the horizontally and vertically built specimens

• Data supports directional solidification texturing seen in the micrographs
Summary of Sample Fabrication and Characterization

- Mechanical testing of AM samples shows directional dependence
- Optical micrographs show the laser solidification patterns for both planes
- Fracture surface analysis showed ductile cup-and-cone fracture
- Tensile and hardness properties comparable to bar stock
- XRD data supports observation of preferential grain growth
- Microstructure control possible by varying process parameters

316L SS and Inconel alloys demonstrated bar stock performance w/ potential for designing to preferential directionality
Experimental Alloy – ODS 316L-SS

- Introduction of stable nanoscale phases of carbides, nitrides and oxides is method of obtaining high-temp strength
- Oxide Dispersed Strengthened (ODS) steels to examine effect of direct manufacturing methods on nanoscale oxide domains
- ODS powders not readily available
- Three methods explored to make the ODS steel powders:
  - Spray drying technique – Flurry Powders
  - Gas atomization reaction synthesis – Ames Laboratory (Anderson)
  - Mechanical Ball milling

- LENS Beam Dep Process
- Spray Drying Formulation (Flurry)
- Gas Atomization (Ames)
• Ball milling technique successful in creating ODS powder
• Developed process based on best initial parameters – process not optimized
• Microstructure showed rapid solidification
• Yttria identified in EDS sample data
• Laser melt pools visible
• Hardness data (one sample only) correlates to the hardness to 316L SS
• HIP samples – to be tested and examined
Demonstration Approach/Builds

- Defined reactor component
- Developed notional design based on literature
- Explored collaborations to obtain actual CAD drawing
- Rapid prototyping
- Fabrication based on material process development
- Dimensional study

Initial 3D CAD Concept

Actual 8x8 Spacer Grid

Prototype

10x10 Grid Demo

15x15 Grid In-process

15x15 Thin wall Demo

Wall Thickness Study
Case Study – AM Part Fabrication

- A simple 10x10 spacer grid design was developed w/ integral springs and rod positioning dimples
  - 5.19in x 5.19in x 1.75in
- Grid was fabricated out of Inconel 600 using a EOSINT M270 powder bed fusion tool at QCML in Rock Island, IL
Major Cost Elements by Fabrication Method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost Element</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Traditional Manufacture</th>
<th>Cost Estimate</th>
<th>Additive Manufacture</th>
<th>Cost Estimate</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Design and Analysis</td>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>Grid design, FE modeling for analysis, assembly fixture design, programming/teaching laser welding robot</td>
<td>(# hrs) x ($_/hr labor rate)</td>
<td>Grid design, FE modeling for analysis, preparing part file for AM tool (scale for CTE shrink, add supports)</td>
<td>(# hrs) x ($_/hr labor rate)</td>
<td>Design is optimized for each fabrication method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw Materials</td>
<td>Materials</td>
<td>Inconel bar/plate stock</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>Inconel alloy powder</td>
<td>(part vol x (density) x ($_/l b metal powder))</td>
<td>No pre machining for AM part</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre Machining</td>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>Initial machining of subcomponents prior to welding into grid assembly</td>
<td>(# hrs) x ($_/hr labor rate)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Traditional method is skilled labor intensive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set-Up</td>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>Set-up or assembly of subpieces into grid using fixtures</td>
<td>(# hrs) x ($_/hr labor rate)</td>
<td>Prepare AM tool (set-up platen, load powder, purge, etc.)</td>
<td>(# hrs) x ($_/hr labor rate)</td>
<td>Data available for AM from QCML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardware Run</td>
<td>Capital, Facilities, Labor</td>
<td>Laser welding system cost, power usage, purge gas usage, other consumables cost, maint/service contract, etc.</td>
<td>(# hrs run time) x ($_/hr rate)</td>
<td>AM system cost, power usage, purge gas usage, other consumables cost, maint/service contract, etc.</td>
<td>(# hrs) x ($_/hr rate)</td>
<td>Has a low volume fabrication estimate of 10 x 10 Inconel grid ~ $6300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Processing</td>
<td>Capital, Facilities, Labor</td>
<td>Post weld heat treat for stress relaxation</td>
<td>(# hrs) x ($_/hr rate)</td>
<td>HIP and/or heat treat</td>
<td>(# hrs) x ($_/hr rate)</td>
<td>Fabrication time on the order of days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Machining</td>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Post machine to remove from platen and clean-up critical locations as needed.</td>
<td>(# hrs) x ($_/hr labor rate)</td>
<td>Would constitute ~ 40 to 50% of total refueling fabrication costs at this price</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality Check</td>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>Post fabrication qualification of part (dimensional accuracy check)</td>
<td>(# hrs) x ($_/hr labor rate)</td>
<td>Post fabrication qualification of part (dimensional accuracy check)</td>
<td>(# hrs) x ($_/hr labor rate)</td>
<td>Value comes in schedule savings, strategic build capabilities and enabling of new designs and improved performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrap Loss</td>
<td>Materials</td>
<td>Scrap loss</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>Scrap loss</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>Assume same for both</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Manufacturing Study Summary

• Manufacturing
  – Fabrication cost elements
  – Direct comparisons are challenging
  – Analysis suggests cost savings may not be readily attainable except for specific cases
  – Strategic value as driver for additive manufacturing

• Path Forward
  – Develop a more comprehensive understanding of the component design and parts
  – Identify areas where additive manufacturing enables new capabilities and designs
    • Obsolete parts
    • New designs not attainable through traditional manufacturing
    • Enabled performance (e.g., ODS SS)
  – Develop mature cost capture models and business cases
Path Forward

- Continued development on alloys
  - Design impact of directional performance
  - Powder formulation
  - ODS process development
- Radiation testing
  - Nominal alloys
  - Novel nano-tailored alloys
- Business case development

- NEET Sample Testing w/ Texas A&M
  - Approval from DOE to use samples for testing
  - Low dpa in-core testing and high dpa accelerator testing of X/Y/45° build directions
Summary

- Completed manufacturing demonstrations of notional fuel bundle spacer grid
- Demonstrated design flexibility (size and thickness) and shortened design-to-manufacturing cycles
- Demonstrated directionally dependent structure variation and performance via LDM for enhanced rad tolerant components – Inconel and ODS alloys
- Investigated cost and schedule benefits of spacer grid manufacturing cycle

Lockheed Martin would like to thank the Department of Energy, Office of Nuclear Energy for their support under Award Number DE-NE0000542
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DOE Advanced Methods of Manufacturing Workshop
September 29, 2015
Innovative Manufacturing Process for Nuclear Power Plant Components via PM-HIP

Objective: Conduct design, manufacturing, and validation studies to assess PM-HIP as a method to produce both large, near-net shaped components for nuclear applications across 3 families of alloys:

1. low alloy steels
2. austenitic stainless steels
3. nickel-based alloys
Three Years Ago at Start of DOE Project…

- No Experience in Power Industry with PM-HIP
- Good industry experience in Aerospace, Aircraft, and Off-Shore Oil & Gas:
  - However, Power Industry had/has a lot to learn….
- Began work on 316L SS and Grade 91 (toward Code Acceptance)
Since 2012….

- Three ASME Code Cases—316L SS and Grade 91
- Developed Detailed EPRI Roadmaps for PM-HIP
- Developed New Co-free Hardfacing Alloy--NitroMaxx
- Initiated R&D aimed at Eliminating DMWs—Phase 2
- Began research/Code acceptance to recognize several other alloys:
  - 304L, 625, 690, 718, and SA508
  - ASTM and ASME
  - Aimed at SMRs and ALWRs
- Crack growth and SCC testing to support NRC recognition of 316L SS
Since 2012….

- Research at NSUF (ATR) on radiation embrittlement for multiple PM-HIP alloys—starts in 2016
- Valve and hardfacing project with EDF and Velan (2016)
- ORNL/EPRI project on “Can Fabrication”
- Continue to strive to meet Goals established by AMM Roadmap targeting Heavy Section Manufacturing
Powder Metallurgy Methods for Large Nuclear & Fossil Components

- Project Objectives
- Why Consider Powder Metallurgy for Large or Intricate Nuclear Components?
- Optimize an Alloy for Nuclear Performance
- Review 7 Project Tasks & Descriptions
  - Highlight 2 Components Manufactured
- Defining Success
- EPRI Roadmap on PM-HIP
- The Bigger Picture...
Why Consider Powder Metallurgy-HIP to Produce Pressure Components?

- Industry leadership in the manufacture of large NPP components (Gen III & SMRs) – eg., RPVs, SG, valves, pumps, turbine rotors
- Transformational technology – Moves from forging and rolled & welded technologies to powder met/HIP
- Enables manufacture of large, complex “Near-Net Shape” components
- Excellent Inspection characteristics
- Eliminates casting quality issues
- Alternate supply route for long-lead time components

P/M-HIP Valve
Optimize An Alloy for Nuclear Performance

**Valve/Pump Housing/Flange**
- Tensile/Yield Strength
- Adequate Ductility & Toughness
- Weldability (optional)
- Corrosion Performance

**RPV Internals**
- Tensile/Yield Strength
- High Ductility & Toughness
- Weldability
- Corrosion Performance
- Fatigue Resistance
- Radiation Resistance
- Good Inspection Characteristics

- Near-Net Shape Capabilities
- Alternate Supply Route for Long-Lead Time Components
Powder Metallurgy-Hot Isostatic Processing

Powder Making

- Atomisation
- Sieve
- Blend

HIP Capsule Fabrication

- Cut and Shape Sheet Metal
- Weld
- Leak Test

- Capsule Fill
- Bake Out
- Seal
- HIP

(courtesy of Carpenter Technology)

Courtesy of Steve Mashl, Z-Met Corporation
DOE Project Tasks

1. Modeling of NNS Component Alloy & Mold/Can Design
2. Test Coupon Development, Demonstration, & Screening for Surfacing Applications
3. Low Alloy Steel PM/HIP Component Development
4. Nickel-based Alloy PM/HIP Component Development
5. Austenitic Stainless Steel PM/HIP Development
6. Mechanical & Metallographic Characterization
7. Corrosion Testing of Test Coupons
Task 5--Austenitic Stainless Steel PM/HIP Development

Lead Organization: GE-Hitachi

Steam Separator Inlet Swirler  
(Austenitic Stainless Steel)

- Manufacture of a complex geometry to demonstrate PM/HIP for 316L SS
- SMR and ALWR applications
- Produce a NNS Inlet Swirl via PM/HIP
  - Evaluate dimensionally, metallurgically, and mechanically
  - Corrosion assessment is Task 7

GEH → Validation of 316L PM capabilities
BWR or ALWR Steam Separator Inlet Swirl
Inlet Swirl
-- 3D Geometry

Vane Insert—one of 8 that fit into the swirler
Inlet Swirl Block—Mechanical Properties

- **Tensile Properties @ RT**
  - UTS = 88.2 ksi (608 MPa)
  - YS = 49.8 ksi (343 MPa)
  - Elongation = 50.3%
  - ROA = 73.3%

- **Toughness** (Charpy Impact)
  - 173 ft-lbs (235 J) avg across 3 directions

- **Hardness**
  - 87.0 RHB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>Fe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CF3M-ASTM A351</td>
<td>0.03 max</td>
<td>1.5 max</td>
<td>0.040 max</td>
<td>0.040 max</td>
<td>1.5 max</td>
<td>17-21.0</td>
<td>9-13.0</td>
<td>2-3.0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Bal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powder</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>17.60</td>
<td>12.30</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.0145</td>
<td>Bal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block--Inlet Swirl</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>17.67</td>
<td>12.34</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Bal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Meets GEH 316L wrought/cast requirements
Sensitization Susceptibility (ASTM A262) -- Acceptable

100x

Direction 1

Direction 2

Direction 3

500x
**Density, Porosity, Inclusions, Grain Size**

- **Porosity** – 99.9%
- **Density** – 7.959 g/cm³
- **Grain Size** – ASTM 7.0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laboratory Number</th>
<th>Type A</th>
<th>Type B</th>
<th>Type C</th>
<th>Type D</th>
<th>Series</th>
<th>Direction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15757-MET1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Thin</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Heavy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15757-MET2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Thin</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Heavy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15757-MET3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Thin</td>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Heavy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Samples were taken at the longitudinal direction and examined at 100x magnification. Method(s): ASTM E45-13

Grain structure and inclusion content exceed GEH SS CRB wrought requirements.
Fatigue Data—316L SS

Measured 316LSS LCF data compared with ASME and NUREG- 5704 data.

NUREG-5704: Effects of LWR Coolant Environments on Fatigue Design Curves of Austenitic Stainless Steels
# Corrosion Testing
--SCC Crack Growth Rates (Preliminary Results)

Preliminary Table of SCC Growth Rates of Wrought and Powder Metallurgy 316L and 600M
288°C Water with 20 ppb Sulfate as H₂SO₄ ~30 MPa√m

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloy</th>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>K, MPa√m</th>
<th>High ECP</th>
<th>Low ECP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As-Received</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrought 316L</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>~40</td>
<td>(≈3 x 10⁻⁸)</td>
<td>(≈2 x 10⁻⁹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM 316L</td>
<td>C720</td>
<td>~40</td>
<td>~1 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>~2 x 10⁻³s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20% Cold Work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrought 316L</td>
<td>C126</td>
<td>~30</td>
<td>~2 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>~2 x 10⁻⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM 316L</td>
<td>C719</td>
<td>~30</td>
<td>~2 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>~1 x 10⁻⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As-Received</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrought 600</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>~35</td>
<td>(≈2 x 10⁻⁶)</td>
<td>(≈1 x 10⁻⁹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM 600M</td>
<td>C735</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5 x 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>2 x 10⁻⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20% Cold Work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrought 600</td>
<td>C129</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>3 x 10⁻⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM 600M</td>
<td>C734</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>1 x 10⁻⁸</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* High ECP is 2 ppm O₂, which is ~150 – 200 mV.  
Low ECP is 63 ppb H₂ which is ~510 mV.
Inlet Swirler Design & Manufacture -- Modeling
Inlet Swirler Design & Manufacture
--Fit up
Inlet Swirler Can Design & Manufacture
Inlet Swirler Manufacture
Task 4--Nickel-based Alloy (600M) PM/HIP Component Development

Lead Organization: GE-Hitachi

Chimney Head Bolt (Ni-based Alloy)

- Using PM/HIP, manufacture NNS bolt from Alloy 600M.
- Normally forged, then welded.
- Perform dimensional, microstructural, and mechanical characterization

Chimney Head Bolt

Note: Mild steel can is still attached.
Chimney Head Test Block—Mechanical Properties

- **Tensile Properties @ RT**
  - UTS = 102.5 ksi (706 MPa)
  - YS = 46.2 ksi (318 MPa)
  - Elongation = 45.7%
  - ROA = 68.2%

- **Toughness** (Charpy Impact)
  - 144 ft-lbs (195 J) ave, 3 directions

- **Hardness**
  - 84.3 (HRB) ave

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Cb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>0.15 max</td>
<td>1.00 max</td>
<td>0.015max</td>
<td>0.50 max</td>
<td>14.0-17.0</td>
<td>72min</td>
<td>0.50 max</td>
<td>6.0-10.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600M</td>
<td>0.05 max</td>
<td>1.00 max</td>
<td>0.015 max</td>
<td>0.50 max</td>
<td>14.0-17.0</td>
<td>72min</td>
<td>0.50 max</td>
<td>6.0-10.0</td>
<td>1.0-3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block – C Head Bolt</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>15.96</td>
<td>Bal</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>8.73</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Density, Porosity, Inclusions, Grain Size

- Porosity – 99.7%
- Density – 8.469 g/cm³
- Grain Size – ASTM 8.5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab Number</th>
<th>Type A</th>
<th>Type B</th>
<th>Type C</th>
<th>Type D</th>
<th>Series</th>
<th>Direction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5977-MET1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Thin</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Heavy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5977-MET2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Thin</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Heavy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5977-MET3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Thin</td>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Heavy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Samples were taken at the longitudinal direction and examined at 100x magnification
Method(s): ASTM E45-13
Defining Success….

Success in this project is defined as:

1. Manufacture of 4 large components from low alloy steel, stainless steel, and a Ni-based alloy (3 different alloy families)
   - Nozzle, curved RPV section, steam separator inlet swirl, chimney held bolt.
   - Establish design criteria, shrinkage & NNS quality
2. Generate excellent mechanical properties, along with good product chemistry & uniform grain size
3. Application of wear resistant surfacing material to a substrate alloy
4. Corrosion performance comparable to forgings
Technology Gaps/Applications Covered by PM-HIP Roadmap (1)

- Recognize ASTM A988 & A989 in ASME Code
- Nickel-based Alloy Specification Additions (ASTM and ASME)
- Recognize Alloys—304L, 625, 690, 718 & Property Data
- Recognize SA508 (RPV steels) in ASME Code
- Components for SMR and ALWR Applications
- Crack Growth and SCC Characterization (SS and Ni-based)
- Irradiation Embrittlement Assessment for Internals
Technology Gaps/Applications Covered by PM-HIP Roadmap (2)

- Hard-facing Materials Development
- Eliminate Dissimilar Metal Welds
- Advanced Valve Manufacturing
- Innovative Manufacturing for Nuclear
- Silicon Carbide Alloys
- Recognize Alloys via Regulatory Guides (NRC)
- Corrosion Resistant Coatings
Summary

PM-HIP for Structural & Pressure Retaining Applications:

– Large, complex, near-net-shape components
– Alternate supply route for long-lead time components
– Improves inspectability
– Eliminates rework or repair in castings
– Hardfacing applications
The Bigger Picture……

Supporting DOE AMM Roadmap toward Heavy Section Manufacturing

Highest Priority Items
1. Develop technical position paper that allows welds in vessels outside the beltline region.
2. Develop/Demonstrate Powder metallurgy – HIP of Plate (Ring Sections)
3. Develop/Demonstrate Nozzle Manufacturing Capabilities
4. Install/Commission large diameter HIP Unit – 3.1 meters
5. Manufacture vessel internals via nickel-based alloys
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Outline

• Overview of Project
• INL Sensor and system development focusing on real-time ultrasonic inspection probe/methods
• EWI real-time Eddy-Current inspection
• Concluding
Enhanced technology for nuclear and industrial fabrication

- Advanced Manufacturing Methods (e.g. hybrid laser welding, spray forming).
- Efficiency through robotics, near real-time diagnostics, and intelligent systems.
- High throughput, minimized energy, and low waste processes.
- Remote capability in hazardous environments.

Building on the legacy of state of the art high temperature process research.
Towards effective real time feedback...

- With High Speed processes along with the potential for high productivity is the danger of high productivity of flawed welds
- Not necessarily detected by welder or system prior to post weld examination—possibly at an entirely different facility (i.e. radiography cave)
- Base goal: do in place evaluation of weldment in welding fixture
- Next goal: provide real time feedback is the ability to detect a flawed weld and shut it down to minimize the extent waste or repairs
- Ultimate goal: have a knowledge base so signature of a flaw or precursor to a flaw can be remedied without a start and stop
- Sensors tailored to producing near instantaneous feedback.
  - Weld electrical signals.
  - Ultrasonic methods
  - Electromagnetic (eddy current)
Choice of Welding Configuration / Lab Setup

• High through put welds Hybrid/Laser
  – Laser and Hybrid laser allow a high speed process.
  – Focused laser leading GMAW.
  – Parameter variations of Laser power source is a convenient feedback input to system
  – Feedback mechanisms to remedy lack of penetration or excessive heat leading to weld pool leaking out.

• Weld Joint and Material for Initial Research
  • Chose 316L – EWI desired non-magnetic material
  • 3/8” thick material
  • Started with V-groove preparation with vertical root face and have moved to a J-groove with vertical root face.
  • Bounded welding parameters with available laser.

• Current limitations
  • 4KW laser limits root face to approximate 1/8”
Joint Configuration
Laser Hybrid Welding Process

- **Advantage**
  - laser’s penetrating power
  - Gas Metal Arc Welding (GMAW) bridges gaps mitigates tight fitup tolerance
  - Greatly increase welding speeds are achieved, but present new Challenges.

- **Challenges**
  - Fast feed rate make real time adjustments by welder more difficult. Automation is more important.
  - NDE can be optimized for inspection immediately after weld – i.e. not requiring moving part to radiography chamber to inspect.
  - Real time assessment and laser tracking correction based on NDE would be big a big plus to productivity.
Heat Profile of Hybrid Laser Process

- Thermal Imaging Camera
- Relatively low temperature to the sides of the weld bead
  - Advantage of Laser/Hybrid
- INL and EWI using surface temperature as a design criteria for probes
- Less exotic coupling methods and wider choice of materials are possible in the design.
UT Phased Array Focal Laws

- Direct focus of transducer laws to the root and root face.
  - Detects a laser miss on the root face even when full penetration can be seen on the bottom surface
- Initial design used a commercial probe with modifications.
Adapted from Tandem (Pitch-catch)
Find mid weld fusion defects
Real-Time Ultrasonics
Post Weld Scan of Weld With Flaws

- Low Fill
- Full Pen
- LOP
- No Laser
- Burn Thru
- Full Pen
- Trans-ition

Indication on transition between weld 55 and 57
UT Probe Design

- Custom probe design
  - Shallow water path for coupling
  - Sound path designed to allow 10mm spacing to weld
  - Design viable for greater root thickness than current 3/8 inch plate
- Real-time testing completed in 2015
- Water cooled copper heat shield designed to protect probe material
Focal Law Design for More Setback
EDM Notches Results

- .02” notch
- .04” notch

Unwelded
Eddy Current (ET) Inspection

Eddy Currents

Induction Coil

AC Magnetic Field

Electrically Conductive Test Piece

Inspections Based on Electromagnetic Properties of the Test Material

Surface/Near Surface Inspection Due to Skin Effect and Limited Projection of Magnetic Field
ET Weld Monitoring

Solidified Weld

EWI Post Weld ET Inspection

ET Weld Pool Monitoring

Weld Preparation
Side Beam Configuration – New Laser

Longer welds for development/demonstration
Sidebeam installed UT probe
Results UT Sensor under test
Real-Time Data Summary – regions of root

Upper
Mid
Lower

Low Power
Laser Off
System Diagram
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Objectives

- Detecting surface and subsurface flaws in first, second and any subsequent layer
- Only cap surface of each layer accessible
- Narrow bead preparation - Limited access
- Cap width may increase significantly for second (and subsequent) layers
- Weld inspection done in one pass
- Sensor follows weld head closely for real- or near-real time monitoring
  - High temperature components
  - Cooling features required

Approach

- Computer optimization modeling
- Material selection and testing
- Optimized design
- Testing on actual weld system
Depth of Penetration (DP) Optimization

- 2D translational symmetry models used
- DP, EC surface extent and EC density investigated vs exciter shape, length and frequencies
  - 2 exciters considered – U-(1) and Plate-shaped (2)
  - Length – 1.5”, 2”, 3” and 4”
  - Frequencies – 0.1 to 50 kHz
  - Plate thickness – 1.25”
  - Plate material – 316L
- Length affected DP for frequencies lower than 2 kHz and DP smaller than 0.365”
- Good DP with reasonable exciter dimension
- U-shape exciter selected
Interaction with Subsurface Planar Flaws. Summary.

- Two receiver elements most promising – parallel (x) and normal (z)
- Surface and slightly subsurface pores larger than 0.06” expected to be detectable
- Planar flaws longer than 0.4” and height larger than 0.04” and 0.08” expected to be detected depending on depth
- Detection of planar flaws with height 1/16” would be in sensor range
Thermal testing conducted. Selected materials performed up to 200°C without any adverse effects.

All wires and insulation rated to 200°C

Sensor designed to work with single receiver element (first pass) and array arrangement (cap pass)

Each receiver element – X and Z field

Air cooling lines available if necessary

Design features built for sensor centering and sliding over surface

Testing conducted without mechanical contact between surface and receiver element
Laboratory Setup

- **Off-the-shelf equipment**
- **Single element**
  - Three frequencies F1-2.25 kHz, F2-4.5 kHz and F3-15.75 kHz
  - 12 processing channels with and without HP and BP filters and 2 orthogonal receivers
- **Array demonstrated at 14 kHz**
First Pass. Surface Flaws.

- Weld with root pass
- EDM notches 10 mm length and height 0.5, 1 and 2 mm at cap
- Long area with subsurface LOF at one specimen end
- Notches 1 and 2 mm detected
- Large area of LOF and root metal drop also detected
- Notch 0.5 mm missed
- Other natural features detected
First Pass Subsurface Flaws.

- Weld with root pass
- EDM notches 10 mm length and height 1 and 2 mm at root
- Long area with surface and subsurface LOF at middle
- Notch 2 mm detected
- Large area of LOF and root metal drop also detected
- Notch 1 mm missed
- Other natural features detected
Array Inspection

- Array demonstrated with subsurface flaw under 1.8 mm thick sheet
- Frequency 14 kHz
Conclusions

- Multipurpose eddy current sensor for weld monitoring designed and integrated
- Laboratory tests indicated very good sensitivity for surface and subsurface implanted and natural features in first weld pass
- Trials will conducted at INL to verify and demonstrate performance during welding on root and cap pass later this year
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Conclusions/Path Forward

• Satisfactory Results Out of Both EWI/INL probes on post weld inspections
  – EWI filed for provisional patent
  – INL evaluating intellectual property

• UT Probe system has undergone evaluation under welding conditions and performed satisfactorily
  – Water coupling work per conceptual design
  – Focal laws design provided expected mechanism to determine depth of laser penetration
  – Auto-Tuning of focal plane during setup would beneficial for more robust detection
Conclusion Path/Forward (more)

• Project extended to November 2015:
  – Support a combined demonstration with EWI with INL laser welding system
  – Provide opportunity for live evaluation of EWI Sensor additional evaluation of INL sensor

• To do list:
  – Submit draft publication
  – Explore commercialization opportunities
Thank you--Questions
Self-Consolidating Concrete Construction for Modular Units
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Objectives and outcomes

- Development of a self-consolidating concrete mixtures so that concrete placement can be made into steel plate composite (SC) modular structures without the need for continuous concrete placement.

*Task 1: Development of SCC with Shear-Friction Capacity for Mass Placement*

- SCC mixtures to ensure sufficient shear capacity across cold- joints (self-roughening), while minimizing shrinkage and temperature increase during curing to enhance concrete bonding with the steel plates.

*Task 1: Development of SCC with Shear-Friction Capacity for Mass Placement*
*Task 2: Assessment of Cold Joint Shear-Friction Capacity*

- SCC mixtures featuring a self-roughening capability to produce adequate shear friction between cold joints and to produce draft provisions addressing shear-friction, for consideration in the AISC N690-12 Appendix N9 code used for the design of SC modular structures.

*Task 3: Assessment of Shear and Flexural Performance*
*Task 4: Validation through Full-Scale Testing and Modeling*
*Task 5: Draft Code Requirement for Shear Friction Design of Cold Joints*
1. Intro
Objectives

- Development of a self-consolidating concrete mixtures so that concrete placement can be made into steel plate composite (SC) modular structures without the need for continuous concrete placement.
1. Intro

Objectives

- Paste
- Coarse Aggregate
- LWA
2. Development of SRC Mix Design Strategies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mix Component</th>
<th>67M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cementitious (lb/yd³)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement Type II</td>
<td>617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fly Ash, Class F</td>
<td>459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Powder</strong></td>
<td>1076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (lb/yd³)</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w/cm</td>
<td>0.319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse Aggregates (lb/yd³)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># 67</td>
<td>981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># 89</td>
<td>305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Coarse</strong></td>
<td>1286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Aggregates (lb/yd³)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural sand</td>
<td>679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufactured sand</td>
<td>679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Fine</strong></td>
<td>1357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Aggregates</strong></td>
<td>2796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admixtures (fl oz./cwt)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRWR</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOT</td>
<td>4063</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Development of SRC Mix Design Strategies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mix Component</th>
<th>67M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cementitious (lb/yd³)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement Type II</td>
<td>617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fly Ash, Class F</td>
<td>459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Powder</td>
<td>1076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (lb/yd³)</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w/cm</td>
<td>0.319</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coarse Aggregates (lb/yd³)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># 67</td>
<td>981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># 89</td>
<td>305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Coarse</td>
<td>1286</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fine Aggregates (lb/yd³)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural sand</td>
<td>679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufactured sand</td>
<td>679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Fine</td>
<td>1357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Aggregates</td>
<td>2796</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Admixtures (fl oz./cwt)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HRWR</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOT</td>
<td>4063</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Smaller aggregates and controlled gradation curve
- Use of #67 and #89 coarse aggregates
- Substitute 5%, 10% and 15% in volume of coarse aggregate with LWA
2. Development of SRC Mix Design

**Proprieties and tests**

**Fresh SCC proprieties**
- **Flowability**: flows easily at suitable speed into formwork (T20 = 4-5sec; Flow Slump = 24-26”)
- S Groove test (good self-healing ability)
- Hardened Visual Stability Index (VSI = 0)

**Hardened SRC proprieties**
- Compressive strength: 6-7ksi
- Shrinkage: <250 με
2. Development of SRC Mix Design
Measurements of Roughness
2. Development of SRC Mix Design Roughness

ICRI’s CSPs
2. Development of SRC Mix Design
Roughness
2. Development of SRC Mix Design
Measurements of Roughness

ACI 318-11 (11.6.9):
“...when concrete is placed against previously hardened concrete, the interface for shear transfer shall be clean and free of laitance. If $\mu$ is assumed equal to $1.0\lambda$, interface shall be roughened to a full amplitude of approximately $1/4$ in.”
3. Assessment of Cold Joint Shear Friction Capacity

Mechanical tests for shear friction characterization

Laboratory test

3. Assessment of Cold Joint Shear Friction Capacity

Mechanical tests for shear friction characterization

Step 1

Step 2

Step 3

Step 4

Step 5
3. Assessment of Cold Joint Shear Friction Capacity
Mechanical tests for shear friction characterization
3. Assessment of Cold Joint Shear Friction Capacity

Failure modes

- Internal Reinforcement
  \( \rho = 0.75\% \)

- External Steel Plate
  \( \rho = 0.25\% \)
  \( t = 0.031 \text{ in.} \) (22 gage)

- External Steel Plate
  \( \rho = 0.50\% \)
  \( t = 0.063 \text{ in.} \) (16 gage)

- External Steel Plate
  \( \rho = 0.75\% \)
  \( t = 0.094 \text{ in.} \) (13 gage)

- External Steel Strips
  \( \rho = 0.75\% \)
  \( t = 0.375 \text{ in.} \)
3. Assessment of Cold Joint Shear Friction Capacity

Test Results – Internal Reinforcement

![Graph showing load versus slip for CJ1575-1 and SP1550-1](image)

- **CJ1575 - 1**
- **SP1550-1**
3. Assessment of Cold Joint Shear Friction Capacity

Test Results – External Steel Plate

![Graph showing load (kips) vs. slip (in.) for CJ1575-1 and SP1550-1 for cold joint shear friction capacity tests.](image-url)
3. Assessment of Cold Joint Shear Friction Capacity

Test Results – Comparison among sets
(a) Non-linear finite element model in LS-DYNA explicit. This initial model approximate the geometry of specimen SP 15 50-1 but with fewer Nelson studs.
(b) Initial loading. Constant shear in the panel zone. In-plane shear stresses shown (all stresses in Pa).
(d) Onset of buckling. Panel zone shear dramatically reduced. Principle tensile stresses align with buckling of plate steel. Buckling is elastic, that is, steel plate does not yield before the bucking initiates. Model also predicts the lifting of the edge of the steel plate.
(e) Buckling progresses. Steel plate begins to yield in the vicinity of two studs (see red on stress contour). Buckling distortion as the plate pulls away from the concrete visible.
Development of a Self-Roughening (SR) Concrete

Tuesday, SEPTEMBER 29, 2015 – Arlington, VA

0.25% Steel Plate (Experimental)

0.25% Steel Plate (Simulation)
4. Assessment of Shear and Flexural Performances

Specimens preparation
Task 3
A. Control - No cold joint 1 in-plane and 1 out-of-plane

B. Out-of-Plane

C. In-Plane
Development of a Self-Roughening (SR) Concrete
Development of a Self-Roughening (SR) Concrete
5. Validation through Full-scale Test and Modeling Model
6. Conclusions and Outlooks
And future developments

1. Task 2 test results demonstrate the ability of SC construction to transfer in-plane forces across the cold-joint boundaries.
2. Results show that SC construction is more ductile than conventional internally-reinforced concrete.
3. The test results do not conclusively demonstrate the relationship between LWA percentage and cold-joint shear capacity.
4. Non-linear FEA models are promising and may be used for parametric studies of joint behavior – but further calibration is needed.
5. Task 3 specimens will validate in-plane shear behavior and provide better guidance on the out-of-plane behavior of cold-joint behavior in SCC.
6. The Task 4 specimen will be a tremendous challenge and we are working closely with Westinghouse to procure the test article from CBI in a cost-effective and timely manner.
### Task 1. Developed SCC Mixes
- Rheology of SCC Mixes
- Shear Friction Evaluation Across SCC Roughened Cold Joins

### Task 3. Measurement of Cold-Joint Effects in Flexure and Shear

### Task 4. Upscaling: Experimental assessments of shear friction, pressure, shrinkage/delamination, and strength

### Task 5. Model Development

### Task 5. Shear Friction Provisions
“This material is based upon work supported by the Department of Energy [DE-NE0000667 NEET]”
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Thank you. Questions?
Development of a Self-Roughening (SR) Concrete
Advanced Onsite Fabrication of Continuous Large-Scale Structures

Corrie I. Nichol, Ph.D.

AMM Workshop
29 Sept., 2015
Concept Overview

• Cross between 3-D printer and Concrete Slip-Forming

• Structure built on-site from small format raw materials

• Form moves up as vessel is formed

• Material is fully densified by roller follower
Potential Benefits

- Potential multi-material composite construction, multi stress-state end product.
  - Corrosion resistant cladding, high strength steel alloy interior.
  - Residual compressive stresses to reduce corrosion cracking.
- Material transported to site in small form factor. (No component size site limitations.)
  - Site access to large navigable water-ways for component transport not required.
- Welds largely eliminated.
  - Residual weld stresses/weld flaws eliminated.
  - Weld inspection burden reduced.
- Domestic large vessel fabrication.
  - Ultra-heavy forging companies are no-longer in the U.S.
Participants and Relevant Capabilities

- Dr. Corrie Nichol, INL - Robotics
- Timothy McJunkin, INL - NDE
- Dr. Alan McLelland, NAMRC (UK) Large Scale RP

- Supporting rapid prototyping processes:
  - Arc-based additive manufacturing process
  - Friction stir additive manufacturing
Project Proof-of-Concept Tasks

• Additive manufacturing processes and specific energy for material deposition.

• Development of robotic spray deposition device.
  – Deposition process control
  – Deposition on heated form
  – Post-deposition deformation and residual stress

• NDE for inspection of deposited materials during/after deposition
  – Elevated temperature environment

• Process modeling for energy consumption, force required for densification step, etc.
Relevance and Outcomes/Impacts

• Fabrication of large-scale structures in new locations.
  – SMR
  – Chemical Processing

• Domestic fabrication of large-scale structures.

• Novel fabrication techniques and material composites for improved vessel performance.

• Advance the state-of-the-art of large-scale advanced manufacturing.
Metal Deposition System

Roller/Densifier Follower.
Inert atmosphere maintained inside.
Break-away view to show internals.

Form moves up as vessel is formed.
New material deposition zone.
Heated form onto which material is deposited.
2015 DOE-NEET: Environmental Cracking and Irradiation Resistant Stainless Steel by Additive Manufacturing (AM)

Xiaoyuan Lou (loux@ge.com)
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This material was prepared with the internal support from General Electric Company.
Additive Manufacturing for Nuclear Overview
Additive Manufacturing (3D Printing)

Direct Material Laser Melting (DMLM)

Post Processing (HIP, Heat Treat, Surface Finishing, Machining, etc.)
Value of Additive/3D Manufacturing for Nuclear

**Speed of Delivery:** Fast turnaround time
- Quick response to emergent needs and custom designs during outage interval
- Rapid prototyping
- Short design-to-commercialization period

**Design for Performance:** Fewer manufacturing limitations allow new designs for next generation reactor
- Design-driven manufacturing as opposed to manufacturing-constrained design
- Complex/expensive parts including hardfacing

**Equivalent or Better Wrought Properties:**
Eliminating welding in a complex structure

**Enhanced chemistry control:**
Powder atomization → Low Cobalt
Current Technical Gaps

**High cost and high/unknown risk:**
At this time, additively manufactured components generally have much higher manufacturing cost and higher or unknown risk in the reactor environment.

**No nuclear specified research on AM materials/processes:**
Existing AM processes for most common materials, including stainless steel and Inconel alloys, have not been developed for nuclear needs.
- Stress corrosion cracking (SCC)
- Corrosion fatigue (CF)
- Irradiation resistance

**Lack of specification/qualification**
Need to address processing and material variability prior to codifying the material for nuclear use.
Goals of this Program – Addressing the Gaps

**Lowering the overall component life cost:**
Understanding and utilizing the non-equilibrium microstructure by laser process to improve the nuclear specified material properties
- Eliminating post treatment cost from HIP
- Replacing high performance alloys and welding/cladding operations
- Improving service life and reduce asset management costs.

**Evaluating nuclear specified properties:**
In addition to common mechanical properties, the program will evaluate the following properties for AM 316L stainless steel under various post heat treatments:
- Stress corrosion cracking (SCC)
- Corrosion fatigue (CF)
- Irradiation resistance

**Developing nuclear specification for AM materials**
- Understanding process variability in terms of nuclear properties
- Contributing to the development of nuclear specification for AM
Technical Concepts
Non-equilibrium Microstructure by Laser Process

Direct metal laser melting process:
1. high local temperature
2. extremely fast cooling rate

- ultrafine nanostructure
- minimum elemental segregation
- supersaturated solution
- non-equilibrium phases
- less diffusion controlled phase transformation

Non-equilibrium structure can produce desirable effects on material’s properties

Non-equilibrium structure

Annealed structure
Non-equilibrium DMLM 316L stainless steel shows higher strength, reasonable ductility and lower stress corrosion crack susceptibility in high temperature water.

Mechanical properties are very close to Nitronic 50 alloy.
For conventional austenitic stainless steel, SCC susceptibility generally increases with strength/cold work.

The SCC behavior of non-equilibrium DMLM 316L vs. annealed DMLM 316L stainless steel is contradictory to the conventional theory, which is due to its unique microstructure.
Understanding and controlling the nanostructure and ultrafine precipitates in DMLM stainless steel can lead to super irradiation resistant stainless steel.
Teams, Approaches, Deliverables

Understanding and controlling the DMLM non-equilibrium microstructure to improve material’s nuclear performance:

- high strength
- high SCC resistance
- high irradiation tolerance

Program Team

- GE Global Research
  - 40 years of experience in environmental degradation of nuclear materials
  - Industrial leader of advanced manufacturing and material technology
- Oak Ridge National Lab
  - Laser melting process development
- University of Michigan
  - Leading lab for irradiated material research
  - Environmental cracking of irradiated materials
- GE-Hitachi Nuclear
  - New component by DMLM
  - Regulatory and commercialization plan for additive manufacturing

2-Year, $850K Program to Develop Environmental Cracking and Irradiation Resistant Stainless Steel by Additive Manufacturing

Program Objectives:
- Understand and control the non-equilibrium nanostructure during laser additive manufacturing process to develop a SCC and irradiation resistant super 316L stainless steel
- With the improved performance, the technology can save life cycle cost and deployment schedule with improved plant reliability
- Evaluate SCC and irradiation resistance of 316L stainless steel by additive manufacturing
- Develop a plan for regulatory approval and commercialization

Program Deliverables

- A novel concept and technology for additive manufacturing in nuclear application
- Technical database about SCC and irradiation resistance of additively manufactured material
- A plan for regulatory approval and commercialization

Anticipated Benefits of the Proposed Technology

An improved additive manufacturing process for stainless steel nuclear components that
- Rapidly fabricates custom designed parts
- Saves overall life cycle cost and plant management cost
- Improves the reliability of nuclear power plant
GE Global Research’s world class nuclear research facility for materials degradation

- 50+ fully instrumented high temperature water SCC testing systems for crack initiation and growth study
- 14 high temperature electrochemistry systems
- All stages of alloy processing capabilities, from melting to hot/cold working to heat treatment
- State-of-the-art materials characterization facility
# Program Scope

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Activities</th>
<th>GRC</th>
<th>ORNL</th>
<th>UM</th>
<th>GEH</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Task 1</strong> Laser Process Development for Improved Material Properties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>Q2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1 Correlation between laser process, microstructure and properties</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>Q4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Optimize 316L stainless steel with improved nuclear performance</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3 Nuclear component fabrication and evaluation</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Task 2</strong> Microstructure and Mechanical Characterization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1 Microstructure characterization</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2 Tensile property</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3 Fracture resistance</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Task 3</strong> Environmental Degradation Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1 Stress corrosion crack growth</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2 Corrosion fatigue crack growth</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Task 4</strong> Irradiation Resistance Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1 Irradiation effects - microhardness and IASCC susceptibility</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2 Irradiation effects - microstructure characterization</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Task 5</strong> Material Specification for Nuclear, Plan for Regulatory Approval and Commercialization</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Periodic Material-Based Seismic Base Isolators for Small Modular Reactors
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**Research Team**
Y. L. Mo – University of Houston
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Project overview

**Purpose:**
To develop a periodic foundation that can completely obstruct or change the energy pattern of the earthquake before it reaches the structure of small modular reactors (SMR).

**Scopes:**
1. Perform comprehensive, analytical study on periodic foundations.
2. Design a SMR model with periodic foundations.
3. Verify the effectiveness of periodic foundations through shake table tests.
4. Perform finite element simulation of SMR supported by periodic foundations.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oct-Dec</td>
<td>Jan-Mar</td>
<td>Apr-Jun</td>
<td>Jul-Sep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Review of previous work and literature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Theoretical study on periodic foundations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Design of 3D periodic foundation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Experimental study of periodic foundations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Experimental data analysis and numerical simulation of periodic foundations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Preparation of final report</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Wave propagation in phononic crystal

Phononic crystal is a novel composite developed in solid-state-physics.

Typical dispersion curve [1]

Wave Propagation [2]

Wave propagation with frequency within the frequency band gap

Wave propagation with frequency outside of the frequency band gap

Calculating dispersion curve

Governing equation of motion for a continuum body with isotropic elastic material

\[
\rho(r) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = \nabla \left\{ [\lambda(r) + 2\mu(r)](\nabla \cdot u) \right\} - \nabla \times [\mu(r)\nabla \times u] \tag{Eq. 1}
\]

Where:
- \( r \) is coordinate vector
- \( u(r) \) is displacement vector
- \( \rho(r) \) is the density
- \( \lambda(r) \) and \( \mu(r) \) are the Lamé constant

Periodic boundary condition equation:

\[
u(r + a, t) = e^{iK \cdot a} u(r, t) \tag{Eq. 2}
\]

Where:
- \( K \) is the wave vector
- \( a \) is unit cell size
Calculating dispersion curve

Applying the periodic boundary condition (Eq.2) to the governing equation, (Eq.1), the wave equation can be transferred into eigen value problem as follow:

\[
\left( \Omega(K) - \omega^2 M \right) \cdot u = 0 \\
\text{Eq.3}
\]

Where:
- \( \Omega \) is the stiffness matrix
- \( M \) is the mass matrix

For each wave vector (\( K \)) a series of corresponding frequencies (\( \omega \)) can be obtained.
Calculating dispersion curve

Eigen value problem:

\[(\Omega(K) - \omega^2 M)u = 0\]

Infinite number of unit cells condition

Typical two-component 3D periodic foundation

Typical dispersion curve
Application of phononic crystal

In civil engineering field

1D Periodic foundation

2D Periodic foundation

3D Periodic foundation

Experimental study on periodic foundation

1D Periodic foundation

2D Periodic foundation

3D Periodic foundation

One unit cell of 1D periodic foundation

\[ h_r = 0.2 \text{ m} \]
\[ h_c = 0.2 \text{ m} \]

Fix material properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Young’s Modulus (Pa)</th>
<th>Density (kg/m(^3))</th>
<th>Poisson’s Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concrete</td>
<td>(3.14 \times 10^{10})</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubber</td>
<td>(5.8 \times 10^5)</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>0.463</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dispersion curve for infinite number of unit cells

**Transverse wave (S-Wave)**
- Frequency band gap

**Longitudinal wave (P-Wave)**
- Frequency band gap
Parametric study of 1D periodic foundations

Effect of rubber material properties on the first frequency band gap

Transverse wave (S-Wave)

Longitudinal wave (P-Wave)
Parametric study of 1D periodic foundations

Effect of concrete material properties on the first frequency band gap

**Transverse wave (S-Wave)**
- Young's modulus of concrete ($x10^{10}$ Pa)
- Frequency (Hz)

**Longitudinal wave (P-Wave)**
- Young's modulus of concrete ($x10^{10}$ Pa)
- Frequency (Hz)
Parametric study of 1D periodic foundations

Effect of geometric properties on the first frequency band gap

- **Transverse wave (S-Wave)**
  - Frequency vs. Rubber to concrete thickness ratio
  - Frequency vs. Unit cell thickness

- **Longitudinal wave (P-Wave)**
  - Frequency vs. Rubber to concrete thickness ratio
  - Frequency vs. Unit cell thickness
Parametric study of 1D periodic foundations

Effect of number of unit cells

1 unit cell = 0.4 m

2 unit cells = 0.8 m

3 unit cells = 1.2 m

FRF = 20\log(\frac{\delta_{\text{out}}}{\delta_{\text{inp}}})
Parametric study of 1D periodic foundations

Effect of combined unit cells

Unit cell-1

Unit cell-2

Unit cell-3

Transverse wave (S-Wave)

Frequency (Hz)

FRF (db)

Theoretical band gap of unit cell-1
FRF of unit cell-1

Transverse wave (S-Wave)

Frequency (Hz)

FRF (db)

Theoretical band gap of unit cell-2
FRF of unit cell-2

Transverse wave (S-Wave)

Frequency (Hz)

FRF (db)

Theoretical band gap of unit cell-3
FRF of unit cell-3
Effect of superstructure

Effect of superstructure

\[ f_{\text{superstructure}} = 10 \text{ Hz} \]

Equivalent model

\[
\begin{align*}
E_s, \nu_s, \rho^*_s \\
E_c, \nu_c, \rho_c \\
E_r, \nu_r, \rho_r
\end{align*}
\]

Where:

\[
\rho^*_s = \frac{W_{\text{superstructure}}}{a \times b \times h^*_s}
\]

Transverse wave (S-Wave)

Frequency band gap

Frequency band gap

Frequency band gap
Effect of damping

\[ \omega_d(K) = \omega(K)\sqrt{1 - \zeta(K)^2} \]  

Design guidelines of 1D periodic foundations

One unit cell of 1D periodic foundations

Fix geometric properties

Rubber

Concrete

$h_r = 0.2 \text{ m}$

$h_c = 0.2 \text{ m}$

Unit cell size = 1
Rubber to concrete thickness ratio = 1

Fix material properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Young’s Modulus (Pa)</th>
<th>Density (kg/m$^3$)</th>
<th>Poisson’s Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concrete</td>
<td>$3.14 \times 10^{10}$</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubber</td>
<td>$5.8 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>0.463</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dispersion curve for infinite number of unit cells

Transverse wave (S-Wave)

Starting of 1$^{st}$ frequency band gap = 13.51 Hz
Width of 1$^{st}$ frequency band gap = 17.36 Hz
Design guidelines of 1D periodic foundations

Perform regression on each contributing factor

Normalized by the starting of frequency band gap from fixed property

Function of Young’s modulus of rubber

\[ F_1(E_r) = \frac{0.01769 E_r^{0.5003}}{13.51} = 1.3094 \times 10^{-3} E_r^{0.5003} \]

Function of density of concrete

\[ F_4(\rho_c) = \frac{201.8 \rho_c^{-0.03885} - 135.8}{13.51} = 14.937 \rho_c^{-0.03885} - 10.0518 \]
## Design guidelines of 1D periodic foundations

### S-Wave design parameter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Young’s modulus of rubber ($E_r$)</td>
<td>$F_1(E_r) = 1.3094 \times 10^{-3} E_r^{0.5003}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of rubber ($\rho_r$)</td>
<td>$F_2(\rho_r) = \left(2.814 \rho_r + 1.627 \times 10^5\right)/\left(13.51 \rho_r + 13.6451 \times 10^4\right)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson’s ratio of rubber ($\nu_r$)</td>
<td>$F_3(\nu_r) = -0.4139 \nu_r^{0.6263} + 1.2561$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of concrete ($\rho_c$)</td>
<td>$F_4(\rho_c) = 14.937 \rho_c^{-0.03885} - 10.0518$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell size (S)</td>
<td>$F_5(S) = 0.4 / S$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubber to concrete thickness ratio ($r$)</td>
<td>$F_6(r) = 0.6403e^{-2.878r} + 0.9489e^{0.01594r}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Starting of frequency band gap = $13.51F_1(E_r)F_2(\rho_r)F_3(\nu_r)F_4(\rho_c)F_5(S)F_6(r)$
Design guidelines of 1D periodic foundations

S-Wave design parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Young’s modulus of rubber ($E_r$)</td>
<td>$G_1(E_r) = 1.3185 \times 10^{-3} E_r^{0.4996}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of rubber ($\rho_r$)</td>
<td>$G_2(\rho_r) = 98.0991 \rho_r^{-0.5964} - 0.3632$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson’s ratio of rubber ($\nu_r$)</td>
<td>$G_3(\nu_r) = -0.4112 \nu_r^{0.6325} + 1.2523$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of concrete ($\rho_c$)</td>
<td>$G_4(\rho_c) = -11.6244 \rho_c^{-0.03885} + 9.6025$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell size (S)</td>
<td>$G_5(S) = 0.4 / S$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubber to concrete thickness ratio (r)</td>
<td>$G_6(r) = r^{-0.8319}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Width of frequency band gap = $17.36G_1(E_r)G_2(\rho_r)G_3(\nu_r)G_4(\rho_c)G_5(S)G_6(r)$
3D Periodic Foundations

Types of unit cell in 3D periodic foundation

Two components

Three components
3D Periodic Foundations

One unit cell of two-component 3D periodic foundation

Fix geometric properties

Unit cell size = 1 m
Core size = 0.9 m
Filling ratio = 0.729

Fix material properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Young’s Modulus (Pa)</th>
<th>Density (kg/m$^3$)</th>
<th>Poisson’s Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Core</td>
<td>$4 \times 10^{10}$</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coating</td>
<td>$1.586 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>1277</td>
<td>0.463</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

First irreducible Brillouin zone

Dispersion curve for infinite number of unit cells

Directional frequency band gap
Effect of material properties on the first directional frequency band gap

1. **Γ-X direction**
   - Young's modulus of coating (x10^6 Pa)
   - Frequency (Hz)
   - Starting of frequency band gap
   - Width of frequency band gap

2. **Γ-X direction**
   - Density of coating (kg/m^3)
   - Frequency (Hz)
   - Starting of frequency band gap
   - Width of frequency band gap

3. **Γ-X direction**
   - Poisson’s ratio of coating
   - Frequency (Hz)
   - Starting of frequency band gap
   - Width of frequency band gap

4. **Γ-X direction**
   - Young's modulus of core (x10^11 Pa)
   - Frequency (Hz)
   - Starting of frequency band gap
   - Width of frequency band gap

5. **Γ-X direction**
   - Density of core (kg/m^3)
   - Frequency (Hz)
   - Starting of frequency band gap
   - Width of frequency band gap

6. **Γ-X direction**
   - Poisson’s ratio of core
   - Frequency (Hz)
   - Starting of frequency band gap
   - Width of frequency band gap
Effect of geometric properties on the first directional frequency band gap
Parametric study of 3D periodic foundation

Effect of number of layer in vertical direction

(a) One layer  (b) Two layers  (c) Three layers

Transverse wave (S-Wave)

Longitudinal wave (P-Wave)
Parametric study of 3D periodic foundations

Effect of suppressed unit cell

(a) Cubic unit cell

(b) Rectangular unit cell

Transverse wave (S-Wave)

Longitudinal wave (P-Wave)
Parametric study of 3D periodic foundations

Effect of damping

\[ \omega_d(K) = \omega(K) \sqrt{1 - \zeta(K)^2} \]  \[1\]

Design guidelines of 3D periodic foundations

One unit cell of two components 3D periodic foundation

Fix geometric properties

Unit cell size = 1 m
Core size = 0.9 m
Filling ratio = 0.729

Dispersion curve for infinite number of unit cells

Starting of 1st frequency band gap = 18.46 Hz
Width of 1st frequency band gap = 8.9 Hz

Fix material properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Young’s Modulus (Pa)</th>
<th>Density (kg/m³)</th>
<th>Poisson’s Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Core</td>
<td>$4 \times 10^{10}$</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coating</td>
<td>$1.586 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>1277</td>
<td>0.463</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Design guidelines of 3D periodic foundations

Perform regression on each contributing factor

\[ R^2 = 1 \]

\[ R^2 = 9995 \]

Normalized by the starting of frequency band gap from fixed property

Function of Young’s modulus of rubber

\[ J_1(E_r) = \frac{0.04649 E_r^{0.4995}}{18.46} = 2.5184 \times 10^{-3} E_r^{0.4995} \]

Function of filling ratio

\[ J_6(f_r) = \frac{26.36 f_r^{4.271} + 11.74}{18.46} = 1.428 f_r^{4.271} + 0.636 \]
Design guidelines of 3D periodic foundations

Design parameter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Young’s modulus of rubber ($E_r$)</td>
<td>$J_1(E_r) = 2.5184 \times 10^{-3} E_r^{0.4995}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of rubber ($\rho_r$)</td>
<td>$J_2(\rho_r) = 0.9 + 0.1793 \cos(0.000187 \rho_r) - 0.3282 \sin(0.000187 \rho_r)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson’s ratio of rubber ($\nu_r$)</td>
<td>$J_3(\nu_r) = 0.688e^{-0.3911\nu_r} + 9.6479 \times 10^{-7} e^{28.14\nu_r}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of concrete ($\rho_c$)</td>
<td>$J_4(\rho_c) = 0.9832e^{-0.0004377\rho_c} + 0.7053e^{-3.557 \times 10^{-5}\rho_c}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell size (S)</td>
<td>$J_5(S) = 1/S$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filling ratio ($f_r$)</td>
<td>$J_6(f_r) = 1.428 f_r^{4.271} + 0.636$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Starting of directional frequency band gap = $18.46J_1(E_r)J_2(\rho_r)J_3(\nu_r)J_4(\rho_c)J_5(S)J_6(f_r)$
## Design guidelines of 3D periodic foundations

### Design parameter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Young’s modulus of rubber ($E_r$)</td>
<td>$K_1(E_r) = 2.51 \times 10^{-3} E_r^{0.5001}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of rubber ($\rho_r$)</td>
<td>$K_2(\rho_r) = \left(0.0003842 \rho_r^2 - 5.454 \rho_r + 19290\right)/(8.9 \rho_r + 1661.63)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson’s ratio of rubber ($\nu_r$)</td>
<td>$K_3(\nu_r) = -8488.764 \nu_r^{11.76} + 1.9472$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of concrete ($\rho_c$)</td>
<td>$K_4(\rho_c) = 1.7506e^{1.512 \times 10^{-5} \rho_c} - 2.1157e^{-0.0004053 \rho_c}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell size (S)</td>
<td>$K_5(S) = 1/S$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filling ratio ($f_r$)</td>
<td>$K_6(f_r) = 10.064 f_r^{7.252}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The **width** of directional frequency band gap is:

$$8.9K_1(E_r)K_2(\rho_r)K_3(\nu_r)K_4(\rho_c)K_5(S)K_6(f_r)$$
NuScale Reactor Building
Finite element model of reactor building

Nuclear reactor building is made of reinforced concrete.

Superimposed dead load:
- Water in the reactor pool = 7 million gallon
- Crane + utilities = 800 ton
- Small modular reactors = 12@800 ton
Finite element model of reactor building

Mode 1 ($f_n = 6.13$ Hz)

Mode 2 ($f_n = 10$ Hz)

Mode 3 ($f_n = 10.75$ Hz)
Conclusions

- Basic theory of periodic foundations have been understood.
- Behavior of 1D and 3D periodic foundations have been critically examined.
- Simplified design guidelines for 1D and 3D periodic foundations have been proposed.
- Simplified drawing of reactor building has been obtained from NuScale Power.
- Project will proceed on schedule.
Thank you.
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TetraVue does high resolution 3D imagery

- Founded in 2008 to make high resolution 3D camcorders a reality
  - Simple instant capture of location of surroundings
  - Patented system technology
  - High resolution, long range, low power

- Technology team has demonstrated core technology
  - Exceptional core team with world-class engineering partners
  - Technology projects to show utility for Mars landers, autonomous helicopters, biometrics, and industrial construction

- Beginning productization to create small, high resolution 3D camera product
True high resolution 3D video is revolutionary

High resolution 3D video changes how machines & humans interact with the world

TetraVue has unique 3D capability in resolution, range, power & speed

6 m range, 12 fps, ~3 mm range resolution, 2 Mpx sensor
Nuclear power plant configuration management requires a new solution

• Modern configuration management requires as-built information
  – Accurate, up-to-date
  – Cost-effective

Existing solutions are too costly & slow.

• Existing approaches (3D laser scanners) require extensive setups and post-processing
  – 1000s of scans per facility
  – Manual registration to plant coordinate system
  – Separate imagery for component ID

• New tablet scanners are limited
  – Short range operation
  – Slow acquisition
  – Poor resolution
  – But less expensive ($5 – 10K + software)

Nuclear facilities have high density of components & tight tolerances

TetraVue’s 3D camera technology promises automate registration without setups
  - Imagery & coordinate information from a single sensor

Megapixels, 30 m range, low power
Phase II will demonstrate the value & utility of acquiring 3D data from a moving platform

- 3D coordinate and image capture from a moving platform.
  - <Improve 2X with 2X FOV in handheld>

- 3D frame registration into a project-based reference frame
  - <Improve accuracy & speed>

- Determine control network density required.
  - <Confirm 100 m between control points>

- Demonstrate integration of data in CAD software for comparison with design
  - <Near real-time integration>

- Demonstrate in test environment relevant to nuclear power plant construction.
  - <End-to-end live demo>

- ID fieldable design requirements.
  - <Update from input from stakeholders>
Phase II objectives will demonstrate practicality of high resolution 3D video for cost-effective configuration management

- 1” accuracy to plant system [ultimate goal is 2-4X better for critical dimensions]
- Eye-safe (class 1M)
- Max Range 20 – 30 m
- Near real-time 3D models of complex structures
- 10 - 45°C operation
- Demonstrate 1 person operation/handling

• Improve camera performance by 3X over Phase I
• Build handheld, single person operation 3D camera prototype
• Show near-real-time, accurate model generation

End-to-end demonstration: incorporate 3D model into common CM software in < 4X the capture time
Pixels matter

Impact of image & range noise (and therefore distance) is much less with higher pixel counts

Simulated depth images

No depth noise 4 cm depth noise

3D rendering of same data

No noise With BLF filter 4 cm noise

140 px x 116 px 700 px x 580 px
### 4 keys for 3D: pixels, range, power, & cost

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>More Pixels (Megapixel)</th>
<th>State-of-the-Art</th>
<th>TetraVue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More Range (10 – 100+ m)</td>
<td>&lt; 5 m</td>
<td>100+ m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Light Power</td>
<td>2,260 W*</td>
<td>0.4 W* (Quantum limited)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low cost</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>&lt; $200 (high vol)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*TFor 1Mpx @ 50 m, 10% reflectivity*
An optical approach to TOF 3D imaging promises makes high resolution 3D imagery possible

**Traditional**

- **Pixel count**
- High bandwidth (GHz) time-sensitive
- Stock CMOS imaging sensor
- 100Ms for ~10 – 40 kpx
- Development cost to date:

**Revolutionary**

- **Optical TOF**
- Medium Bandwidth (<15 MHz) optical
- 2,000,000 pixels
- 20 – 100+ m range
- $3M for 2Mpx
- Known productization steps
- Path to monolithic 3D sensor:

Scaling has been VERY challenging

and many others...

Think different
TetraVue’s 3D Camera Technology uses optics to measured time and distance

- Patented “light slicer” technology
- Extended laser strobe (no blurring/no scanning)
- Simultaneously capture information for all pixels
- Camera-like HD imaging: coordinates & image
- Low latency—“instant” decisions

**IMPACT**
- 10 – 100X more pixels
- 10 – 100X longer ranges
- 25 – 100X less power
- 100X lower development cost
- Scene captured instantly

**Path to low cost 3D sensor**
3D video imagery from nuclear plant

Simultaneous Intensity & range maps at 10 fps

Generation of 3D object frames

TetraVue Prototype 3D camera at site
Automated model creation from 100 frames compares well with prior as-built 3D data

- **DOE Phase II** will demonstrate engineering grade performance
  - <1” accuracy to plant coordinate system over extended area

Registered model within 2” of prior as-built measurements
Phase II 3D camera is designed to allow 1-person operation in congested environments

- Handheld camera + backpack
- > 45 min operation on battery
  - 5 min can cover 6000 m² (90% overlap)
- Sub-cm resolution and accuracy out to 10 m
  - Operational to > 30 m
- Operation like a camcorder
- Optics optimized & miniaturized
- Minimal electronics miniaturization
3D Registration is pursuing 2 parallel paths to achieve project goals

PRELIMINARY RESULTS FROM Phase I
Texture applied to mesh but created registered surface relatively inaccurate

Approach I

Approach II (Dot Product)
Live registration demo
Product will be ubiquitous low-cost, long range, megapixel 3D sensor and cameras

High resolution monolithic sensors can now be realized with TetraVue’s optical TOF technology.
What is missing in the Information Age?

**Design/Virtual**
- Autonomy
- CAD
- Machine Vision
- CG
- Visual f/x
- Augmented Reality
- Virtual Worlds
- Avatars

**Reality/Live**
- Objects
- Scenes
- Live Action
- Equipment
- As built
- People

REALITY MEETS DESIGN
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Presentation outline

- Grand challenges confronting metal based additive manufacturing
- An overview of FSAM & where it fits best
- Seed results: Fabrication of high performance light-weight (Mg & Al based) alloys by FSAM
- Potential Application I: Integrated stringer assemblies on a skin panel fabricated by FSAM for aircraft fuselage
- Potential Application II: FSAM for fossil & nuclear energy applications
- Potential Application III: Functional & gradient materials by FSAM and listing of other potential applications for aerospace & energy industries
- Laser-FSAM hybrid & mini-sample testing capabilities
## Chronological evolution of metal based additive technologies and key challenges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current limitations and challenges (Fourfold)</th>
<th>Scale of production: 1) Build volume 2) Layer thickness</th>
<th>Economic consideration: 1) High production cost 2) Low production rate</th>
<th>Mechanical property: Solidification microstructures leading to property knockdown</th>
<th>Environment &amp; Energy 1) Usage of shielding gas by fusion process 2) High power requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Key issues</td>
<td>Severe overhangs  &gt; Solidification microstructure  &gt; Mechanical properties  &gt; Post processing Time consuming Mechanical properties  &gt; Post processing High production cost Mechanical properties  &gt; Post processing Low build rate  &gt; Foil preparation Low build volume Mechanical properties  &gt; Post processing High operating cost Mechanical properties  &gt; Mechanical property Low build rate  &gt; Surface quality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Build volume (mm³)  &gt; Build rate (mm³/s)</td>
<td>300x300x300  &gt; 60  &gt; 750x400x400  &gt; 2000  &gt; 250x250x250  &gt; 4-16  &gt; 1500x800x800  &gt; 85  &gt; - Small - Slow  &gt; 250x250x325  &gt; 2-8  &gt; 250x250x280  &gt; 0.5-5.5  &gt; 200x200x350  &gt; 45-66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layer thickness  &gt; 120 µm  &gt; 280-500 µm  &gt; 20-100 µm  &gt; 140 µm  &gt; Less  &gt; 30-80 µm  &gt; 20-80 µm  &gt; 50 µm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials studied  &gt; Steels, Nickel based super alloy  &gt; Inconel, Titanium, Cobalt  &gt; Steel, Bronze  &gt; Steels, Inconel  &gt; Titanium, Cobalt, Aluminum  &gt; Steels, Wasp alloy, Titanium (Ti)  &gt; Precious metals, Steel  &gt; Titanium, Cobalt, Aluminum  &gt; Copper, Beryllium, Steels, Ti, Al, Ni</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advantages  &gt; Can use composite powder mixture  &gt; High cooling rate  &gt; Complex geometry is achievable  &gt; Complex geometry is achievable  &gt; Neutral gas  &gt; Better property in comparison to castings  &gt; Solid state Multi material structure  &gt; Environment friendly  &gt; Multi material structure  &gt; High quality finishing Reducing in stress  &gt; Faster builds in comparison to DMLS and SLM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal evolution of metal based additive technology</td>
<td>Selective laser sintering</td>
<td>Laser engineered net shaping (LENS)</td>
<td>Laser additive manufacturing (LAM)</td>
<td>Digital part metallization (Prometal)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Friction stir additive manufacturing (FSAM): Process description

- Non-consumable rotating tool with a custom designed pin and shoulder is inserted into the surfaces of sheets or plates to be joined and traversed along the joint line.
- Joints are produced in solid state and involve no melting.
- Final thickness of the joint depends on the: (i) thickness of the sheets/plate, and (ii) number of assembly stages/layers.
- In contrast to the cast approach in fusion based techniques, **FSAM leads to wrought microstructures**.

Seed results: High performance Mg-Y-Nd alloy built by FSAM

- Hardness - 135 HV (Built+aged). These values are similar to Al 2XXX alloys!
- Maximum hardness achieved by conventional techniques/heat treatment routes is 110-120 HV

Seed results: High performance Mg-Y-Nd alloy built by FSAM

- Higher strength and ductility
- Fine (2-7 nm) and uniform distribution of strengthening precipitates lead to high strength in FSAM + aged specimen
- Properties achieved are much higher than the starting material (T5)
Seed results: High performance AA 5083 alloy built by FSAM

Fully consolidated build fabricated at rotation and tool speed of 500 rpm and 152mm/min

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Yield Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Tensile strength (MPa)</th>
<th>% E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Base Material</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSAM build</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In comparison to base material, hardness in build is higher by 18%

Tested parallel to build direction

Potential application I: strong stiffener/stringer configurations for aerospace by FSAM

(a) Sheet assembly to form stiffener by FSAM

(b) Stringer assembly fabricated using FSAM

(c) Flattened skin panel of the fuselage

➢ FSAM can also be extended for designing and manufacturing longerons in skin panels

Precipitate phases and their distribution in ferritic-martensitic steels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Precipitate Phase</th>
<th>Crystal Structure and Lattice Parameter</th>
<th>Typical Composition</th>
<th>Distribution of Precipitates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M₂₃C₆</td>
<td>fcc, a = 1.066 nm</td>
<td>(Cr₆Fe₆Mo)₆C₆</td>
<td>Coarse particles at prior austenite grain and martensite lath boundaries and fine intra-lath particles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MX</td>
<td>f.c.c., a = 0.444-0.447 nm</td>
<td>NbC, NbN, VN, (CrVN), Nb(CN) and (NdV)C</td>
<td>Undissolved particles and fine precipitates at martensite lath boundaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M₅X</td>
<td>Hexagonal, a = 0.478 nm, c = 0.444 nm</td>
<td>Cr₇N, Mo₇C and W₇C</td>
<td>Martensite lath boundaries (Cr₇N and Mo₇C); prior austenite grain boundaries (Mo₇C); intra-lath (Mo₇C and W₇C); δ-ferrite in duplex steels (Cr₇N and (CrMo)₇(CN))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-phase</td>
<td>Tetragonal, a = 0.286 nm, c = 0.739 nm</td>
<td>(CrVN)N</td>
<td>Large plate-like particles in the matrix after creep straining at 600°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>η-carbide</td>
<td>Diamond cubic, a = 1.07-1.22 nm</td>
<td>M₇C</td>
<td>Prior austenite grain and martensite lath boundaries and intra-lath</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanadium carbide</td>
<td>f.c.c., a = 0.420 nm</td>
<td>V₆C</td>
<td>Low number density in matrix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laves</td>
<td>Hexagonal, a = 0.4744 nm, c = 0.7725 nm</td>
<td>Fe₈Mo and Fe₃(MoW)</td>
<td>Prior austenite grain and martensite lath boundaries and intra-lath; δ-ferrite in duplex steels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chi (χ)</td>
<td>b.c.c., a = 0.892 nm</td>
<td>M₄₃C or Fe₃Cr₂Mo₆C</td>
<td>Intra-martensite lath; δ-ferrite in duplex steels</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Drive behind FSAM for energy — physical metallurgy of ferritic-martensitic steels used in fossil & nuclear applications

FSAM range

No δ phase, Finer prior austenite grain size

Better mechanical properties??

---

Region 1: $T_m > T > T_{\gamma-e}$
- Coarse grained $\gamma \rightarrow$ Martensite
Region 2: $T_{\gamma-e} > T > T_{\alpha-c}$
- Fine grained $\gamma \rightarrow$ Martensite
Region 3: $T_{\alpha-c} > T > T_{\alpha}$
- $\alpha \rightarrow$ Martensite + Overtempered Martensite
Region 4: $T_{\alpha} > T > T_{TR}$
- Overtempered Martensite

Temperature (°C)

---

Center for Friction Stir Processing
Larson–Miller diagram showing better creep performance of MA956 in comparison to P92.

- **Grain refinement & higher dislocation density after friction stir welding resulted in higher RT strength**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>As-received</th>
<th>FSW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YS (MPa)</td>
<td>493 ± 17</td>
<td>574 ± 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTS (MPa)</td>
<td>591 ± 4</td>
<td>736 ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UE (%)</td>
<td>8.1 ± 1.2</td>
<td>11.2 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E (%)</td>
<td>28.5 ± 1.9</td>
<td>30.7 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Increase creep strength (?) and rupture life by adding MA956 stringers to P92 steels using FSAM.*
Potential application II: Architecting creep resistant structures by FSAM for fossil & nuclear sectors

- Addition of partial or full ring stiffeners for pressure vessels to increase their lifetime
- Selection & design of the stiffening material needs to be in such a way that creep and internal stresses are accommodated by the built stiffener

Stresses acting on circular cylindrical shell with closed ends under internal pressure

Schematic cross-sectional view of stiffened MA956 assembly over P92

Schematic of MA956 stiffener rings on P92 steel for enhanced creep resistance
Potential application III: Functional & gradient materials by FSAM for other applications

Conceptual schematic showing few possible configurations

- FSAM of composite materials
  
  FSAM is a potential route to customize build performance by controlling microstructure
Laser assisted FSAM for reduction of forces and greater processing window

Pre-FSAM thermal treatment

Expansion of processing window by decoupling heat (greater control on microstructure)

Preheating by laser source leads to softening of the material ahead of the pin and reduction of tool forces
Mini testing capabilities to support FASM

Mini-fatigue of 7075-T6

Mini-Fatigue
Can FSAM be an effective technique for production of high performance components?

- It certainly appears promising for simpler geometries
- Looking for collaborative opportunities to explore more material/design combinations

Thank you
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