EM Contract and Project Management

Christopher Honkomp
Acting Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary
Acquisition and Project Management
Site-Specific Advisory Board Chairs Meeting
September 2, 2015
GAO High Risk List Report (Feb 2013)

- DOE was added to GAO’s High Risk List for Contract Management in 1990
- DOE has continued to take many steps to address contract and project management weaknesses, including:
  - Demonstrating strong commitment and top leadership support
  - Developing a corrective action plan that identifies effective solutions
  - Demonstrating progress toward implementing corrective measures
- To recognize progress at DOE on NNSA and EM execution of non-major projects (< $750 million), we are shifting the focus of its high-risk designation to major contracts and projects executed by NNSA and EM, those contracts and projects with values of $750 million or greater
  - Based on conclusions from GAO Report “Better Information Needed to Determine If Nonmajor Projects Meet Performance Targets,” January 2013
- Additional actions are needed to meet the remaining criteria:
  - DOE needs to commit sufficient people and resources to resolve its contract management problems
  - DOE must monitor and independently validate the effectiveness and sustainability of its corrective measures
EM Contracts Portfolio

### EM Major Contract Types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract Type</th>
<th>Total Number</th>
<th>Total Dollar Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost Plus Award Fee (CPAF)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$52.3B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost Plus Incentive Fee (CPIF)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$6.6B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost Plus Fixed Fee/Fixed Price (CPFF/FP)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$407M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost Plus Award Fee/Cost Plus Fixed Fee (CPAF/CPFF)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$193.6M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm Fixed Price w/Fixed Price IDIQ/CR Line Items/Award Fee (FFP/IDIQ)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$98.6M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm Fixed Price (FFP)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$71M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESPC Fixed Price Delivery Order</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$795M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EM Capital Asset Project Portfolio Overview

- **4 Line Item Construction Projects (post CD-3)**
  - Waste Treatment and Immobilization Project (WTP) - $12.3B TPC
  - Salt Waste Processing Facility (SWPF) - $2.3B TPC
  - Saltstone Disposal Unit #6 (SDU6) - $143.1M TPC
  - KW Basin Sludge Removal Project - $308.3M TPC

- **9 EM Cleanup Projects (post CD-3) – $3.4B combined TPC**
  - Waste Disposition
  - Soil and Groundwater Remediation
  - Decontamination & Decommissioning

- **12 Active Pre CD-2 Projects**
  - Cost Range: $13.8M - $16B
  - Waste Disposition
  - Soil and Groundwater Remediation
  - Decontamination & Decommissioning

FY 2015 Total Funding $5.29B
Capital Project Funding $1.27B

- **WTP** $667M
- **SWPF** $135M
- **Capital Cleanup** $471M
- **Operations** $4,013M
• The EM project success has improved, with projects baselined after FY 2008 performing better than projects baselined in previous years.

• Implemented project and contract management improvements:
  – EM Best in Class Project Management Implementation Plan – March 2008

• Actions included:
  – Establishing smaller, more manageable projects
  – Achieving more design maturity prior to CD-2
  – Committing funding to execute baselines
  – Increasing staffing resources to support projects
  – Implementing best practices in cost estimation
  – Providing peer review assistance to projects

• Resulting in cumulative portfolio success of 91% (43 of 47) for projects baselined after FY 2008.
EM Project Success by Original Baseline Date

Project Success by Fiscal Year Baselined (Original CD-2)

- Cumulative portfolio success of 91% (43 of 47) for projects baselined after FY 2008
- Earlier baselines were only 44% (12 of 27) successful

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Success of completed projects with CD-4</td>
<td>50% 1 of 2</td>
<td>33% 2 of 6</td>
<td>60% 9 of 15</td>
<td>90% 9 of 10</td>
<td>92% 24 of 26</td>
<td>100% 4 of 4</td>
<td>100% 1 of 1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forecast for active CD-2/3 projects</td>
<td>0% 0 of 1</td>
<td>0% 0 of 1</td>
<td>0% 0 of 2</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>50% 1 of 2</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>100% 1 of 1</td>
<td>100% 2 of 2</td>
<td>100% 1 of 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL All Projects</td>
<td>33% 1 of 3</td>
<td>29% 2 of 7</td>
<td>53% 9 of 17</td>
<td>90% 9 of 10</td>
<td>89% 25 of 28</td>
<td>100% 4 of 4</td>
<td>100% 1 of 1</td>
<td>100% 1 of 1</td>
<td>100% 2 of 2</td>
<td>100% 1 of 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: DOE success definition is used (project scope completed within 110% of the cost at original CD-2)
• Cumulative value of original CD-2 baselines established since 2008 is $2.108 B
• Cumulative value of actual costs of completed projects baselined since 2008 is $1.660 B
• Total savings of $448 M
DOE EM Goal: Improve project and contract management with the objective of delivering results on time and within cost.

- **Strategy 1:** Annually-assess contract and project management staffing and skills to build and sustain needed capacity for Federal oversight of EM mission. (GAO High Risk Criteria.)
- **Strategy 2:** Independently-validate the effectiveness and sustainability of contract and project management improvement actions through project and contract management reviews. (GAO High Risk Criteria.)
- **Strategy 3:** Improve acquisition planning and contract management by adhering to principles described in the Deputy Secretary’s policy memorandum of December 12, 2012: (1) always seeking to align contractor interest with taxpayer interest; and (2) structuring contracts so that each party bears responsibility for its own actions.
- **Strategy 4:** Improve the timeliness of approvals for contract performance baselines, contract modifications, and project changes to maintain contract, project and budget alignment by ensuring change management requirements and guidance is understood and being followed.

*Continued on next page*
DOE EM Goal: Improve project and contract management with the objective of delivering results on time and within cost.

- **Strategy 5**: Increase the use of prime contractor small businesses.
- **Strategy 6**: Become a stronger owner by ensuring requirements are clearly delineated in the contracts, by holding contractors accountable for delivering results, and by ensuring contractors’ performance is fairly documented.
- **Strategy 7**: Execute world-class contract management and administration of traditional and management and operations multi-year contracts
Emerging policy implementation

• Deputy Secretary’s memorandum of December 2012
  • Improve Upfront Planning and Requirements Definition
  • In selecting contract type, contracting officers will first consider the use of a firm-fixed-price contract
  • Contracting Officers and program officials shall consistently document real-time contractor performance
  • The Department will structure contracts so that contractors will bear the responsibility for their actions, i.e., taxpayers should not pay the contractor for poor performance or negligence.

• Business Systems

Acquisition Letter (AL) 2013-11 implements compliance enforcement mechanisms in the form of business systems clauses and related clauses that requires the contractor to have acceptable business systems that comply with system criteria. The contractor will be required to have acceptable business systems for cost estimating, accounting, and earned value management.
Aligning Contract Incentives

• Ensure contracts align with taxpayer interests and structure contracts so contractors bear responsibility
  - Hold contractors accountable for overruns due to negligence
  - Share savings due to better-than-promised performance
• Improve upfront planning and requirements definitions
  - Ensure sufficient design and technical readiness before beginning construction activities
• Consider Firm-Fixed-Price (FFP) contracts first
  - Identify work that can be awarded FFP in new procurements and when exercising options on current contracts
  - Negotiate cost caps or ceilings on existing contracts
• Use objective performance based incentives for non-FFP contracts
• Use provisional fee, hard cost caps, or cost share approaches to ensure parties bear responsibility for their actions
• Document performance to hold contractors accountable; hire contractors who provide good performance
Major Procurement Update

Recent Awards

- Oak Ridge A-E  
  5/15  $1.5M
- ORP Lab Services (222-S)*  
  5/15  $44.6M
- Paducah Infrastructure*  
  6/15  $138.8M
- Oak Ridge TRU Waste Processing Facility *  
  6/15  $123.9M

Actions on Major Contracts

- Waste Treatment Plant, DFLAW Design agreement on way forward
- Richland Plateau Remediation, Option through Sept 2018
- Savannah River Salt Waste Processing Facility, Construction Overrun resolved
- Savannah River Management & Operations, Option through Sept 2016
- Paducah GDP True-up of the contract

*Small Business Award
Major Procurement Update

Upcoming Awards

2015
• Portsmouth Infrastructure*
• Carlsbad Technical Assistance Contract*
• Idaho NRC Licensed Facilities*
• Idaho Core

2016
• PPPO Gas. Diffusion-DUF 6 Conv. Plants Follow-on
• Headquarters IT*
• Paducah GDP Deactivation
• EM Los Alamos Post FY16 Contracts

*Small Business Award
Helpful Websites

• Fees Earned by EM Major Contractors:  
  http://www.em.doe.gov/Pages/EMContractorPayments.aspx

• Listing of EM Major Contracts:  

• DOE Acquisition Forecast:  
  http://hqInc.doe.gov/Forecast

• EMCBC Major Procurements Website:  
  http://www.emcbc.doe.gov/doingbusiness.php

• EM Public Website:  
  http://energy.gov/em/office-environmental-management
EM is committed to creating sustainable contract opportunities for small businesses by increasing the amount of meaningful work for small business prime contracting.

**Key Strategies**

- Work with DOE’s Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization and EM Sites to develop small business Prime and Subcontracting strategies
- Achieve Prime Small Business goal at each EM Site
- Emphasize attainment of small businesses goals in each of the socio-economic categories at the site level
- Increase the amount of meaningful opportunities for prime small business contracting
Small Business Prime Contract Funding Trends

- 2007: $392.6M (7.2% DOE)
- 2008: $316.9M (5.9% DOE)
- 2009: $798.2M (7.4% DOE)
- 2010: $712.2M (12.4% DOE)
- 2011: $391.3M (6.2% DOE)
- 2012: $353.6M (6.9% DOE)
- 2013: $385.2M (7.9% DOE)
- 2014: $560M* (10.6% EM Prime)
- 2015: $400-600M* (projected)*

* Includes M&O First Tier Small Business subcontract commitments
## FY 2013 Prime Small Business Subcategory

### Socio Economic Performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Small Disadvantaged</td>
<td>5%*</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women Owned</td>
<td>5%*</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUB Zone</td>
<td>3%*</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veteran-Owned</td>
<td>3%*</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Service Disabled

* Small Business Administration Goal
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Description</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Contract Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TRU Waste Processing (legacy)</td>
<td>Oak Ridge</td>
<td>$160M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIPP Transportation (2)</td>
<td>Carlsbad</td>
<td>$21M each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational Medical Services</td>
<td>Hanford</td>
<td>$100M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory Services</td>
<td>Hanford</td>
<td>$50M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Services</td>
<td>Portsmouth</td>
<td>$40M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remediation Services</td>
<td>Paducah</td>
<td>$270M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remediation Services</td>
<td>Moab</td>
<td>$120M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Assistance</td>
<td>Carlsbad</td>
<td>$30M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Services</td>
<td>Paducah</td>
<td>$60M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Assistance</td>
<td>Moab</td>
<td>$20M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Small Business Utilization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Description</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Awarded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Facility Support</td>
<td>Portsmouth</td>
<td>$40M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Paducah Technical Services</td>
<td>Paducah</td>
<td>$20M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Remediation Services</td>
<td>Santa Susana</td>
<td>$25.7M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Environmental Characterization</td>
<td>West Valley</td>
<td>$30M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EM Small Business Points of Contact

Headquarters:
John Evett, (202) 586-3805; john.evett@em.doe.gov

EM Consolidated Business Center:
Ann Marie Bird (513) 246-0555; annmarie.bird@emcbc.gov

Office of River Protection:
Kelly Brazil (509) 376-0174; kelly_brazil@orp.doe.gov

Richland Operations Office
Sally Sieracki, (509) 376-8948; sally_a_sieracki@rl.gov

Savannah River Operations Office:
Parodio Maith, (803) 952-9487; parodio.maith@srs.gov

Idaho Operations Office:
Jennifer Cate, (208) 526-0631; cateJK@id.doe.gov

Oak Ridge Operations Office:
Ann Marie Bird (513) 246-0555; annmarie.bird@emcbc.gov