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Overview

Timeline
- Start Date: 2012-Feb
- End Date: 2015-Sep

Barriers

**Mach I** – As defined in the 2014 Annual Merit Review, a mass reduction of 364kg was achieved while maintaining size, performance and features. The interior quietness of the Mach-I is challenged by the reduced mass components. Further mass reduction was constrained by 2012 FMVSS regulations, donor vehicle architecture, and project scope and funding.

**Mach II** – The availability of mature material information required for impact, fatigue and sound quality CAE analysis is limited for the composite materials researched for application development. While keeping the size and cargo space, much of the customer comfort, convenience and quietness attributes must be compromised to achieve the target mass reduction.

Budget

Total Project Funding $20,288,755
- DOE: $10,000,000
- Vehma/Ford $10,288,755

Budget Period 1,2 & 3 $18,535,141

Expenditure of Funds (thru BP3)
- DOE $9,320,361
- Vehma $4,605,207
- Ford $4,966,591

Partners

- Vehma International
- Ford Motor Company
Project Objectives / Relevance

Project Objectives

1. Design and build Mach-I prototype vehicles, maintaining donor vehicle architectural space to reduce mass relative to a 2002 baseline vehicle. Mach I design shall a) utilize “commercially available” or “demonstrated” materials and manufacturing processes, b) include an OEM Partner to validate and test the vehicle, c) demonstrate integration of the lightweight material vehicle system into an existing OEM body shop, avoiding niche assembly/coating processes. The Mach-I concept will be prototyped using an existing production donor vehicle with new MMLV components integrated to create full vehicles and subassemblies for testing. The prototype vehicles will be tested by the OEM to validate the design, material, and process used to manufacture the lightweight Mach-I prototypes (Safety, NVH, Durability, and Corrosion)

   Mach I Result: 23.5% Vehicle-level Mass Reduction was reported at 2013 AMR
   Mach I Result: Prototype vehicles produced reported at 2014 AMR
   Mach I Result: Prototype vehicles tested reported today, 2015 AMR

2. Design a Mach I concept vehicle, without architectural constraints, that will obtain a mass reduction of 50%, as compared to the 2002 Taurus baseline vehicle. Mach-II design will incorporate materials and manufacturing process that “show potential” but are not yet proven commercially viable for high volume production. Examples include magnesium wrought body components for both class A surfaces and inner panels and carbon fiber materials in structural and sheet components. The use of these materials pose a large challenge in joining and corrosion. The Mach-II design concept will identify the joint and material combinations that will need further research to mitigate corrosion and joint challenges.

   Mach II Result: Work in progress reported at 2014 AMR
   Mach II Result: Final design reported today, 2015 AMR

The Mach-I vehicle architecture is defined by the donor vehicle to facilitate full-vehicle integration required for vehicle testing and validation by the OEM. The Mach-I design includes a manufacturing component, which includes modular assembly methods which illustrate the feasibility to build the Mach-I vehicle in an existing body shop.* The Mach-II design will be a “new design architecture” without architecture and integration constraint imposed by the donor vehicle and existing body shop and bill-of-process.

Project Relevance

- Reducing weight is an key enabler to reducing fuel consumption.
- Lightweight vehicle architecture design
  - Multi-material body in white and closure architectures do not exist in today’s market for high volume vehicles*.
  - High volume/low cost joining of dissimilar materials for BIW and Closures does not exist in today’s market*.
  - High volume/low costs polycarbonate and chemically toughened glass does not exist in today’s market*.

* Technology Gap
## MMLV Milestone Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milestones</th>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
<th>% Complete</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mach-I Vehicle design complete, CAE Predictive models complete</td>
<td>BIW, Closure, Suspension, Component</td>
<td>01/2012</td>
<td>01/15/2013</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle assembly Bill of Materials (BOM) complete</td>
<td>BIW, Closure, Suspension, Component</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component part build complete – stampings, castings, extrusions complete for assembly build. Vehicle components complete for vehicle integration</td>
<td>Vehicle Build</td>
<td>08/2013</td>
<td>3/15/2014</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Build – completion of BIW modules and final assembly. Integration into full drivable vehicles</td>
<td>BIW, Closures,</td>
<td>010/01/2013</td>
<td>08/06/2014</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mach-II Vehicle design – Completion of Bill of materials and design direction for analysis</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>03/04/2013</td>
<td>10/31/2014</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mach-II CAE Predictive Models – Completion of Stiffness and Durability models, FMVSS side impact, roof crush, frontal off-set</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>10/01/2013</td>
<td>12/15/2014</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powertrain prototype components complete</td>
<td>Powertrain</td>
<td>05/01/2013</td>
<td>10/31/2014</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component level testing for vehicle integration components</td>
<td>Chassis, Interior</td>
<td>01/30/2013</td>
<td>05/30/2015</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component level testing for powertrain components</td>
<td>Powertrain</td>
<td>03/01/2014</td>
<td>04/30/2015</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full vehicle durability and corrosion testing</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>04/30/2014</td>
<td>1/30/2015</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full vehicle safety testing</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>07/15/2014</td>
<td>1/30/2015</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mach I Design
The MMLV design demonstrates the lightweighting potential of a five passenger sedan, while maintaining performance, packaging, occupant safety while using commercially available materials and production processes.

The Mach I design achieves a 364 kg (23.3%) full vehicle mass reduction, enabling the application of a 1.0-liter three-cylinder engine resulting in a significant environmental benefit and fuel reduction. The 364 kg weight reduction from the 2013 Fusion results from redesigning and lightweighting five vehicle systems: Body and Closures, Interior, Chassis, Powertrain and Electrical.
The ISO 14044 Definition of Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) Phases of an LCA as per ISO 14044:2006

Life Cycle Assessments...

... address the environmental aspects and potential environmental impacts (e.g. resource use and environmental consequences of releases) throughout a product's life cycle from raw material acquisition through production, use, end-of-life treatment and disposal (i.e. cradle-to-grave).

[ISO 14040:2006]
## Material Matrix comparing 2013 Fusion to Mach I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>2013 Fusion (kg)</th>
<th>Mach I (kg)</th>
<th>Delta (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AHSS</td>
<td>417.5</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>(350.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional steel</td>
<td>413.7</td>
<td>289.8</td>
<td>(123.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cast iron</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>(30.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paint, fluid, adhesive</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>(11.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass, Ceramics</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>(11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stainless steel</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>(9.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forged iron</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>(6.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batteries</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>(6.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>(4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold-rolled aluminum</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>143.8</td>
<td>131.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFRP, GFRP</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>57.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extruded aluminum</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>51.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forged aluminum</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Die-cast aluminum.</td>
<td>146.4</td>
<td>147.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Vehicle</strong></td>
<td><strong>1559.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>1195.2</strong></td>
<td><strong>(364.2)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Technical Accomplishments
Mach I Life Cycle Assessment

MMLV Mach I Design Life Cycle Assessment

- LCA conducted as per ISO 14044 Standards & CSA Group 2014 LCA Guidance Document for Auto parts;
- Conducted by third party LCA consultant: Lindita Bushi, Ph.D. in LCA, ON, Canada;
- Third party critical review of LCA Report completed as per ISO 14044.

Conclusions

- The MMLV Mach I design is superior to the 2013 Ford Fusion, both built and driven for 250,000 km (~155,000 miles) in North America, in terms of total Global Warming Potential and Total Primary Energy.
- The cradle-to-grave total net savings of the MMLV Mach I (in percentage basis), relative to the cradle-to-grave LCA of the 2013 Ford Fusion, resulted in significant environmental benefits of:
  - Global Warming Potential (kg CO₂ eq) : 16% reduction vs 2013 Fusion
  - Total Primary Energy (MJ) : 16% reduction vs 2013 Fusion

Note:

2013 Fusion at 28 mpg CFE at 1559 kg curb
(www.fueleconomy.gov)

Mach I Design at 34 mpg CFE at 1195 kg curb
(estimated in LCA study)
Technical Accomplishments
Mach I Component Testing

- Door Deflection
- Tire Contact Patch
- Composite Spring Fatigue
- Hollow Stabilizer Bar Fatigue
- Carbon Fiber Wheel Scratch + Weathering

(a) Coated Rotor Prior to Test
(b) Coated Rotor After Test
Technical Accomplishments
Mach I Vehicle Definitions & Testing

1. Test Buck:
   Body-in-White + Closures + Bumpers + Glazing + Front Subframe
   - Body-in-Prime NVH modes, global stiffness, attachment stiffness, selected Durability

2. Durability A:
   DRIVABLE, full MMLV content with Fusion powertrain
   - MPG Structural Durability, Square Edge Chuckhole Test for Wheels and Tires

3. Corrosion A:
   DRIVABLE, with *alternative surface treatment* and paint process
   - MPG Corrosion R-343

4. Corrosion B:
   DRIVABLE, with *traditional surface treatment* and paint process
   - MPG Corrosion R-343

5. Safety A:
   NON-Drivable, most MMLV content, without carbon fiber instrument panel
   - Low Speed Damageability test (front) Right Hand (passenger) side
   - IIHS Front ODB 40% Offset 40 mph, Left Hand (driver) side
   - Side Pole Test on Right Hand (passenger) side (FMVSS 214)

6. Safety B:
   NON-Drivable, most MMLV content, without carbon fiber instrument panel
   - NCAP Frontal 35 mph rigid wall, then 70% Offset Rear Impact (FMVSS 301)

7. NVH + Drives:
   DRIVABLE, full MMLV content with downsized and boosted powertrain,
   1.0-liter I3 EcoBoost, gasoline turbocharged direct injection engine plus six-speed manual transmission
   - Wind Tunnel, Rough Road Interior Noise, Engine & Tire Noise, Ride & Handling
Technical Accomplishments
Mach I Body Buck NVH Testing

Body Structure Results: Noise, Vibration and Harshness Testing

Table 1 – Table of modal frequency results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode Description</th>
<th>Test (Hz)</th>
<th>CAE (Hz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global Torsion</td>
<td>44.17</td>
<td>44.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rear-End Bending</td>
<td>46.09</td>
<td>40.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical Bending</td>
<td>48.53</td>
<td>48.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rear Match-Boxing</td>
<td>49.25</td>
<td>48.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral Bending</td>
<td>54.40</td>
<td>54.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 – Table of global stiffness results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>CAE</th>
<th>Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global Bending (N/mm)</td>
<td>12,695</td>
<td>12,148</td>
<td>11,458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Torsion (kNm/rad)</td>
<td>1,079</td>
<td>1,207</td>
<td>1,100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Without Damping

With Damping

Rear floor laser scan results showing RMS average over each scan point.
The red colors are areas of higher vibration.
Technical Accomplishments
Mach I Durability Testing

The Durability vehicle completed rough road durability testing representing 150,000 miles of severe customer with only these seven issues.

NO Body structure or Chassis structure durability issues!

Issues List:

1. Rear stabilizer bar bracket bolt cracked at 85%.
   (this production part had been repeatedly removed and re-attached during the build)
2. Rear hollow steel stabilizer bar cracked at ~90%.
   (this was expected since the chosen bar size was under capacity)
3. Front aluminum thermally sprayed brake rotor had coating degrade at ~90%.
4. Left front door chemically toughened laminated glass cracked at ~95%.
5. Left rear door chemically toughened laminated glass cracked at ~95%.
6. Left front lower ball joint softened ~95%.
   (this production part saw higher loads due to the thin wheels)
7. Left rear door chemically toughened laminated glass cracked at 100%.
Technical Accomplishments
Mach I Corrosion Testing

Two Mach I prototypes were constructed with different surface treatment corrosion mitigation strategies.

Corrosion A - Alternative Corrosion Strategy

Corrosion B – Traditional Corrosion Strategy
Technical Accomplishments
Mach I Corrosion Testing

Results: Both the alternative and traditional corrosion mitigation strategies produced acceptable results in full vehicle accelerated corrosion testing at Ford’s Michigan Proving Grounds.

Lessons Learned:
• Additional seam sealer applied to bi-metallic joints improves corrosion protection.
• Aluminum castings showed little evidence of corrosion products, however, anodizing the castings before assembly further reduces chances of corrosion.
• Magnesium castings need both surface treatment, such as a ceramic coating, AND must be protected from water ingress.
• Hardware selection requires careful material and surface specification.
Technical Accomplishments
Mach I IIHS Front ODB 40% Offset 40mph

Occupant Space Intrusion
• Offset Deformable Barrier
• 40 mph
• Dimensional Analysis of several cabin points
  – Floor Pan
  – Instrument Panel
  – A-Pillar

“Good” IIHS Structure Rating
Technical Accomplishments
Mach I NCAP Full Frontal 35 mph

- Rigid Barrier
- 35 mph

Extruded Aluminum Front Bumper and Crush Can
Laminated Chemically Toughened Windshield
Composite wheel
Subframe – Extrude Aluminum Portion
A-pillar
Composite Coil Spring
Technical Accomplishments
Mach I NVH Testing

Vehicle NVH Test Results:

- Engine Noise Reduction (ENR) improved by 3.3 dB
- Tire Patch Noise Reduction (TPNR) improved by 1.2 dB
- Asphalt Road Noise at 50 mph degraded by 1.7 dB
- Wind Noise at 80 mph degraded by 0.9 Sones

Overall, approximately equivalent to Fusion
Technical Accomplishments
Mach II Design Complete

Mach II Design
The Mach II design demonstrates the lightweighting potential using materials and processes that have had some initial research, but are not ready for high volume production.

The Mach II design achieves a 50% full vehicle mass reduction, The 761 kg curb weight results from redesigning and lightweighting five vehicle systems: Body and Closures, Interior, Chassis, Powertrain and Electrical along with reductions in features and content from the 2013 Fusion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsystem Description</th>
<th>2002 Taurus</th>
<th>2013 Fusion</th>
<th>MMLV Mach-I DESIGN FINAL</th>
<th>MMLV Mach-II DESIGN FINAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body Exterior and Closures (kg)</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body-in-White</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closures-in-White</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bumpers</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glazings - Fixed and Movable</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remainder - trim, mechanisms, paint, seals, etc.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Interior and Climate Control (kg)</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seating</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrument Panel</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate Control</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remainder - trim, restraints, console, etc</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chassis (kg)</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frt &amp; Rr Suspension</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subframes</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheels &amp; Tires</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brakes</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remainder - steering, jack, etc</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powertrain (kg)</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine (dressed)</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission and Driveline</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remainder - fuel, cooling, mounts, etc</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical (kg)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiring</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remainder - alternator, starter, speakers, etc</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Vehicle (kg)</td>
<td>1523</td>
<td>1559</td>
<td>1195</td>
<td>761</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Weight Save Compared to 2013 Fusion 23.3% 51.1%
Weight Save Compared to 2002 Taurus 21.5% 50.0%
Mach II Design
BIW Technology Issues

Composite Material Information
• Composite material CAE cards for stiffness, durability, and fatigue analysis still not mature for accurate CAE predictions.
• Composite material CAE cards for safety cash analysis still not mature for accurate CAE predictions.
• Composite material and manufacturing infrastructure immature for automotive volumes.
• Critical joint analysis – mechanical fasteners and structural adhesives strategy still not mature for accurate CAE predictions. Joint technology still a gap for composite to steel/aluminum materials.

Carbon fiber and composites were deemed not feasible for “Class A” panels
• Requirements for appearance by all OEM’s would drive high cycle times to the composite process. Reviewing with many suppliers, it was determined that, even looking at a 2025 timeline, process cycle times would not meet the production volumes of 200,000 units/year with current OEM class be A requirements.
• Class A panels will be designed with aluminum or magnesium sheet products for the BIW and Closure applications.

Recyclability and vehicle repair with carbon fiber
• Recycling of carbon fiber is an area that will need further investigation
• Repair of body components will be an area that will need further investigation
Mach II Design
Mixed Material BIW & Closures

**Body-in-White (BIW)**
155 kg mass reduction from baseline (47.5%)

- 46% ALUMINUM SHEET
- 20% ALUMINUM EXTRUSION
- 15% COMPOSITE
- 13% MAGNESIUM EXTRUSION
- 6% ALUMINUM CASTING
- 9% MAGNESIUM SHEET
- 2% HOT STAMPING

**CLOSURES**
47.0 kg mass reduction from baseline (48.0%)
Mach II Design
Subframes and Bumpers

**SUBFRAMES**  
40 kg mass reduction from baseline (70.0%)

**BUMPERS**  
16.0 kg mass reduction from baseline (43.0%)
Mach II Design
Vehicle Summary

Body & Closures
- Composite intensive mixed material body
- Magnesium intensive closures
- Reduced thickness windows

Interior & Climate Control
- Carbon fiber seats with reduced function
- Carbon fiber composite instrument panel
- Reduced content; no bins, center console, etc.
- Eliminate air conditioner

Chassis
- Cast magnesium subframes
- Composite coil springs
- Reduced capacity components for reduced weight, cargo and towing

Powertrain
- Naturally aspirated 1.0 liter 3-cylinder engine
- Reduced capacity manual transmission

Electrical
- Eliminate content and features
- Reduced battery, alternator, wiring
Mach II Design
Vehicle Evaluation - ESTIMATED PERFORMANCE

Safety
- CAE-based assessments OK, with uncorrelated material models
- Maintained all airbags

Durability & Fatigue
- CAE-based assessments marginal, with uncorrelated material models
- Low confidence in load cases

Noise, Vibration & Harshness
- Large degradations in all metrics!
  - Removed all sound absorption materials
  - Reduced body and chassis stiffness
  - Reduced mass increases loudness

Corrosion
- Significant challenge with carbon fiber composites, magnesium and mixed material joints

Manufacturing
- Unknown processes for high volume production
  - Challenges with joining, surface treatments, Class-A exterior paint, thermal expansion, dimensions & tolerances

Roof Crush Simulation
Composite thickness layups for optimal stiffness
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GAPS Identified by MMLV Project

Steel:
• Improved coatings on ultra high strength steels for multi material applications.

Aluminum:
• Increased die life and bi-metallic (inserts, etc.) for Al die castings plus low cost 7xxx aluminum sheet and extrusions

Magnesium:
• High volume warm forming, hemming, class-A finish, plus improved die life and bi-metallic inserts in high pressure vacuum die casting.

Carbon Fiber Composites:
• Material characterization for CAE, joining, corrosion, paint, class-A finish.

Multi Material Vehicles:
• Corrosion mitigation strategy including universal equivalent of phosphate (or equivalent) bath for any mix of steel, aluminum and magnesium before e-coat and paint.
• Joining methods with corrosion mitigation.
• Aluminum rivet, high hardness, high strength.
• Alternative NVH treatments for lightweight panels sheet metal and glazings
• Design for disassembly, end of life, for reclaiming, recycling
Next Steps

Remaining Work

• Final Report
• All Technical Work has been COMPLETED

Component Testing Completed May 2015

• Engine dynamometer testing
• Lithium-Ion starter battery load testing

Documentation and Technology Transfer

• SAE 2015 Government/Industry Meeting, Washington DC
• SAE World Congress 2015, Detroit, 14 technical papers
• TMS 2015, Orlando, 3 technical papers
• Automotive Megatrends USA 2015, Dearborn
• Automotive Engineering Expo 2015, Germany,
• Strategies in Car Body Engineering 2015, Germany
• Over 25 web, magazine and other articles
MMLV Summary

Weight Reduction is a System Level Exercise

• Must address and integrate all vehicle systems
• Customer expectations for cargo, towing, features can limit the amount of weight reduction

June 11, 2015 This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information