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## Overview

### Timeline
- Start: October 2011
- Finish: March 2015
- Final report to DOE: April 2015
- Percent complete 100% completed(1)

### Budget
- Total project funding
  - DOE: $3.67M
  - Johnson Controls and sub-recipients: $3.67M
- Funding received in 2014: $1.39M
- Funding for 2015: $0.44M

### Barriers
- Concentration polarization of dry electrode affects high current rate capability
- Dry cathode manufacturability
- High self-discharge rate on integrated cell

### Partners
- Entek Membranes
- Maxwell Technologies
- University of Wisconsin – Milwaukee

(1) Tasks complete as of Mar 2015
Objectives - Relevance

**Project scope**
- Significant cost improvement of Li-ion manufacturing:
  - Non-NMP electrode coating process
  - Direct coating separator
  - Fast formation
  - Integrated cell design

**Objectives**
- Develop integrated cell with non-NMP electrodes with direct coated separator
- Develop dry electrode formulation, design and process for PHEV application
- Develop an additive and new formulation for process improvement for aqueous cathode
- Develop an automated roll to roll lamination process for large cell production
- Validate new fast formation process

**Addresses Targets**
- 50% manufacturing cost reduction
- Better than 90% performance of integrated cell compared to baseline’s performance

**Impacts**
- The performance of integrated cells have been improved so that it has achieved 92% performance compared to baseline which is very promising results.
- The cost of cell has achieved 50% reduction, the technologies developed here have great potential for the future Li-ion battery to expand the market.
## Milestones
### Key milestones and decision points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planning</th>
<th>Electrode Non-NMP Coating (Maxwell)</th>
<th>Separator (Entek)</th>
<th>Formation (JCI)</th>
<th>Cell Development (JCI)</th>
<th>Major Deliverables (JCI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Q1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>Q2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>Q3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>Q4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80% perf of dry coating electrode to baseline</td>
<td>90% perf of dry coating electrode to baseline</td>
<td>95% perf of dry coating electrode to baseline</td>
<td>90% perf of coating separator to baseline</td>
<td>95% perf of coating separator to baseline</td>
<td>18 x 15 Ah baseline cells/baseline cost model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90% perf compared to PVDF binder electrode</td>
<td>100% perf compared to PVDF binder electrode</td>
<td>Accomplished 90%</td>
<td>Wetting - 10% improvement</td>
<td>Aging time – 50% reduction</td>
<td>18 x 3Ah advanced cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80% perf of coating separator to baseline</td>
<td>90% perf of coating separator to baseline</td>
<td>Accomplished 100%</td>
<td>Wetting - 20% improvement</td>
<td>Accomplished 72% reduction</td>
<td>2 sets delivered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90% perf compared to baseline</td>
<td>95% perf of coating separator to baseline</td>
<td></td>
<td>Aging time 60% reduction</td>
<td></td>
<td>Final cost model was prepared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80% perf of coating separator to baseline</td>
<td>90% perf of coating separator to baseline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 sets of final deliverable final cost model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90% perf compared to baseline</td>
<td>95% perf of coating separator to baseline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90% perf compared to PVDF binder electrode</td>
<td>100% perf compared to PVDF binder electrode</td>
<td></td>
<td>Aging time 60% reduction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90% perf compared to PVDF binder electrode</td>
<td>100% perf compared to PVDF binder electrode</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90% perf compared to PVDF binder electrode</td>
<td>100% perf compared to PVDF binder electrode</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90% perf compared to PVDF binder electrode</td>
<td>100% perf compared to PVDF binder electrode</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90% perf compared to PVDF binder electrode</td>
<td>100% perf compared to PVDF binder electrode</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90% perf compared to PVDF binder electrode</td>
<td>100% perf compared to PVDF binder electrode</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Approach

**Dry coated electrode**
- Develop process with optimized electrode formulation and design
- Improve the micro-structure of electrode for high rate capability performance
- Develop the process of automated pilot line for large cell build

**Aqueous cathode binder**
- Develop new cathode binder which is both electrochemically and chemically stable
- Develop an additive and new formulation for process improvement
- Investigate corrosion prevention methods and risk mitigation to allow for aqueous solvent manufacturing
- Perform trial run on production line

**Direct-coating of separator material on Li-ion electrodes**
- Formulation changes to improve porosity for Si/PVDF direct coated separator
- Laminate a free-standing separator on anode
- Develop roll-to-roll process for scale-up to large format cell build improving lamination strength and thickness variation

**Fast Formation**
- Develop new activation and detection process to improve cell uniformity, accelerate detection time, and minimize cell degradation
Technical Accomplishments
Dry Coating Electrodes

Dry Coating Electrodes

- The results for the optimized process and design of dry electrodes demonstrate much improved performance.
- 31% lower ASI compared to initial dry electrode, and 15% lesser ASI than the baseline.
- The rate capability has been improved progressively and the final dry electrode shows twice higher rate capability compared to initial dry electrode.
- The micro-structure of dry electrode has been improved and verified using 3D-SEM and EMPA characterization.

Highlight
91% performance and 53% cost reduction compared to wet coating.
Technical Accomplishments
Aqueous Cathode

Aqueous Cathode

- Excellent cycle and calendar life results
- The rate capability and power performance have been improved through optimizing formulation with additives to improve slurry dispersion
- Investigated corrosion mechanism and proved the reliability of aqueous cathode cells with NMC chemistry
- The results of aqueous cathode cells with new process which developed for power application show excellent performance and uniform electrode quality

Highlight
97% performance and 3.5% cost reduction compared to NMP based electrode process
Technical Accomplishments
Direct Coated Separator

- There are three technologies for direct coated separator: solvent coating, dry coating and lamination.
- The lamination gives the most promising results of the three methods.
- 10% lower ASI and 20% higher retention capacity at 5C rate compared to baseline.
- Minimized thickness variation of continuous roll-to-roll lamination.
- Eliminated the zig-zag separator process and speed cell build time from 1 to 3 cell per minute.

Highlight
100% performance and 56% cost reduction on assembly process.
Technical Accomplishments
Fast Formation

**New Fast Formation**
- New fast formation needed to activate cells uniformly and to detect defective cells sooner.
- Developed new activation process to improve cell uniformity and performance using step-charging and step-aging process.
- Developed a new detection process at low SOC to accelerate detectability minimizing cell degradation.
- The results of new formation cells show little capacity loss and better variation and performance.

- **Highlight**
  - 24 days (Baseline)  →  7 days
Technical Accomplishments
Cell Development

Integrated Cell Development

- Cell design for PHEV application
- Integrate the new technologies into developed 3Ah/15Ah cells
- Integrated new technologies and built cells on JC-UWM pilot line
- 30% lower DC impedance compared to baseline
- 27% higher rate capability at 5C discharging compared to the interim integrated cells, and similar performance with baseline cells

**Highlight**
92% performance and 50% cost reduction compared to baseline
Cost Model

Model Assumptions
- Full production: 6.5M cells
- Work hours: 80 hrs/week
- Work year: 47.5 weeks
- Capital depreciation: 10 years

Technology Approaches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cathode</th>
<th>Separator</th>
<th>Anode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqueous</td>
<td>Laminated</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry coating</td>
<td>Laminated</td>
<td>Dry coating</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TECHNOLOGY SAVINGS

15 Ah Baseline

27 Ah

41 Ah

Pouch / PHEV

Prismatic / PHEV

Cylindrical / EV
### Technical accomplishments

“The poor rate capability at continuous currents, the reviewer said, is a significant issue that needs to be addressed for these technologies to be potentially useful for Li ion battery production. The reviewer expressed the understanding that dry electrode manufacturing can be applied only to thick electrodes and it is a challenge for fabricating high-power, thin electrode. The reviewer inquired about how the project team would address that”

**Response:** Initial performance of dry electrode showed poor rate performance so that we have characterized the micro-structure of dry electrode mainly cathode using Hg porosimetry, 3D SEM and EMPA. We have developed new formulation and process to improve this performance as well. The final dry electrode shows quite promising results.

### Proposed future research

“The reviewer recommended that validation of fabrication, power, life in the proposed 15Ah cells, as well as cost modeling be the focus of future work”

**Response:** We submitted three sets of deliverables including 15Ah integrated cells to validate developed each technologies during our project and 15Ah cell cost model as well even though there’re some issues of large dimension dry cathode fabrication. We addressed the what the issues are and possible solution for the future.
Collaboration

**Entek Maxwell**
- Award sub-recipient
- Leader in micro-porous membranes
- Focus on direct coated separator

**Maxwell Technologies**
- Award sub-recipient
- Leader in ultra-capacitor technology
- Focus on dry coating electrode research

**Johnson Controls, Inc.**
- Award prime recipient
- Leader in Lead acid and Li-ion batteries
- Focus on cell design integrating new advanced technologies from our partners, water based cathode, and fast formation.

**University of Wisconsin - Milwaukee**
- Partner in innovation
- Leading institute in material science and energy storage
- Focus on Al corrosion and wetting phenomenon of Li-ion cell, modeling, and cell characterization
Future Works

Remainder of 2015

- Evaluate final deliverables

Remaining Challenges and Barriers

- Scale-up dry cathode process
- High self-discharge rate and dV variation
- Transfer new technologies to product practically
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relevance</th>
<th>Technical accomplishments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • Develop integrated cell with dry electrode, direct coated separator, and fast formation to accomplish 50% cost savings while maintaining 90% performance compared to baseline design | • Dry electrode  
  The cells built with final dry electrode demonstrate 91% performance vs. baseline cells and 53% cost reduction vs. wet coating process |
| • Improver the micro-structure and morphology of the electrode and develop the process of automated pilot line for large format cell builds | • Aqueous cathode  
  The cells with aqueous cathode show 98% performance vs. baseline cells and 3.5% cost reduction compared to NMP based electrode process.  
  The cells with new developed mixing process deliver promising performance and quality as well. |
| • Direct coated separator  
  Develop roll-to-roll process for scale-up to improve lamination strength and reduce thickness variation | • Direct coated separator  
  The cells show 100% performance vs. baseline cells and 56% cost reduction on assembly process compared to the regular PE separator. |
| • Fast formation  
  Develop new activation process to improve cell uniformity using step-charging and step-aging process and develop an improved detection process at low SOCs | • Fast formation  
  It reduced formation lead time from 24 days to 7 days having excellent performance and detectability. |

**Proposed future research**

• Transfer technologies to production