Development of Radio Frequency Diesel Particulate Filter Sensor and Controls for Advanced Low-Pressure Drop Systems to Reduce Engine Fuel Consumption (06B)

> Alexander Sappok (PI) Paul Ragaller, Leslie Bromberg

**Filter Sensing Technologies** 

#### DoE Merit Review Meeting, Washington DC June 11, 2015

ID#: ACE089



This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

### **Overview**

## Timeline

- Project Start: July 2012
- Project End: June 2016
- Percent Complete: 78%

#### Barriers

- Emission controls are <u>energy</u> <u>intensive</u> and costly
- Lack of "ready-to-implement" sensors and controls
- <u>Durability</u> of 120K miles for LD and 435 K miles for HD

Need sensors and controls to exploit efficiency potential of CIDI engines!

## Budget

- Total Funding: \$2,564,850
  - DoE Share: \$1,999,884
  - Contractor Share: \$564,966
- Government Funding
  - Funding in FY14: \$910,368
  - Funding for FY15: \$703,733\*
  - \* Budgeted FY2015/16

## **Partners**

- Department of Energy
- **Corning** Advanced DPFs
- Oak Ridge National Lab Testing
- **FEV** Controls Development
- MM/SG Electronics Manufacture
- Detroit Diesel Tech. Adviser
- DSNY (New York) Fleet Testing



## **Relevance – Project Objectives**

<u>Address Technical Barriers</u> to reduce diesel particulate filter (DPF)-related fuel consumption, improve system durability, and reduce overall system cost and complexity.

**Develop RF Sensor** for direct measurements of DPF soot and ash loading with advanced low  $\Delta P$  systems.

#### The specific project objectives include:

- 1. <u>Develop RF sensors</u> and adaptive feedback controls for direct, in-situ measurements of DPF soot and ash levels.
- 2. <u>Quantify fuel savings</u> with RF sensors and controls in engine dyno and on-road fleet tests in light- and heavy-duty applications.
- **3.** <u>Explore additional efficiency gains</u> with advanced combustion modes, alternative fuels, and advanced aftertreatment via RF sensing and control.



**4.** <u>**Develop production designs**</u> and commercialization plans on the scale to significantly reduce greenhouse gas emissions and fuel consumption.

## **Relevance – Proposed Technology and Concept**

**Motivation:** Enable reduced energy consumption, cost, and increased durability of particulate filter systems through improved sensing and controls.





**Concept:** Apply inexpensive radio frequency (RF) technologies to directly monitor DPF <u>soot</u> **AND** <u>ash</u> levels and distribution with low- $\Delta$ P DPF materials.

• Direct measure of loading state - adaptive feedback controls.



## **Technical Approach – Overview & YEAR 3**

III: System

Level Dev. and

Testing

IV: Pre-

**Production** 

Design

I: Research & Development

II: Performance Evaluation

## Phase I – RF Sensing Research and Development

- Initial prototype design and development (alpha)
- Phase II Sensor Performance Testing and Evaluation
  - Alpha prototype performance evaluation

## Phase III – System Level Testing and Evaluation

- Beta prototype design and development
- Control strategy design and development
  - System integration and testing
- Phase IV Pre-Production Designs and Commercial Plans
  - Pre-production system designs and planning



• Results will provide over **48 months of real-world data** to quantify RF fuel savings and demonstrate on-road durability.

### **Approach – Project Milestones FY14 & FY15**

#### Phase 3 - System-Level Demonstration

| 3.1 Component and Systems Integration Specification Complete | Complete |
|--|----------|
| 3.2 Optimized Sensor Design Complete                         | Complete |
| 3.3 Pre-Prodcution Sensor Development Complete               | Complete |
| 3.4 Vehicle Integration and Demonstration 50% Complete       | Complete |
| 3.5 Optimized Controls Development Complete                  | Complete |
| 3.6 Optimized Test Cell Evaluation Complete - Light Duty     | Ongoing  |
| 3.7 Optimized Test Cell Evaluation Complete - Heavy Duty     | Ongoing  |
| 3.8 Optimized Vehicle Evaluation Complete - Heavy Duty       | Ongoing  |
| 3.9 Vehicle Integration and Demonstration 100% Complete      | Complete |
| 3.10 Environment and Variability Testing Complete            | Ongoing  |
| 3.11 Phase 3 Report Complete and Submitted to DOE            | Ongoing  |
| Phase 4 - Commercialization Planning                         |          |
| 4.1 Commercial Specifications Complete                       | Complete |
| 4.2 Production Sensor Design Concepts Complete               | Complete |
| 4.3 Manufacturing Partners Identified                        | Complete |
| 4.4 Commercialization Plans Complete                         | Ongoing  |
| 4.5 Phase 4 and Final Report Complete and Submitted to DOE   | Ongoing  |
|  |          |



Decision Point : Go/No-Go achieved at conclusion of Phase II

## Approach: Quantify Performance and Fuel Savings (FY14/15)

#### **Team Member Contributions**

# • Der

- Develop RF sensors
- Sensor calibration
  - PM/Ash loading





## **Performance Metric**

- Pressure drop (OE)
- Gravimetric PM
- Gravimetric Ash
- ΔP + Models
- AVL micro-soot
- Gravimetric PM/Ash
- AVL micro-soot, TEOM
- Pressure drop
- Gravimetric PM
- Stock DDC controls
  (ΔP + Model)
- Gravimetric PM



- On-road fleet test
- Volvo/Mack trucks ('09 & '10+)
- 24 Months total, up to 4 trucks



- Stock Volvo/Mack
  DPF controls
- On-road durability

7



- AVL benchmarking
- TEOM benchmarking
- Fuels & adv. combustion

Advanced DPF materials

Mercedes engine test (LD)

Navistar engine test (HD)





National Laboratory

- Controls development
- DDC engine platform
- 2010+ aftertreatment



#### **Accomplishments:** Production-Intent Sensor Developed



## **Accomplishments:** RF Measurement Accuracy Evaluated

- Stock aftertreatment system with 22.03 L DPF (27.73 kg base weight)
- DOC upstream of DPF (same can) and RF antenna mounted at DPF outlet



- RF sensor validation over multiple loading and regeneration cycles
- Comparison with gravimetric, AVL MSS, BG3, and smoke meter measurements

#### SAE 2015-01-0996

#### **Accomplishments:** RF Control Reduces Regeneration Time



#### **DD13 SPECIFICATIONS**

| Configuration     | Inline 6 Cylinder   |
|-------------------|---------------------|
| Displacement      | 781 cu. in. (12.8 L |
| Compression Ratio | 17.3:1              |
| Bore              | 5.20 in. (132 mm)   |
| Stroke            | 6.15 in. (156 mm)   |
| Weight (Dry)      | 2540 lb. (1152 kg)  |
| Electronics       | DDEC®               |
| Oil Capacity      | 40 qt. (38 L)       |
| Horsepower Range  | 350-470             |

**RF Controls** 

- **Regeneration Type**
- Single antenna RF control system developed for MY 2013 DD13 heavy-duty diesel engine
- RF system directly monitors PM levels in DPF during regeneration and terminates HC dosing once oxidation is complete
- OEM approach uses time-based regeneration

#### SAE 2015-01-0996

#### Accomplishments: Two Year Fleet Durability Evaluation



• OE control results in regeneration 4%-5% of time vehicles are in operation (**NYC** urban drive cycles)



• RF control can reduce regeneration frequency and duration by up to 50% for these applications







#### **SAE 2014-01-2349** 11

## **Accomplishments:** RF System Optimization with Biofuels



#### **Accomplishments:** Demonstrated Fast RF Sensor Response



#### 13

#### **Accomplishments: RF Accuracy with Partial Regenerations**

#### **Heavy-Duty Summary**



- HD tests on Navistar engine and LD tests on Mercedes engine at Corning
- RF accurately measures PM levels after partial regenerations

#### Accomplishments: Direct Measurement of DPF Ash with RF



- Ash loading level equivalent to ~ 380,000 miles of on-road accumulation
- Frequency shift at resonance well-correlated to ash level in DPF

#### Accomplishments: RF Sensor Accuracy Unaffected by Ash



16

#### Accomplishments: Fuel Savings with RF Sensing 1.5% - 3%



Increase regeneration interval to utilize full DPF storage capacity
 Shorten regeneration duration by ending regeneration when DPF is clean
 Mitigate ash effects through direct soot AND ash measurements

#### **Response to Previous Year's Reviewer Comments**

#### AMR 14 general questions grouped into three broad categories:

#### I. Clarify advantages over ΔP/models

**Response:** FY2014/15 has focused on direct comparison with  $\Delta P$  and models (dPSLE), see slides 10, 14, and 17. Technical backup slide 27 provides additional examples, as do the SAE publications resulting from this work. There are many conditions (idle, regeneration, non-uniform loading, and ash) which introduce errors in  $\Delta P$  or where the measurement is too unreliable to be used.

#### III. Need more engine manufacturers

**Response:** The team includes broad representation (national lab, DPF supplier, an engine/truck manufacturer, and heavy-duty fleet). Testing included LD and HD engines from other manufacturers not directly involved (Mack, MB, GM, Navistar, Kubota). Since FY2014 several commercial sensor development programs have been launched with OEMs further demonstrating commercial interest and additional OE involvement.

#### II. Clarify fuel savings and objectives

**Response:** Key barriers identified by DOE for this project include <u>fuel savings</u> and aftertreatment <u>durability</u>. Slide 17 summarizes fuel savings from fleet and engine dyno testing, including state-of-the art comparison with MY 2013 engines (slide 10) . Reduced regenerations and measurements of ash (slide 15) directly enable improved DPF system durability in the field.



#### **Collaboration and Project Coordination**



Remaining tasks focus on evaluation of optimized calibrations and controls to quantify performance relative to baseline ( $\Delta P$  + Model) in a wide range of engine and vehicle applications.

#### Phase III – System Level Evaluation

2015 - 2016

- M 3.6-3.7 Quantify RF sensor performance in light- and heavy-duty engine dyno testing (*Mercedes, GM, Navistar, DDC*).
- •M 3.8 Quantify RF performance, durability, and fuel savings in on-road vehicle test (*Volvo/Mack 2009 & 2010+*)
- M 3.10 Quantify source of error from environmental factors and part-to-part variability of optimized pre-production sensor design

#### Phase IV – Commercial Planning

2015 – 2016

**M 4.4** Develop commercial/manufacturing plans and cost assessment



#### Summary

Demonstrated on-vehicle fuel savings via RF sensors and controls to reduce the cost and fuel penalty of diesel aftertreatment.

### **Accomplishements in Third Year of Program**

- · Completed production-intent sensor designs (patents pending)
- Demonstrated high accuracy for DPF soot AND ash measurements showing clear advantages over current ΔP and models (dPSLE)
- Fast response (< 1sec.) and good correlation with lab instruments
- Evaluated RF sensor over **380,000 mile equivalent** DPF aging and two year fleet durability test with four test vehicles
- Demonstrated additional system optimization with biofuels
- Developed and benchmarked RF controls on MY2013 HD engine
- Fuel savings via extend regeneration interval and reduced regeneration time estimated from 1.5% to 3% depending on application over DPF life

### **Outlook and Project Impact**

 Additional sensor benefits include overall system cost reduction, extended system durability and DPF life, and ash-related maintenance savings



Considerable potential to overcome efficiency and durability barriers identified in VT Program Plan through improved sensors and controls

## **Technical Backup Slides**



## **Basic RF Sensor Operation and Background**

#### **RF Sensing Applications**

- Direct measurement of material accumulated on DPF
- Prior work by GM, Atomic Energy Canada, Univ. of Bayreuth



#### **Microwave Cavity Resonance**

- Utilize filter housing as resonant cavity
- Resonant modes established in conducting cavities at specific frequencies
- Signal characteristics of modes affected by material through which the wave travels



#### **Signal Affected by Dielectric Properties of Contaminants**



$$\kappa = \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0} = \varepsilon_r = \varepsilon_r' - j\varepsilon_r'' \qquad \text{tan}$$

## **Example of Raw RF Signal and Correlation to PM Load**



#### Effect of Contaminants on RF Signal (Calibration)

- Filter resonant modes (peaks) occur at specific frequencies
- Standardized metric for soot loading is the measured change in each resonant mode relative to the clean filter and can be universally applied

## **Resonant Modes Also Monitor Spatial Distribution**



#### **Universal RF System Calibration and Part-to-Part Variability**



## **Universal System Calibration Methodology (M2.1-2.2)**



- 1. Approach based on engine/bench experiments and models.
- 2. FST RF control unit automatically generates and saves reference scan for clean DPF (auto-zero function).
- 3. Reference scan accounts for variations in DPF geometry, materials, catalyst coating, canning, or antenna variations.
- 4. Universal calibration stored in RF controller relates relative change in reference (clean) signal to soot load as function of temperature enabling direct temperature compensation (as in chart above).

### **Challenges for Pressure Drop Measurements**



# of weighings

27

40

## **Thank You!**

